

September 2007
Volume 81, Issue 1

Proceedings and Addresses

of The American Philosophical Association



apa

THE AMERICAN
PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION

Eastern Division Program

The American Philosophical
Association
Eastern Division

One Hundred Fourth
Annual Meeting



Marriott Waterfront Hotel
Baltimore, MD

December 27 - 30, 2007

Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association

Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association (ISSN 0065-972X) is published five times each year and is distributed to members of the APA as a benefit of membership and to libraries, departments, and institutions for \$75 per year. It is published by The American Philosophical Association, 31 Amstel Ave., University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716. Second-Class Postage Paid at Newark, DE and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Proceedings and Addresses*, The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

Editor: David E. Schrader **Phone:** (302) 831-1112
Publications Coordinator: Erin Shepherd **Fax:** (302) 831-8690
Associate Editor: Richard Bett **Web:** www.apaonline.org
Meeting Coordinator: Linda Smallbrook

Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association, the major publication of The American Philosophical Association, is published five times each academic year in the months of September, November, January, February, and May.

Each annual volume contains the programs for the meetings of the three Divisions; the membership list; Presidential Addresses; news of the Association, its Divisions and Committees, and announcements of interest to philosophers. Other items of interest to the community of philosophers may be included by decision of the Editor or the APA Board of Officers.

Microfilm copies are available through National Archive Publishing Company, Periodicals/Acquisitions Dept., P.O. Box 998, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-0998. ([HTTP://WWW.NAPUBCO.COM](http://www.napubco.com)). Certain back issues may be obtained from the APA.

All correspondence should be sent to the APA, 31 Amstel Avenue, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, directed to the appropriate staff member as follows: Erin Shepherd for inquiries and publication copy concerning programs of the Divisional meetings, general issues of the *Proceedings*, announcements, awards to members, and advertising; Janet Sample for membership information, address changes, and memorial minutes; and the Executive Director for letters to the editor.

Copyright © 2007 by The American Philosophical Association
ISSN 0065-972X

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER.....	1
EASTERN DIVISION COMMITTEES.....	9
MAIN PROGRAM	13
GROUP PROGRAM.....	43
MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	73
ABSTRACTS OF COLLOQUIUM PAPERS.....	93
ABSTRACTS OF INVITED AND SYMPOSIUM PAPERS	111
SPECIAL SESSIONS SPONSORED BY APA COMMITTEES.....	121
GROUP SESSIONS.....	125
APA PLACEMENT SERVICE INFORMATION.....	131
PLACEMENT SERVICE REGISTRATION FORM.....	139
PAPER SUBMISSION GUIDELINES	141
MINUTES OF THE 2006 EASTERN DIVISION BUSINESS MEETING.....	145
MINUTES OF THE 2006 EASTERN DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING.....	149
ELECTION RESULTS	153
CHILDCARE INFORMATION.....	155
NEIGHBORHOOD RESTAURANTS	157
LIST OF ADVERTISERS AND BOOK EXHIBITORS	159
FORMS	215
ADVANCE REGISTRATION FORM.....	217
RECEPTION TABLE REQUEST FORM	219
HOTEL RESERVATION FORM	221
STUDENT HOTEL RESERVATION FORM.....	223
HOTEL SUITE INFORMATION	225
APA REGISTRATION POLICY	227
CONVENTION HOTEL DIAGRAMS	229

LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER

To All Members of the Association:

The one hundred and fourth Annual Meeting of the Eastern Division will be held from Thursday, December 27th through Sunday, December 30th, 2007, at the Marriott Waterfront Hotel, Baltimore, and neighboring hotels. All program sessions, as well as the book exhibits and the large evening receptions, will take place at the Marriott Waterfront. Hotel accommodations at convention rates are available at the Marriott Waterfront, the Marriott Courtyard Hotel, Pier V Hotel, the Hilton Garden Inn/Homewood Suites, and the Renaissance Harborplace Hotel. (The rates vary slightly from one hotel to another, but in all cases are below the rates at the last two Eastern Division meetings.) Some interview tables will be at the Pier V Hotel and the Renaissance Harborplace. There will also be interview suites at all of these hotels.

The Marriott Courtyard, Pier V Hotel, and Hilton Garden Inn/Homewood Suites are all within two blocks of the Marriott Waterfront. The Renaissance Harborplace is further, but still an easy 15-minute walk from the Marriott Waterfront; a fast walker can cover the distance in just over 10 minutes (*crede experto*). There will also be a free water taxi service during daytime hours between the Renaissance Harborplace and the Marriott Waterfront. At the back of the program, along with the usual meeting room floor plans, is a map showing the locations of the various hotels. I suggest that you make reservations at your earliest convenience. (**Please Note:** Suite reservations for interviewers are handled through Linda Smallbrook at the National Office; see the Hotel Suite Information page at the end of the program, along with the regular hotel reservation and registration forms.)

This issue of the *Proceedings* contains the following items:

- (1) The complete program, including the program for group meetings.
- (2) An advance registration form for individuals.
- (3) An advance registration form for departments planning to interview candidates at the meeting and wishing to use the APA Placement Service.
- (4) Forms for room reservations and room reservations at student rates.
- (5) A table reservation form for the receptions.

Additional copies of the full program will be available at the Registration Desk.

Please Note: It is expected that by the time you read this, meeting registration, Placement Service registration for departments, and reception table reservation (in other words, the operations corresponding to items (2), (3) and (5) above) will also be available online on the APA web site. Please check at <http://www.apa.udel.edu/apa>.

1. REGISTRATION

Rates for registration are as follows:

APA Members:	\$50
Non-members:	\$60
Student members:	\$10

These rates are the same as for the previous five years. Of the \$50 the Eastern Division collects from each APA member, \$35 will be returned to the National Office to cover the salaries of staff members essential to the functioning of the Division. The remaining \$15 will go to offset meeting expenses directly. All those participating in the meeting are expected to register, and to wear their name badges to signify that they have done so. The staff conducts random checks at the meeting, and those who have not registered are asked to do so immediately.

Persons who are not now members may take advantage of the lower registration fee for members by joining the APA. Regular and student membership application forms are available from the APA National Office, on the APA's web site (www.udel.edu/apa), and will be available during the meeting at the APA Registration Desk. The APA Registration Desk will be open on:

Thursday, December 27:	3:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.
Friday, December 28:	8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.
Saturday, December 29:	8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
Sunday, December 30:	8:30 a.m. to Noon

Only registrants are entitled to attend the Reception on December 28th at no additional charge. Non-registrants who wish to attend this reception must purchase a \$10 ticket; tickets will be available at the door to the reception. For those who register, name badges will serve as proof of registration.

2. PLACEMENT SERVICE

The APA Placement Service functions mainly as a channel of communication between interviewers and job applicants. It can be particularly valuable when other arrangements fail to function properly. For this reason, interviewers and candidates, even those who plan to use the Placement Center for no other purpose, should inform the Placement Center about how they may be contacted in case of an emergency. The placement process will operate most smoothly when appointing officers and applicants make preparations for their part in advance of the Annual Meeting and when interviews are arranged prior to the meeting on the basis of listings in *Jobs for Philosophers*. Detailed information about the APA Placement Service can be found elsewhere in this issue of the *Proceedings*.

A Placement Ombudsperson, either the Chair or a member of the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement, will be available in the Placement Service area for anyone encountering problems with the interviewing process.

3. BOOK EXHIBITS

The publishers' book exhibit area is open at the following times:

Friday, December 28:	10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Saturday, December 29:	10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Sunday, December 30:	9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

4. PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

The Presidential Address will be delivered by Anthony Appiah at 6:00 p.m., December 29th. The title of the address is "Experimental Philosophy."

5. CARUS LECTURES

The Eastern Division is pleased this year to host the Paul Carus Lectures, to be given by Bastiaan Van Fraassen. The general title for the three lectures is *Appearance, Reality, and [the] I*. Lecture I, "On Neurath's Ship: Naturalism (?)," will be given at 1:30 p.m. on December 28th; Lecture II, "On What There Is: Realism (?)," at 1:30 p.m. on December 29th; Lecture III, "On Being Somewhere: The Self Delusion (?)," at 11:15 a.m. on December 30th. There will also be a Carus Reception from 4:30-6:00 p.m. on December 28th.

6. JOHN DEWEY LECTURE

At its 2004 meeting, the Eastern Division Executive Committee accepted a generous offer from the John Dewey Foundation to fund a John Dewey Lecture at each annual meeting. The Dewey Lecture is given by a prominent and senior (typically retired) American philosopher who is invited to reflect, broadly and in an autobiographical spirit, on philosophy in America. The Eastern Division is pleased to announce that its second John Dewey Lecture will be given by Hilary Putnam at 2:45 p.m. on December 29th (session VI-L). The lecture will be immediately followed by a reception.

Nominations are invited for future Eastern Division Dewey Lecturers. The 2008 Dewey Lecturer will be J.B. Schneewind. At its annual meeting on December 27th, the Executive Committee will establish a short list of candidates for Dewey Lecturer in 2009, and will take into account nominations received from members. Please send all nominations by November 15th to the Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, Department of Philosophy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21218-2686, or by email to rbett1@jhu.edu.

7. RECEPTIONS AND RECEPTION TABLES

There will be Receptions on the nights of December 28th, from 8:00 p.m. to Midnight, and December 29th, from 9:00 p.m. to Midnight.

The large round tables at the Receptions will be numbered. A chart will be distributed at registration giving the table locations along with an index showing, for each table, who has reserved it.

Departments and societies are invited to reserve tables for a fee of \$25 per table for both nights. If you wish to reserve a table, please fill out and mail the form included in the back pages of this issue of the *Proceedings* or call or email Ms. Linda Smallbrook at the National Office (Phone: 302-831-1113; Fax: 302-831-3372; email: lindas@udel.edu). The deadline for making table reservations is December 12th.

8. BUSINESS MEETING AND VOTING MEMBERSHIP

The annual Business Meeting will be held on December 29th at 11:15 a.m. There will be reports from Divisional and National Officers and Committees.

According to the Association's Bylaws, regular membership is given by an act of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board of Officers. Each year the list of regular members that appears in the November issue of the *Proceedings* is used as the list of eligible voters for the three subsequent Divisional meetings. Certification of persons as voting affiliates of the Eastern Division will take place at the entrance to the Business Meeting itself, for those wishing to attend and to vote.

9. RESOLUTIONS

- (a) All resolutions to be presented for inclusion on the agenda of the Business Meeting as separate items must be submitted in writing to the Secretary-Treasurer at least nine weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by October 25, 2007) in order to be properly announced to the membership.
 - (b) No question of a substantive nature other than routine business shall be in order under "New Business" unless it has been received by the Secretary-Treasurer three weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by December 6, 2007) for transmission to the Executive Committee, except if three-fourths of the Eastern Division affiliates in attendance at the Business Meeting vote that such a question should be taken up at that meeting.
 - (c) According to section 5.5.D of the Bylaws of the APA, "Resolutions which purport to represent the sense of a Division on matters of public policy may be voted on only by mail ballot authorized by the annual Business Meeting of that Division. Such mail ballots will include relevant minutes of the meeting and a summary of the arguments presented."
 - (d) The Eastern Division Executive Committee has the prerogative to order a mail ballot on any question of a substantive nature other than routine business that may come before the Business Meeting.
 - (e) There is a Resolutions Subcommittee of the Executive Committee consisting of the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, each of whom is available to consult with members before and during the Annual Meeting, on the preparation of resolutions.
-

10. THE 2008 PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The 2008 Program Committee invites contributions for the 2008 Annual Meeting that will be held in Philadelphia on December 27-30. The 2008 Program Committee is composed of:

Tamar Gendler (Chair)
Kenneth Baynes
Richard Bett (*ex officio*)
Cian Dorr
Steven Gross
Eva Kittay
Christia Mercer
Ram Neta
Lorenzo Simpson
Shannon Sullivan
Laurence Thomas
Iakovos Vasiliou
Scott Weinstein

The deadline for submitted papers for the 2008 meeting is February 15, 2008. For further details on how and where to submit papers for consideration, see the section on Paper Submission Guidelines elsewhere in this issue.

The 2008 Program Committee welcomes nominations, including self-nominations, for commentators and session chairs. In selecting commentators and chairs, the Program Committee tends to prefer, other things being equal, persons who have not made frequent appearances on the Eastern Division Program in the past few years. Nominations should be sent to the Chair of the Program Committee, Tamar Gendler, Department of Philosophy, Yale University, P.O. Box 208306, New Haven, CT 06520-8306. Nominations should be received by the Chair no later than April 1, 2008; they should include a short list of significant or representative publications by the nominee, and should indicate the nominee's area(s) of specialization. (A curriculum vitae *is not required for this purpose.*)

11. GRADUATE STUDENT TRAVEL STIPENDS AND THE WILLIAM JAMES PRIZE

The Eastern Division is pleased to announce that there are two sources of financial support for junior members of the Association who wish to submit papers for consideration for the Eastern Division program. One source is the Graduate Student Travel Stipends, the other is the William James Prize. The guidelines for both are given below.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends. The Eastern Division will award a \$300 travel stipend for any paper written by a graduate student that is accepted by the Program Committee in its normal, blind-review process. Eligibility is restricted to APA members or student associate members who are graduate students in good standing in an M.A. or Ph.D. program in philosophy. A

graduate student may submit a paper either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. Authors must comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Information elsewhere in the *Proceedings* or on the APA web site, www.udel.edu/apa), with two modifications. First, the author must indicate that the paper is being submitted for consideration for a graduate student travel stipend. If the paper is submitted electronically, this is to be done by checking the relevant box on the online submission form; if it is submitted by mail, the paper must be accompanied by a cover letter indicating that the paper is in competition for a graduate student stipend. (This information is *not to be included in the paper itself*.) Second, whichever method of submission is used, the author must also supply, at the time of submission, documentation from the author's institution showing that the author is a graduate student in good standing.

William James Prize. The Eastern Division will award the William James Prize to the best paper in the area of American Philosophy that is both (a) written by a philosopher who received the Ph.D. after January 1, 2003, or is a graduate student, and (b) accepted for inclusion in the Eastern Division program by the Program Committee through the normal process of blind-reviewing. Thanks to a generous gift from Professor and Mrs. John Lachs, the James Prize carries with it an honorarium of \$300. For purposes of competition for the James Prize, American Philosophy is to be construed broadly as including not only studies of the work of significant thinkers in the history of American Philosophy but also creative extensions or applications of the ideas, methods, or results of traditional American Philosophy to philosophical issues of current interest or lasting importance.

A paper may be submitted for the competition for the James Prize either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. *Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.* Authors must comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Information elsewhere in the *Proceedings* or on the APA web site, www.udel.edu/apa). In addition, each submission for the competition for the James Prize must be accompanied by a letter from its author both (a) stating either the date on which the author's Ph.D. was granted if the author has already received the Ph.D., or the fact that the author is a graduate student if that is the case, and (b) expressing the author's wish to have the paper considered for the James Prize. (The paper may, as usual, be submitted either electronically or by mail, but the letter must be mailed.)

The competition for the William James Prize in 2008 will be judged by a subcommittee of the 2008 Program Committee. Its members are Tamar Gendler (Chair of the Program Committee, serving *ex officio*), Richard Bett (Secretary-Treasurer of the Eastern Division, serving *ex officio*), and two other committee members.

In the event that no entrant marked specifically for the William James Prize competition is accepted by the Program Committee, the Program Committee will survey the Graduate Student Travel Stipend recipients to see whether any of those papers qualifies by topic for the James Prize. If one (or more) does so qualify, it (or the best one) will receive the William James Prize instead of a Graduate Student Travel Stipend. No paper may be awarded *both* the William James Prize *and* a Graduate Student Travel Stipend.

Each recipient of an award will be acknowledged in the printed program for the annual Eastern Division Meeting.

12. APA PRIZE RECEPTION

There will be a special reception for the presentation of awards, at which all meeting registrants are welcome. This reception is sponsored by Polity, an independent British press who partners with Blackwell for marketing purposes. The prize reception will take place at 5:45-6:45 p.m. on December 28th. The awards to be presented include the Graduate Student Travel Stipends and William James Prize, as well as a number of national APA prizes; the specifics are listed with the announcement of the reception in the main program.

13. THE 2009 PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The Eastern Division's Committee on Committees, whose *ex officio* members are the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, invites nominations, including self-nominations, for membership on the 2009 Program Committee. Nominees should be voting affiliates of the Eastern Division. Each nomination must be accompanied by the nominee's *curriculum vitae* and must be received by December 1, 2007, at the Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, Department of Philosophy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218-2686.

On behalf of the Executive and Program Committees, I extend to every member of The American Philosophical Association a warm invitation to take part in our one hundred and fourth Annual Meeting.

Cordially yours,

Richard Bett, Secretary-Treasurer

EASTERN DIVISION COMMITTEES, 2007-2008

EASTERN DIVISION OFFICERS

Kwame Anthony Appiah, President
Christine Korsgaard, Vice President
Seyla Benhabib, Immediate Past President
Richard Bett, Secretary-Treasurer
Nancy Sherman, Divisional Representative

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Louise Antony	Kwame Anthony Appiah (<i>ex officio</i>)
Daniel Garber	Seyla Benhabib (<i>ex officio</i>)
Jorge Garcia	Richard Bett (<i>ex officio</i>)
Don Garrett	Christine Korsgaard (<i>ex officio</i>)
Cynthia Willett	Nancy Sherman (<i>ex officio</i>)

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Seyla Benhabib, Chair
Peg Birmingham
Gilbert Harman
Terry Pinkard
Henry Richardson

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

James Dreier (Chair)	Eva Kittay	Lorenzo Simpson
Richard Bett (<i>ex officio</i>)	Leonard Lawlor	Nancy Tuana
Cian Dorr	Ernest Lepore	Roslyn Weiss
Tamar Gendler	Christia Mercer	
Sean Kelly	Ram Neta	

ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE EASTERN DIVISION PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The function of the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee is to advise the Program Committee about the invited portion of the Eastern Division program. Members of the Advisory Committee are appointed to fill particular areas of specialization for three-year staggered terms by the Eastern Division's Executive Committee, with the advice of the Committee on Committees. The present members of the Advisory Committee (2007), with their areas of specialization and their terms of office, are given below.

HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY

ANCIENT

Bran Inwood (07-10)
Andrea Nightingale (06-09)
Patricia Curd (05-08)

MODERN

Yitzhak Melamed (07-10)
Gary Hatfield (06-09)
Don Baxter (05-08)

20TH CENTURY

Danielle Macbeth (07-10)
Tom Ricketts (06-09)
Ian Proops (05-08)

MEDIEVAL AND RENAISSANCE

Jack Zupko (07-10)
Gyula Klima (06-09)
Peter King (05-08)

19TH CENTURY

Fred Beiser (07-10)
Terry Pinkard (06-09)
Paul Franks (05-08)

TRADITIONAL DIVISIONS

METAPHYSICS

Karen Bennett (07-10)
Gideon Rosen (06-09)
Ted Sider (05-08)

ETHICS

Geoffrey Sayre-McCord (07-10)
Peter Railton (06-09)
Stephen Darwall (05-08)

LOGIC

Vann McGee (07-10)
Sun-Joo Shin (06-09)
Aldo Antonelli (05-08)

EPISTEMOLOGY

Hilary Kornblith (07-10)
John Greco (06-09)
Richard Foley (05-08)

AESTHETICS

Richard Moran (07-10)
Lydia Goehr (06-09)
John Carvalho (05-08)

SPECIAL FIELDS**PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE**

Stephen Neale (07-10)
 Richard Heck (06-09)
 Jason Stanley (05-08)

PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE

Michael Strevens (07-10)
 John Earman (06-09)
 Tim Maudlin (05-08)

SOCIAL AND POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY

David Estlund (07-10)
 Carol Gould (06-09)
 Erin Kelly (05-08)

PHILOSOPHY OF LITERATURE

Paisley Livingston (07-10)
 Dimitri Nikulin (06-08)
 Colin McGinn (05-08)

NON-WESTERN PHILOSOPHY

Jiyuan Yu (07-10)
 Jay Garfield (06-09)
 Graham Parkes (05-08)

FEMINISM

Ann Cudd (07-10)
 Penelope Deutscher (06-09)
 Louise Antony (05-08)

AMERICAN PHILOSOPHY

Richard Gale (07-10)
 Henry Jackman (06-09)
 Philip Kitcher (05-08)

**PHENOMENOLOGY, EXISTENTIALISM,
AND HERMENEUTICS**

William Blattner (07-10)
 Donn Welton (06-09)
 Kenneth Baynes (05-08)

PHILOSOPHY OF LAW

Jerry Postema (07-10)
 David Luban (06-09)
 Randall Curren (05-08)

PHILOSOPHY OF MIND

David Velleman (07-10)
 James Conant (06-09)
 Joseph Levine (05-08)

PHILOSOPHY OF MATHEMATICS

Philip Bricker (07-10)
 Ken Manders (06-09)
 Mark Wilson (05-08)

PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

Richard Swinburne (07-10)
 William Desmond (06-09)
 Dean Zimmerman (05-08)

APPLIED ETHICS

Robert Baum (07-10)
 Deen Chatterjee (06-09)
 Bonnie Steinbock (05-08)

AFRICANA

Kwasi Wiredu (07-10)
 Jennifer Vest (06-09)
 Emmanuel Eze (05-08)

PHILOSOPHY OF EDUCATION

Michael Scriven (07-10)
 Lawrence Blum (06-09)
 Shaun Gallagher (05-08)

**POSTMODERNISM, PHILOSOPHY OF
CULTURE, AND CRITICAL THEORY**

Robert Gooding-Williams (07-10)
 Nancy Fraser (06-09)
 Eduardo Mendieta (05-08)

THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION

EASTERN DIVISION ONE HUNDRED FOURTH ANNUAL MEETING PROGRAM

DECEMBER 27-30, 2007

BALTIMORE MARRIOTT WATERFRONT AND OTHER HOTELS

THURSDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 27

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

1:00-6:00 p.m.

REGISTRATION

3:00-10:00 p.m.

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: 2:00-10:00 p.m.

Candidates: 3:00-10:00 p.m.

Interview Tables

THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27

SESSION I – 6:30-9:30 P.M.

I-A. Symposium: Anscombe's *Intention* 50 Years Later

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Chair: Anton Ford (University of Pittsburgh)

Speakers: Michael Thompson (University of Pittsburgh)

Doug Lavin (Harvard University)

Joshua Knobe (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

I-B. Symposium: Ethics and Aesthetics*6:30-9:30 p.m.*

Chair: Peter Railton (University of Michigan)

Speakers: Nadeem Hussain (Stanford University)
Jonathan Gilmore (Yale University)

Commentator: Karen Hanson (University of Indiana)

I-C. Symposium: Hermeneutics and Scientific Theory Choice*6:30-9:30 p.m.*

Chair: Joseph Rouse (Wesleyan University)

Speakers: Joseph Margolis (Temple University)
Harold Brown (Northern Illinois University)

Commentator: Dimitri Ginev (Ohdriski University of Sofia–Bulgaria)

I-D. Informational Session: Philosophy of Physics*6:30-9:30 p.m.*

Chair: Tim Maudlin (Rutgers University)

Speakers: Sheldon Goldstein (Rutgers University)
Lee Smolin (Perimeter Institute for Theoretical
Physics)Giancarlo Ghirardi (International Center for
Theoretical Physics–Trieste, Italy)**I-E. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics***6:30-9:30 p.m.***Topic: Prize Winning Essay on Latin American Philosophy**

Chair: Susana Nuccetelli (St. Cloud State University)

Speaker: Roberto D. Toledo (Stony Brook University–State
University of New York)Title: “The Authenticity of Indigenous Rebellion in
Mexico: Luis Villoro’s Critique of Leopoldo Zea’s
Nationalism”

Commentator: Greg Gilson (University of Texas–Pan American)

(This session will end at 7:30 p.m. Reception to follow in Recognition of
Recent Contributions to Latin American Philosophy.)**I-F. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law and the APA Committee on the Status of Women***6:30-9:30 p.m.***Topic: Women and Terrorism**Chair: Virginia Held (City University of New York–Graduate
Center)

- Speakers: Bat-Ami Bar On (Binghamton University–State University of New York)
 “Martyrdom, Relationality and Accountability”
 Marilyn Friedman (Washington University in St. Louis/Charles Sturt and The Australian National Universities)
 “Justifying Terrorism: Does Gender Matter?”
 Kelly Oliver (Vanderbilt University)
 “Women as Weapons of War”

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:30-9:30 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Academy for Jewish Philosophy

Conference on Philosophical Societies

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

North American Society for Social Philosophy

Society for Machines and Mentality

FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 28

REGISTRATION

8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m.

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: 9:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m.

Candidates: 9:00 a.m.-6:30 p.m.

Interview Tables

BOOK EXHIBITS

10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

SESSION II – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

II-A. Invited Paper: Gunk

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Yuri Balashov (University of Georgia)

Speaker: Frank Arntzenius (University of Oxford)

Commentator: Brad Skow (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

- II-B. Invited Papers: Eastern and Western Conceptions of the Self**
9:00-11:00 a.m.
 Chair: Raymond Martin (Union College)
 Speakers: Roy Perrett (University of Hawaii)
 Marya Schechtman (University of Illinois–Chicago)
- II-C. Invited Papers: Contemporary French Thought**
9:00-11:00 a.m.
 Chair: Hugh Silverman (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
 Speakers: Frédéric Worms (Université de Lille III–France)
 Catherine Malabou (Université de Paris X–Nanterre)
- II-D. Invited Paper: Stoic Physics**
9:00-11:00 a.m.
 Chair: Tad Brennan (Cornell University)
 Speaker: Katja Vogt (Columbia University)
 Commentator: John Cooper (Princeton University)
- II-E. Author Meets Critic: William J. Talbott, *Which Rights Should Be Universal?***
9:00-11:00 a.m.
 Chair: David Crocker (University of Maryland)
 Critic: Christopher Knapp (Binghamton University–State University of New York)
 Author: William J. Talbott (University of Washington)
- II-F. Symposium: Hobbes and the Really Big Stick**
9:00-11:00 a.m.
 Chair: Daniel Garber (Princeton University)
 Speaker: Amy Schmitter (University of Alberta)
 Commentator: Ursula Goldenbaum (Emory University)
- II-G. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Blacks and Philosophy**
9:00-11:00 a.m.
Topic: Beyond Alain Locke: The Problem of Interpretation in the History of African-American Philosophy
 Chair: Patrick Goodin (Howard University)
 Speakers: Stephen Ferguson (North Carolina A&T State University)
 “The Legacy of Dr. Wayman McLaughlin: An Inquiry into the History of African-American Philosophy”

John McClendon (Bates College)

“Forest Wiggins: The Missing Chapter in McCumber
on McCarthyism”

George Yancy (Duquesne University)

“Anna Julia Cooper as African-American Philosopher”

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Society for Aesthetics

American Society for Value Inquiry

International Society for Buddhist Philosophy

International Society for Environmental Ethics

International St. Thomas Society

Society for Skeptical Studies

Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy

Society for the Study of Women Philosophers

SESSION III –11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

III-A. Invited Paper: Fiction and Emotion

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Elisabeth Camp (University of Pennsylvania)

Speakers: Tyler Doggett (University of Vermont) and Andy Egan
(University of Michigan) (co-authored paper)

Commentator: Tim Schroeder (Ohio State University)

III-B. Invited Papers: Rousseau

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Alan Udoff (St. Francis College)

Speakers: Hilail Gildin (City University of New York–Queens
College)

Charles Butterworth (University of Maryland)

**III-C. Invited Papers: Gadamer and Davidson on
Incommensurability**

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Cristina Lafont (Northwestern University)

Speakers: David Vessey (University of Chicago)

Barbara Fultner (Denison University)

III-D. Invited Papers: Logic for Philosophy*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: Robert Rynasiewicz (Johns Hopkins University)

Speakers: Harvey Friedman (Ohio State University)
Peter Koellner (Harvard University)**III-E. Author Meets Critics: Dorit Bar-On, *Speaking My Mind****11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: Nico Silins (Cornell University)

Critics: Matthew Boyle (Harvard University)
David Rosenthal (City University of New York–
Graduate Center)Author: Dorit Bar-On (University of North Carolina–Chapel
Hill)**III-F. Symposium: The Mistake in “I’ll be glad I did it” Reasoning***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: David Wasserman (Yeshiva University)

Speaker: Elizabeth Harman (Princeton University)

Commentator: Jackie Scully (Newcastle University–United Kingdom)

**III-G. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on
Philosophy and Computers***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: The Ethics of Emerging Technologies**

Chair: Michael Kelly (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)

Speakers: Harriet Baber (University of San Diego)
“Access to Information in Teaching and Research”Marvin Croy (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)
“Ethical Considerations in the Development of
Intelligent Tutoring Systems”Andrew Light (University of Washington)
“Information Technology and Sustainability”**GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Society for Value Inquiry

Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry

History of Early Analytic Philosophy Society and Bertrand Russell Society

International Society for Chinese Philosophy

Josiah Royce Society

Personalist Discussion Group

Sartre Circle

Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs

FRIDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28

Carus Lecture I

1:30 p.m.

