American Osteopathic College of Dermatology
Continuing Medical Education
Attendance Documentation & Program Evaluation

Name:_____ AOA#/AAD#:_____

Address:_____ Date: Monday, October 27, 2014

City: _____ ST._____ ZIP_____ __Physician __Non-Physician

_____
Signature

Please rate speakers on the following scale:

Speaker Evaluation

AREAS OF WEAKNESS

Excellent (5) Good (4) Average (3) Fair (2) Poor (1)		Delivery	Audiovisual	Content
Cindy Hoffman, D.O., FAOCD	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
David Cleaver, D.O., FAOCD	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Reagan Anderson, D.O., FAOCD	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Cory Maughan, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Kelli Danowski, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Kate Messana, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Theresa Zaleski, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Leisa Hodges, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Yoon Cohen, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Wai Ping Chan, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Boris Ioffe, D.O., FAOCD	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Nadine George, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Alissa Lamoureux, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Michael Baze, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Yvette Tivoli, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Jessica Bernstein, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Cherise Khani, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Steven Brooks, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C
Marina Matatova, D.O.	5 4 3 2 1	D	AV	C

Evaluation of Content	**Excellent (5)**	**Good (4)**	**Average (3)**	**Fair (2)**	**Poor (1)**
Presentation met your needs.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Presentation provided usable ideas and/or techniques.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Program will improve professional effectiveness.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Time for questions & answers was sufficient.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Flash drive syllabus was useful.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Seminar met your expectations.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Format and organization were effective.	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐

Did these lectures meet the objectives of this CME program?	☐ YES	☐ NO
Would you attend a similar conference next year?	☐ YES	☐ NO
Did the activity remain commercially unbiased?	☐ YES	☐ NO