

Focus on the Actuarially Fair Premium

Dahlia Remler

Marx School of International and Public Affairs,
Baruch College, City University of New York
and Various (Other) Academic Affiliations

Teaching Health Economics, iHEA July 7, 2017

Why Make Actuarially Fair Premium the Focus?

- Key to Key Policy Issues (& Business Issues)
 - Risk Pool Impact via AFP:
 - High Risk Pools, Obamacare premium drivers, Ted Cruz proposal...
 - Cost of care drivers:
 - Affordability to not-rich, Reasons for cost growth...
- Not high entry barriers
 - Works for professional masters students, clinicians, non-economics undergrads

Conceptual Structure of Content Taught

- Actuarially Fair Premium = Expected costs of care for specific pool of insured
 - Value of Insurance: Fixed risk, care
- Selection: Focus on risk pool composition
 - Keep expected care constant (for given risk)
- Moral Hazard/Cost-containment: Focus on care drivers
 - Keep risk pool constant (uniform)

Brief Exercise Actuarially Fair Premium (AFP):

Suppose that the population of some place is 95% low risk and 5% high risk. Low-risk people have expected medical expenditures of \$500 and high-risk people have expected medical expenditures of \$30,000.

- What is the AFP for an insurance plan with only low-risk people?
- What is the AFP for an insurance plan with only high-risk people?

95% low-risk: expected medical expenditures of \$500

5% high-risk: expected medical expenditures of \$30,000

- What is AFP for an insurance plan covering the entire population?
- What is AFP for an insurance plan covering a representative sample of the entire population?
- What is AFP for a plan with disproportionately greater share of high-risk people, specifically 50% high risk?

Risk Adjustment: With the same population and ignoring administrative costs (loading)...

- What do plans have to receive (in *total* from agency plus policy buyer...) in order for the plans to be willing to provide a sell a policy for a high-risk person?
- What do plans have to receive in order for them to be willing to sell to low-risk people but not try cherry-pick the low-risk people?

Imagine you want to implement a risk adjustment scheme with these characteristics:

- Everyone pays the same premium out-of-pocket, regardless of risk
- Plans have no incentives to lemon-dump or cherry-pick
- Scheme is budget-neutral, w/ no outside subsidies
- How would you implement this scheme?
- What would you charge people for plans?
- What would you pay plans for the different risk categories?
- What rules would you need?

Suppose AIDS patients are 5% of Xanadu's population and have expected medical care costs of \$100,000/year. The remaining 95% of the population has expected medical care costs of \$1000/year. *Per capita* income in Xanadu is \$50,000/year.

- (a) Lemon dumping, administrative costs...
- (b) Purchasing alliances (high risk pools...)
- (c) Mandatory Pooling of all risks
- (d) Risk-adjustment

In-class Exercises: AFP & Different Treatment Choices

Model like class with assumptions: Initially (*ex ante*) everyone has the same risks. There are only three periods: uncertainty, plan purchase decisions and consumption of non-health stuff; uncertainty resolved; enjoying resulting health.

Health State	Probability	Health Care Costs to Treat
Kidney disease	1%	\$250,000
Heart attack	4%	\$100,000
Good health	95%	\$1000

Exercise Moral Hazard/Cost Growth & Containment

Assume there are 2 possible treatment choices for those who get kidney disease:

Treatment for kidney disease	Cost (Opposite of reality)	Quality of Life (1-10 scale)
Dialysis	\$50,000	2
Transplant	\$250,000	9

In expectation (*ex ante*), from perspective of not knowing whether or not will get kidney disease, consider policy that covers transplant or dialysis vs. covering only dialysis.

- What is incremental expected cost (incremental increase in AFP) of insurance policy that covers transplant relative to policy that covers only dialysis?
- What is real-world opportunity cost?
- What are the incremental expected benefits of an insurance policy that covers transplant relative to policy that covers only dialysis? Give in *both* in everyday words & QoL #s.
- What would you personally choose?

Summary

- Drivers of AFP, across groups & over time, are biggest issues in health economics (policy & business)
- Understanding these issues requires being analytical & quantitative
 - But does not require standard economics tools
- Simple toy models w/ different simplifying assumptions can teach most important concepts & tools to wide variety of students