Topic: Appearance, Reality, and [the] I

Introduction: Stephen Leeds (University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee)

Speaker: Bastiaan Van Fraassen (Princeton University)

Title: “On Neurath’s Ship: Naturalism (?)”

SESSION IV – 2:45-5:45 P.M.

IV-A. Symposium: History of Philosophy with Attention to Difference

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Alice Sowaal (San Francisco State University)

Speakers: Robert Bernasconi (University of Memphis)

Penelope Deutscher (Northwestern University)

Eduardo Mendieta (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Eileen O’Neill (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

IV-B. Symposium: Kabbalism in Early Modern Philosophy

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Tammy Nyden-Bullock (Grinnell College)

Speakers: Yitzhak Melamed (University of Chicago)

Allison Coudert (University of California–Davis)

Commentator: Steven Nadler (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

IV-C. Symposium: Philosophy and Linguistics

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: James Higginbotham (University of Southern California)

Speakers: Peter Ludlow (University of Michigan)

Paul Pietrowski (University of Maryland)

Barry Schein (University of Southern California)

IV-D. Symposium: Iris Marion Young

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Jane Mansbridge (Harvard University)

Speakers: Jacob T. Levy (McGill University)

Ann Ferguson (University of Massachusetts)

Anita Silvers (San Francisco State University)

IV-E. Colloquium: Continental Philosophy – Theoretical and Practical Questions

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: David Pettigrew (Southern Connecticut University)

2:45-3:45 p.m.

Speaker: Zachary Davis (St. John's University)

“A Phenomenology of Political Apathy: Scheler and Arendt on Mass Violence”

Commentator: Michael R. Kelly (Boston College)

3:45-4:45 p.m.

Speaker: Chad Engelland (John Carroll University)

“How Must We Be to Know What Is? Demystifying Heidegger's Preliminary Question”

Commentator: Brad Elliot Stone (Loyola Marymount University)

4:45-5:45 p.m.

Speaker: B. Scot Rouse (Northwestern University)

“Heidegger and Frankfurt on the Circularity of the Practical Question”

Commentator: John Rose (Goucher College)

IV-F. Colloquium: Philosophy of Religion

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Rose Ann Christian (Towson University)

2:45-3:45 p.m.

Speaker: Melissa Bergeron (US Military Academy–West Point)

“Cliffordian Knights of the Razor and the Jamesian Spiritual Sphere: Evidence and an Unseen Realm”

Commentator: Charles Hobbs (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)

3:45-4:45 p.m.

Speaker: Adam Green (Saint Louis University)

“Reading the Mind of God (Without Hebrew Lessons): Alston, Shared Attention, and Mystical Experience”

Commentator: Josh Bright (University of California–Riverside)

4:45-5:45 p.m.

Speakers: David Taylor (Franklin and Marshall College) and Michael Murray (Franklin and Marshall College) (co-authored paper)

“Inscrutable Goods and the Argument from Hiddenness”

Commentator: Cherie McGill (Johns Hopkins University)

IV-G. Colloquium: Metaphysics*2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Lynne Baker (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

*2:45-3:45 p.m.*Speaker: Daniel Korman (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
“Unrestricted Composition and Restricted Quantification”

Commentator: Matthew McGrath (University of Missouri)

*3:45-4:45 p.m.*Speaker: Charles Hermes (University of Texas–Arlington)
“Defending Lewis’s Compatibilism”

Commentator: Peter A. Graham (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

*4:45-5:45 p.m.*Speaker: Raul Saucedo (Cornell University)
“Parthood and Location”

Commentator: Thomas Sattig (Washington University in St. Louis)

IV-H. Colloquium: Truth and Belief*2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Guy Rohrbaugh (Auburn University)

*2:45-3:45 p.m.*Speaker: Chase Wrenn (University of Alabama)
“Practical Success and the Nature of Truth”

Commentator: Ted Parent (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

*3:45-4:45 p.m.*Speaker: Steven Reynolds (Arizona State University)
“Against the Goal of True Beliefs”

Commentator: Sarah Scott (Auburn University)

*4:45-5:45 p.m.*Speakers: Julien Murzi (University of Sheffield–United Kingdom)
and Salvatore Florio (Ohio State University)
(co-authored paper)
“The Paradox of Idealization”

Commentator: Joe Salerno (Saint Louis University)

IV-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Blacks and Philosophy

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: A Retrospective on Bernard Boxill's *Blacks and Social Justice*

Chair: Howard McGary (Rutgers University)

Critics: Charles Mills (Northwestern University)

Tommie Shelby (Harvard University)

Laurence Thomas (Syracuse University)

Author: Bernard Boxill (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

IV-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: Philosophy in India: Philosophy of Contemporary Science

Chair: Mark Siderits (Illinois State University)

Speaker: Sundar Sarukkai (National Institute of Advanced Studies–Bangalore, India)

“Metaphysics of Symmetry”

Commentators: Zachary Ernst (University of Missouri)

Nick Huggett (University of Illinois–Chicago)

Speaker: Prajit Basu (University of Hyderabad–India)

“The Logic of Chemical Synthesis: Reason and Imagination”

Commentators: Stephen Weininger (Worcester Polytechnic Institute/
Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Michael Weisberg (University of Pennsylvania)

IV-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: Are Psychopaths Responsible?

Chair: Gary Watson (University of California–Riverside)

Speakers: James Blair (National Institutes of Health)

Steven Morse (University of Pennsylvania)

Walter Glannon (University of Calgary)

Heidi Maibom (Carleton University)

IV-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: Grounds for Ethical Norms in Chinese Thought

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Chad Hansen (University of Hong Kong)
“The Foundations of the Path of Virtue”

Manyul Im (Fairfield University)
“Particularism, Intuitionism and Mencius”

May Sim (College of the Holy Cross)
“Knowledge of the First Principles of Virtue in Zhuxi and Aristotle”

Commentator: Yang Xiao (Kenyon College)

GROUP MEETINGS, 2:45-5:45 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy

American Society for Political and Legal Philosophy

Ayn Rand Society

Concerned Philosophers for Peace

Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy

William James Society

CARUS RECEPTION

4:30-6:00 p.m.

In honor of Bastiaan van Fraassen.

FRIDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 28

APA PRIZE RECEPTION (OPEN TO ALL: WINE/CHEESE SERVED)

5:45-6:45 p.m.

This reception is sponsored by Polity.

APA NATIONAL PRIZES

APA/PDC Prize 2006

Winner: The Intercollegiate Ethics Bowl, Illinois Institute of Technology

Book Prize

- Winner: Jason Stanley (Rutgers University)
 Title: *Knowledge and Practical Interests*
- Honorable Mention: Mi-Kyoung (Mitzi) Lee (University of Colorado)
 Title: *Epistemology after Protagoras*
- Honorable Mention: Alva Noe (University of California–Berkeley)
 Title: *Action in Perception*

Latin American Thought

- Winner: Roberto D. Toledo (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
 Title: “The Authenticity of Indigenous Rebellion in Mexico: Luis Villoro’s Critique of Leopoldo Zea’s Nationalism” (I-E)

Sharp Prize

- Winner: Jefferson McMahan (Rutgers University)
 Title: “The Morality and Law of War”

EASTERN DIVISION PRIZES AND STIPENDS**William James Prize**

- Winner: Melissa Bergeron (US Military Academy–West Point)
 Title: “Cliffordian Knights of the Razor and the Jamesian Spiritual Sphere: Evidence and an Unseen Realm” (IV-F)

Graduate Student Travel Stipends

- Winner: Marcus Arvan (University of Arizona)
 Title: “Does a Cosmopolitan Interpretation of Rawls’ Conception of Fairness Imply Different Methods, and Requirements of Justice, than Commonly Thought?” (VII-F)
- Winner: Danielle Bromwich (University of Toronto)
 Title: “A Dilemma for Korsgaard: The Internalism Requirement or the Universal Normativity of Moral Reasons?” (VI-E)
- Winner: Keith Bustos (University of Tennessee)
 Title: “Corporate Environmental Responsibility: A Kantian Approach” (V-I)
- Winner: Albert Chan (University of Southern California)
 Title: “The Emergent Component of Trust in ‘Believing Someone’” (VII-G)
- Winner: Chad Kautzer (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
 Title: “Locke’s Protestant State of Nature: Colonialism and the Problem of Jurisdiction” (VI-F)

- Winner: Oran Moked (Columbia University)
 Title: "Luck Egalitarianism, Responsibility, and Respect" (V-I)
- Winners: Julien Murzi (University of Sheffield–United Kingdom)
 and Salvatore Florio (Ohio State University)
 Title: "The Paradox of Idealization" (IV-H)
- Winner: Julie Ponesse (University of Western Ontario)
 Title: "Aristotle on Luck and Chance" (VI-D)
- Winner: Josh Rasmussen (University of Notre Dame)
 Title: "Mind-Body Supervenience's Cardinal Sin" (VI-G)
- Winner: B. Scot Rousse (Northwestern University)
 Title: "Heidegger and Frankfurt on the Circularity of the
 Practical Question" (IV-E)
- Winner: Raul Saucedo (Cornell University)
 Title: "Parthood and Location" (IV-G)
- Winner: Benjamin Tremoulet (Université de Paris IV)
 Title: "The 'Power of Judgment' as Blind Spot: Did
 Heidegger and Arendt Follow Kant's Own
 Blindness?" (VIII-D)
- Winner: Jason Wyckoff (University of Colorado–Boulder)
 Title: "Race, Reference and Reality" (VI-F)

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-8:00 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious

Association of Chinese Philosophers in America

Charles S. Peirce Society

Hume Society

International Society for Environmental Ethics

International Society for Neoplatonic Studies

International Society for Universal Dialogue

North American Spinoza Society

Philosophers in Jesuit Education

Society for Business Ethics

Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy

Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy

Society for Women in Philosophy

GROUP MEETINGS, 8:15-11:15 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Association for Philosophy of Education

International Association for Environmental Philosophy

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

Karl Jaspers Society of North America

North American Kant Society

Radical Philosophy Association

Société Américaine de Philosophie de Langue Française

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

Society for Systematic Philosophy, *Grand Ballroom*

Society for the History of Political Philosophy

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts

Søren Kierkegaard Society

RECEPTION

8:00 p.m.-Midnight

SATURDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29**REGISTRATION**

8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

Candidates: 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

Interview Tables

BOOK EXHIBITS

10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

SESSION V – 9:00-11:00 A.M.**V-A. Invited Paper: Non-Cognitivism**

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Sharon Street (New York University)

Speaker: Walter P. Sinnott-Armstrong (Dartmouth College)

Commentator: Jacob Ross (University of Southern California)

V-B. Invited Papers: Foucault's Courses at the Collège de France*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Eduardo Mendieta (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Speakers: Frédéric Gros (Université de Paris XII)
James Bernauer (Boston College)
Eduardo Mendieta (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)**V-C. Invited Paper: The Epistemology of Testimony***9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Adam Leite (Indiana University)

Speaker: Jennifer Lackey (Northern Illinois University)

Commentator: Peter Graham (University of California–Riverside)

V-D. Author Meets Critics: G.R.F. Ferrari, *City and Soul in Plato's Republic**9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Nancy Sherman (Georgetown University)

Critics: Mitchell Miller (Vassar College)

Daniel Devereux (University of Virginia)

Author: John Ferrari (University of California–Berkeley)

V-E. Author Meets Critics: Michelle Kosch, *Freedom and Reason in Kant, Schelling and Kierkegaard**9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Fred Neuhouser (Barnard College–Columbia University)

Critics: Karl Ameriks (University of Notre Dame)

Eckart Förster (Johns Hopkins University)

Author: Michelle Kosch (Cornell University)

V-F. Symposium: The Origins of Concepts*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Carl Gillett (Illinois Wesleyan University)

Speaker: Dan Weiskopf (University of South Florida)

Commentator: Sara Rachel Chant (University of Missouri)

V-G. Colloquium: Philosophy of Mathematics*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Roy Cook (Villanova University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.

Speaker: Christopher Pincock (Purdue University)

"The Possession Conditions of Arithmetical Concepts"

Commentator: Josh Schechter (Brown University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Russell Marcus (Hamilton College)

"Intrinsic Explanation and Field's Dispensabilist Strategy"

Commentator: Sorin Bangu (University of Western Ontario)

V-H. Colloquium: Democracy*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Barbara Andrew (William Paterson University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.

Speaker: Robert Talisse (Vanderbilt University)

"A Farewell to Deweyan Democracy"

Commentator: Noelle McAfee (George Mason University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Christopher King (Vanderbilt University)

"Why Minimalism Fails as a Justification for Democracy"

Commentator: Maurice Hamington (Metropolitan State College–Denver)

V-I. Colloquium: Responsibility*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: William Behun (Pennsylvania State University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.

Speaker: Keith Bustos (University of Tennessee)

"Corporate Environmental Responsibility: A Kantian Approach"

Commentator: Erin McKenna (Pacific Lutheran University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Oran Moked (Columbia University)

"Luck Egalitarianism, Responsibility, and Respect"

Commentator: Monica Mueller (Binghamton University–State University of New York)

V-J. Colloquium: Historical Perspectives on Mind*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Meredith Williams (Johns Hopkins University)

*9:00-10:00 a.m.*Speaker: Raffaella De Rosa (Rutgers University–Newark)
“On Causal Accounts of the Representationality of Cartesian Sensations”

Commentator: Desmond Hogan (Princeton University)

*10:00-11:00 a.m.*Speaker: Jennifer Susse (Michigan State University)
“Reliving British Emergentism”

Commentator: Ana Diaz (Johns Hopkins University)

V-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Technology in Support of Philosophy Research**

Chair: Harriet Baber (University of San Diego)

Speakers: Harriet Baber (University of San Diego)
Robert Rynasiewicz (Johns Hopkins University)
Sayeed Chaudhury (Johns Hopkins University)
Bill Anderson (Ontology Works, Inc.)**V-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women and the APA Committee on Inclusiveness***9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Standpoint Theory: From Different Standpoints**

Chair: Rosemarie Tong (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)

Speakers: Anita Silvers (San Francisco State University)
“Standpoint Theory, Ethics, and Embodiment Accounts”
Charles Mills (Northwestern University)
“Standpoint Theory and Race”
Sandra Harding (University of California–Los Angeles)
“Standpoint Methodology, Scientific Knowledge, and Social Justice”

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Society for Political and Legal Philosophy

Karl Jaspers Society of North America
 Personalist Discussion Group and Society of Philosophers in America
 Society for the Philosophy of Creativity
 Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues

BUSINESS MEETING

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

International Association for the Philosophy of Sport
 International Berkeley Society
 International Society for Buddhist Philosophy
 Molinari Society
 North American Society for Social Philosophy
 Philosophy of Time Society
 Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World
 Society for Skeptical Studies
 Society for the Study of Process Philosophies
 Society of Christian Philosophers

SATURDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 29

Carus Lecture II

1:30 p.m.

Topic: Appearance, Reality, and [the] I

Introduction: Michael Devitt (City University of New York–Graduate Center)

Speaker: Bastiaan Van Fraassen (Princeton University)

Title: “On What There Is: Realism (?)”

SESSION VI – 2:45-5:45 P.M.

VI-A. Symposium: The War on Terror and the Ethics of Exceptionalism

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Fritz Allhoff (Western Michigan University)

Speakers: Jeff McMahan (Rutgers University)

David Luban (Georgetown University)

Michael Gross (Haifa University–Israel)

Jonathan Marks (Pennsylvania State University)

VI-B. Symposium: Cognitive Disability and Agency*2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Martha Nussbaum (University of Chicago)

Speakers: Agnieszka Jaworska (Stanford University)
Bruce Jennings (The Hastings Center)

Commentator: Licia Carlson (Harvard University)

VI-C. Symposium: Mathematics and Metaontology*2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Ted Sider (Rutgers University)

Speakers: Crispin Wright (University of St. Andrews–United Kingdom)
Matti Eklund (Cornell University)

Commentator: Øystein Linnebo (University of Bristol–United Kingdom)

VI-D. Colloquium: Aristotle*2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Robin Smith (Texas A&M University)

*2:45-3:45 p.m.*Speaker: John Bowin (University of California–Santa Cruz)
“Aristotle on the Order and Direction of Time”

Commentator: Denis Corish (Bowdoin College)

*3:45-4:45 p.m.*Speaker: Julie Ponesse (University of Western Ontario)
“Aristotle on Luck and Chance”

Commentator: James Allen (University of Pittsburgh)

*4:45-5:45 p.m.*Speaker: Errol Katayama (Ohio Northern University)
“Soul and Natural Sublunary Elemental Motion in Aristotle”

Commentator: Mary Louise Gill (Brown University)

VI-E. Colloquium: Ethical Ends*2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Hilary Bok (Johns Hopkins University)

*2:45-3:45 p.m.*Speaker: Danielle Bromwich (University of Toronto)
“A Dilemma for Korsgaard: The Internalism Requirement or the Universal Normativity of Moral Reasons?”

Commentator: Josh Gert (Florida State University)

3:45-4:45 p.m.

Speaker: Thornton Lockwood (Boston University)
 “Aquinas on Judging Injustice: Justice in Aquinas’
Commentary on Aristotle’s Nicomachean Ethics”

Commentator: Michael Pakaluk (Clark University)

4:45-5:45 p.m.

Speaker: Jonathan Garthoff (Northwestern University)
 “Structuring Ends”

Commentator: Mane Hajdin (Santa Clara University)

VI-F. Colloquium: Thinking Race

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Harvey Cormier (Stony Brook University–State
 University of New York)

2:45-3:45 p.m.

Speaker: Jason Wyckoff (University of Colorado–Boulder)
 “Race, Reference, and Reality”

Commentator: Linda Martín Alcoff (Syracuse University)

3:45-4:45 p.m.

Speaker: Chad Kautzer (Stony Brook University–State
 University of New York)
 “Locke’s Protestant State of Nature: Colonialism and
 the Problem of Jurisdiction”

Commentator: Idil Boran (York University–Ontario)

4:45-5:45 p.m.

Speaker: Zachary Silver (University of Oklahoma)
 “The Impossible Virtue”

Commentator: James Bohman (Saint Louis University)

VI-G. Colloquium: Mind

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Crystal L’Hôte (St. Michael’s College)

2:45-3:45 p.m.

Speaker: Josh Rasmussen (University of Notre Dame)
 “Mind-Body Supervenience’s Cardinal Sin”

Commentator: Dana Goswick (University of California–Davis)

3:45-4:45 p.m.

Speaker: Jason Leddington (Centre College)
 “Perceptual Presence”

Commentator: Maura Tumulty (Colgate University)

4:45-5:45 p.m.

Speaker: Jason Hedderman (University of Missouri–Columbia)
 “A Problem for Tye’s PANIC Theory and a
 Methodological Concern about the Representational
 Approach to Phenomenal Consciousness”

Commentator: Fred Adams (University of Delaware)

VI-H. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: The Philosophy of Ernest Sosa

Chair: Sheryll Turtle-Ross (University of Wisconsin–La Crosse)

Speakers: Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)
 “Sosa and Skepticism”

Noah Lemos (College of William and Mary)
 “Sosa on Circularity and Virtue”

Susan Nuccetelli (St. Cloud State University)
 “Sosa’s Moore and the New Dogmatists”

Commentator: Ernest Sosa (Rutgers University)

VI-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: The Philosophical Foundations of Bioethics

Chair: Robert Baker (Alden March Bioethics Institute/Union College)

Speakers: Hilde Lindemann (Michigan State University)
 Laurence McCullough (Baylor College of Medicine)
 Bernard Gert (Dartmouth College)
 Other speakers TBA

VI-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: Roundtable: Asian-American Feminist Philosophers in Conversation with Feminist Philosophers across the Field: Commonalities, Differences, Solidarities?

Chair: Falguni A. Sheth (University of California–Berkeley/
 Hampshire College)

Speakers: Namita Goswami (DePaul University)
 Falguni A. Sheth (University of California–Berkeley/
 Hampshire College)

Jennifer L. Vest (University of Central Florida)
 Mariana Ortega (John Carroll University)

VI-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women and the APA Committee on Inclusiveness

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: Philosophy: Our Way of Changing the World

Chair: Elizabeth Minnich (Association of American Colleges and Universities)

Speakers: Kristie Dotson (Purdue University)
 “Avoiding Super-Philosophy: Difference and the Politics of Defiance”

Bat-Ami Bar On (Binghamton University–State University of New York)

“Philosophical Effects”

Alexa Schriempf (Williams College)

“Philosophy Matters/Testimony Matters”

Donna-Dale Marcano (Trinity College)

“The Passion of Alcibiades: Loving and Hating Philosophy”

VI-L. Dewey Lecture

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Paul Benacerraf (Princeton University)

Speaker: Hilary Putnam (Harvard University)

VI-M. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy

2:45-4:45 p.m.

Topic: Innovative Approaches to Teaching Ethics to Students at the Pre-College Level

Chair: Stuart Gluck (Johns Hopkins Center for Talented Youth)

Speakers: TBA

GROUP MEETINGS, 2:45-5:45 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society

Hegel Society of America

International Institute for Field-Being

North American Spinoza Society

Society for Arab, Persian and Islamic Philosophy

SATURDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 29

Presidential Address

6:00 p.m., *Harborside Ballroom*

Introduction: Christine Korsgaard (Harvard University)

Speaker: Kwame Anthony Appiah (Princeton University)
 “Experimental Philosophy”

GROUP MEETINGS, 8:00-11:00 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Association of Philosophy Teachers

American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy

George Santayana Society

International Society for Chinese Philosophy

International Society for Neoplatonic Studies

Leibniz Society of North America

North American Nietzsche Society, *Grand Ballroom*

Sartre Circle

Society for Arab, Persian and Islamic Philosophy, *Grand Ballroom*

Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

Society for Social and Political Philosophy

RECEPTION

9:00 p.m.-Midnight

SUNDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 30

REGISTRATION

8:30 a.m.-Noon

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Candidates: 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Interview Tables

BOOK EXHIBITS

9:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m.

SESSION VII – 9:00-11:00 A.M.**VII-A. Invited Papers: Tribute to the late D.Z. Phillips***9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Stephen Davis (Claremont McKenna College)

Speakers: Raimond Gaita (Kings College London)

William Brenner (Old Dominion University)

VII-B. Invited Papers: Phenomenology and Philosophy of Mind*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Charles Siewert (University of California–Riverside)

Speakers: Dermot Moran (University College Dublin)

Steven Crowell (Rice University)

VII-C. Invited Paper: Renaissance Ethics*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Sean Greenberg (University of California–Irvine)

Speaker: Martin Stone (Catholic University of Leuven–Belgium)

Commentator: Michael Murray (Franklin and Marshall College)

VII-D. Invited Paper: Are Spatio-Temporal Objects Mind-Dependent?*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Eric Marcus (Auburn University)

Speaker: Anton Koch (Tübingen University–Germany)

Commentator: Jay Rosenberg (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

VII-E. Author Meets Critics: Peg Birmingham, *Hannah Arendt and Human Rights: The Predicament of Common Responsibility**9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Bethany Dunn (Vassar College)

Critics: Jay Bernstein (The New School)

Bernie Flynn (The New School)

Author: Peg Birmingham (DePaul University)

VII-F. Symposium: Political Philosophy – Rawls*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Dick Howard (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Speaker: Marcus Arvan (University of Arizona)

Commentators: David Rasmussen (Boston College)

Helena De Bres (Stanford University)

VII-G. Colloquium: Persons and Judgments*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Reza Mahmoodshahi (Carnegie Mellon University)

*9:00-10:00 a.m.*Speaker: Albert Chan (University of Southern California)
"The Emergent Component of Trust in 'Believing Someone'"

Commentator: Matthew Noah Smith (Yale University)

*10:00-11:00 a.m.*Speaker: John Davis (California State University–Fullerton)
"Normative Judgments, Personal Traits of the Judge, and the Basing Relationship"

Commentator: David Jehle (Cornell University)

VII-H. Colloquium: The Family*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Victoria Costa (Florida State University)

*9:00-10:00 a.m.*Speaker: Elizabeth Brake (University of Calgary)
"Is Divorce Promise-Breaking?"

Commentator: Lisa Bortolotti (University of Birmingham–United Kingdom)

*10:00-11:00 a.m.*Speaker: Lawrence Lengbeyer (United States Naval Academy)
"Children, Gratitude, and Respect: Filial Piety as a Vice"

Commentator: Laurence Thomas (Syracuse University)

VII-I. Colloquium: Normative Dispositions*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Lisa Tessman (Binghamton University–State University of New York)

*9:00-10:00 a.m.*Speaker: Edward Kleist (Concordia College)
"Rationality and Other Values: A Study in First and Second-Person Moral Necessitation"

Commentator: Heath White (University of North Carolina–Wilmington)

*10:00-11:00 a.m.*Speaker: Bradford Cokelet (Northwestern University)
"Dispositions and the Value of Virtue"

Commentator: Julia Driver (Dartmouth College)

VII-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness and the APA Committee on Hispanics

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Philosophy and Literature

Chair: Gary Seay (City University of New York–Medgar Evers College)

Speakers: Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)
 “Thinking about Fiction: Borges and Beyond”
 Pablo Iannone (Central Connecticut State University)
 “Inclusion and Exclusion in Hispanic Literature, Thought, and Life”
 Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)
 “The Tyranny of the Ending in Literature and Philosophy”

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking

Conference on Philosophical Societies

Radical Philosophy Association

Société Internationale pour l’Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale

Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

Society of Humanist Philosophers

Carus Lecture III

11:15 a.m.

Topic: Appearance, Reality, and [the] I

Introduction: Catherine Elgin (Harvard University)

Speaker: Bastiaan van Fraassen (Princeton University)

Title: “On Being Somewhere: The Self Delusion (?)”

SUNDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30

SESSION VIII –1:30-4:30 P.M.

VIII-A. Symposium: Global Justice and Caring

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Debra Satz (Stanford University)

Speakers: Michael Slote (University of Miami)
 Allison Weir (Wilfrid Laurier University–Canada)

Commentator: Sarah Miller (University of Memphis)

VIII-B. Symposium: Reparations and Historical Injustice*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Bernard Boxill (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

Speakers: Howard McGary (Rutgers University)
Margaret Walker (Arizona State University)

Commentator: Thomas McCarthy (Northwestern University)

VIII-C. Symposium: Philosophical Psychology*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Edouard Machery (University of Pittsburgh)

Speakers: Chris Mole (University College Dublin)
Jesse Prinz (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Ned Block (New York University)

VIII-D. Colloquium: Continental Philosophy – Judgment and Infinity*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Paul Livingston (Villanova University)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: David Morris (Trent University–Canada)
“Reversibility and Ereignis: Being as Kantian
Imagination in Merleau-Ponty and Heidegger”

Commentator: Nathan Ross (DePaul University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Benjamin Tremoulet (Université de Paris IV)
“The ‘Power of Judgment’ as Blind Spot: Did Heidegger
and Arendt Follow Kant’s Own Blindness?”

Commentator: Kyle Robert Grady (Pennsylvania State University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Adam Miller (Collins Community College)
“Re-Thinking Infinity: Alain Badiou’s *Being and Event*”

Commentator: Antonio Calcagno (University of Scranton)

VIII-E. Colloquium: Philosophy of Language*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: David Braun (University of Rochester)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Andres Colapinto (Stony Brook University–State
University of New York)

“‘Edible’ and Other –ibles: A Case Study in
Linguistically Oriented Phenomenology”

Commentator: Michael Johnson (Rutgers University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Phillip Honenberger (Temple University)
 “Brandom’s Idealist Thesis: What’s the Idea?”

Commentator: Susanna Schellenberg (Australian National University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Berit Brogaard (Australian National University)
 “An Extensional Approach to Quantifier Domain
 Restriction”

Commentator: Jason Stanley (Rutgers University)

VIII-F. Colloquium: Ancient Philosophy East and West

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Rachana Kamtekar (University of Arizona)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Anna Marmodoro (Oxford University)
 “Is Being One Only One?: The Uniqueness of Platonic
 Forms”

Commentator: Hugh Benson (University of Oklahoma)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Richard Foley (University of Missouri–Columbia)
 “The Order Question: Plato’s Theory of Love in the
Symposium”

Commentator: David Roochnik (Boston University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Daniel Coyle (Our Lady of the Lake University)
 “Efficacy in the Book of Master Guigu”

Commentator: Christopher Kirby (University of South Florida)

VIII-G. Colloquium: Perspectives on Kant

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Stephen Grover (City University of New York–
 Graduate Center)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Melissa Zinkin (Binghamton University–State
 University of New York)
 “Force and Feeling: Kant’s Argument in the Amphiboly”

Commentator: Brandon Look (University of Kentucky)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Sebastian Luft (Marquette University)
 “From Being to Givenness and Back: Some Remarks
 on the Meaning of Transcendental Idealism in Kant
 and Husserl”

Commentator: Taylor Carman (Barnard College)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Bryan Hall (Indiana University Southeast)
 “Resolving a Trilemma For Kant’s Theory of Affection”

Commentator: Rae Langton (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

VIII-H. Colloquium: Puzzles in Epistemology

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Jamin Asay (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Michael Veber (East Carolina University)
 “Knowing Moore by Knowing Les: On a Supposed Solution to the Surprise Quiz Paradox”

Commentator: Chris Smith (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speakers: Peter Murphy (University of Indianapolis) and Allen Coates (East Tennessee State University)
 (co-authored paper)

“An Evidence Puzzle”

Commentator: Dylan Sabo (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Blake Roeber (Northern Illinois University)
 “Contextualism and Virtue Perspectivism: How to Preserve Our Intuitions about Knowledge and ‘Knows’”

Commentator: Tim Black (California State University–Northridge)

VIII-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Teaching of Philosophy

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Philosophy Undergraduates as Philosophy Teachers: Rationales and Benefits

Chair: Thomas Wartenberg (Mount Holyoke College)

Speakers: Debbie Whitaker (California State University–Long Beach)

“Philosophy for Children at Cal State Long Beach”

Kiet Pham and Aimee Phenicie (California State University–Long Beach) (co-authored paper)

“How We Have Benefited from Teaching Philosophy”

Thomas Wartenberg (Mount Holyoke College)

“Why Philosophy Departments Need Philosophy for Children”

Rebecca Bailey (Mount Holyoke College)
“The Importance of Teaching Philosophy for an
Undergraduate Philosophy Major”

Topic: The Intercollegiate Ethics Bowl

Chair: Robert Ladenson (Illinois Institute of Technology)

Speakers: Lida Anestidou (National Academy of Science)
Patrick Croskery (Ohio Northern University)
Joanne Ladenson (The Ladenson Group)
Julia Pedroni (Williams College)

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:30-4:30 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Karl Jaspers Society of North America

Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism

GROUP PROGRAM

THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27

GROUP SESSION I – 6:30-9:30 P.M.

GI-1. Academy for Jewish Philosophy

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Topic: Spinoza and Jewish Philosophy

Chair: Edward Halper (University of Georgia)

Speakers: Eugene Garver (St. John's University)

“Political Freedom in a Deterministic World: Spinoza on Freedom of the Will and the Freedom to Philosophize”

Steven Nadler (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

“Spinoza and Secular Judaism”

Michael Rosenthal (University of Washington)

“Spinoza, Miracles, and Modern Judaism”

(Papers will be available at <http://www.phil.uga.edu/faculty/halper/ajp/>)

GI-2. Conference on Philosophical Societies

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Topic: Philosophical Themes of World Congresses: What Impact?

Speakers: David Schrader (Executive Director, APA)

George McLean (Catholic University of America)

John Abbarno (D'Youville College)

William McBride (Purdue University)

GI-3. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Topic: New Perspectives on Chinese Philosophy of Mind

Chair: Steven Geisz (University of Tampa)

Speakers: Warren Frisina (Hofstra University)

“One Body with All Things”

Chad Hansen (University of Hong Kong)

“Putting the Heart-Mind in Nature”

Alexus McLeod (University of Connecticut)

“The Psychologization of the Confucian *Ren*”

Chan Lee (University of Hawaii)

“Neo-Confucian Discourse of Mind: Can we call ‘xin’ mind?”

Commentator: Steven Horst (Wesleyan University)

GI-4. North American Society for Social Philosophy

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Topic: William James as Social and Political Philosopher

Chair: Robert Talisse (Vanderbilt University)

Speakers: Andrew Smith (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

“Communication and Conviction: James’s Contribution to Deliberative Democracy”

Colin Koopman (University of California–Santa Cruz)

“William James on the Democratic Potentials of Capitalism”

John Kaag (Harvard University)

“A Call to Arms? William James on Militarism and Political Unity”

Commentator: Harvey Cormier (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

GI-5. Society for Machines and Mentality

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Topic: Ray Kurzweil and Philosophy

Chair: Eric Steinhart (William Paterson University)

Speakers: Ray Kurzweil (Kurzweil Technologies)

Amnon Eden (University of Essex–United Kingdom)

Linda MacDonald Glenn (Alden March Bioethics Institute–Albany Medical College)

Greg Peterson (South Dakota State University)

(Papers will be available at <http://www.cs.hamilton.edu/~sfmm/>)

FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION II – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GII-1. American Society for Aesthetics

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Aesthetic Properties

Chair: Toby DeMarco (Bergen Community College)

Speakers: Anna Christina Ribeiro (Texas Tech University)

“Aesthetic Properties: The Case of Poetry”

Brandon Cooke (Minnesota State University)
 “A Reality Check for Response-Dependence”
 Commentator: Alan Goldman (College of William and Mary)

GII-2. American Society for Value Inquiry

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Values and Moral Concepts

Chair: John Abbarno (D’Youville College)
 Speakers: Andrew Payne (St. Joseph’s University)
 “Thick Concepts and Environmentalism”
 John Huss (Wellesley College)
 “Valuing Environmental Values”

GII-3. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Re-orienting Life and Death: Buddhism, Daoism and Their Challenge to Our Bioethics

Chair: William LaFleur (University of Pennsylvania)
 Speakers: Anniewieke Vroom (Free University of Amsterdam–Netherlands)
 “Can We Fight What’s Empty? Masao Abe’s View on Struggling with Evil”
 Joel Kupperman (University of Connecticut)
 “The Meanings of Life and Death”
 Hans-Georg Moeller (Brock University)
 “The Emancipation of Death: Daoism and Negative Bioethics”
 William LaFleur (University of Pennsylvania)
 “Life/Death and Contemporary Bioethics: Unpacking Implications of Masao Abe’s Perspective”
 Commentator: Steven Heine (Florida International University)

GII-4. International Society for Environmental Ethics

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Author Meets Critics: Warwick Fox, *A Theory of General Ethics*

Chair: James Sterba (University of Notre Dame)
 Critics: Hugh LaFollette (University of South Florida)
 Gary Varner (Texas A&M University)
 Lisa Bortolotti (University of Birmingham–United Kingdom)
 Author: Warwick Fox (University of Central Lancashire–United Kingdom)

GII-5. International St. Thomas Society*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: The Divine Ideas in St. Thomas Aquinas**

Chair: W. Norris Clarke (Fordham University)

Speaker: Gregory Dolan (Catholic University of America)

GII-6. Society for Skeptical Studies*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Joe Ulatowski (Weber State University)

Speakers: Brian Ribeiro (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga)
“Pyrrhonism and Religion in Sextus and Montaigne”

Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)

“Contextualism: A Pyrrhonist Defense”

Blake Roeber (Northern Illinois University)

“Does the Theist Have an Epistemic Advantage over the Atheist? Descartes and Plantinga on Theism, Atheism and Skepticism”

GII-7. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: William James and the Importance of Individuals**

Chair: Gregory Fahy (University of Maine–Augusta)

Speaker: James Pawelski (University of Pennsylvania)
“William James’s Dynamic Individualism”Commentator: Douglas Anderson (Southern Illinois University–
Carbondale)Speaker: William Gavin (University of Southern Maine)
“Pragmatism and the Individual at the End of Life”

Commentator: Colin Koopman (University of California–Santa Cruz)

GII-8. Society for the Study of Women Philosophers*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Women and Forgotten Movements in American Philosophy**Speakers: John Kaag (Harvard University)
“Creativity and Community: The Work of Ella Lyman Cabot and Mary Parker Follett”

Elizabeth Caldwell (University of Oregon)

“The Meanings of Work and Rest: Charlotte Perkins Gilman’s ‘The Yellow Wallpaper’ and Simone de Beauvoir’s ‘The Woman Destroyed’”

Mat Foust (University of Oregon)

“Addams, Calkins and the ‘Moral Equivalent of War’”

(Business Meeting to follow.)

GROUP SESSION III – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**GIII-1. American Society for Value Inquiry***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Presidential Address**

Chair: Thomas Magnell (Drew University)

Speaker: TBA

GIII-2. Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Intersections of Racism and Psychiatry**

Chair: Melvin Woody (Connecticut College)

Speaker: S. Nassir Ghaemi (Emory University)

“Franz Fanon, Martin Luther King and the Psychology of Racism”

Commentator: Nancy Potter (University of Louisville)

GIII-3. History of Early Analytic Philosophy Society and Bertrand Russell Society*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: Montgomery Link (Suffolk University)

Speaker: Christian Beenfeld (Oxford University–United Kingdom)

“Turing’s Philosophy of Mind”

Commentator: TBA

Speaker: Kevin Cahill (University of Bergen–Norway)

“Explanation, Wonder, and the Cultural Point of the *Tractatus*”

Commentator: Craig Fox (California University of Pennsylvania)

Speaker: Joongol Kim (Western Illinois University)

“Numbers, Quantities, and Hume’s Principle”

Commentator: TBA

GIII-4. International Society for Chinese Philosophy*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: The Dao and *Zhongyong***

Chair: Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)

Speakers: Alan Fox (University of Delaware)

“Metaphysics and Heuristics in Daoist Philosophy”

Ting-Chao Chou (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center)

“On the Revelation of Confucian Doctrine of the Mean in Modern Science Principle”

Commentator: Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)

GIII-5. Josiah Royce Society*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Josiah Royce and the Origins of Modern Logic**

Chair: Kelly Parker (Grand Valley State University)

Speakers: J. Brent Crouch (San Diego City College)

“Frege, Peirce’s Reduction Thesis, and the Logic of Tetrads”

Scott Pratt (University of Oregon)

“On the Politics of Disjunction: Russell and the Logic of Action”

(Papers will be available at www.roycesociety.org)**GIII-6. Personalist Discussion Group***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Spinoza and Taylor on Individuals**

Chair: Douglas Anderson (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)

Speakers: Andrew Youpa (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)

“Spinoza on Individual Essence and Value”

Michael Schleeter (Pennsylvania State University)

“Taylor and the Limits of Expressive Individualism”

Commentator: Douglas Anderson (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)

GIII-7. Sartre Circle*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Pure Reflection and Intentionality in Sartre’s Early Philosophy**

Chair: Ronald E. Santoni (Denison University)

Speaker: Eric Morelli (Emory University)

“Pure Reflection and Intentional Process: The Foundation of Sartre’s Phenomenological Ontology”

Commentators: Thomas Busch (Villanova University)

Yiwei Zheng (St. Cloud State University)

GIII-8. Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Participation and Democracy**

Chair: Carol Gould (Temple University)

Speakers: Michael Menser (Brooklyn College–City University of New York)

“Disarticulate the State! Bioregionalism, Transnational Anticapitalism, and the Maximization of Democracy”

David Graeber (Yale University)

“There Was Never a West: Democracy Emerges from Spaces in Between”

Thomas Ponniah (Harvard University)

“The World Social Forum: Building a Theory of Global Justice”

Commentator: Carol Gould (Temple University)

FRIDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION IV – 2:45-5:45 P.M.

GIV-1. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: Counseling Issues: Bioethics, Narrative Ethics, and Philosophical Practice

Chair: Samuel Zinaich, Jr. (Purdue University–Calumet)

Speaker: Michael deWilde (Grand Valley State University)
“Art, Aristotle, and Ambiguity: A Case Study in Corporate Philosophy”

Commentator: Kate Mehuron (Eastern Michigan University)

Speaker: Tod Chambers (Northwestern University)
“The Case for Applied Philosophy”

Commentator: Sarah Heidt (Eastern Michigan University)

Speaker: Elliot Cohen (Indian River College)
“Relieving Your Can’tstipation: Some Potent Philosophical Enemas”

Commentator: Samuel Zinaich, Jr. (Purdue University–Calumet)

GIV-2. American Society for Political and Legal Philosophy

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Topic: Loyalty

2:45-4:10 p.m.

Chair: Sanford Levinson (University of Texas)

Speaker: Daniel Markovits (Yale University)
“Lawyerly Fidelity”

Commentators: TBA

4:20-5:45 p.m.

Chair: Jacob Levy (McGill University)

Speaker: Nancy Sherman (Georgetown University)
“For the Sake of Comrades”

Commentators: TBA

GIV-3. Ayn Rand Society*2:45-5:45 p.m.***Topic: The Foundations of Ethics: Objectivism and Analytic Philosophy**

Chair: Allan Gotthelf (University of Pittsburgh)

Speaker: Irfan Khawaja (University of Notre Dame)

Commentator: Paul Bloomfield (University of Connecticut)

GIV-4. Concerned Philosophers for Peace*2:45-5:45 p.m.***Topic: Culture, Propaganda and Peace**

Chair: Eddy Souffrant (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)

Speakers: Richard Peterson (Michigan State University)
“Symbolic Violence”Rodney Roberts (East Carolina University)
“No Justice, No Peace: Moral Reasoning and The Black Experience in America”Sheri Ross (University of Wisconsin–La Crosse)
“Propaganda for Peace: Some Ethical Considerations”Eddy Souffrant (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)
“Douglass and a Culture of Violence”**GIV-5. Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy***2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Tony Preus (Binghamton University)

Speakers: Andrew Payne (St. Joseph’s University)
“Studying Mathematics for the Sake of the Good”John Bowin (University of California–Santa Cruz)
“Aristotle on the Order and Direction of Time”Beverly Hinton (West Virginia University)
“On Chance and Spontaneity in Aristotle”**GIV-6. William James Society***2:45-5:45 p.m.***Topic: Presidential Address**Speaker: John Lachs (Vanderbilt University)
“Human Blindness”**Topic: Human Blindness**Speakers: James Pawelski (University of Pennsylvania)
Fred Ruf (Georgetown University)

Commentator: David Leary (University of Richmond)
 (Business Meeting to follow.)

FRIDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION V – 6:00-8:00 P.M.

GV-1. Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious

6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Feminism and Psychoanalysis

Chair: Wilfried Ver Eecke (Georgetown University)

Speaker: Marilyn Nissim-Sabat (Lewis University)
 “Fanonian Psychoanalysis and Feminist Phenomenology; or, Feminist Psychoanalysis and Fanonian Phenomenology”

Commentator: Carolyn Cusick (Vanderbilt University)

Speaker: Debra Bergoffen (George Mason University)
 “Re-Marking Women’s Vulnerable Bodies”

Commentator: Lynn Constantine (George Mason University)

GV-2. Association of Chinese Philosophers in America

6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Rethinking Zhu Xi (1130-1200) – A Representative Neo-Confucian Philosopher

Chair: JeeLoo Liu (California State University–Fullerton)

Speaker: Al Martinich (University of Texas–Austin)
 “Ideal Interpretation: The Theories of Zhu Xi and Ronald Dworkin”

Commentator: JeeLoo Liu (California State University–Fullerton)

Speaker: Justin Tiwald (San Francisco State University)
 “A Euthyphro Problem in Neo-Confucian Welfare Theory”

Commentator: Suk Choi (Towson University)

Speaker: Vincent Shen (University of Toronto)
 “Zhu Xi’s Explanation of Cosmic Process and Natural Phenomena”

Commentator: Chan Lee (University of Hawaii–Manoa)

(This session will continue past 8:00 p.m.)

GV-3. Charles S. Peirce Society

6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Presidential Address

Chair: Jaime Nubiola (Universidad de Navarra–Spain)

Speaker: Lucia Santaella (Sao Paulo Catholic University–Brazil)
“Pervasive Semiosis”

Topic: Winner of the 2007 Essay Contest

Speaker: TBA

GV-4. Hume Society

6:00-8:00 p.m.

Topic: Humean Theory and Practice

Chair: Daniel Flage (James Madison University)

Speakers: Miriam McCormick (University of Richmond)
“Hume’s Skeptical Politics”

Andrew Lister (Queens University–Ontario)
“Varieties of Scepticism and Toleration”

GV-5. International Society for Environmental Ethics

6:00-8:00 p.m.

Chair: Emily Brady (University of Edinburgh–United Kingdom)

Speakers: Chris Stevens (University of Maryland)
“Aesthetic Preservationism: Environmental
Preservation and Nature’s Aesthetic Value”

Avram Hiller (Wake Forest University)
“What Is Instrumental Value?”

Darren Domsy (Texas A&M University)
“The Impossible Situation of Environmental Ethics”

Phil Cafaro (Colorado State University)
“The Environmental Argument for Limiting
Immigration into the United States”

GV-6. International Society for Neoplatonic Studies

6:00-8:00 p.m.

Chair: John Phillips (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga)

Speakers: Adam Labecki (University of Kentucky)
“The Role of Pythagorean Number in the
Development of Neoplatonic Henology”

Dwayne Moore (Wilfrid Laurier University)
“A Platonic Argument for the Unity of the Knower and
Thing Known?”

Marcin Podbielski (The King’s College–New York)
“Plato and Proclus: Mythical Construction, Allegorical
Deconstruction”

GV-7. International Society for Universal Dialogue*6:00-8:00 p.m.***Topic: Globalization and Intercultural Dialogue: Islam and Modernity**

Chair: Marc Lucht (Alvernia College)

Speakers: Shams Inati (Villanova University)

Title TBA

Jenny Tillmans (Karman Center for Advanced Studies
in the Humanities–Bern, Switzerland)“Engaging in Effective Dialogue Requires One to
Question and Understand the Self, the Other and
their Relationship”

Kevin Brien (Washington College)

“The Need for a Cross-Cultural Jihad of Ijtihad”

M. Ashraf Adeel (Kutztown University)

“Islamic Ethics, Science, and Technology: A Proposal
for Modernization of Islamic Societies”**GV-8. North American Spinoza Society***6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Chair: Paul Bagley (Loyola College–Maryland)

Speakers: Adam Arola (University of Oregon)

“The Attainment of Happiness: Spinoza and Farabi on
Religion, Philosophy, and Happiness”

Charles Huenemann (Utah State University)

“Spinoza’s Theological Project”

Commentator: Jeffrey Bernstein (College of the Holy Cross)

GV-9. Philosophers in Jesuit Education*6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Chair: Joseph Godfrey (St. Joseph’s University)

Speaker: Judith Green (Fordham University)

(Paper will be available at www.sju.edu/pje)**GV-10. Society for Business Ethics***6:00-8:00 p.m.***Topic: Corporations and the Law in Liberal Democracies**

Speakers: David Silver (University of Delaware)

Edward Soule (Georgetown University)

Denis Arnold (University of Tennessee)

GV-11. Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy*6:00-8:00 p.m.***Topic: Race, Ethnicity, and Sexuality**

Chair: Dennis Cooley (North Dakota State University)

Speakers: Ernesto Rosen Velasquez (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)

“Racial Change, Ethnic Change and Sexual Change”

Ronald Sundstrom (University of San Francisco)

“Interracial Intimacies: The Political Romance of the Browning of America”

Commentator: Linda Martín Alcoff (Syracuse University)

GV-12. Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy*6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Chair: Eduardo Mendieta (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Speaker: Kelly Oliver (Vanderbilt University)

“Sexual Difference, Animal Difference: Derrida and Difference ‘worthy of its name’”

Commentator: Tina Chanter (DePaul University)

GV-13. Society for Women in Philosophy*6:00-8:00 p.m.***Title: Distinguished Woman Philosopher of 2007: Joan Callahan**

Chair: Patricia Smith (City University of New York)

Speakers: Nancy Tuana (Pennsylvania State University)

Anita Superson (University of Kentucky)

Eric Smaw (Rollins College)

Anne Donchin (Indiana University)

Rosemarie Tong (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)

(This session will continue past 8:00 p.m. Reception to follow.)

GROUP SESSION VI – 8:15-11:15 P.M.**GVI-1. Association for Philosophy of Education***8:15-11:15 p.m.***Topic: Religion and Education**

Chair: Victoria Costa (Florida State University)

Speakers: Martha Nussbaum (University of Chicago)

“Education for Mutual Respect in Multireligious Societies”

Nicholas Wolterstorff (Yale University)

“Does the Religious Voice have a Place in Democratic Education?”

Walter Feinberg (University of Illinois–Chicago)

“An Inquiry into the Justification for Full-Time Religious Schools in the Liberal Democratic State”

Commentator: Paul Weithman (University of Notre Dame)

GVI-2. International Association for Environmental Philosophy

8:15-11:15 p.m.

Chair: James Hatley (Salisbury State University)

Speakers: Molly Sturdevant (DePaul University)

“Is Descartes a Better Ground for Ecological Consciousness Than Spinoza?”

Christian Diehm (University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point)

“Minding Nature: Ecofeminism and the Problem of Moral Extensionism”

John Basl (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

“Restoration in the Age of Machines: Restoration, Restitution, and Nanotechnology”

Roger Gottlieb (Worcester Polytechnic Institute)

“Democracy and Ecological Democracy”

GVI-3. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

8:15-11:15 p.m.

Topic: Emotion and the Moral Life in Chinese Philosophy

Chair: Chad Hansen (University of Hong Kong)

Speakers: Liu Qingping (Beijing Normal University)

“On the Emotional Principle of Chinese Philosophy”

Jinfen Yan (University of Toronto)

“Against Ethical Objectivity: Consciousness in Chan Buddhist Moral Life”

Hagop Sarkissian (Duke University)

“Rituals, Intuitions and Social Magic: Emotions and Automaticity in the *Analects*”

Suk Choi (Towson University)

“Chu Hsi, Yi Hwang, and Cognitive Theory on Emotion”

Commentator: Justin Tiwald (San Francisco State University)

GVI-4. Karl Jaspers Society of North America*8:15-11:15 p.m.***Topic: Philosophy, Psychology, and Psychopathology**

Chair: Alan Olson (Boston University)

Speakers: S. Nassir Ghaemi (Emory University)
"Jaspers's Concept of Psychiatry"Elena Bezubova (University of California–Irvine)
"A Critical Reading of the Epistemology and
Methodology of DSM-IV-TR through the Prism of
Jaspers's *Allgemeine Psychopathologie*"Leonard Ehrlich (University of Massachusetts)
"Jaspers's Methodology of *Verstehen*: Its Basis for
History, Psychology, Translation"**GVI-5. North American Kant Society***8:15-11:15 p.m.***Author Meets Critics: Karl Ameriks, *Kant and the Historical Turn***

Chair: Robert Pippin (University of Chicago)

Critics: Frederick Beiser (University of Syracuse)
Fred Neuhouser (Barnard College–Columbia
University)

Author: Karl Ameriks (University of Notre Dame)

GVI-6. Radical Philosophy Association*8:15-11:15 p.m.***Topic: The Political Thought of Anna Julia Cooper and Frederick Douglass**

Chair: J. Everet Green (The New School/Mercy College)

Speakers: Neil Roberts (Johns Hopkins University)
"Douglass, Freedom, and the Flight from Slavery"Kathryn Gines (Vanderbilt University)
"Anna Julia Cooper"Anika Maaza Mann (Morgan State University)
"Anna Julia Cooper"Ronald Sundstrom (University of San Francisco)
"Anna Julia Cooper and Frederick Douglass"Bill Lawson (University of Memphis)
"Douglass among the Romantics"

GVI-7. Société Américaine de Philosophie de Langue Française*8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Chair: Kas Saghafi (University of Memphis)

Speakers: Catherine Malabou (Université de Paris X-Nanterre)
“Freud et la neurologie contemporaine: le sens de l'accident”Rodolphe Gasché (University at Buffalo–State
University of New York)
“De-Closing the Horizon”François Raffoul (Louisiana State University)
“Derrida and the Ethics of the Im-possible”**GVI-8. Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy***8:15-11:15 p.m.***Topic: On Knowing**Chair: Gordon Wilson (University of North Carolina–
Asheville)Speakers: Jack Zupko (Emory University)
“Augustine, Certitude, and the Fourth Condition”
Julian Davies (Siena College)
“Ockham’s Critique of Scotus’ Proof for the Existence
of God”**GVI-9. Society for Systematic Philosophy***8:15-11:15 p.m.***Author Meets Critics: Richard Dien Winfield, *The Just State: Rethinking Self-Government***

Chair: Wendell Kisner (Athabasca University–Canada)

Critics: Kenneth Baynes (Syracuse University)
Robert Berman (Xavier University)
Wendell Kisner (Athabasca University–Canada)

Author: Richard Dien Winfield (University of Georgia)

GVI-10. Society for the History of Political Philosophy*8:15-11:15 p.m.***Topic: The Political Art in Plato**

Chair: Jason Tipton (St. John’s College)

Speakers: Matthew Oberrieder (Mercer University)
“On Socrates’ Royal Tale in Plato’s *Alcibiades I*: Pride,
Ambition, and Eros”
Evanthia Speliotis (Bellarmine University)
“A Scientific Knower of Matters of Action: *Statesman*
284c”

Nalin Ranasinghe (Assumption College)

“Socrates as Sole Practitioner of the True Political Art:
Gorgias 521d”

Commentators: Charlotte Thomas (Mercer University)
Richard Velkley (Tulane University)

**GVI-11. Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary
Visual Arts**

8:15-11:15 p.m.

Chair: Bassam Romaya (Temple University)

Speakers: Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)
“Disaster Documentaries, Political Philosophy, and
Politics”

Aaron Smuts (Temple University)
“The Ghost is the Thing”

Commentator: Sheila Lintott (Bucknell University)

GVI-12. Søren Kierkegaard Society

8:15-11:15 p.m.

Topic: Kierkegaard and World Religions

Chair: Stephen Evans (Baylor University)

Speakers: Steven Emmanuel (Virginia Wesleyan College)
“Kierkegaard and Buddhism”

Peter Mehl (University of Central Arkansas)
“Can Kierkegaard Speak to a Theologically Pluralistic
World?”

Michael Tilley (University of Kentucky)
“Divided Against Oneself? Faith and Politics in Qutb
and Kierkegaard”

Commentator: David Cain (University of Mary Washington)

SATURDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29

GROUP SESSION VII – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GVII-1. American Society for Political and Legal Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Loyalty

Chair: Nancy Rosenblum (Harvard University)

Speaker: Russell Muirhead (University of Texas–Austin)
“Partisan Loyalties”

Commentators: TBA

(Preceded in same room by ASPLP breakfast, 8:00-9:00 a.m.)

GVII-2. Karl Jaspers Society of North America*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Raymond Langley (Manhattanville College)

Speakers: Lida Voronina (United States Department of State)

“Suicide Bombing: Ideology and/or
Psychopathology?”

Rebecca Hardcastle (Independent Scholar)

“Exoconsciousness and Psychopathology”

GVII-3. Personalist Discussion Group and Society of Philosophers in America*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: John McDermott’s *The Drama of Possibility and Experience as Philosophy: On the Work of John J. McDermott*, edited by James Campbell and Richard Hart**Chair: Douglas Anderson (Southern Illinois University–
Carbondale)Speakers: Peter Hare (University at Buffalo–State University of
New York)

Megan Mustain (St. Mary’s University–San Antonio)

John McDermott (Texas A&M University)

GVII-4. Society for the Philosophy of Creativity*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Pragmatism, Creative Democracy, and Race**

Chair: Dwayne Tunstall (Grand Valley State University)

Speakers: Melvin Rogers (University of Virginia)

“Pragmatism Behind the Veil: John Dewey and Alain
Locke”

Jennifer McErlean (Siena College)

“You’re Out of the Ivory Tower Now: A Philosopher
Joins the NAACP”**GVII-5. Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues***9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: War Poses an Obligation on Philosophers to Become Critically Engaged in a Public Fashion: A Disputed Question for Audience Debate**

Chair: Joseph Koterski (Fordham University)

Speaker: Jennifer Cohen (Norwalk Community College)

Commentator: Peter Simpson (College of Staten Island and Graduate
Center–City University of New York)

GROUP SESSION VIII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**GVIII-1. International Association for the Philosophy of Sport***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Philosophy of Sport**

Chair: Heather Reid (Morningside College)

Speakers: Stephen Schmid (University of Wisconsin–Rock County)

“Justifying Play”

Joan Grassbaugh (Temple University)

“Liberal Feminism and the New Amazon: Sport, Gender Equity, and Genetic Technology”

Daniel Dombrowski (Seattle University)

“The Absurdity of Athletics v. the Absurdity of Life”

Richard Dean (American University of Beirut–Lebanon)

“Going Out on Top”

GVIII-2. International Berkeley Society*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: Stephen H. Daniel (Texas A&M University)

Speaker: Samuel Rickless (University of California–San Diego)
“The Relation between Anti-Abstractionism and Idealism in Berkeley’s Metaphysics”

Commentator: Martha Bolton (Rutgers University)

Speaker: Suk-jae Lee (Ohio State University)
“Berkeley on the Activity of Spirits”

Commentator: Jeffrey McDonough (Harvard University)

GVIII-3. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Space and Body in Mahayana Buddhism: The Doctrines of the Tathagatagarbha and the Trikaya**

Chair: James Shields (Bucknell University)

Speakers: Usha Khosla (University of Toronto)

“Comparing the Models of the Tathagatagarbha and the Three Body Doctrine, the Brahmanic and Jaina Traditions”

Soonil Hwang (Dongguk University–South Korea)

“The State of Tathagata after Death within the Theravada Exegetical Tradition”

Henry Shiu (University of Toronto)

“The Relationship between the Tathagatagarbha and the Three Kayas”

Albert Welter (University of Winnipeg)
 “Chan as Mahayana or Chan vs. Mahayana?: Chan Perspectives on Mahayana Trikaya (Three Bodies of the Buddha) Doctrine”

GVIII-4. Molinari Society

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Anarchy: It’s Not Just a Good Idea, It’s the Law

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.

Chair: Jennifer McKittrick (University of Nebraska–Lincoln)

Speaker: Charles Johnson (Molinari Institute)

“A Place for Positive Law: A Contribution to Anarchist Legal Theory”

Commentator: John Hasnas (Georgetown University)

12:15-1:15 p.m.

Chair: TBA

Speaker: Roderick Long (Auburn University)

“Inside and Outside Spooner’s Natural Law Jurisprudence”

Commentator: Geoffrey Plauché (Louisiana State University)

GVIII-5. North American Society for Social Philosophy

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Theorizing Difficult Conversations: Epistemic Authority, Power, and Difference

Chair: Barry DeCoster (Vassar College)

Speakers: Crista Lebens (University of Wisconsin–Whitewater)

“Rationality and the Whiteness of Philosophy”

Barry DeCoster (Vassar College)

“Explanations of Disease: Improving Knowledge and Placing Blame”

Meredith Verrochi (University of Michigan)

“Theorizing Hate Speech: Cooperation and Resistance”

GVIII-6. Philosophy of Time Society

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: David P. Taylor (University of Iowa)

Speaker: Adrian Bardon (Wake Forest University)

“Kant and the Conventionality of Simultaneity”

Commentator: Ernani Magalhaes (West Virginia University)

Speaker: Yuri Balashov (University of Georgia)
 “Against Alexandrov Present and Alexandrov
 Coexistence”

Commentator: Brian Pitts (University of Notre Dame)
 (Papers will be available at www.philtimesociety.net)

GVIII-7. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Education in a Democracy through Socrates and Plato

Chair: Edward Grippe (Norwalk Community College)

Speakers: Paul Eckstein (Bergen Community College)
 “The Socratic Challenge to Democracy”

Mehul Shah (Independent Scholar)

“Teaching To Learn: An Interpretation of Socratic
 Midwifery”

Edward Grippe (Norwalk Community College)

“Teaching Plato’s Forms: A Thought Experiment”

GVIII-8. Society for Skeptical Studies

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Blake Roeber (Northern Illinois University)

Speakers: Steven Reynolds (Arizona State University)
 “Good Skeptical Hypotheses”

Stephen Maitzen (Acadia University)

“Skeptical Theism and Moral Obligations”

James Henderson (University of Pittsburgh–Titusville)

“Does It Pay to Speak with Non-Skeptics?”

GVIII-9. Society for the Study of Process Philosophies

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Brian Henning (Mount St. Mary’s University)

Speakers: Thomas Kelly (National University of Ireland–
 Maynooth)

“Real Presences: The Dialogic Nature of Process”

Derek Malone-France (George Washington
 University)

“Between Molina and Hartshorne: A Whiteheadian
 Construal of Divine Foreknowledge”

Commentator: George Shields (Kentucky State University)

GVIII-10. Society of Christian Philosophers*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Crossing the Threshold of Divine Revelation**

Chair: John Zeis (Canisius College)

Speaker: William Abraham (Southern Methodist University)

Commentators: Thomas Sullivan (University of St. Thomas)
Andrei Buckareff (Marist College)**SATURDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 29****GROUP SESSION IX – 2:45-5:45 P.M.****GIX-1. American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society***2:45-5:45 p.m.***Topic: Two New Books on Justification and the State**

Chair: Douglas Rasmussen (St. John's University)

1: Daniel Shapiro, *Is The Welfare State Justified?*Critics: Jerry Gaus (University of Arizona)
James Sterba (University of Notre Dame)

Author: Daniel Shapiro (West Virginia University)

2: Aeon Skoble, *Deleting the State*Critics: Stephen Kershner (State University of New York–
Fredonia)

Aaron Garrett (Boston University)

Author: Aeon Skoble (Bridgewater State College)

GIX-2. Hegel Society of America*2:45-5:45 p.m.***Topic: H. S. Harris and Hegel's *Phenomenology of Spirit***

Chair: Philip Grier (Dickinson College)

Speakers: Michael Baur (Fordham University)

"Mounting Hegel's Ladder: Directions from Fichte,
Schelling, and Harris"

George Di Giovanni (McGill University)

"Emil Fackenheim's and Henry Harris's Readings
of Hegel's *Phenomenology*: A Jewish and Post-
Christian Appropriation"

John Russon (University of Guelph–Canada)

"Harris, Hegel, and the Vocation of the Scholar"

GIX-3. International Institute for Field-Being*2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Lik Kuen Tong (Fairfield University)

Speakers: Joan Stambaugh (Hunter College–City University of New York)

“Dogen on Being-Time”

Miran Bozovic (Ljubljana University–Slovenia)

“Diderot’s Philosophy of Nature”

Jinmei Yuan (Creighton University)

“Differences in the Structure of Thinking: A Comparison of Chinese Logical Statements to Western Logical Propositions”

Russell Pryba (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)

“Leibniz’s Interpretation of *Li* in Chu Hsi’s Neo-Confucianism”**GIX-4. North American Spinoza Society***2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Chair: Paul Bagley (Loyola College–Maryland)

Speakers: Melissa Shew (University of Oregon)

“Chance, Fortune, and Human Error in Spinoza and Lucretius”

Timothy Bagwell (Independent Scholar)

“Spinoza and the Second Amendment: Spinoza’s Militia and the Right to Bear Arms”

Gideon Segal (Holon Institute of Technology–Israel)

“The Plight and Prospects of a Rational Citizen”

GIX-5. Society for Arab, Persian, and Islamic Philosophy*2:45-5:45 p.m.***Topic: Contemporary Arab Cultural Critique: Debating Islamicization as a Form of Re-Ethnicization of the Mind**

Chair: Raja Halwani (Art Institute of Chicago)

Speakers: Elizabeth Kassab (Yale University)

“Contemporary Arab Critiques of Islamicization as a Form of Re-Ethnicization”

Mohammed Kamal Abed (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

“Islamicization Reconsidered: A Comment on Professor Kassab”

Ofelia Schutte (University of South Florida)
 Title TBA
 Other speakers TBA

SATURDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 29

GROUP SESSION X – 8:00-11:00 P.M.

GX-1. American Association of Philosophy Teachers

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Topic: How (not) To Teach Transgressive Topics

Chair: Donna Engelmann (Alverno College)

Speakers: Claudia Card (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
 “Teaching Radicalesbian Feminism”

Harry Brod (University of Northern Iowa)

“Educational Affirmative Action: The Need to Teach
 against Dominant Frameworks”

Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)

“Teaching ‘Philosophy of Disaster and Emergency
 Response’”

David Concepción (Ball State University)

“Working with Anger and Guilt”

GX-2. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Topic: Psychopathology: Critiques from Philosophical Practitioners

Chair: Elliot Cohen (Indian River College)

Speaker: Kevin Aho (Florida Gulf Coast University)
 “Rethinking the Psychopathology of Depression:
 What Can Philosophical Counseling Offer?”

Commentator: James Taylor (The College of New Jersey)

Speaker: Kate Mehuron (Eastern Michigan University)
 “Encountering the Diagnosis in Philosophical
 Counseling Sessions”

Commentator: Samuel Zinaich, Jr. (Purdue University–Calumet)

(Business Meeting to follow.)

GX-3. George Santayana Society

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Chair: Angus Kerr-Lawson (University of Waterloo–Canada)

Speakers: Glenn Tiller (Texas A&M University)
 “Counting Categories with Peirce and Santayana”

Paul Forster (University of Ottawa)
 “What Grounds the Categories: Peirce and Santayana”
 Michael Brodrick (Vanderbilt University)
 “Animal Struggle, Intellectual Salvation”

GX-4. International Society for Chinese Philosophy

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Topic: Antonio Cua and Confucian Ethical Studies

Chair: Vincent Shen (University of Toronto)
 Speakers: Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
 “Xunzi’s Position in Classical Confucian Philosophy:
 Starting with Professor Antonio Cua”
 Vincent Shen (University of Toronto)
 “Antonio Cua’s Conceptual Analysis of Xunzi’s Moral
 Philosophy”
 Paul Goldin (University of Pennsylvania)
 “Appeals to History on Early Chinese Philosophy and
 Rhetoric”
 Tan Mingran (University of Toronto)
 “A Re-evaluation of Xunzi’s Moral Theory from the
 Aspect of Mind”

GX-5. International Society for Neoplatonic Studies

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Chair: John Phillips (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga)
 Speakers: Anna Zhyrkova (Tel Aviv University–Israel)
 “The Academic Roots of Plotinus’ Treatment of the
 Aristotelian Categories”
 Gina Zavota (Kent State University)
 “On Porphyry’s Reading of Aristotle’s Categories”
 Michael Wagner (University of San Diego)
 “Plotinus’ Reformation of Eros: Human and Divine
 Implications”
 Edward Moore (St. Elias School of Orthodox
 Theology)
 “Christ as Demiurge: The Platonic Sources of Origen’s
 Logos Theology in the *Commentary on John*”

GX-6. Leibniz Society of North America

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Chair: Martha Bolton (Rutgers University)
 Speaker: Mark Kulstand (Rice University)
 “Leibniz on the Relationship of God and the World”

GX-7. North American Nietzsche Society*8:00-11:00 p.m.***Author Meets Critics: Robert Pippin, *Nietzsche, moraliste français: La conception nietzschéenne d'une psychologie philosophique***

Chair: Nadeem Hussain (Stanford University)

Critics: Christa Davis Acampora (Hunter College and
Graduate Center–City University of New York)
Lanier Anderson (Stanford University)
James Conant (University of Chicago)

Author: Robert Pippin (University of Chicago)

GX-8. Sartre Circle*8:00-11:00 p.m.***Author Meets Critics: Steve Martinot, *Forms in the Abyss: A Philosophical Bridge between Sartre and Derrida***

Chair: Martin Beck Matustik (Purdue University)

Critics: Cynthia Willett (Emory University)
Peter Gratton (University of San Diego)

Author: Steve Martinot (San Francisco State University)

GX-9. Society for Arab, Persian, and Islamic Philosophy*8:00-11:00 p.m.***Topic: Philosophical Perspectives on Political Oppression in the Developing World: Responsibility for Justice, Proposals for Redress**

Chair: Mohammed Kamal Abed (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

Speakers: Shahin Izadi (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“A Model of Non-Violent Resistance to Oppression:
The Case of Baha’is in Iran”

Martha Nussbaum (University of Chicago)

“Empowering Women: Education, Political Voice,
Economic Agency”Michael Walzer (Institute for Advanced Study–
Princeton)

Title TBA

Other speakers TBA

GX-10. Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion*8:00-11:00 p.m.***Topic: God and Absolute: East and West**

Chair: Gordon Haist (University of South Carolina–Beaufort)

- Speakers: Gordon Haist (University of South Carolina–Beaufort)
 “Affect, Autoaffection and the Word of God”
 Kisor Chakrabarti (Duke University)
 “The Cosmo-Teleological Proof of the Existence of God”
 Jeffrey Fisher (Bethany College)
 “Absolute Contingency”
 Keya Maitra (University of North Carolina–Asheville)
 “The Role of Non-Conceptual Content in a Perception of God”
 R. S. Dalvi (Brock University)
 “On Bhakti and Brahman: Madhusudana Saraswati’s Monistic Soteriology”
 Chandana Chakrabarti (Elon University)
 “Is God an Illusion? Freud, Bradley and Buddha”
 David Buchta (University of Pennsylvania)
 “Internal Dynamics of Divinity: Baladeva Vidyabhunaea’s Vicena Theory and the Christian Trinity”

GX-11. Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Topic: Ethics

- Chair: Charles Bolyard (James Madison University)
 Speakers: Ronna Burger (Tulane University)
 “Maimonides’ Ethics”
 Thomas Osborne, Jr. (University of St. Thomas)
 “Thomas Aquinas and Duns Scotus on Human Acts and the Ultimate End”

GX-12. Society for Social and Political Philosophy

8:00-11:00 p.m.

Topic: Feminist Political Philosophy

- Chair: Annika Thiem (Villanova University)
 Speakers: Marianne Janack (Hamilton College)
 “The Evidence of Experience and the Problem of Subjectivity”
 Chloé Taylor (McGill University)
 “Foucault, Feminism, and Sex Crimes”
 Colin Koopman (University of California–Santa Cruz)
 “Public and Private in Feminism and Pragmatism”
 Jennifer Scurro (College of New Rochelle)
 “Thinking of Bhopal: Women’s Bodies as Waste-Sites”

SUNDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 30

GROUP SESSION XI – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GXI-1. Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Author Meets Critics: Paul Thagard, *Hot Thought*

Chair: Daniel Boone (Indiana University of Pennsylvania)

Critics: Carole Lee (Mt. Holyoke College)

Christopher Viger (University of Western Ontario)

Author: Paul Thagard (University of Waterloo–Canada)

GXI-2. Conference on Philosophical Societies

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Dewey on Values

Chair: Andrew Payne (St. Joseph's University)

Speakers: Haiming Wei (Renmin University–China)

“Culture and John Dewey's Value of Education”

Kenneth Stikker (Southern Illinois University–
Carbondale)

“The Primacy of Value in Max Scheler and American
Pragmatism”

GXI-3. Radical Philosophy Association

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Leaps of Faith: Mania Meets Modernity

Chair: Martin Beck Matustik (Purdue University)

Speakers: Michael Paradiso-Michau (Purdue University)

“Ethical Love as Revolutionary in the Present Age”

Stephen Gallagher (Independent Scholar)

“The Suicide Bomber and the Leap of Faith”

Gail Presbey (University of Detroit–Mercy)

“Governments That Instill Fear and the Civil Resisters
Who Resist Fear”

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GXI-4. Société Internationale pour l'Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Imagination and Intellect in Avicenna and Averroes

Chair: Thérèse-Anne Druart (Catholic University of America)

Speaker: Deborah Black (University of Toronto)

“Rational Imagination: Avicenna on the Cognitive
Power”

- Commentator: Jon McGinnis (University of Missouri–St. Louis)
 Speaker: Richard Taylor (Marquette University)
 “Participation in Averroes’ Noetics: The Role of Themistius”
 Commentator: Alfred Ivry (New York University)
 (This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GXI-5. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Ontological Issues in Asian and Comparative Philosophy

- Chair: May Sim (College of the Holy Cross)
 Speakers: Jeffrey Richey (Berea College)
 “Materiality and Mind in Epicurean and Taoist Thought”
 May Sim (College of the Holy Cross)
 “Being qua Being and Unity in Aristotle, Liezi, and Zhuxi”
 Linda Patrik (Union College)
 “Loosening the Coarse Body, Speaking Out the Subtle Body”
 Chung-ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
 “Nature and Experience in the Yijing”

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GXI-6. Society of Humanist Philosophers

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Author Meets Critics: Winnifred Fallers Sullivan, *The Impossibility of Religious Freedom*

- Critics: Philip Hamburger (Columbia University)
 Connie Rosati (University of Arizona)
 Author: Winnifred Fallers Sullivan (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)

SUNDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30

GROUP SESSION XII – 1:30-4:30 P.M.

GXII-1. Karl Jaspers Society of North America

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Philosophy, Psychology and Psychopathology

- Chair: Andrew Gluck (Independent Scholar)
 Speakers: Alina Feld (Willamette University)
 “Jaspers on Melancholia”

Mario Wenning (The New School)
 “Jaspers and Bloch: Similar Answers to Different Questions?”

(Business Meeting to follow.)

GXII-2. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Existential and Epistemological Issues in Asian and Comparative Philosophy

Chair: Sumner Twiss (Florida State University)

Speakers: Kimiyo Murata-Soraci (Belmont University)

“Heidegger, Dogen and Ippen on Logos”

James Behuniak (Colby College)

“Thinking Parts and Wholes: Plato and Chinese Buddhism”

Sumner Twiss (Florida State University)

“P.C. Chang, John Dewey, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights”

Charles Wesley DeMarco (Clark University)

“Righting the Names of Change”

GXII-3. Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Development and the Critique of Capitalism

Chair: Matthew Whitt (Vanderbilt University)

Speakers: Scott Zeman (Vanderbilt University)

“Marx, Fetishism, and Development”

Kathleen Eamon (Evergreen State College)

“Work in the Aftermath of the Real Subsumption of Production by Capital”

Forrest Perry (Vanderbilt University)

“Marxist-Deweyan Growth”

MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

(Group sessions are those beginning with 'G'; all others are main sessions.)

A

ABBARNO, John (D'Youville College)..... GI-2 Thur PM, GII-2 Fri AM
ABED, Mohammed Kamal (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
..... GIX-5 Sat PM, GX-9 Sat PM
ABRAHAM, William (Southern Methodist University)..... GVIII-10 Sat AM
ACAMPORA, Christa Davis (Hunter College and Graduate
Center–City University of New York)GX-7 Sat PM
ADAMS, Fred (University of Delaware).....VI-G Sat PM
ADEEL, M. Ashrafi (Kutztown University).....GV-7 Fri PM
AHO, Kevin (Florida Gulf Coast University)GX-2 Sat PM
ALCOFF, Linda Martín (Syracuse University)..... GV-11 Fri PM, VI-F Sat PM
ALLEN, James (University of Pittsburgh).....VI-D Sat PM
ALLHOFF, Fritz (Western Michigan University)VI-A Sat PM
AMERIKS, Karl (University of Notre Dame).....GVI-5 Fri PM, V-E Sat AM
ANDERSON, Bill (Ontology Works, Inc.)V-K Sat AM
ANDERSON, Douglas (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
..... GII-7 Fri AM, GIII-6 Fri AM, GVII-3 Sat AM
ANDERSON, Lanier (Stanford University)GX-7 Sat PM
ANDREW, Barbara (William Paterson University).....V-H Sat AM
ANESTIDOU, Lida (National Academy of Science).....VIII-I Sun PM
APPIAH, Kwame Anthony (Princeton University)
.....Presidential Address Sat PM
ARNOLD, Denis (University of Tennessee)GV-10 Fri PM
ARNTZENIUS, Frank (University of Oxford) II-A Fri AM
AROLA, Adam (University of Oregon).....GV-8 Fri PM
ARVAN, Marcus (University of Arizona)VII-F Sun AM
ASAY, Jamin (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)..... VIII-H Sun PM

B

BABER, Harriet (University of San Diego)III-G Fri AM, V-K Sat AM
BAGLEY, Paul (Loyola College–Maryland) GV-8 Fri PM, GIX-4 Sat PM
BAGWELL, Timothy (Independent Scholar)..... GIX-4 Sat PM
BAILEY, Rebecca (Mount Holyoke College)VIII-I Sun PM
BAKER, Lynne (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)IV-G Fri PM

BAKER, Robert (Alden March Bioethics Institute/Union College)	VI-I Sat PM
BALASHOV, Yuri (University of Georgia)	II-A Fri AM, GVIII-6 Sat AM
BANGU, Sorin (University of Western Ontario)	V-G Sat AM
BAR ON, Bat-Ami (Binghamton University–State University of New York)	I-F Thur PM, VI-K Sat PM
BARDON, Adrian (Wake Forest University)	GVIII-6 Sat AM
BAR-ON, Dorit (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	III-E Fri AM
BASL, John (University of Wisconsin–Madison)	GVI-2 Fri PM
BASU, Prajit (University of Hyderabad–India)	IV-J Fri PM
BAUR, Michael (Fordham University)	GIX-2 Sat PM
BAYNES, Kenneth (Syracuse University)	GVI-9 Fri PM
BEENFELD, Christian (Oxford University–United Kingdom)	GIII-3 Fri AM
BEHUN, William (Pennsylvania State University)	V-I Sat AM
BEHUNIAK, James (Colby College)	GXII-2 Sun PM
BEISER, Frederick (University of Syracuse)	GVI-5 Fri PM
BENACERRAF, Paul (Princeton University)	VI-L Sat PM
BENSON, Hugh (University of Oklahoma)	VIII-F Sun PM
BERGERON, Melissa (US Military Academy–West Point)	IV-F Fri PM
BERGOFFEN, Debra (George Mason University)	GV-1 Fri PM
BERMAN, Robert (Xavier University)	GVI-9 Fri PM
BERNASCONI, Robert (University of Memphis)	IV-A Fri PM
BERNAUER, James (Boston College)	V-B Sat AM
BERNSTEIN, Jay (The New School)	VII-E Sun AM
BERNSTEIN, Jeffrey (College of the Holy Cross)	GV-8 Fri PM
BEZUBOVA, Elena (University of California–Irvine)	GVI-4 Fri PM
BIRMINGHAM, Peg (DePaul University)	VII-E Sun AM
BLACK, Deborah (University of Toronto)	GXI-4 Sun AM
BLACK, Tim (California State University–Northridge)	VIII-H Sun PM
BLAIR, James (National Institutes of Health)	IV-K Fri PM
BLOCK, Ned (New York University)	VIII-C Sun PM
BLOOMFIELD, Paul (University of Connecticut)	GIV-3 Fri PM
BOHMAN, James (Saint Louis University)	VI-F Sat PM
BOK, Hilary (Johns Hopkins University)	VI-E Sat PM
BOLTON, Martha (Rutgers University)	GVIII-2 Sat AM, GX-6 Sat PM
BOLYARD, Charles (James Madison University)	GX-11 Sat PM
BOONE, Daniel (Indiana University of Pennsylvania)	GXI-1 Sun AM
BORAN, Idil (York University–Ontario)	VI-F Sat PM
BORTOLOTTI, Lisa (University of Birmingham–United Kingdom)	GII-4 Fri AM, VII-H Sun AM
BOWIN, John (University of California–Santa Cruz)	GIV-5 Fri PM, VI-D Sat PM

BOXILL, Bernard (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	IV-I Fri PM, VIII-B Sun PM
BOYLE, Matthew (Harvard University)	III-E Fri AM
BOZOVIC, Miran (Ljubljana University–Slovenia)	GIX-3 Sat PM
BRADY, Emily (University of Edinburgh–United Kingdom)	GV-5 Fri PM
BRAKE, Elizabeth (University of Calgary)	VII-H Sun AM
BRAUN, David (University of Rochester)	VIII-E Sun PM
BRENNAN, Tad (Cornell University)	II-D Fri AM
BRENNER, William (Old Dominion University)	VII-A Sun AM
BRIEN, Kevin (Washington College)	GV-7 Fri PM
BRIGHT, Josh (University of California–Riverside)	IV-F Fri PM
BROD, Harry (University of Northern Iowa)	GX-1 Sat PM
BRODRICK, Michael (Vanderbilt University)	GX-3 Sat PM
BROGAARD, Berit (Australian National University)	VIII-E Sun PM
BROMWICH, Danielle (University of Toronto)	VI-E Sat PM
BROWN, Harold (Northern Illinois University)	I-C Thur PM
BUCHTA, David (University of Pennsylvania)	GX-10 Sat PM
BUCKAREFF, Andrei (Marist College)	GVIII-10 Sat AM
BUENO, Otávio (University of Miami)	GII-6 Fri AM, VI-H Sat PM, VII-J Sun AM
BURGER, Ronna (Tulane University)	GX-11 Sat PM
BUSCH, Thomas (Villanova University)	GIII-7 Fri AM
BUSTOS, Keith (University of Tennessee)	V-I Sat AM
BUTTERWORTH, Charles (University of Maryland)	III-B Fri AM

C

CAFARO, Phil (Colorado State University)	GV-5 Fri PM
CAHILL, Kevin (University of Bergen–Norway)	GIII-3 Fri AM
CAIN, David (University of Mary Washington)	GVI-12 Fri PM
CALCAGNO, Antonio (University of Scranton)	VIII-D Sun PM
CALDWELL, Elizabeth (University of Oregon)	GII-8 Fri AM
CAMP, Elisabeth (University of Pennsylvania)	III-A Fri AM
CARD, Claudia (University of Wisconsin–Madison)	GX-1 Sat PM
CARLSON, Licia (Harvard University)	VI-B Sat PM
CARMAN, Taylor (Barnard College)	VIII-G Sun PM
CHAKRABARTI, Chandana (Elon University)	GX-10 Sat PM
CHAKRABARTI, Kisor (Duke University)	GX-10 Sat PM
CHAMBERS, Tod (Northwestern University)	GIV-1 Fri PM
CHAN, Albert (University of Southern California)	VII-G Sun AM
CHANT, Sara Rachel (University of Missouri)	V-F Sat AM
CHANTER, Tina (DePaul University)	GV-12 Fri PM
CHAUDHURY, Sayeed (Johns Hopkins University)	V-K Sat AM

CHENG, Chung-ying (University of Hawaii–Manoa)GIII-4 Fri AM, GX-4 Sat PM, GXI-5 Sun AM
CHOI, Suk (Towson University) GV-2 Fri PM, GVI-3 Fri PM
CHOU, Ting-Chao (Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center)GIII-4 Fri AM
CHRISTIAN, Rose Ann (Towson University) IV-F Fri PM
CLARKE, W. Norris (Fordham University)GII-5 Fri AM
COATES, Allen (East Tennessee State University) VIII-H Sun PM
COHEN, Elliot (Indian River College) GIV-1 Fri PM, GX-2 Sat PM
COHEN, Jennifer (Norwalk Community College) GVII-5 Sat AM
COKELET, Bradford (Northwestern University)VII-I Sun AM
COLAPINTO, Andres (Stony Brook University–State University of New York) VIII-E Sun PM
CONANT, James (University of Chicago)GX-7 Sat PM
CONCEPCIÓN, David (Ball State University)GX-1 Sat PM
CONSTANTINE, Lynn (George Mason University)GV-1 Fri PM
COOK, Roy (Villanova University)V-G Sat AM
COOKE, Brandon (Minnesota State University)GII-1 Fri AM
COOLEY, Dennis (North Dakota State University)GV-11 Fri PM
COOPER, John (Princeton University) II-D Fri AM
CORISH, Denis (Bowdoin College)VI-D Sat PM
CORMIER, Harvey (Stony Brook University–State University of New York) GI-4 Thur PM, VI-F Sat PM
COSTA, Victoria (Florida State University)GVI-1 Fri PM, VII-H Sun AM
COUDERT, Allison (University of California–Davis)IV-B Fri PM
COYLE, Daniel (Our Lady of the Lake University)VIII-F Sun PM
CROCKER, David (University of Maryland) II-E Fri AM
CROSKERY, Patrick (Ohio Northern University) VIII-I Sun PM
CROUCH, J. Brent (San Diego City College)GIII-5 Fri AM
CROWELL, Steven (Rice University) VII-B Sun AM
CROY, Marvin (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)III-G Fri AM
CUSICK, Carolyn (Vanderbilt University)GV-1 Fri PM

D

DALVI, R. S. (Brock University)GX-10 Sat PM
DANIEL, Stephen H. (Texas A&M University) GVIII-2 Sat AM
DAVIES, Julian (Siena College)GVI-8 Fri PM
DAVIS, John (California State University–Fullerton) VII-G Sun AM
DAVIS, Stephen (Claremont McKenna College) VII-A Sun AM
DAVIS, Zachary (St. John's University) IV-E Fri PM
DE BRES, Helena (Stanford University) VII-F Sun AM
DE ROSA, Raffaella (Rutgers University–Newark)V-J Sat AM

DEAN, Richard (American University of Beirut–Lebanon)	GVIII-1 Sat AM
DECOSTER, Barry (Vassar College)	GVIII-5 Sat AM
DEMARCO, Charles Wesley (Clark University)	GXII-2 Sun PM
DEMARCO, Tobyn (Bergen Community College).....	GII-1 Fri AM
DEUTSCHER, Penelope (Northwestern University)	IV-A Fri PM
DEVEREUX, Daniel (University of Virginia)	V-D Sat AM
DEVITT, Michael (City University of New York–Graduate Center)	Introduction, Carus Lecture Sat PM
DEWILDE, Michael (Grand Valley State University)	GIV-1 Fri PM
DI GIOVANNI, George (McGill University).....	GIX-2 Sat PM
DIAZ, Ana (Johns Hopkins University).....	V-J Sat AM
DIEHM, Christian (University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point)	GVI-2 Fri PM
DOGGETT, Tyler (University of Vermont)	III-A Fri AM
DOLAN, Gregory (Catholic University of America).....	GII-5 Fri AM
DOMBROWSKI, Daniel (Seattle University)	GVIII-1 Sat AM
DOMSKY, Darren (Texas A&M University)	GV-5 Fri PM
DONCHIN, Anne (Indiana University).....	GV-13 Fri PM
DOTSON, Kristie (Purdue University)	VI-K Sat PM
DRIVER, Julia (Dartmouth College)	VII-I Sun AM
DRUART, Thérèse-Anne (Catholic University of America)	GXI-4 Sun AM
DUNN, Bethany (Vassar College)	VII-E Sun AM

E

EAMON, Kathleen (Evergreen State College).....	GXII-3 Sun PM
ECKSTEIN, Paul (Bergen Community College)	GVIII-7 Sat AM
EDEN, Amnon (University of Essex–United Kingdom)	GI-5 Thur PM
EGAN, Andy (University of Michigan)	III-A Fri AM
EHRlich, Leonard (University of Massachusetts).....	GVI-4 Fri PM
EKLUND, Matti (Cornell University)	VI-C Sat PM
ELGIN, Catherine (Harvard University)	Introduction, Carus Lecture Sun AM
EMMANUEL, Steven (Virginia Wesleyan College).....	GVI-12 Fri PM
ENGELLAND, Chad (John Carroll University).....	IV-E Fri PM
ENGELMANN, Donna (Alverno College)	GX-1 Sat PM
ERNST, Zachary (University of Missouri)	IV-J Fri PM
EVANS, Stephen (Baylor University)	GVI-12 Fri PM

F

FAHY, Gregory (University of Maine–Augusta).....	GII-7 Fri AM
FEINBERG, Walter (University of Illinois–Chicago).....	GVI-1 Fri PM
FELD, Alina (Willamette University)	GXII-1 Sun PM
FERGUSON, Ann (University of Massachusetts).....	IV-D Fri PM

FERGUSON, Stephen (North Carolina A&T State University)	II-G Fri AM
FERRARI, John (University of California–Berkeley)	V-D Sat AM
FISHER, Jeffrey (Bethany College).....	GX-10 Sat PM
FLAGE, Daniel (James Madison University)	GV-4 Fri PM
FLORIO, Salvatore (Ohio State University)	IV-H Fri PM
FLYNN, Bernie (The New School)	VII-E Sun AM
FOLEY, Richard (University of Missouri–Columbia).....	VIII-F Sun PM
FORD, Anton (University of Pittsburgh).....	I-A Thur PM
FÖRSTER, Eckart (Johns Hopkins University)	V-E Sat AM
FORSTER, Paul (University of Ottawa)	GX-3 Sat PM
FOUST, Mat (University of Oregon).....	GII-8 Fri AM
FOX, Alan (University of Delaware)	GIII-4 Fri AM
FOX, Craig (California University of Pennsylvania)	GIII-3 Fri AM
FOX, Warwick (University of Central Lancashire–United Kingdom)	GII-4 Fri AM
FRIEDMAN, Harvey (Ohio State University)	III-D Fri AM
FRIEDMAN, Marilyn (Washington University in St. Louis/Charles Sturt and The Australian National Universities)	I-F Thur PM
FRISINA, Warren (Hofstra University).....	GI-3 Thur PM
FULTNER, Barbara (Denison University).....	III-C Fri AM

G

GAITA, Raimond (Kings College London)	VII-A Sun AM
GALLAGHER, Stephen (Independent Scholar)	GXI-3 Sun AM
GARBER, Daniel (Princeton University)	II-F Fri AM
GARRETT, Aaron (Boston University)	GIX-1 Sat PM
GARTHOFF, Jonathan (Northwestern University)	VI-E Sat PM
GARVER, Eugene (St. John's University).....	GI-1 Thur PM
GASCHÉ, Rodolphe (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)	GVI-7 Fri PM
GAUS, Jerry (University of Arizona)	GIX-1 Sat PM
GAVIN, William (University of Southern Maine)	GII-7 Fri AM
GEISZ, Steven (University of Tampa)	GI-3 Thur PM
GERT, Bernard (Dartmouth College)	VI-I Sat PM
GERT, Josh (Florida State University)	VI-E Sat PM
GHAEMI, S. Nassir (Emory University).....	GIII-2 Fri AM, GVI-4 Fri PM
GHIRARDI, Giancarlo (International Center for Theoretical Physics–Trieste, Italy).....	I-D Thur PM
GILDIN, Hilail (City University of New York–Queens College).....	III-B Fri AM
GILL, Mary Louise (Brown University)	VI-D Sat PM
GILLET, Carl (Illinois Wesleyan University)	V-F Sat AM
GILMORE, Jonathan (Yale University)	I-B Thur PM

GILSON, Greg (University of Texas–Pan American).....	I-E Thur PM
GINES, Kathryn (Vanderbilt University)	GVI-6 Fri PM
GINEV, Dimitri (Ohdriski University of Sofia–Bulgaria)	I-C Thur PM
GLANNON, Walter (University of Calgary).....	IV-K Fri PM
GLENN, Linda MacDonald (Alden March Bioethics Institute–Albany Medical College).....	GI-5 Thur PM
GLUCK, Andrew (Independent Scholar).....	GXII-1 Sun PM
GODFREY, Joseph (St. Joseph’s University).....	GV-9 Fri PM
GOLDENBAUM, Ursula (Emory University)	II-F Fri AM
GOLDIN, Paul (University of Pennsylvania).....	GX-4 Sat PM
GOLDMAN, Alan (College of William and Mary)	GII-1 Fri AM
GOLDSTEIN, Sheldon (Rutgers University)	I-D Thur PM
GOODIN, Patrick (Howard University)	II-G Fri AM
GOSWAMI, Namita (DePaul University)	VI-J Sat PM
GOSWICK, Dana (University of California–Davis).....	VI-G Sat PM
GOTTHELF, Allan (University of Pittsburgh).....	GIV-3 Fri PM
GOTTLIEB, Roger (Worcester Polytechnic Institute)	GVI-2 Fri PM
GOULD, Carol (Temple University).....	GIII-8 Fri AM
GRADY, Kyle Robert (Pennsylvania State University)	VIII-D Sun PM
GRAEBER, David (Yale University)	GIII-8 Fri AM
GRAHAM, Peter (University of California–Riverside)	V-C Sat AM
GRAHAM, Peter A. (University of Massachusetts–Amherst).....	IV-G Fri PM
GRASSBAUGH, Joan (Temple University)	GVIII-1 Sat AM
GRATON, Peter (University of San Diego)	GX-8 Sat PM
GREEN, Adam (Saint Louis University)	IV-F Fri PM
GREEN, J. Everet (The New School/Mercy College)	GVI-6 Fri PM
GREEN, Judith (Fordham University).....	GV-9 Fri PM
GREENBERG, Sean (University of California–Irvine)	VII-C Sun AM
GRIER, Philip (Dickinson College).....	GIX-2 Sat PM
GRIPPE, Edward (Norwalk Community College)	GVIII-7 Sat AM
GROS, Frédéric (Université de Paris XII)	V-B Sat AM
GROSS, Michael (Haifa University–Israel).....	VI-A Sat PM
GROVER, Stephen (City University of New York–Graduate Center)	VIII-G Sun PM

H

HAIST, Gordon (University of South Carolina–Beaufort)	GX-10 Sat PM
HAJDIN, Mane (Santa Clara University).....	VI-E Sat PM
HALL, Bryan (Indiana University Southeast)	VIII-G Sun PM
HALPER, Edward (University of Georgia)	GI-1 Thur PM
HALWANI, Raja (Art Institute of Chicago)	GIX-5 Sat PM
HAMBURGER, Philip (Columbia University).....	GXI-6 Sun AM

- HAMINGTON, Maurice (Metropolitan State College–Denver)V-H Sat AM
HANSEN, Chad (University of Hong Kong)
..... GI-3 Thur PM, IV-L Fri PM, GVI-3 Fri PM
HANSON, Karen (University of Indiana)..... I-B Thur PM
HARDCASTLE, Rebecca (Independent Scholar) GVII-2 Sat AM
HARDING, Sandra (University of California–Los Angeles) V-L Sat AM
HARE, Peter (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)
..... GVII-3 Sat AM
HARMAN, Elizabeth (Princeton University)..... III-F Fri AM
HASNAS, John (Georgetown University)..... GVIII-4 Sat AM
HATLEY, James (Salisbury State University)GVI-2 Fri PM
HEDDERMAN, Jason (University of Missouri–Columbia)VI-G Sat PM
HEIDT, Sarah (Eastern Michigan University)GIV-1 Fri PM
HEINE, Steven (Florida International University)GII-3 Fri AM
HELD, Virginia (City University of New York–Graduate Center) ...I-F Thur PM
HENDERSON, James (University of Pittsburgh–Titusville) GVIII-8 Sat AM
HENNING, Brian (Mount St. Mary’s University) GVIII-9 Sat AM
HERMES, Charles (University of Texas–Arlington)IV-G Fri PM
HIGGINBOTHAM, James (University of Southern California).....IV-C Fri PM
HILLER, Avram (Wake Forest University)GV-5 Fri PM
HINTON, Beverly (West Virginia University)GIV-5 Fri PM
HOBBS, Charles (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) IV-F Fri PM
HOGAN, Desmond (Princeton University)V-J Sat AM
HONENBERGER, Phillip (Temple University)VIII-E Sun PM
HORST, Steven (Wesleyan University)..... GI-3 Thur PM
HOWARD, Dick (Stony Brook University–State University
of New York) VII-F Sun AM
HUENEMANN, Charles (Utah State University).....GV-8 Fri PM
HUGGETT, Nick (University of Illinois–Chicago)IV-J Fri PM
HUSS, John (Wellesley College).....GII-2 Fri AM
HUSSAIN, Nadeem (Stanford University) I-B Thur PM, GX-7 Sat PM
HWANG, Soonil (Dongguk University–South Korea) GVIII-3 Sat AM

I

- IANNONE, Pablo (Central Connecticut State University) VII-J Sun AM
IM, Manyul (Fairfield University)..... IV-L Fri PM
INATI, Shams (Villanova University)GV-7 Fri PM
IVRY, Alfred (New York University)..... GXI-4 Sun AM
IZADI, Shahin (University of Wisconsin–Madison).....GX-9 Sat PM

J

- JANACK, Marianne (Hamilton College).....GX-12 Sat PM

JAWORSKA, Agnieszka (Stanford University).....	VI-B Sat PM
JEHLE, David (Cornell University).....	VII-G Sun AM
JENNINGS, Bruce (The Hastings Center).....	VI-B Sat PM
JOHNSON, Charles (Molinari Institute)	GVIII-4 Sat AM
JOHNSON, Michael (Rutgers University).....	VIII-E Sun PM

K

KAAG, John (Harvard University).....	GI-4 Thur PM, GII-8 Fri AM
KAMTEKAR, Rachana (University of Arizona)	VIII-F Sun PM
KASSAB, Elizabeth (Yale University)	GIX-5 Sat PM
KATAYAMA, Errol (Ohio Northern University).....	VI-D Sat PM
KAUTZER, Chad (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	VI-F Sat PM
KELLY, Michael R. (Boston College)	IV-E Fri PM
KELLY, Michael (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)	III-G Fri AM
KELLY, Thomas (National University of Ireland–Maynooth)	GVIII-9 Sat AM
KERR-LAWSON, Angus (University of Waterloo–Canada).....	GX-3 Sat PM
KERSHNAR, Stephen (State University of New York–Fredonia)	GIX-1 Sat PM
KHAWAJA, Irfan (University of Notre Dame).....	GIV-3 Fri PM
KHOSLA, Usha (University of Toronto).....	GVIII-3 Sat AM
KIM, Joongol (Western Illinois University)	GIII-3 Fri AM
KING, Christopher (Vanderbilt University)	V-H Sat AM
KIRBY, Christopher (University of South Florida)	VIII-F Sun PM
KISNER, Wendell (Athabasca University–Canada)	GVI-9 Fri PM
KLEIST, Edward (Concordia College).....	VII-I Sun AM
KNAPP, Christopher (Binghamton University–State University of New York)	II-E Fri AM
KNOBE, Joshua (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	I-A Thur PM
KOCH, Anton (Tübingen University–Germany).....	VII-D Sun AM
KOELLNER, Peter (Harvard University)	III-D Fri AM
KOOPMAN, Colin (University of California–Santa Cruz)	GI-4 Thur PM, GII-7 Fri AM, GX-12 Sat PM
KORMAN, Daniel (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)	IV-G Fri PM
KORSGAARD, Christine (Harvard University)	Introduction, Presidential Address Sat PM
KOSCH, Michelle (Cornell University)	V-E Sat AM
KOTERSKI, Joseph (Fordham University)	GVII-5 Sat AM
KULSTAND, Mark (Rice University).....	GX-6 Sat PM
KUPPERMAN, Joel (University of Connecticut).....	GII-3 Fri AM
KURZWEIL, Ray (Kurzweil Technologies)	GI-5 Thur PM

L

L'HÔTE, Crystal (St. Michael's College)	VI-G Sat PM
LABECKI, Adam (University of Kentucky)	GV-6 Fri PM
LACHS, John (Vanderbilt University)	GIV-6 Fri PM
LACKEY, Jennifer (Northern Illinois University)	V-C Sat AM
LADENSON, Joanne (The Ladenson Group)	VIII-I Sun PM
LADENSON, Robert (Illinois Institute of Technology)	VIII-I Sun PM
LAFLEUR, William (University of Pennsylvania)	GII-3 Fri AM
LAFOLLETTE, Hugh (University of South Florida)	GII-4 Fri AM
LAFONT, Cristina (Northwestern University)	III-C Fri AM
LANGLEY, Raymond (Manhattanville College)	GVII-2 Sat AM
LANGTON, Rae (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)	VIII-G Sun PM
LAVIN, Doug (Harvard University)	I-A Thur PM
LAWSON, Bill (University of Memphis)	GVI-6 Fri PM
LEARY, David (University of Richmond)	GIV-6 Fri PM
LEBENS, Crista (University of Wisconsin-Whitewater)	GVIII-5 Sat AM
LEDDINGTON, Jason (Centre College)	VI-G Sat PM
LEE, Carole (Mt. Holyoke College)	GXI-1 Sun AM
LEE, Chan (University of Hawaii)	GI-3 Thur PM, GV-2 Fri PM
LEE, Suk-jae (Ohio State University)	GVIII-2 Sat AM
LEEDS, Stephen (University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee)	
..... Introduction, Carus Lecture	Fri PM
LEITE, Adam (Indiana University)	V-C Sat AM
LEMONS, Noah (College of William and Mary)	VI-H Sat PM
LENGBEYER, Lawrence (United States Naval Academy)	VII-H Sun AM
LEVINSON, Sanford (University of Texas)	GIV-2 Fri PM
LEVY, Jacob (McGill University)	IV-D Fri, PM GIV-2 Fri PM
LIGHT, Andrew (University of Washington)	III-G Fri AM
LINDEMANN, Hilde (Michigan State University)	VI-I Sat PM
LINK, Montgomery (Suffolk University)	GIII-3 Fri AM
LINNEBO, Øystein (University of Bristol-United Kingdom)	VI-C Sat PM
LINTOTT, Sheila (Bucknell University)	GVI-11 Fri PM
LISTER, Andrew (Queens University-Ontario)	GV-4 Fri PM
LIU, JeeLoo (California State University-Fullerton)	GV-2 Fri PM
LIVINGSTON, Paul (Villanova University)	VIII-D Sun PM
LOCKWOOD, Thornton (Boston University)	VI-E Sat PM
LONG, Roderick (Auburn University)	GVIII-4 Sat AM
LOOK, Brandon (University of Kentucky)	VIII-G Sun PM
LUBAN, David (Georgetown University)	VI-A Sat PM
LUCHT, Marc (Alvernia College)	GV-7 Fri PM
LUDLOW, Peter (University of Michigan)	IV-C Fri PM

LUFT, Sebastian (Marquette University)..... VIII-G Sun PM

M

MACHERY, Edouard (University of Pittsburgh)..... VIII-C Sun PM

MAGALHAES, Ernani (West Virginia University)..... GVIII-6 Sat AM

MAGNELL, Thomas (Drew University)GIII-1 Fri AM

MAHMOODSHAHI, Reza (Carnegie Mellon University) VII-G Sun AM

MAIBOM, Heidi (Carleton University)IV-K Fri PM

MAITRA, Keya (University of North Carolina–Asheville).....GX-10 Sat PM

MAITZEN, Stephen (Acadia University) GVIII-8 Sat AM

MALABOU, Catherine (Université de Paris X–Nanterre)

.....II-C Fri AM, GVI-7 Fri PM

MALONE-FRANCE, Derek (George Washington University) ... GVIII-9 Sat AM

MANN, Anika Maaza (Morgan State University).....GVI-6 Fri PM

MANSBRIDGE, Jane (Harvard University)IV-D Fri PM

MARCANO, Donna-Dale (Trinity College)VI-K Sat PM

MARCUS, Eric (Auburn University) VII-D Sun AM

MARCUS, Russell (Hamilton College).....V-G Sat AM

MARGOLIS, Joseph (Temple University) I-C Thur PM

MARKOVITS, Daniel (Yale University).....GIV-2 Fri PM

MARKS, Jonathan (Pennsylvania State University)..... VI-A Sat PM

MARMODORO, Anna (Oxford University) VIII-F Sun PM

MARTIN, Raymond (Union College) II-B Fri AM

MARTINICH, Al (University of Texas–Austin)GV-2 Fri PM

MARTINOT, Steve (San Francisco State University)GX-8 Sat PM

MATUSTIK, Martin Beck (Purdue University)..... GX-8 Sat PM, GXI-3 Sun AM

MAUDLIN, Tim (Rutgers University)..... I-D Thur PM

MCAFEE, Noelle (George Mason University)V-H Sat AM

MCBRIDE, William (Purdue University)..... GI-2 Thur PM

MCCARTHY, Thomas (Northwestern University) VIII-B Sun PM

MCCLENDON, John (Bates College).....II-G Fri AM

MCCORMICK, Miriam (University of Richmond).....GV-4 Fri PM

MCCULLOUGH, Laurence (Baylor College of Medicine)..... VI-I Sat PM

MCDERMOTT, John (Texas A&M University) GVII-3 Sat AM

MCDONOUGH, Jeffrey (Harvard University)..... GVIII-2 Sat AM

MCERLEAN, Jennifer (Siena College)..... GVII-4 Sat AM

MCGARY, Howard (Rutgers University)IV-I Fri PM, VIII-B Sun PM

MCGILL, Cherie (Johns Hopkins University) IV-F Fri PM

MCGINNIS, Jon (University of Missouri–St. Louis) GXI-4 Sun AM

MCGRATH, Matthew (University of Missouri)IV-G Fri PM

MCKENNA, Erin (Pacific Lutheran University)..... V-I Sat AM

- MCKITRICK, Jennifer (University of Nebraska–Lincoln) GVIII-4 Sat AM
 MCLEAN, George (Catholic University of America) GI-2 Thur PM
 MCLEOD, Alexis (University of Connecticut) GI-3 Thur PM
 MCMAHAN, Jeff (Rutgers University) VI-A Sat PM
 MEHL, Peter (University of Central Arkansas) GVI-12 Fri PM
 MEHURON, Kate (Eastern Michigan University) ... GIV-1 Fri PM, GX-2 Sat PM
 MELAMED, Yitzhak (University of Chicago) IV-B Fri PM
 MENDIETA, Eduardo (Stony Brook University–State University
 of New York) IV-A Fri PM, GV-12 Fri PM, V-B Sat AM
 MENSER, Michael (Brooklyn College–City University of New York)
 GIII-8 Fri AM
 MILLER, Adam (Collins Community College) VIII-D Sun PM
 MILLER, Mitchell (Vassar College) V-D Sat AM
 MILLER, Sarah (University of Memphis) VIII-A Sun PM
 MILLS, Charles (Northwestern University) IV-I Fri PM, V-L Sat AM
 MINGRAN, Tan (University of Toronto) GX-4 Sat PM
 MINNICH, Elizabeth (Association of American Colleges
 and Universities) VI-K Sat PM
 MOELLER, Hans-Georg (Brock University) GII-3 Fri AM
 MOKED, Oran (Columbia University) V-I Sat AM
 MOLE, Chris (University College Dublin) VIII-C Sun PM
 MOORE, Dwayne (Wilfrid Laurier University) GV-6 Fri PM
 MOORE, Edward (St. Elias School of Orthodox Theology) GX-5 Sat PM
 MORAN, Dermot (University College Dublin) VII-B Sun AM
 MORELLI, Eric (Emory University) GIII-7 Fri AM
 MORRIS, David (Trent University–Canada) VIII-D Sun PM
 MORSE, Steven (University of Pennsylvania) IV-K Fri PM
 MUELLER, Monica (Binghamton University–State University
 of New York) V-I Sat AM
 MUIRHEAD, Russell (University of Texas–Austin) GVII-1 Sat AM
 MURATA-SORACI, Kimiyo (Belmont University) GXII-2 Sun PM
 MURPHY, Peter (University of Indianapolis) VIII-H Sun PM
 MURRAY, Michael (Franklin and Marshall College)
 IV-F Fri PM, VII-C Sun AM
 MURZI, Julien (University of Sheffield–United Kingdom) IV-H Fri PM
 MUSTAIN, Megan (St. Mary’s University–San Antonio) GVII-3 Sat AM
- N**
- NADLER, Steven (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
 GI-1 Thur PM, IV-B Fri PM
 NEUHouser, Fred (Barnard College–Columbia University)
 GVI-5 Fri PM, V-E Sat AM
 NISSIM-SABAT, Marilyn (Lewis University) GV-1 Fri PM

NUBIOLA, Jaime (Universidad de Navarra–Spain).....GV-3 Fri PM
 NUCCETELLI, Susan (St. Cloud State University).... I-E Thur PM, VI-H Sat PM
 NUSSBAUM, Martha (University of Chicago)
 GVI-1 Fri PM, VI-B Sat PM, GX-9 Sat PM
 NYDEN-BULLOCK, Tammy (Grinnell College)IV-B Fri PM

O

O'NEILL, Eileen (University of Massachusetts–Amherst).....IV-A Fri PM
 OBERRIEDER, Matthew (Mercer University) GVI-10 Fri PM
 OLIVER, Kelly (Vanderbilt University).....I-F Thur PM, GV-12 Fri PM
 OLSON, Alan (Boston University).....GVI-4 Fri PM
 ORTEGA, Mariana (John Carroll University)VI-J Sat PM
 OSBORNE Jr., Thomas (University of St. Thomas).....GX-11 Sat PM

P

PAKALUK, Michael (Clark University)..... VI-E Sat PM
 PARADISO-MICHAU, Michael (Purdue University) GXI-3 Sun AM
 PARENT, Ted (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill).....IV-H Fri PM
 PARKER, Kelly (Grand Valley State University)GIII-5 Fri AM
 PATRIK, Linda (Union College) GXI-5 Sun AM
 PAWELSKI, James (University of Pennsylvania)... GII-7 Fri AM, GIV-6 Fri PM
 PAYNE, Andrew (St. Joseph's University)
GII-2 Fri AM, GIV-5 Fri PM, GXI-2 Sun AM
 PEDRONI, Julia (Williams College)VIII-I Sun PM
 PERRETT, Roy (University of Hawaii) II-B Fri AM
 PERRY, Forrest (Vanderbilt University)..... GXII-3 Sun PM
 PETERSON, Greg (South Dakota State University)..... GI-5 Thur PM
 PETERSON, Richard (Michigan State University)GIV-4 Fri PM
 PETTIGREW, David (Southern Connecticut University) IV-E Fri PM
 PHAM, Kiet (California State University–Long Beach).....VIII-I Sun PM
 PHILLIPS, John (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga)
 GV-6 Fri PM, GX-5 Sat PM
 PHENICIE, Aimee (California State University–Long Beach)
VIII-I Sun PM
 PIETROWSKI, Paul (University of Maryland)IV-C Fri PM
 PINCOCK, Christopher (Purdue University).....V-G Sat AM
 PIPPIN, Robert (University of Chicago) GVI-5 Fri PM, GX-7 Sat PM
 PITTS, Brian (University of Notre Dame)..... GVIII-6 Sat AM
 PLAUCHÉ, Geoffrey (Louisiana State University)..... GVIII-4 Sat AM
 PODBIELSKI, Marcin (The King's College–New York).....GV-6 Fri PM
 PONESSE, Julie (University of Western Ontario).....VI-D Sat PM
 PONNIAH, Thomas (Harvard University)GIII-8 Fri AM

POTTER, Nancy (University of Louisville).....	GIII-2 Fri AM
PRATT, Scott (University of Oregon)	GIII-5 Fri AM
PRESBEY, Gail (University of Detroit–Mercy)	GXI-3 Sun AM
PREUS, Tony (Binghamton University).....	GIV-5 Fri PM
PRINZ, Jesse (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	VIII-C Sun PM
PRYBA, Russell (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)	GIX-3 Sat PM
PUTNAM, Hilary (Harvard University).....	VI-L Sat PM

Q

QINGPING, Liu (Beijing Normal University)	GVI-3 Fri PM
---	--------------

R

RAFFOUL, François (Louisiana State University).....	GVI-7 Fri PM
RAILTON, Peter (University of Michigan)	I-B Thur PM
RANASINGHE, Nalin (Assumption College).....	GVI-10 Fri PM
RASMUSSEN, David (Boston College)	VII-F Sun AM
RASMUSSEN, Douglas (St. John’s University)	GIX-1 Sat PM
RASMUSSEN, Josh (University of Notre Dame).....	VI-G Sat PM
REID, Heather (Morningside College)	GVIII-1 Sat AM
REYNOLDS, Steven (Arizona State University) ...	IV-H Fri PM, GVIII-8 Sat AM
RIBEIRO, Anna Christina (Texas Tech University).....	GII-1 Fri AM
RIBEIRO, Brian (University of Tennessee–Chattanooga)	GII-6 Fri AM
RICHEY, Jeffrey (Berea College).....	GXI-5 Sun AM
RICKLESS, Samuel (University of California–San Diego)	GVIII-2 Sat AM
ROBERTS, Neil (Johns Hopkins University)	GVI-6 Fri PM
ROBERTS, Rodney (East Carolina University)	GIV-4 Fri PM
ROEBER, Blake (Northern Illinois University)	GII-6 Fri AM, GVIII-8 Sat AM, VIII-H Sun PM
ROGERS, Melvin (University of Virginia)	GVII-4 Sat AM
ROHRBAUGH, Guy (Auburn University).....	IV-H Fri PM
ROMAYA, Bassam (Temple University)	GVI-11 Fri PM
ROOCHNIK, David (Boston University)	VIII-F Sun PM
ROSATI, Connie (University of Arizona)	GXI-6 Sun AM
ROSE, John (Goucher College)	IV-E Fri PM
ROSENBERG, Jay (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)....	VII-D Sun AM
ROSENBLUM, Nancy (Harvard University).....	GVII-1 Sat AM
ROSENTHAL, David (City University of New York–Graduate Center)	III-E Fri AM
ROSENTHAL, Michael (University of Washington).....	GI-1 Thur PM
ROSS, Jacob (University of Southern California)	V-A Sat AM
ROSS, Nathan (DePaul University)	VIII-D Sun PM

ROSS, Sheri (University of Wisconsin–La Crosse)	GIV-4 Fri PM
ROUSE, Joseph (Wesleyan University)	I-C Thur PM
ROUSSE, B. Scot (Northwestern University)	IV-E Fri PM
RUF, Fred (Georgetown University)	GIV-6 Fri PM
RUSSON, John (University of Guelph–Canada)	GIX-2 Sat PM
RYNASIEWICZ, Robert (Johns Hopkins University)	III-D Fri AM, V-K Sat AM

S

SABO, Dylan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	VIII-H Sun PM
SAGHAFI, Kas (University of Memphis)	GVI-7 Fri PM
SALERNO, Joe (Saint Louis University)	IV-H Fri PM
SANTAELLA, Lucia (Sao Paulo Catholic University–Brazil)	GV-3 Fri PM
SANTONI, Ronald E. (Denison University)	GIII-7 Fri AM
SARKISSIAN, Hagop (Duke University)	GVI-3 Fri PM
SARUKKAI, Sundar (National Institute of Advanced Studies–Bangalore, India)	IV-J Fri PM
SATTIG, Thomas (Washington University in St. Louis)	IV-G Fri PM
SATZ, Debra (Stanford University)	VIII-A Sun PM
SAUCEDO, Raul (Cornell University)	IV-G Fri PM
SCHECHTER, Josh (Brown University)	V-G Sat AM
SCHECHTMAN, Marya (University of Illinois–Chicago)	II-B Fri AM
SCHEIN, Barry (University of Southern California)	IV-C Fri PM
SCHELLENBERG, Susanna (Australian National University)	VIII-E Sun PM
SCHLEETER, Michael (Pennsylvania State University)	GIII-6 Fri AM
SCHMID, Stephen (University of Wisconsin–Rock County)	GVIII-1 Sat AM
SCHMITTER, Amy (University of Alberta)	II-F Fri AM
SCHRADER, David (Executive Director, APA)	GI-2 Thur PM
SCHRIEMPF, Alexa (Williams College)	VI-K Sat PM
SCHROEDER, Tim (Ohio State University)	III-A Fri AM
SCHUTTE, Ofelia (University of South Florida)	GIX-5 Sat PM
SCOTT, Sarah (Auburn University)	IV-H Fri PM
SCULLY, Jackie (Newcastle University–United Kingdom)	III-F Fri AM
SCURRO, Jennifer (College of New Rochelle)	GX-12 Sat PM
SEAY, Gary (City University of New York–Medgar Evers College)	VII-J Sun AM
SEGAL, Gideon (Holon Institute of Technology–Israel)	GIX-4 Sat PM
SHAH, Mehul (Independent Scholar)	GVIII-7 Sat AM
SHAPIRO, Daniel (West Virginia University)	GIX-1 Sat PM
SHELBY, Tommie (Harvard University)	IV-I Fri PM
SHEN, Vincent (University of Toronto)	GV-2 Fri PM, GX-4 Sat PM
SHERMAN, Nancy (Georgetown University)	GIV-2 Fri PM, V-D Sat AM

SHETH, Falguni A. (University of California–Berkeley/Hampshire College)	VI-J Sat PM
SHEW, Melissa (University of Oregon).....	GIX-4 Sat PM
SHIELDS, George (Kentucky State University)	GVIII-9 Sat AM
SHIELDS, James (Bucknell University).....	GVIII-3 Sat AM
SHIU, Henry (University of Toronto)	GVIII-3 Sat AM
SIDER, Ted (Rutgers University)	VI-C Sat PM
SIDERITS, Mark (Illinois State University).....	IV-J Fri PM
SIEWERT, Charles (University of California–Riverside)	VII-B Sun AM
SILINS, Nico (Cornell University)	III-E Fri AM
SILVER, David (University of Delaware)	GV-10 Fri PM
SILVER, Zachary (University of Oklahoma)	VI-F Sat PM
SILVERMAN, Hugh (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	II-C Fri AM
SILVERS, Anita (San Francisco State University)	IV-D Fri PM, V-L Sat AM
SIM, May (College of the Holy Cross)	IV-L Fri PM, GXI-5 Sun AM
SIMPSON, Peter (College of Staten Island and Graduate Center–City University of New York)	GVII-5 Sat AM
SINNOTT-ARMSTRONG, Walter P. (Dartmouth College)	V-A Sat AM
SKOBLE, Aeon (Bridgewater State College).....	GIX-1 Sat PM
SKOW, Brad (Massachusetts Institute of Technology).....	II-A Fri AM
SLOTE, Michael (University of Miami).....	VIII-A Sun PM
SMAW, Eric (Rollins College).....	GV-13 Fri PM
SMITH, Andrew (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	GI-4 Thur PM
SMITH, Chris (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	VIII-H Sun PM
SMITH, Matthew Noah (Yale University)	VII-G Sun AM
SMITH, Patricia (City University of New York).....	GV-13 Fri PM
SMITH, Robin (Texas A&M University).....	VI-D Sat PM
SMOLIN, Lee (Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics)	I-D Thur PM
SMUTS, Aaron (Temple University).....	GVI-11 Fri PM
SOSA, Ernest (Rutgers University).....	VI-H Sat PM
SOUFFRANT, Eddy (University of North Carolina–Charlotte).....	GIV-4 Fri PM
SOULE, Edward (Georgetown University)	GV-10 Fri PM
SOWAAL, Alice (San Francisco State University)	IV-A Fri PM
SPELIOTIS, Evanthia (Bellarmine University)	GVI-10 Fri PM
STAMBAUGH, Joan (Hunter College–City University of New York)	GIX-3 Sat PM
STANLEY, Jason (Rutgers University).....	VIII-E Sun PM
STEINHART, Eric (William Paterson University)	GI-5 Thur PM
STERBA, James (University of Notre Dame).....	GII-4 Fri AM, GIX-1 Sat PM
STEVENS, Chris (University of Maryland).....	GV-5 Fri PM

STIKKER, Kenneth (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)	GXI-2 Sun AM
STONE, Brad Elliot (Loyola Marymount University)	IV-E Fri PM
STONE, Martin (Catholic University of Leuven–Belgium)	VII-C Sun AM
STREET, Sharon (New York University)	V-A Sat AM
STURDEVANT, Molly (DePaul University)	GVI-2 Fri PM
SULLIVAN, Thomas (University of St. Thomas)	GVIII-10 Sat AM
SULLIVAN, Winnifred Fallers (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)	GXI-6 Sun AM
SUNDSTROM, Ronald (University of San Francisco)	GV-11 Fri PM, GVI-6 Fri PM
SUPERSON, Anita (University of Kentucky)	GV-13 Fri PM
SUSSE, Jennifer (Michigan State University)	V-J Sat AM

T

TALBOTT, William J. (University of Washington)	II-E Fri AM
TALISSE, Robert (Vanderbilt University)	GI-4 Thur PM, V-H Sat AM
TAYLOR, Chloé (McGill University)	GX-12 Sat PM
TAYLOR, David (Franklin and Marshall College)	IV-F Fri PM
TAYLOR, David P. (University of Iowa)	GVIII-6 Sat AM
TAYLOR, James (The College of New Jersey)	GX-2 Sat PM
TAYLOR, Richard (Marquette University)	GXI-4 Sun AM
TESSMAN, Lisa (Binghamton University–State University of New York)	VII-I Sun AM
THAGARD, Paul (University of Waterloo–Canada)	GXI-1 Sun AM
THIEM, Annika (Villanova University)	GX-12 Sat PM
THOMAS, Charlotte (Mercer University)	GVI-10 Fri PM
THOMAS, Laurence (Syracuse University)	IV-I Fri PM, VII-H Sun AM
THOMPSON, Michael (University of Pittsburgh)	I-A Thur PM
TILLER, Glenn (Texas A&M University)	GX-3 Sat PM
TILLEY, Michael (University of Kentucky)	GVI-12 Fri PM
TILLMANS, Jenny (Karman Center for Advanced Studies in the Humanities–Bern, Switzerland)	GV-7 Fri PM
TIPTON, Jason (St. John’s College)	GVI-10 Fri PM
TIWALD, Justin (San Francisco State University)	GV-2 Fri PM, GVI-3 Fri PM
TOLEDO, Roberto D. (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	I-E Thur PM
TONG, Lik Kuen (Fairfield University)	GIX-3 Sat PM
TONG, Rosemarie (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)	GV-13 Fri PM, V-L Sat AM
TREMOULET, Benjamin (Université de Paris IV)	III-D Sun PM
TUANA, Nancy (Pennsylvania State University)	GV-13 Fri PM

TUMULTY, Maura (Colgate University)VI-G Sat PM
 TUNSTALL, Dwayne (Grand Valley State University) GVII-4 Sat AM
 TURTLE-ROSS, Sheryll (University of Wisconsin–La Crosse).....VI-H Sat PM
 TWISS, Sumner (Florida State University) GXII-2 Sun PM

U

UDOFF, Alan (St. Francis College)..... III-B Fri AM
 ULATOWSKI, Joe (Weber State University)..... GII-6 Fri AM

V

VAN FRAASSEN, Bastiaan (Princeton University)
Carus Lectures Fri PM, Sat PM, Sun AM
 VARNER, Gary (Texas A&M University) GII-4 Fri AM
 VEBER, Michael (East Carolina University) VIII-H Sun PM
 VELASQUEZ, Ernesto Rosen (University at Buffalo–State University
 of New York)GV-11 Fri PM
 VELKLEY, Richard (Tulane University)..... GVI-10 Fri PM
 VER EECKE, Wilfried (Georgetown University)GV-1 Fri PM
 VERROCHI, Meredith (University of Michigan)..... GVIII-5 Sat AM
 VESSEY, David (University of Chicago) III-C Fri AM
 VEST, Jennifer (University of Central Florida).....VI-J Sat PM
 VIGER, Christopher (University of Western Ontario) GXI-1 Sun AM
 VOGT, Katja (Columbia University) II-D Fri AM
 VORONINA, Lida (United States Department of State) GVII-2 Sat AM
 VROOM, Annewieke (Free University of Amsterdam–Netherlands)
 GII-3 Fri AM

W

WAGNER, Michael (University of San Diego)GX-5 Sat PM
 WALKER, Margaret (Arizona State University) VIII-B Sun PM
 WALZER, Michael (Institute for Advanced Study–Princeton) ...GX-9 Sat PM
 WARTENBERG, Thomas (Mount Holyoke College) VIII-I Sun PM
 WASSERMAN, David (Yeshiva University) III-F Fri AM
 WATSON, Gary (University of California–Riverside)IV-K Fri PM
 WEI, Haiming (Renmin University–China) GXI-2 Sun AM
 WEININGER, Stephen (Worcester Polytechnic Institute/
 Massachusetts Institute of Technology)IV-J Fri PM
 WEIR, Allison (Wilfrid Laurier University–Canada) VIII-A Sun PM
 WEISBERG, Michael (University of Pennsylvania)IV-J Fri PM
 WEISKOPF, Dan (University of South Florida) V-F Sat AM
 WEITHMAN, Paul (University of Notre Dame)GVI-1 Fri PM
 WELTER, Albert (University of Winnipeg)..... GVIII-3 Sat AM
 WENNING, Mario (The New School)..... GXII-1 Sun PM

WHITAKER, Debbie (California State University–Long Beach)VIII-I Sun PM
 WHITE, Heath (University of North Carolina–Wilmington) VII-I Sun AM
 WHITT, Matthew (Vanderbilt University)..... GXII-3 Sun PM
 WILLETT, Cynthia (Emory University).....GX-8 Sat PM
 WILLIAMS, Meredith (Johns Hopkins University) V-J Sat AM
 WILSON, Gordon (University of North Carolina–Asheville)GVI-8 Fri PM
 WINFIELD, Richard Dien (University of Georgia)GVI-9 Fri PM
 WOLTERSTORFF, Nicholas (Yale University).....GVI-1 Fri PM
 WOODY, Melvin (Connecticut College).....GIII-2 Fri AM
 WORMS, Frédéric (Université de Lille III–France) II-C Fri AM
 WRENN, Chase (University of Alabama)IV-H Fri PM
 WRIGHT, Crispin (University of St. Andrews–United Kingdom)...VI-C Sat PM
 WYCKOFF, Jason (University of Colorado–Boulder) VI-F Sat PM

X

XIAO, Yang (Kenyon College)..... IV-L Fri PM

Y

YAN, Jinfen (University of Toronto)GVI-3 Fri PM
 YANCY, George (Duquesne University)II-G Fri AM
 YOUPA, Andrew (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale).....GIII-6 Fri AM
 YUAN, Jinmei (Creighton University)..... GIX-3 Sat PM

Z

ZACK, Naomi (University of Oregon)
GVI-11 Fri PM, GX-1 Sat PM, VII-J Sun AM
 ZAVOTA, Gina (Kent State University)GX-5 Sat PM
 ZEIS, John (Canisius College)..... GVIII-10 Sat AM
 ZEMAN, Scott (Vanderbilt University) GXII-3 Sun PM
 ZHENG, Yiwei (St. Cloud State University).....GIII-7 Fri AM
 ZHYRKOVA, Anna (Tel Aviv University–Israel)GX-5 Sat PM
 ZINAICH Jr., Samuel (Purdue University–Calumet)
 GIV-1 Fri PM, GX-2 Sat PM
 ZINKIN, Melissa (Binghamton University–State University
 of New York) VIII-G Sun PM
 ZUPKO, Jack (Emory University)GVI-8 Fri PM

ABSTRACTS OF COLLOQUIUM PAPERS

CLIFFORDIAN KNIGHTS OF THE RAZOR AND THE JAMESIAN SPIRITUAL SPHERE: EVIDENCE AND AN UNSEEN REALM (IV-F)

MELISSA BERGERON, *US MILITARY ACADEMY—WEST POINT*

William James, contrary to common belief, is not arguing to isolate or protect religious belief from evidential scrutiny; rather, he is arguing that the evidential warrant is nonintellectual, i.e., experiential and so subjective. His talk of each individual needing to risk (or not) for herself is due largely to the fact that only she can evaluate the force of the evidence she has for taking the world religiously. Unfortunately (and ironically), “The Will to Believe” is—of James’s various discussions of the justification of religious belief—the weakest presentation of this view. One must look to his other works to appreciate the force of his will to believe doctrine.

ARISTOTLE ON THE ORDER AND DIRECTION OF TIME (VI-D)

JOHN BOWIN, *UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA—SANTA CRUZ*

I prove, in this paper, that Aristotle has the resources within his philosophy to answer a common criticism of his account of the order and the direction of time. In Book 4 of the *Physics*, Aristotle argues that the order of time is derived from the order of change by something like a one-one, structure-preserving mapping from the phases of a change to the instants at which they occur. Aristotle claims that the order of time can be derived in this way since time is a property of change, and is ontologically dependent on change. I refute the criticism that this derivation is viciously circular.

IS DIVORCE PROMISE-BREAKING? (VII-H)

ELIZABETH BRAKE, *UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY*

Wedding vows seem to be promises. So they go: “I promise to love, honor, and cherish” But this poses a problem. Divorce is not widely seen as a serious moral wrong. However, breaking a promise is widely seen as a serious moral wrong. I first consider a “hard-line” response: divorce is indeed *prima facie* impermissible promise-breaking. I show this has some plausibility when combined with the “hardship” response—that the hardship of failed marriages overrides the *prima facie* duty to keep promises. However, I reject this, primarily because it would entail that promisors are released in far too many cases. I argue instead for the “hard-headed” response: wedding vows are not promises at all. We cannot promise to do acts the performance of which are outside our control, and the intentions to love, and so on, expressed in wedding vows involve states of mind outside our control.

AN EXTENSIONAL APPROACH TO QUANTIFIER DOMAIN RESTRICTION (VIII-E)

BERIT BROGAARD, AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

It is widely agreed that the quantifiers of natural language quantify over restricted domains. ‘Every student writes a senior thesis’, for example, is not normally used to assert something that is true iff every student in the universe writes a senior thesis. But, as we will see, one of the most popular accounts of domain restriction due to Stanley and Szabo cannot fully account for such phenomena. Schlenker has recently offered an alternative account which is compatible with minimalism about semantic content: no domain variables are posited in the syntax, and restrictions are stated in the meta-language, ‘the way it is done in modal logic’. But Schlenker’s proposal too is less than fully adequate as a theory of natural language, as it presupposes a first-order approach to quantification. Fortunately, generalized quantifier theory can be extended to account for domain restriction in natural language without rejecting minimalism about semantic content.

A DILEMMA FOR KORSGAARD: THE INTERNALISM REQUIREMENT OR THE UNIVERSAL NORMATIVITY OF MORAL REASONS? (VI-E)

DANIELLE BROMWICH, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Korsgaard’s understanding of internalism is in tension with her commitment to the universal normativity of moral reasons. She holds that only rational agents—not all agents—can be moved by understood reasons for action. This version of internalism allows Korsgaard to respond to the practical reason sceptic, but it jars with her commitment to universal normativity of moral reasons for all—not just perfectly rational—agents. After all, while imperfectly rational agents have a duty to be moral, Korsgaard accepts that some agents may be incapable of being moved by moral reasons due to irrationality. I argue that if we accept that there is a duty to develop a moral character, then this tension can be largely removed.

CORPORATE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY: A KANTIAN APPROACH (V-I)

KEITH BUSTOS, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE

While Kantian morality prescribes no direct duties to the natural environment, it is possible to generate environmental duties from the Categorical Imperative (CI), specifically the Formulation of Humanity as an End in Itself (FH). I focus only on three duties that apply directly to corporate managers given their role within society as corporate leaders. So, I argue (by appealing to the views of three contemporary Kant scholars) that the following environmental duties apply to all corporate managers and these duties contribute to a foundation for a Kantian corporate environmental responsibility.

- A duty to obey environmental laws within the U.S. and abroad.
 - A duty to abstain from dominating the political process of environmental policy formation.
-

- A duty to save those aspects of the natural environment that constitute the material basis for all human life, for human production, and for human culture.

THE EMERGENT COMPONENT OF TRUST IN “BELIEVING SOMEONE” (VII-G)

ALBERT CHAN, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

The notion of “believing someone” remains equivocal unless we differentiate believing “in” someone from believing someone regarding a particular proposition. The former involves aligning ourselves, putting confidence, placing hope, or trusting in another. The latter comprises dependence and reliance. Trust incorporates an emergent open-ended attitude toward a specific person while reliance gravitates toward a chosen specific attitude toward the source of propositional content. Believing the personal object accounts for our relationships among enemies, strangers, and intimates along with multiple influences at any given moment. Believing someone turns on the crucial questions of what “it means” and what do “I mean” in believing another person.

DISPOSITIONS AND THE VALUE OF VIRTUE (VII-I)

BRADFORD COKELET, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Recently, Thomas Hurka has argued that the way people deploy virtue concepts in everyday ethical thought spells trouble for neo-Aristotelian virtue ethicists: he claims that everyday thought tells in favor of the occurrent-state conception of virtue, which he advocates, and tells against the dispositional conception embraced by neo-Aristotelians. My primary aim here is to review and rebuff Hurka’s attack on the dispositional conception, but in disputing Hurka’s claim that everyday ethical thought is aligned with the occurrent-state conception of virtue concepts, I also identify a strategy for motivating and developing a form of dispositionalism which evades his criticism and the criticisms mounted by fans of situationist psychology.

“EDIBLE” AND OTHER -IBLES: A CASE STUDY IN LINGUISTICALLY ORIENTED PHENOMENOLOGY (VIII-E)

ANDRES COLAPINTO, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY—STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

For Husserl, linguistic structures could serve methodologically to bring into view the structured intentional objectivities our language can express. Departing from Husserl’s analysis of “attribution”—viz. nominal phrases like “the white paper,” derived, in Husserl’s analysis, from judgments like, “The paper is white”—I investigate the syntactic and semantic behavior of a peculiar class of adjectives: “-ible/able” adjectives like “edible,” “navigable,” “portable,” etc. A careful attention to their employment brings to view a unique kind of intentional comportment towards objects and properties—one I label “eventive attribution”—whose analysis helps refine Husserl’s initial contributions, and points the way to further research.

EFFICACY IN THE BOOK OF MASTER GUIGU (VIII-F)

DANIEL COYLE, OUR LADY OF THE LAKE UNIVERSITY

The controversial book that passes under the title “Guiguzi—the Master of Ghost Valley,” transmits an arcane wisdom linked to statesmen, strategists, and certain “Daoist” traditions from Warring States China. Since the Han Dynasty, the orthodox Confucian tradition largely disdains the school and text of Master Guigu, perceiving them as philosophically subversive. The transmitted text attributed to Master Guigu is extremely abstruse and ostensibly countercurrent to mainstream Chinese traditions; it remains somewhat clandestine and resistant to philosophical analysis. The purpose of this paper is to show that The Book of Master Guigu’s dominant and yet little-known contribution to Chinese thought is the development and implementation of yinyang cosmological doctrines into a unique praxiology of efficacy. No other text in the classical Chinese philosophical tradition ventures to discuss efficacy as explicitly as The Book of Master Guigu.

A PHENOMENOLOGY OF POLITICAL APATHY: SCHELER AND ARENDT ON MASS VIOLENCE (IV-E)

ZACHARY DAVIS, ST. JOHN’S UNIVERSITY

My intent in this paper is to add a new fold into the analytic of mass movements, a type of phenomenology of the emotions. Taking Max Scheler and Hannah Arendt as the initial guides, I focus on the feeling of the political apathy, perhaps the most defining feeling accorded to the traditional sense of the masses. An investigation into the feeling of political apathy provides a distinctive form of access that reveals both the moment wherein mass movements become criminal and the means by which to address their criminality more effectively.

NORMATIVE JUDGMENTS, PERSONAL TRAITS OF THE JUDGE, AND THE BASING RELATIONSHIP (VII-G)

JOHN DAVIS, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY—FULLERTON

Beliefs in ethics and law sometimes correlate with irrelevant personal traits, such that if the subject had a different socioeconomic background, gender, or personality, but had all the same information and epistemic virtues, his or her belief would be different. To have a justified belief, your belief must have the proper basing relationship with whatever evidence justifies it. It may seem that if your belief correlates with such personal traits, it is not really based on the considerations you claim as reasons for believing it. I deny this, and argue that this correlation is compatible with having properly based beliefs.

ON CAUSAL ACCOUNTS OF THE REPRESENTATIONALITY OF CARTESIAN SENSATIONS (V-J)

RAFFAELLA DE ROSA, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY—NEWARK

Margaret Wilson and Tad Schmaltz have defended a causal account of the representationality of Cartesian sensations. Causal accounts, among

other things, allegedly offer a solution to the Cartesian puzzle of sensory misrepresentation. In this paper, I argue, contra Wilson and Schmaltz, that causal accounts fail to provide both a satisfactory account of the representationality of Cartesian sensations and a solution to the above puzzle. I conclude that the failure of causal accounts suggests the presence of an internalist element in Descartes' account of the representationality of sensations and, hence, that the prospects for explaining the notion of sensory (mis)representation lie in understanding the interaction between internalist and causal elements in Descartes' views on sensory content.

HOW MUST WE BE TO KNOW WHAT IS? DEMYSTIFYING HEIDEGGER'S PRELIMINARY QUESTION (IV-E)

CHAD ENGELLAND, JOHN CARROLL UNIVERSITY

Heidegger's goal is to inquire into the reciprocity of Dasein and being, but in *Being and Time* and related works he poses a preliminary question about Dasein. Early and late, Heidegger acknowledges that his main question becomes intelligible only by way of the preliminary question because it engages the philosophical tradition in a productive way. In particular, it forges together the ancient question about beings and the modern question about knowledge, asking, "How must we be to be open to what we are not and yet nonetheless is?" The paper shows how Heidegger identifies the modern condition for the possibility of cognition with the classical enabling power of essence. We are open to things in our essence and only for this reason can things appear to us as they are. The paper concludes by examining Heidegger's later critique of the way he formulated the preliminary question about Dasein.

THE ORDER QUESTION: PLATO'S THEORY OF LOVE IN THE SYMPOSIUM (VIII-F)

RICHARD FOLEY, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA

Plato's *Symposium* has recently occasioned extensive scholarly disagreement on the Order Question, viz. What is the principle governing the order of the seven speeches? I argue that the correct answer to the Order Question requires linking the sequence of speeches to Diotima's famous description of the ascent in the study of beauty, the "Socratic ladder." Complications arise with the speeches of Aristophanes and Alcibiades, yet I argue for understanding these complications as elements in an overarching Platonic theory of love that transcends the contributions of any single symposiast, Socrates included.

STRUCTURING ENDS (VI-E)

JONATHAN GARTHOFF, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

There is disagreement among contemporary theorists regarding the nature of a person's good. On one hand there are "substantive good" views, according to which the most important elements of a person's good are due to her nature as a human, rational, and/or sentient being. On the other hand there are "agent-constituted" views, which contend that a person's

good is constituted by her particular aims, desires, and/or preferences. Each approach captures important features of the good, but neither can provide a complete account: agent-constituted theories have difficulty accounting for the normativity of their claims, and substantive good theories have difficulty accounting for how a person's actually adopted aims shape what he has reason to do. I articulate and defend a hybrid view that equals these approaches in systematicity of explanation yet seeks to surpass them in coherence with ordinary judgments about what a person's good consists in.

READING THE MIND OF GOD (WITHOUT HEBREW LESSONS): ALSTON, SHARED ATTENTION, AND MYSTICAL EXPERIENCE (IV-F)

ADAM GREEN, SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY

In his book *Perceiving God*, William Alston attempts to exploit structural similarities between sense perception and mystical religious experiences so as both to elucidate the epistemic machinery of mystical practice, and to safeguard its theoretical respectability by linking it with sense perception. He argues that sense perception and mystical practice ought to be regarded as species of the same genus, perception simpliciter. However, this suggestion becomes problematic when one realizes that the sort of predicates which are used of God in reports of mystical experiences are ones that are familiar features of the natural order but are not objects of the type of perception which Alston's account uses. It is argued in this paper that, for the theist at least, Alston must construe mystical experiences in terms of what developmental psychologists have come to call "shared attention," if he is to champion the epistemic status of mystical experience.

RESOLVING A TRILEMMA FOR KANT'S THEORY OF AFFECTION (VIII-G)

BRYAN HALL, INDIANA UNIVERSITY SOUTHEAST

Hans Vaihinger, in the late 19th century, posed a trilemma for Kant's theory of affection requiring affecting objects to be either (1) things-in-themselves, (2) appearances, or (3) both things-in-themselves and appearances (so-called double affection). Each of these three horns has its own problems. Whereas most commentators assume, along with Vaihinger himself, that appearances are particulars when trying to overcome the trilemma, Rae Langton develops a relational view of appearances. After examining Langton's interpretation as well as the problems that face it, I will develop an alternative interpretation, one that views appearances as intrinsic relations between phenomenal objects and cognitive subjects. My view on appearances dispels Vaihinger's trilemma since it does not require affection by things-in-themselves nor does it require appearances to be affecting objects. Even so, experience is still wholly phenomenal since objects and subjects are reciprocally necessary and possible only through appearance relations.

A PROBLEM FOR TYE'S PANIC THEORY AND A METHODOLOGICAL CONCERN ABOUT THE REPRESENTATIONAL APPROACH TO PHENOMENAL CONSCIOUSNESS (VI-G)

JASON HEDDERMAN, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA

In this paper I argue that Tye's PANIC theory has the following consequence: when a normal subject undergoes a non-veridical visual experience the subject of the experience is to some degree or other a visual zombie. This renders the PANIC theory implausible and, I suggest, reveals a general methodological problem facing representationalism, namely, that of making good on how representational contents "fit" into the physical world that avoids what I claim is a consequence of the PANIC theory.

DEFENDING LEWIS'S COMPATIBILISM (IV-G)

CHARLES HERMES, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS-ARLINGTON

David Lewis distinguishes senses in which an agent both can and cannot render a law of nature false. Doing so allows him to distinguish between an agent's ability to run an eight-minute mile in a deterministic world and the agent's inability to run faster than the speed of light. Helen Beebe, however, has argued that Lewis's distinction collapses because it entails that agents possess both of these abilities. Underlying Beebe's criticism is the claim that laws ought to affect human behavior in the same manner as they affect the behavior of the basic particles of physics. Since Humean laws describe but do not constrain an electron's behavior, Humean laws should not constrain agent's abilities. While Beebe's argument fails, it demonstrates that Lewis's analysis of counterfactuals is more intimately tied to his analysis of counterfactuals than is typically realized.

BRANDOM'S IDEALIST THESIS: WHAT'S THE IDEA? (VIII-E)

PHILLIP HONENBERGER, TEMPLE UNIVERSITY

In an essay on Hegel, Robert Brandom presents an idea he calls "the idealist thesis," which he defines as the thesis that "the unity and structure of the concept is the same as the unity and structure of the self." Tom Rockmore, however, writes that he "cannot make any sense of the so-called idealist thesis." What is the meaning of Brandom's idealist thesis? Does it rely on a merely abstract and structural similarity between concepts and selves, or does it rather establish a deeper, asymmetrical, ontologically-significant connection between the two? This essay recounts the argument for the idealist thesis as given in chapter seven of Brandom's *Tales of the Mighty Dead* (2002), and argues that there are good reasons for entertaining the thesis, reasons related to the Kantian distinction between transcendental and empirical selfhood.

SOUL AND NATURAL SUBLUNARY ELEMENTAL MOTION IN ARISTOTLE (VI-D)

ERROL KATAYAMA, OHIO NORTHERN UNIVERSITY

In *Physics 8.4*, Aristotle identifies the generator of "the light and heavy" or whatever removes the obstacle that blocked their motion as the mover of their natural motion. One of the difficulties is that Aristotle seems to have

identified only an accidental, and not an essential, cause of the natural elemental motion; for generating an element and moving it are two different causes, and removing of an obstacle is a blatant example of accidental cause. By critically analyzing “the doctrine of natural places,” and emphasizing the point that, for Aristotle, it is always the case that the soul is a mover and the body is moved, I shall argue that in the case of sublunary elements that are inanimate, it is ultimately the soul that by the very act of generating an element also at the same time imparts and initiates the motion.

LOCKE’S PROTESTANT STATE OF NATURE: COLONIALISM AND THE PROBLEM OF JURISDICTION (VI-F)

CHAD KAUTZER, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY—STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

It has been argued that Locke’s interest in British colonialism trumped his philosophical integrity insofar as he mischaracterized Amerindian life as a state of nature in the *Two Treatises* to justify subjugation and dispossession. Rather than a case of political misuse, however, Locke’s most famous description of the state of nature is best understood precisely as a juridical description of the colonial condition—part of a Protestant natural law alternative to jurisdictional claims based on first occupation, racial inferiority, or papal donation. In it, Locke provides us with two mechanisms for establishing nonconsensual jurisdiction, which successfully circumvent the philosophical problems encountered by his neo-Thomist and Protestant predecessors, while also undermining the absolutism of Grotius, Hobbes, Pufendorf, and Filmer. This reading, I argue, is consistent with recent scholarship, has greater historical and textual support than previous interpretations, and counters many, if not all, critiques of Locke’s so-called abstract individualism.

WHY MINIMALISM FAILS AS A JUSTIFICATION FOR DEMOCRACY (V-H)

CHRISTOPHER KING, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY

Minimalism—the sort of view expressed by Joseph Schumpeter and, more recently, Richard Posner—fails as a justification of democracy, or so the author concludes. Underlying this view are two divergent tendencies: (1) the view typical of liberalism that voting serves as a check on political power, and (2) the view that political participation, even at the level of voting is not of fundamental importance. In the first case, the check on power seems to suppose participation. If (2) were true there could be no check on power. By appealing to Posner’s “theory of natural leadership” the author will show that minimalism as defined by these two tendencies tends towards authoritarianism, or an inadequate concept of political participation.

RATIONALITY AND OTHER VALUES: A STUDY IN FIRST AND SECOND-PERSON MORAL NECESSITATION (VII-I)

EDWARD KLEIST, CONCORDIA COLLEGE

This preliminary study focuses on the first personal necessitating force exercised by values and reasons. While reasons exercise a necessitating force upon action, I argue that values also exert necessitation, insofar as values are subjectively necessary features of moral identity. The force exerted upon us by the value of rationality presents a special case of a subjectively necessitating commitment. I consider how other persons necessitate us with respect to both values and reasons. I conclude that while there can be a second-personal source of necessitating reasons, there is no second-personal source of necessitating values. The necessitating force of values admits only of a first-personal source, which may expand to the first-person plural. The necessitating force of reasons issuing from a second person requires shared values, thus assimilating the second person into a first person plural.

UNRESTRICTED COMPOSITION AND RESTRICTED QUANTIFICATION (IV-G)

DANIEL KORMAN, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS—URBANA—CHAMPAIGN

Many of those who accept the universalist thesis that mereological composition is unrestricted also maintain that the folk typically restrict their quantifiers in such a way as to exclude strange fusions when they say things that appear to conflict with universalism. Despite its *prima facie* implausibility, there are powerful arguments for universalism. By contrast, there is remarkably little evidence for the thesis that strange fusions are excluded from the ordinary domain of quantification. There is no linguistic, psychological, or behavioral evidence, nor (I will argue) can the truth of universalism itself serve as evidence for this thesis. Furthermore, this reconciliatory strategy seems hopeless when applied to the more fundamental conflict between universalism and the intuitions that tell against it. Universalists are better advised to accept that the apparent conflict with folk belief is genuine and to try to explain the folk's mistake rather than explain it away.

PERCEPTUAL PRESENCE (VI-G)

JASON LEDDINGTON, CENTRE COLLEGE

Typically, the experience of seeing a tomato is importantly like the experience of seeing no more than an appropriately positioned tomato-part. At the same time, a typical tomato-sighting is like the experience of seeing a full-blown tomato, not merely a tomato-part. That perceptual experience typically is both of these ways is what Alva Noë calls the "two-dimensionality of perception," and he calls the puzzle to which it gives rise "the problem of perceptual presence." I agree with Noë that the two-dimensionality of perception is a genuine phenomenon to which any adequate theory of perception must do justice. However, Noë has recently attempted to account for it in terms of a perceiver's sensorimotor

capacities, and this strategy faces a very serious difficulty. The purpose of this paper is to articulate this difficulty and to recommend an alternative account of perceptual two-dimensionality.

CHILDREN, GRATITUDE, AND RESPECT: FILIAL PIETY AS A VICE (VII-H)

LAWRENCE LENGBEYER, UNITED STATES NAVAL ACADEMY

It is commonly thought that children ought to be prompted to attend to their parents' needs and desires by gratitude for the great and distinctive goods that the parents have sacrificed to provide, and that it is only in exceptional cases of despicably neglectful or abusive parenting that parents forfeit their entitlement to such treatment. I will argue, to the contrary, that some of the conditions bearing upon the appropriateness of gratitude—in particular, defeasibility conditions—have been overlooked, and that a more complete understanding reveals that gratitude is very often not due to parents in our society, due to their failure to accord due respect to their children. Consequently, many, many real-life cases call for little, or no, filial piety from children—in which cases its provision might actually bespeak a character vice on the part of the children, rather than a virtue.

AQUINAS ON JUDGING INJUSTICE: JUSTICE IN AQUINAS' COMMENTARY ON ARISTOTLE'S NICOMACHEAN ETHICS (VI-E)

THORNTON LOCKWOOD, BOSTON UNIVERSITY

In the *Nicomachean Ethics*, Aristotle claims that justice differs from the other ethical virtues since it is not said “simply” (1108b7-9). But such a remark hardly explains why justice requires an entirely separate—and extended—treatment. In his *Commentary on Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics*, Thomas Aquinas accounts for Aristotle's distinctive treatment of justice on the basis of its relationship to what Aquinas calls the “will.” According to Aquinas, the other ethical virtues of the *Nicomachean Ethics* are concerned with the passions, but Aristotle “explained justice after the manner of a will, which does not have passions but nevertheless is the principle of external actions” (§889). In my paper I explore Aquinas' claim and argue that although it is partially based on Aristotelian moral psychology, it is ultimately based on a decidedly un-Aristotelian commitment to the subordination of human law to divine law.

FROM BEING TO GIVENNESS AND BACK: SOME REMARKS ON THE MEANING OF TRANSCENDENTAL IDEALISM IN KANT AND HUSSERL (VIII-G)

SEBASTIAN LUFT, MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

This paper takes a fresh look at a classical theme in modern philosophy. I present Kant's transcendental idealism as a theory distinguishing between the world as in-itself and as given to the experiencing human being. This reconstruction provides the backdrop for Husserl's transcendental phenomenology as a brand of transcendental idealism expanding on Kant: Through the phenomenological reduction Husserl universalizes Kant's transcendental philosophy into an eidetic science of subjectivity. Thereby,

Husserl provides a new sense of transcendental philosophy; rephrases the “quid iuris?”; and furnishes a new conception of the thing-in-itself. What needs to be clarified is not the possibility of a priori cognition but, instead, the validity of objects that give themselves in experience. The thing-in-itself is not an unknowable object, but the idea of the object in all possible appearances at once. In all these innovations Husserl remains committed to the basic sense in which Kant conceived the Copernican Turn.

INTRINSIC EXPLANATION AND FIELD’S DISPENSABILIST STRATEGY (V-G)

RUSSELL MARCUS, HAMILTON COLLEGE

Philosophy of mathematics for the last half-century has been dominated in one way or another by Quine’s indispensability argument. The argument alleges that our best scientific theory quantifies over, and thus commits us to, mathematical objects. In this paper, I present new considerations which undermine the most serious challenge to Quine’s argument, Hartry Field’s reformulation of Newtonian Gravitational Theory.

IS BEING ONE ONLY ONE?: THE UNIQUENESS OF PLATONIC FORMS (VIII-F)

ANNA MARMODORO, OXFORD UNIVERSITY

Each Form is unique in number; no two numerically distinct Forms can share the same nature. Plato argues for this claim in *Republic X*. I identify the metaphysical principles Plato presupposes in the premises of the argument by examining the reasoning behind them, and offer a reconstruction of the argument showing the principles in use. I argue that the metaphysical significance of the argument’s conclusion is to establish that if a Form F were not unique, if there were many Forms F, their nature would alter along with their number: a Form cannot recur without change in its constitution. This is why there can be only one Form for each character in the world.

RE-THINKING INFINITY: ALAIN BADIOU’S *BEING AND EVENT* (VIII-D)

ADAM MILLER, COLLINS COMMUNITY COLLEGE

What is most immediately striking about Alain Badiou’s *Being and Event* is its tight recapitulation of nearly fifty years of French philosophy. However, while this is remarkable in itself, it threatens to obscure the more important question: Does this repetition produce something new? Does it produce a genuine philosophical difference? It is my thesis that, in order to read *Being and Event* correctly, we should read it as an effort to systematically reinscribe postmodernity within a new conception of infinity for the sake of making theoretically legible a single precarious difference: truth.

LUCK, EGALITARIANISM, RESPONSIBILITY, AND RESPECT (V-I)

ORAN MOKED, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

According to luck egalitarianism (LE), justice requires that we eliminate disadvantages that befall people through no choice of their own. One objection to LE is that it disrespects its beneficiaries—the victims of brute bad luck—whose disadvantage is involuntary. It does so because it singles

them out as inherently inferior: it distinguishes between those who truly lack talent, and hence are not responsible for their disadvantage, and those who are talented but have chosen not to take advantage of their talents. I assess—and reject—a series of responses to this objection. None of them shows that luck egalitarian policies can avoid such stigmatizing effects. But does such criticism disqualify LE from constituting an acceptable conception of distributive justice? I argue that it does not. Even if luck egalitarian principles give rise to policies tainted by disrespect, this is no reason for rejecting them as principles of distributive justice.

REVERSIBILITY AND EREIGNIS: BEING AS KANTIAN IMAGINATION IN MERLEAU-PONTY AND HEIDEGGER (VIII-D)

DAVID MORRIS, TRENT UNIVERSITY—CANADA

This paper aims to clarify to Merleau-Ponty's difficult concept of "reversibility" by interpreting it as resuming the dialectical critique of the rationalist and empiricist tradition that informs Merleau-Ponty's earlier work. The focus is on reversibility in "Eye and Mind," as dismantling the traditional dualism of activity and passivity. This also puts reversibility in continuity with the *Phenomenology's* appropriation of Kant, letting us note an affiliation between Merleau-Ponty's reversibility and Heidegger's Ereignis: in each case being itself already performs the operation that Kant had located in the imagination. Reversibility discovers this Kantian imagination moving in place, Ereignis discovers it in temporality.

AN EVIDENCE PUZZLE (VIII-H)

*PETER MURPHY, UNIVERSITY OF INDIANAPOLIS
AND ALLEN COATES, EAST TENNESSEE STATE UNIVERSITY*

This paper introduces a puzzle about evidence. A person is told that in the future they will acquire evidence for some proposition, P. After arguing that this puts the person in a position to have a justified belief that P before they have acquired the evidence, we focus on the later time when they acquire the evidence. At the later time, what happens to the earlier justification for believing P? There are only two possibilities: either it no longer contributes to the person's overall justification for believing P, or it still contributes. We present arguments against each possibility.

THE PARADOX OF IDEALIZATION (IV-H)

*JULIEN MURZI, UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD—UNITED KINGDOM
AND SALVATORE FLORIO, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY*

In this paper, we present a new Paradox of Knowability to the effect that not all truths are knowable in principle. Our result shows that a plausible interpretation of the thesis that all truths are knowable is in fact inconsistent with some reasonable assumptions about truths that are not feasibly knowable by us. The new proof presents some advantages over the original Knowability Paradox first published by Frederic Fitch. Contrary to this latter one, it is in fact intuitionistically valid and it resists hierarchical

treatment. It can therefore be seen as a useful tool for selecting adequate solutions to the Knowability Paradoxes. We end by suggesting a possible way of blocking the Paradoxes.

THE POSSESSION CONDITIONS OF ARITHMETICAL CONCEPTS (V-G)

CHRISTOPHER PINCOCK, PURDUE UNIVERSITY

On the assumption that there is an informative account of what is involved in the possession of arithmetical concepts, can we draw any metaphysical conclusions about the nature of the numbers themselves? I argue that the answer to this question is “yes,” at least for the influential account of concept possession developed by Christopher Peacocke. But, against Peacocke, I claim that his project supports a structuralist interpretation of arithmetic, as opposed to the more traditional objects-based version of platonism. The discussion turns on the link between numbers and counting, and the apparent circularity that results from including the role of numbers in counting in the possession conditions of the concept of number.

ARISTOTLE ON LUCK AND CHANCE (VI-D)

JULIE PONESSE, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO

In the middle of the 4th century B.C., on his way to mapping out the nature and number of causes in *Physics II*, Aristotle provided a theory of accidental causation that stands out as anomalously precise in the history of philosophical interest in the problem. Many readers, however, claim that Aristotle’s technical definition of *tyche*, as “what might have been due to thought and for the sake of something,” is incompatible with what they see as a broader notion of *tyche* in the ethical texts, as ‘whatever benefits or harms us that is beyond our control’. My aim here is to show that Aristotle’s theory of accidental causation, which runs from *Physics II.4-6*, not only firmly underpins, but is given practical force by, the discussions of luck in the ethical texts.

MIND-BODY SUPERVENIENCE’S CARDINAL SIN (VI-G)

JOSH RASMUSSEN, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

I offer a new argument against the thesis that distinct mental states necessarily depend for their existence upon distinct physical states by arguing that there are too many thinkable thoughts for each distinct thought to enjoy a distinct physical base.

AGAINST THE GOAL OF TRUE BELIEFS (IV-H)

STEVEN REYNOLDS, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

This paper argues against the popular view that knowledge is best understood in terms of a personal goal of believing only the truth. Instead, I argue that encouraging better testimony is the public goal that motivates our society-wide practice of attributing and denying knowledge. The main idea is that we have voluntary control over our testimony, which could thus be improved in response to being praised as known, while our indirect and

partial control over our beliefs and ways of acquiring beliefs does not seem to be a likely target for improvement by praise.

CONTEXTUALISM AND VIRTUE PERSPECTIVISM: HOW TO PRESERVE OUR INTUITIONS ABOUT KNOWLEDGE AND “KNOWS” (VIII-H)

BLAKE ROEBER, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

Contextualism is a linguistic thesis; it is a theory not about knowledge but about the word “knows.” Almost invariably, contextualists defend their position as necessary for preserving our epistemological intuitions in the face of the so-called “skeptical paradox.” In this paper, I undermine the case for contextualism by showing how a properly Chisholmed theory of knowledge might preserve our epistemological intuitions more successfully than the linguistic thesis forwarded by contextualism. My aim is not to demonstrate that contextualism is false. Rather, I aim at orienting the debate away from the preservation of intuitions and toward the linguistic data surrounding the word “knows.”

HEIDEGGER AND FRANKFURT ON THE CIRCULARITY OF THE PRACTICAL QUESTION (IV-E)

B. SCOT ROUSSE, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Harry Frankfurt has recently argued that the practical question, the question that asks “How should I live?” is irreducibly circular. The same idea is found in the early work of Martin Heidegger, who connects it to his thesis that human self-identity is determined by self-interpretation. For each this circularity gives rise to a post-Kantian notion of autonomy: Frankfurt’s “wholehearted self-identification” and Heidegger’s “authenticity.” I show the relevance of Frankfurt’s treatment of the circularity of the practical question to a debate about Heidegger’s conceptions of anxiety and authenticity. I go on to defend a new interpretation of “authenticity,” focusing on its connection to the concepts “repetition” and “transparency,” and exploring its relevance to a debate about Frankfurt’s conception of identification. I argue that the Heideggerian view is opposed to Frankfurt’s explanation of “i-identification” in terms of “satisfaction.”

PARTHOOD AND LOCATION (IV-G)

RAUL SAUCEDO—CORNELL UNIVERSITY

I present an argument against both directions of an assumption about how parthood and location might be distributed over some material objects and some regions of space or spacetime; namely, the claim that, necessarily, for any material things x and y , x is part of y iff x is exactly located at a subregion of the region at which y is exactly located. I show that rejecting this claim distinguishes between two apparently equivalent ways in which a plurality of material objects and a single such thing might be related: mereological composition and what I call “minimal-expansion.” I highlight a few consequences this has for various current metaphysical discussions.

THE IMPOSSIBLE VIRTUE (VI-F)

ZACHARY SILVER, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

According to the traditional, negative conception of toleration, to be tolerant requires that we respect other peoples' right to hold their beliefs. This understanding of toleration has been challenged by a positive conception of toleration according to which toleration requires that we respect other peoples' beliefs themselves. I argue that the claim attributed to G.K. Chesterton that "tolerance is the virtue of a man with no convictions" highlights the fatal flaw in the positive conception of toleration, that it is a demand for the impossible.

RELIVING BRITISH EMERGENTISM (V-J)

JENNIFER SUSSE, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Jaegwon Kim and others have unfavorably compared contemporary Nonreductive Physicalists to the 1920s British Emergentists. I will argue that Nonreductive Physicalists have nothing to fear from this comparison. Both contemporary Nonreductive Physicalists and the British Emergentists argue for the plausible epistemological claim that there are limits on our ability to understand and predict the behavior of macroscopic objects solely from knowledge of the behavior of their microscopic particulars. Furthermore, with respect to the metaphysical assumptions underlying their epistemological claims, emergentism and nonreductive physicalism are more coherent than critics contend. In fact, I will argue that the only alternative to an extremely sparse ontology is to embrace some form of emergentism.

A FAREWELL TO DEWEYAN DEMOCRACY (V-H)

ROBERT TALISSE, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY

The revival of pragmatism has brought renewed enthusiasm for Dewey's conception of "democracy as a way of life." The author argues that Dewey's democratic theory is unworthy of resurrection. Drawing from later Rawlsian concerns, the author first argues that Deweyan democracy cannot accommodate the fact of reasonable pluralism. Then the author argues that this failing is pragmatically consequential. The result is that Deweyan democracy fails on its own terms; pragmatists who want to theorize about democracy must abandon Dewey.

INSCRUTABLE GOODS AND THE ARGUMENT FROM HIDDENNESS (IV-F)

DAVID TAYLOR, FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL COLLEGE

AND MICHAEL MURRAY, FRANKLIN AND MARSHALL COLLEGE

Replies to The Argument from Hiddenness (for atheism) generally make reference to concrete examples of greater goods for the sake of which God might permit reasonable non-belief, thereby justifying his hiddenness. In this essay we argue that such hiddenness-justifying goods need not be discernable to us as humans; there might very well exist inscrutable goods for the sake of which God permits the reasonable non-belief in the world.

If this could be shown to be the case, then The Argument from Hiddenness would surely lose significant force. In developing the argument from inscrutable goods, we respond to three objections that John Schellenberg (a leading proponent of The Argument from Hiddenness) has made to it.

THE “POWER OF JUDGMENT” AS BLIND SPOT: DID HEIDEGGER AND ARENDT FOLLOW KANT’S OWN BLINDNESS? (VIII-D)

BENJAMIN TREMOULET, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS IV

Kant’s concept of the “power of judgment” (*Urteilkraft*) received peculiar treatment from two famous commentators and from Kant himself. Although he based his analysis on the relations between faculties, Martin Heidegger, in his famous interpretation of Kant, completely discarded this power that constitutes one of the three “higher cognitive faculties.” Hannah Arendt, despite the central role she grants to Kant’s “power of judgment,” makes a similar omission from her analysis of his concept of “common sense.” Considering the enormous influence of these authors in their respective fields, a critique of their analyses introduces new approaches to thinking through their main concerns (human finitude and common sense) that have been neglected by later thinkers. Consequently, we will try to understand what makes the “power of judgment” disappear from these central interpretations and determine the philosophical significance of these omissions. Can the reason for it be traced back to Kant’s philosophy itself?

KNOWING MOORE BY KNOWING LES: ON A SUPPOSED SOLUTION TO THE SURPRISE QUIZ PARADOX (VIII-H)

MICHAEL VEBER, EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

According to the Moorean solution to the surprise quiz paradox, the announcement, “There will be a quiz next week but you don’t know what day” is true and initially known to be true by the students. But, in the event of a last day quiz, it becomes unknowable because it reduces to a Moorean absurdity. Thus a last day surprise quiz is possible. The Moorean solution is rejected because: (1) there are situations in which *S* can learn that *P* by hearing an utterance of “*P* but *S* doesn’t know that *P*,” and (2) in the event of a last day quiz, routes are available for students to know that the quiz is coming that don’t involve deducing it from Moorean absurdity. Either the announcement isn’t known to be true when it is made or a last day quiz can be ruled out. In any case, the paradox stands.

PRACTICAL SUCCESS AND THE NATURE OF TRUTH (IV-H)

CHASE WRENN, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA

Why do beliefs tend to cause us to act in ways that will succeed if they are true? Philip Kitcher sees this as an important question for a theory of truth to answer. He contends that deflationary theories of truth cannot answer the question, but causal correspondence theories (CCT) can. In this paper, I argue that Kitcher’s preferred CCT explanations are really no better than

the deflationary explanations he rejects as “shallow” and “uninformative.” But the inability to answer the question is not a fault in either view because the question does not pertain to the nature of truth. Rather, it pertains to the nature of belief or the nature of mind. Asking a theory of truth to give a satisfactory answer to it is like asking a theory of justice to solve the Gettier Problem.

RACE, REFERENCE, AND REALITY (VI-F)

JASON WYCKOFF, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO–BOULDER

Various philosophers and sociologists have adopted a position that we can call “metaphysical eliminativism” about race, which is the view that races are not real, that our race-talk fails to refer to any actual entities or categories, and that we should therefore abandon the use of race terms. Many metaphysical eliminativists about race have made the inference from the nonexistence of natural kind races to the nonexistence of (social kind) races, but, as I will argue, this is a fallacious inference. Drawing upon the insights afforded by semantic externalism, I argue that races do not fail to exist simply because they turn out not to be the sorts of things we may have thought they were. Races may be social kinds, even if most people believe, or have believed, that race-talk refers to biologically defined groups of persons.

FORCE AND FEELING: KANT’S ARGUMENT IN THE AMPHIBOLY (VIII-G)

MELISSA ZINKIN, BINGHAMTON UNIVERSITY–STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

As Kant himself presents it, the “Amphiboly” is an unsuccessful argument against Leibniz’s “intellectualism,” since Leibniz would not object to the “ambiguity” Kant attributes to him. My paper reconstructs and defends Kant’s argument by making explicit its presuppositions. In order for transcendental reflection to make the distinction between sensible and intellectual representations there must be some distinguishing mark that sensible representations have, which cannot be reduced to a concept. This is an intensive magnitude which refers to attractive and repulsive forces. However, there can be no concept, or rule, by which this mark is identified, since this would again make it thinkable by the intellect. Thus transcendental reflection must distinguish between sensible and intellectual representations by non-discursive feeling. In addition to showing the coherence of Kant’s argument in the Amphiboly, my discussion shows the connection between the faculty of transcendental reflection and reflective judgment, the subject of Kant’s third Critique.

ABSTRACTS OF INVITED AND SYMPOSIUM PAPERS

DOES A COSMOPOLITAN INTERPRETATION OF RAWLS' CONCEPTION OF FAIRNESS IMPLY DIFFERENT METHODS, AND REQUIREMENTS OF JUSTICE, THAN COMMONLY THOUGHT? (VII-F)

MARCUS ARVAN, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

Many political philosophers are convinced that Rawls' arguments and principles for domestic justice can be extended more or less straightforwardly to global/international affairs. I argue here, however, that this view is mistaken. First, in order to extend Rawls' conception of fairness to illiberal societies and to global/international affairs, we must eschew Rawls' political constructivism in favor of a moral cosmopolitan interpretation of his conception of justice. Second, this interpretation implies that we must utilize a "Non-Ideal Original Position"—a device that is very different than Rawls' original position in that it does not model a social contract at all but, rather, models a kind of Rawlsian moral judge reminiscent of the sort of impartial moral judge defended in his earliest paper, "Outline of a Decision Procedure in Ethics." Finally, I provide a *prima facie* case for thinking that this judge has reason to select certain plausible, and plausibly liberal, principles of global/international justice that are significantly different than Rawls' two domestic principles.

THE LOGIC OF CHEMICAL SYNTHESIS: REASON AND IMAGINATION (IV-J)

PRAJIT BASU, UNIVERSITY OF HYDERABAD—INDIA

In this paper I explore a subset of experiments in science—those involving chemical synthesis. I first classify various kinds of chemical syntheses in terms of target systems, methodological strategies employed (e.g., retrosynthetic strategy), and synthetic processes—known and discovered. Next, I sketch a logic of chemical synthesis and highlight both the need for and the limitation in rule following. Finally I explore whether the work of a synthetic chemist may be understood in terms of the work of an engineer or an artist.

SOME BLINDNESS TO CHATTEL SLAVERY AND SOME INSIGHTS (IV-A)

ROBERT BERNASCONI, UNIVERSITY OF MEMPHIS

Members of historically oppressed groups are often shocked when they first encounter standard histories of philosophy and discover that issues that deeply concern them are largely ignored. Until very recently one heard little or nothing about chattel slavery, racism, colonialism, imperialism, and

genocide in studies on the history of modern philosophy, and even now the prejudices of some of the less enlightened of Enlightenment philosophers on these topics are regularly dismissed with unsupported claims about “assumptions of the age.” Part of the reason for the neglect of these topics is that for most of the last sixty years the history of philosophy has been on the defensive in the English-speaking world: anxious to establish its credentials as philosophy, it has often been willing to sacrifice its legitimacy as history. To make matters worse, philosophy defined itself during this time with unprecedented narrowness, so that even the history of concepts could be sacrificed on the altar of the history of arguments. In this paper I explore some of the responses and contributions of philosophers to the rise and fall of chattel slavery. In particular, I investigate how the debate about slavery provides an excellent example of philosophical argumentation in action and an indication of the limits of an approach that is fixated too narrowly on arguments. I include a consideration of how this topic might be included in our teaching at various levels.

INTERPRETATION AND CONSTRAINT IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF SCIENCE (I-C)

HAROLD BROWN, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY

I explore the interaction between theory-based interpretations of scientific evidence and constraints on theories provided by that evidence. While it is often claimed that evidence is theory-dependent, several different claims are grouped under this thesis. I distinguish five such claims and reject two of these—that we perceive only what we expect to perceive, and that theory-dependence generates vicious circularity in empirical tests of theories. The remaining forms of theory-dependence recognize that while evidence involves interpretation, it also involves factors that are independent of our beliefs. In particular, while accepted theory indicates the kinds of evidence that are worth pursuing, theory does not dictate what we will find when we actually look. Moreover, while evidence must be couched in the language of a theory in order to be relevant to the evaluation of that theory, this form of theory-dependence does not predetermine if the evaluation will be positive or negative. The Duhem-Quine thesis, which holds that we can always protect a favored thesis against empirical challenges, must also be handled with care. Those who invoke this thesis acknowledge that empirical challenges to our beliefs occur, but attempts to protect a favored thesis may yield new consequences that generate new empirical challenges. Scientists also endeavor to expand the variety of empirical evidence and thus the constraints on theories. As a result, whether a particular thesis can be reasonably protected must be assessed in individual cases.

These reflections lead to a discussion of the role of instrumentation in gathering evidence. As science has developed we have come to recognize that much of the natural world cannot be detected by our senses. We attempt to understand these “non-observables” by constructing theories, and we test those theories by constructing instruments that should allow us to interact with the items of interest (if they actually exist). The

development of these instruments and the interpretation of their outputs are deeply dependent on theories, but this does not prevent these outputs from challenging those very theories and from making us aware of aspects of nature that we had not previously imagined. Thus while theory-guided instrumentation expands the range of interpretive factors that are open to question when we get a negative outcome, it also expands our ability to acquire evidence and thereby increase the range of constraints on our theories. The paper ends with a discussion of the interaction between interpretation and constraint in two issues that Kuhn distinguished in his later writings: making reasonable choice between competing theories in an historical context and assessing whether a particular theory accurately describes its subject matter.

NORMATIVITY IN PERCEPTION (VII-B)

STEVEN CROWELL, *RICE UNIVERSITY*

Recently, in both analytic and phenomenological philosophy, there has been considerable debate over the content of perceptual acts. Some philosophers, such as John McDowell, argue that perception includes no “non-conceptual” content. What the empiricist tradition calls “impressions,” on this view, “already have conceptual content.” Or, using Kantian terms, “spontaneity is inextricably implicated in deliverances of receptivity.” While this does justice to the fact that perception is an intentional state—that it has a meaning; that it presents something “as” something—the view has seemed paradoxical (or at least too rationalistic) to some. The reason McDowell and others hold such a view is that it appears to them that if perception involves non-conceptual content it must lie outside the “space of reasons” and therefore be incapable of serving a justificatory role in knowledge. In other words, it could not stand in a normative, but only in a causal, relation to one’s judgment. The claim that the content of perception must be conceptual, therefore, is an answer to the question: What sort of normativity inheres *in* perception? From Husserl through Heidegger and Merleau-Ponty, phenomenologists have insisted that there is a non-conceptual aspect in perception that is nevertheless responsive to norms, that perceptual content can support counterfactuals (“if I were to go over there this thing would appear thus”) without being inferentially structured. In this paper I focus on Husserl, examining two attempts to describe the distinctive normativity in perception phenomenologically: an early theory that explicitly borrows resources from the logic of judgment and a later theory that tries to improve on the earlier by showing how the perceptual level of “passive synthesis” is the *origin* of conceptual normativity. In neither case, I argue, does Husserl successfully identify a content that is both non-conceptual and responsive to norms, but the reasons for his failure let us see why a phenomenology such as that of Heidegger or Merleau-Ponty—one that studies perception within the context of social practices and bodily skills—is more promising.

CONCEPT CALCULUS (III-D)

HARVEY FRIEDMAN, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

My presentation will focus on a particular recent development of Logic for Philosophy. We take ordinary commonsense notions and formulate basic principles about them which are immediately digestible to philosophers, and to a considerable extent, to ordinary thinkers, resulting in formal systems which are, in a precise sense, “formally equivalent to the axioms of mathematics through abstract set theory.” The relevant kind of equivalence here is that of mutual interpretability.

There is a great deal of flexibility in the choice of primitives and axioms in the formulation of such results. The emphasis, at this stage of the development, is not on arguing for the truth of particular axioms but, rather, revealing striking and unexpected logical structure in the most basic of informal commonsense notions.

Thus the findings indicate that the logical structure of commonsense notions is, in an appropriate sense, “equivalent” to the logical structure of standard mathematical notions, including the most “high powered” ones from abstract set theory.

In one of its most compelling guises, we use just the two informal primitive (nonlogical) concepts: “better than,” and “much better than,” in the context of ordinary (first order) logic with identity. We also discuss some contexts in naive physics, based on a naive physical quantity varying over naïve time.

One application of this development is the emergence of consistency proofs for mathematics by means of commonsense reasoning. Whether, and by what means, the principles of commonsense reasoning used are ultimately accepted as valid, remains to be seen and is beyond the scope of the presentation.

Although the formal systems based on such commonsense notions are entirely transparent, as well as the statements of the results, there is a considerable amount of high powered technical mathematical logic involved in proving these results. I will sharply limit any discussion of such technicalities.

VESTIGES OF INCOMMENSURABILITY IN DAVIDSON AND GADAMER? (III-C)

BARBARA FULTNER, DENISON UNIVERSITY

Are there vestiges of incommensurability in Davidson or Gadamer? Both deny that there could be incommensurable—i.e., mutually untranslatable—theories, languages, or conceptual schemes. Davidson rejects the scheme-content distinction, which he thinks the incommensurability thesis presupposes, on formal grounds. For Gadamer, language is the universal medium of understanding; understanding consists in the fusion of horizons; and horizons are essentially open and constantly shifting in a way conceptual schemes are not. Thus, he, too, rejects the scheme-content distinction, albeit for different reasons. Since incommensurability appeals to conceptual

relativists insisting on irreducible differences between perspectives, what happens to difference absent incommensurability? In “On the Very Idea of a Conceptual Scheme,” Davidson argues that partial failure of translation no more yields incommensurability than complete failure. Nonetheless, he links translatability with intelligibility: the more we can translate, the more we can understand difference. Difference is intelligible only against a background of agreement. Similarly, Gadamer holds that differences can be articulated in languages of perspicuous contrast. Is this account of difference compatible with the later Davidson’s privileging of idiolect and does the emphasis on the individual, an analogue of which can also be found in Gadamer, reintroduce incommensurability? The later Davidson conceives communication as a constant flux of prior and passing theories of interpretation—analogueous to the shifting of horizons. Unlike Davidson, who rejects the idea that speakers are able to communicate *because* they share a language governed by learned conventions, Gadamer distinguishes between an individualizing force in language, exemplified by poetry whose meaning defies translation, and a conventionalizing force, exemplified by universally accessible scientific discourse. If Gadamer is right that individualization implies untranslatability and untranslatability implies incommensurability, then we find vestiges of incommensurability in both Gadamer’s and Davidson’s individualized languages.

FOUCAULT’S COURSES AT THE COLLÈGE DE FRANCE (V-B)

FRÉDÉRIC GROS, UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS XII

From 1981 to 1984, in his lectures at the College de France, Foucault set on elaborating two major concepts from ancient philosophy: the care of the self and free-speech (*parrèsia*). Our paper proposes to determine what philosophical effects arose from Foucault’s reflections.

First, there emerges a new conception of the subject, in opposition with the discourse traditionally held on subjectivity by Western philosophers from Descartes to Husserl, as well as with the prevailing techniques of confession. The question is not the foundation of knowledge nor the formation of some psychological intimacy.

Secondly, there emerges a new conception of truth. The production of truth doesn’t depend on epistemology, but ethics: truth requires courage rather than lucidity. When Foucault says that courage is the condition of truth, he intends to be in keeping with the Enlightenment. Besides, from this perspective, truth is no longer what should structure one’s discourse but what puts one’s life to the test. Stoicism and Cynicism offer two very different ways of dealing with the question of true life: in terms of harmony or scandal.

Last but not least, Foucault suggests a new conception of philosophy, defined as a free-speech rather than a true discourse. This free-speech implies a two-fold relation to the subject: it both engages the speaker and aims at transforming the listener. This free-speech always implies a relation of correlation and exteriority to the political field. Finally, this free-speech has nothing to do with science or rhetorics. Its aim is neither to

demonstrate anything nor to persuade anyone. Philosophy, as a whole, is to be understood as a speech which introduces the difference of truth into the political game and therefore requires the transformation of the listener.

TORTURE, ASSASSINATION AND BLACKMAIL: EXCEPTIONALISM OR THE RULE? (VI-A)

MICHAEL GROSS, HAIFA UNIVERSITY-ISRAEL

Torture (aggressive interrogation), assassination (targeted killing), and blackmail (threatening civilians to deter terrorism) are morally and legally problematic but increasingly acceptable practices of war among stronger parties to an asymmetrical conflict. Two factors have precipitated this change: the collapse of the Soviet Union and the events of 9/11. The former gave rise to U.S. hegemony and the latter to offensive military action to protect American interests. In their wake, regional conflict has replaced bi-polar confrontation, leaving the U.S. and its allies to assume many of the tactics once reserved for counterinsurgency and “small” wars. Torture, assassination, and blackmail, formerly the purview of colonial warfare and internal armed conflict, now guide international armed conflict.

Torture, assassination, blackmail, and related practices of war differ significantly from traditional forms of armed conflict. Apart from being unlawful, they generally employ less destructive force than conventional warfare, aim to prevent or deter rather than repel aggression, blur the traditional distinction between combatant and noncombatant, and operate on the presumption of guilt rather than moral innocence. Nations adopting these practices face no small task as they defend or justify their actions. Some countries agree that torture, assassination, and blackmail are pernicious, but remain nonetheless defensible as a lesser evil. This is the ethics of exceptionalism: torture, assassination, and blackmail remain outside the law but are defensible practices during national emergencies. Yet if torture, assassination, and blackmail began their lives as exceptions to the established laws of conventional warfare, there are now signs that they are evolving from the exception to the rule thereby signifying the emergence of new norms of international behavior.

THE MISTAKE IN “I’LL BE GLAD I DID IT” REASONING: THE SIGNIFICANCE OF FUTURE DESIRES (III-F)

ELIZABETH HARMAN, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

I realize that if I work on my paper rather than going out to a movie, then I’ll be glad I did it. I conclude that I should work on my paper. This looks like good reasoning. But suppose I have a baby born deaf, and I need to decide whether to cure his deafness. I know that if I don’t, he will grow up to be a deaf adult whose personality was profoundly shaped by his deafness. In the future, I will love him as the person he is, and I will not wish I had cured his deafness—I will be glad I acted as I did. Predicting this, I conclude that I should not cure my baby’s deafness. This looks like bad reasoning. Is “I’ll be glad I did it” reasoning good or bad? I argue that “I’ll be glad I did it” reasoning implicitly relies on this principle: Reflection

for Desires: If a person believes that she will come to prefer that p be true, and she believes that she won't be in a worse epistemic or evaluative position at that time, then she should now prefer that p be true. (This is an analogue of a reflection principle for beliefs.) I argue that Reflection for Desires is false, so "I'll be glad I did it" arguments are never sound, though they sometimes employ good reasoning. I also argue that some common ethical arguments—including an argument against the permissibility of curing deafness in babies—fail because they implicitly rely on Reflection for Desires.

ON THE QUESTION OF REALISM IN MATHEMATICS (III-D)

PETER KOELLNER, HARVARD UNIVERSITY

In this talk I will consider claims of the form "there is no fact of the matter concerning P ." I will take special relativity as a model of such a claim. Here physical reasons are given for thinking that there is no fact of the matter concerning statements of the form " A and B are (absolutely) simultaneous." I am interested in the question of whether an analogous situation can arise in mathematics.

It is often claimed that the independence methods in set theory already suffice to establish that there is "no fact of the matter" concerning certain mathematical statements (such as the Continuum Hypothesis), and some people maintain that although realism holds with regard to a certain fragment of mathematics (say first- and second-order arithmetic) there is a "bifurcation" at a higher level (say the level of third-order arithmetic, where the Continuum Hypothesis lives). What I would like to do in the talk is try to make sense of such claims. After arguing that the traditional arguments are problematic, I will present a precise scenario in which it would (arguably) be reasonable to say that "bifurcation" has occurred. The possibility of the scenario will rest on the failure of an outstanding conjecture in set theory (the Ω Conjecture). The result is intended as just a sample of the sort of thing I have in mind. In general, the idea is to regiment claims of the form "there is no fact of the matter concerning P " (where P is mathematical) in such a way that they get mathematical traction.

ATTENTION AND CONSCIOUSNESS (VIII-C)

CHRIS MOLE, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE DUBLIN

Recent lines of psychological research purport to show that attention to the things before one is necessary for consciousness of them, and that consciousness of those things is *not* necessary for attention to them. These lines of research challenge the commonsense picture of the relationship between attention and consciousness. They also present problems for an appealing philosophical account of attention's metaphysics. When we take account of certain features of the epistemology of perception we can see that these lines of research are not successful: The philosophical account of attention's metaphysics can be defended and the commonsense picture of the relationship between attention and consciousness can be retained.

METAPHYSICS OF SYMMETRY (IV-J)*SUNDAR SARUKKAI, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDIES–BANGALORE, INDIA*

Is symmetry a primary property of an object or a system? Quite often symmetry is not considered as a property and even when it is so understood, it is often as a derivative term, derivative to other primary properties such as shape. But symmetry has an ontological status and the metaphysics of symmetry allows us to inquire into the relation between symmetry and notions such as shape, causality, law, conserved properties, and so on. This paper also describes some philosophical issues that arise from a study of groups, which are the mathematical entities used to describe symmetry.

HOBBS AND THE REALLY BIG STICK: REPRESENTATION, INCORPORATION AND “ARTIFICE” IN THE CONSTRUCTION OF SOCIAL POWER (II-F)*AMY SCHMITTER, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA*

Hobbes is many things to many people. But rarely is he appreciated as a theorist of social constructed reality. But I maintain that that is just what he is, and that we can find a remarkably sophisticated examination of how social construction works within his account of the metaphysics of power. I trace this account by considering Hobbes’s description of how the sovereign of a commonwealth functions as the “representative” of its people, transforming a “multitude of men” into one person, “a feigned or artificial person.” But sovereign power presents a bit of a puzzle: on the one hand, it is “artificial,” amassed through the collective cooperation of the individuals over whom it is exercised. On the other hand, no such cooperation is possible, Hobbes argues, in the absence of a common power of enforcement. So, the sovereign power that binds the aggregate into a unity seems both required for and constitutive of the very possibility of social cooperation. If Hobbes were trying to analyze how a commonwealth is built out of its constituent parts, then sovereignty would be his chicken and social covenants his egg; each must come first in the order of explanation, if the other is to come at all. But that is not what he is doing. Instead, I argue that he should be understood as tackling how the ontology of social institutions and political power enables both cooperation and artificial power through the operation of what he calls “representation.” The result is a thoroughly naturalistic, yet non-reductionist account of the emergence of power.

GADAMER AND DAVIDSON ON INCOMMENSURABILITY (III-C)*DAVID VESSEY, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO*

Donald Davidson is known for his rejection of the view that “there is a basic division between uninterpreted experience and an organizing conceptual scheme,” and as falls the “third dogma of empiricism” so falls the (very) idea there could be incommensurable conceptual schemes. Hans-Georg Gadamer also rejects the idea of incommensurable schemes, yet his talk of traditions, horizons, and languages as worldviews suggests he has just the kind of scheme/content account of the mind’s relation to the world Davidson argues against. I will show that in fact Gadamer does not have

such an account. Once we see how Gadamer brings together the subjective, objective, and intersubjective differently from Davidson it will become clear that Gadamer has resources for arguing against incommensurability that Davidson lacks.

SONS OF THE EARTH: ARE THE STOICS METAPHYSICAL BRUTES? (II-D)

KATJA VOGT, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

The Stoics are regularly characterized as materialists. Traditionally, this label was used in a hostile spirit: the Stoics blasphemously deny the immaterial nature of the divine and of the soul. However, according to the Stoics, God and soul are *corporeal*, not material. Indeed, God is the first of the two basic principles of physics, *the second of which is matter*.

But perhaps more must be said about the Stoics' notion of corporeality if we want to see why the label "materialism" is unhelpful. The Stoics' focus on bodies—rather than "loftier" entities—might seem to make them the kind of metaphysical brutes that Plato's Sons of the Earth in the *Sophist* are. In agreement with earlier interpretations, I shall argue that the Stoics engage with the *Sophist*. But I shall emphasize the ways in which they depart from the position of the Sons of the Earth. The Stoics improve on the notion of corporeality that the Sons of the Earth propose. Bodies are things that *touch each other*, rather than, as the Sons of the Earth naively assume, things *we can touch*. For the Stoics, what we can touch is *itself* constituted by the blending of two corporeals: God and matter, or, an active and a passive corporeal.

The Stoics aim to bring together two important intuitions of ancient philosophy. (1) The universe is governed by God; soul is the source of action. (2) Only bodies can act or be acted upon. The Stoics insist on (1), without—as other ancient philosophers do—giving up on (2); this leads them into a revisionist (as opposed to brutish) metaphysical theory.

Next to a range of fragments on early Stoic philosophy, I shall draw on Plato's *Sophist*, *Timaeus*, and *Philebus*.

TRUTH AND REPARATIONS (VIII-B)

MARGARET WALKER, ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

In this paper, I have two aims. First, and principally, I want to defend the idea that politically implemented modes of truth-telling both to, for, and by those who are victims of gross violation and injustice, may with good reason be counted as a kind of reparations. This does not require me to defend the idea that truth-telling by itself is sufficient reparation for serious wrongs, or that the effectiveness of orchestrated truth-telling as a form of reparations is independent of whether or not other forms of reparations are given. I both defend the idea that truth-telling can be a kind of reparations and make clearer why truth-telling is unlikely to be sufficient and is likely to be sensitive to the larger context of reparative activity and its social, political, and historical background. Second, I claim that truth-telling is a significant form of reparations in at least certain cases of historical

injustice. In addition, it is a form of reparations not vulnerable to kinds of arguments, about counterfactuals and supercession, that most commonly meet proposals for material reparations for historical injustices.

THE GLOBAL CAREGIVER: IMAGINING WOMEN'S LIBERATION IN THE NEW MILLENIUM (VIII-A)

ALLISON WEIR, WILFRID LAURIER UNIVERSITY—CANADA

In this paper, I address the issue of “global care chains” in which relatively well-off women are “freed” to work in the paid workforce by employing poor women, often from the “Third World,” to care for their children, while these women must leave the care of their own children to women even poorer than themselves. I argue that these chains can be unlocked only by radical change: only by a shift in our definition of the ideal-typical person, and the citizen, from independent worker to interdependent caregiver. In this I follow Nancy Fraser’s model of the citizen as Universal Caregiver. But while Fraser’s argument is focussed on the achievement of gender equity in the nation state, I argue for a shift in focus and an expansion in scope. Following Cynthia Willett, I argue that to unlock global care chains, we need to begin from the situation of the immigrant careworker. Beginning from this perspective leads to a more complex model of care as a global issue: a model that can take into account not only the distribution of work but the redistribution of resources, with an understanding of care not only as work but also as a desired good. Finally, I defend this model as a model of freedom, or liberation.

THE ORIGINS OF CONCEPTS (V-F)

DAN WEISKOPF, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

Learning genuinely new primitive concepts—that is, concepts that are not simply combinations of old concepts—has been argued to be impossible. This has often been taken to support the radical nativist position that concepts are not learned by rational psychological processes but “triggered” by brute-causal processes. I argue that there are several psychological mechanisms that are both empirically well-attested and capable of supporting learning of new primitive concepts. Two such mechanisms involve language-guided learning and causal reasoning. I describe these mechanisms in some detail and then sketch a general framework for thinking about concept learning that covers its psychological, semantic, and epistemic functions. Finally, I defend this framework against the objection that it cannot produce genuinely new conceptual content by sketching a conception of the expressive power of a representational system and showing how the posited mechanisms can extend the range of contents such a system can entertain.

SPECIAL SESSIONS SPONSORED BY APA COMMITTEES

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 27

Prize Winning Essay on Latin American Philosophy (I-E)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Hispanics

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Women and Terrorism (I-F)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law and the APA Committee on the Status of Women

6:30-9:30 p.m.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 28

Beyond Alain Locke: The Problem of Interpretation in the History of African-American Philosophy (II-G)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Blacks and Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

The Ethics of Emerging Technologies (III-G)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

A Retrospective on Bernard Boxill's *Blacks and Social Justice* (IV-I)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Blacks and Philosophy

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Philosophy in India: Philosophy of Contemporary Science (IV-J)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on International Cooperation

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Are Psychopaths Responsible? (IV-K)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Grounds for Ethical Norms in Chinese Thought (IV-L)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

2:45-5:45 p.m.

SATURDAY, DECEMBER 29**Technology in Support of Philosophy Research (V-K)**

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Standpoint Theory: From Different Standpoints (V-L)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Women and the APA Committee on Inclusiveness

9:00-11:00 a.m.

The Philosophy of Ernest Sosa (VI-H)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Hispanics

2:45-5:45 p.m.

The Philosophical Foundations of Bioethics (VI-I)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Roundtable: Asian-American Feminist Philosophers in Conversation with Feminist Philosophers across the Field: Commonalities, Differences, Solidarities? (VI-J)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

2:45-5:45 p.m.

Philosophy: Our Way of Changing the World (VI-K)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Women and the APA Committee on Inclusiveness

2:45-5:45 p.m.

SUNDAY, DECEMBER 30**Philosophy and Literature (VII-J)**

Sponsored by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness and the APA Committee on Hispanics

9:00-11:00 a.m.

1) Philosophy Undergraduates as Philosophy Teachers: Rationales and Benefits and 2) The Intercollegiate Ethics Bowl (VIII-I)

Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Teaching of Philosophy

1:30-4:30 p.m.

GROUP SESSIONS

A

Academy for Jewish Philosophy, *Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.*

American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society, *Sat, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

American Association of Philosophy Teachers, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

American Society for Aesthetics, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, *Fri, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

American Society for Political and Legal Philosophy, *Fri, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

American Society for Political and Legal Philosophy, *Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

American Society for Value Inquiry, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m., Falkland*

American Society for Value Inquiry, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, *Sun, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Association for Philosophy of Education, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Association for the Advancement of Philosophy and Psychiatry, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Association of Chinese Philosophers in America, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Ayn Rand Society, *Fri, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

B

Bertrand Russell Society and History of Early Analytic Philosophy Society, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

C

Charles S. Peirce Society, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Concerned Philosophers for Peace, *Fri, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Conference on Philosophical Societies, *Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.*

Conference on Philosophical Societies, *Sun, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

G

George Santayana Society, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

H

Hegel Society of America, *Sat, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

History of Early Analytic Philosophy Society and Bertrand Russell Society, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Hume Society, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

I

International Association for Environmental Philosophy, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

International Association for the Philosophy of Sport, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

International Berkeley Society, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

International Institute for Field-Being, *Sat, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

International Society for Buddhist Philosophy, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

International Society for Buddhist Philosophy, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

International Society for Chinese Philosophy, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

International Society for Chinese Philosophy, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, *Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.*

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

International Society for Environmental Ethics, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

International Society for Environmental Ethics, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

International Society for Neoplatonic Studies, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

International Society for Neoplatonic Studies, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

International Society for Universal Dialogue, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

International St. Thomas Society, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

J

Josiah Royce Society, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

K

Karl Jaspers Society of North America, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Karl Jaspers Society of North America, *Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Karl Jaspers Society of North America, *Sun, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

L

Leibniz Society of North America, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

M

Molinari Society, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

N

North American Kant Society, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

North American Nietzsche Society, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

North American Society for Social Philosophy, *Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.*

North American Society for Social Philosophy, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

North American Spinoza Society, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

North American Spinoza Society, *Sat, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

P

Personalist Discussion Group, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Personalist Discussion Group and Society of Philosophers in America, *Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Philosophers in Jesuit Education, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Philosophy of Time Society, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

R

Radical Philosophy Association, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Radical Philosophy Association, *Sun, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

S

Sartre Circle, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Sartre Circle, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

Société Américaine de Philosophie de Langue Française, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Société Internationale pour l'Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale, *Sun, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, *Fri, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Society for Arab, Persian, and Islamic Philosophy, *Sat, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

Society for Arab, Persian, and Islamic Philosophy, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, *Sun, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, *Sun, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Society for Business Ethics, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society for Machines and Mentality, *Thur, 6:30-9:30 p.m.*

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs, *Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Society for Skeptical Studies, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society for Skeptical Studies, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Society for Social and Political Philosophy, *Sat, 8:00-11:00 p.m.*

Society for Systematic Philosophy, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society for the History of Political Philosophy, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism, *Sun, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

Society for the Philosophy of Creativity, *Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues, *Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society for the Study of Process Philosophies, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Society for the Study of Women Philosophers, *Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society for Women in Philosophy, *Fri, 6:00-8:00 p.m.*

Society of Christian Philosophers, *Sat, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Society of Humanist Philosophers, *Sun, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Society of Philosophers in America and Personalist Discussion Group, *Sat, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Søren Kierkegaard Society, *Fri, 8:15-11:15 p.m.*

W

William James Society, *Fri, 2:45-5:45 p.m.*

APA PLACEMENT SERVICE

Lindsay Palkovitz will be the Coordinator for the APA Placement Service at the 2007 Eastern Division Meeting. Questions concerning the Service should be directed to Lindsay at The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716. She can also be reached by telephone at (302) 831-4298, fax: (302) 831-8690, or email: lindspal@udel.edu.

APA PLACEMENT SERVICE GENERAL HOURS OF OPERATION

Thursday, December 27:	Candidates, 3:00 – 10:00 p.m., Interviewers, 2:00 – 10:00 p.m., Interviewing Tables
Friday, December 28:	Candidates, 9:00 a.m. – 6:30 p.m., Interviewers, 9:00 a.m. – 6:30 p.m., Interviewing Tables
Saturday, December 29:	Candidates, 8:30 a.m. – 5:00 p.m., Interviewers, 8:30 a.m. – 5:00 p.m., Interviewing Tables
Sunday, December 30:	Candidates, 9:00 a.m. – Noon, Interviewers, 9:00 a.m. – Noon, Interviewing Tables

APA PLACEMENT SERVICE LOCATIONS

The Placement Services will be located in the Baltimore Marriott Waterfront and other hotels as outlined below. For your convenience, maps of the hotel appear at the back of this issue.

JOB CANDIDATES

1. Candidate Numbers will be assigned at the Placement Desk.
2. The location of a Job Interview will be available from the Placement staff, or posted on the bulletin board at the information desk.
3. Additional “Request for Interview” forms will be available at the Placement Desk.
4. APA Membership Applications will be available at the APA Meeting Registration Desk.
5. Information and instructions for using the Service will be available at the Placement desk (also see following pages), and posted on the information bulletin board.
6. The mailboxes for Job Candidates will be located at the Placement Desk.
7. A complete set of Job Postings will be available at the Placement Desk.
8. A message for the APA Placement Ombudsperson can be left at the Placement Desk.

INTERVIEWERS

1. **Interviewers check in here**—as soon as possible upon arrival.
2. Payments for **On-Site Interviewing Departments** will be received here.
3. Space will be provided here for interviewers to check their files.
4. “Request for Interview” forms received from job candidates that have been reviewed by interviewers **should be returned** here.
5. A list of interviewing table assignments will be posted on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.

INTERVIEWING AREA

1. APA Interviewing Tables will be located here.
2. In the event that additional space for interviewing is needed, we will post the additional location on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.

INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR JOB CANDIDATES

REQUIREMENTS FOR USING THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

1. You **must be an APA member** in order to use the Service. Placement is a benefit of membership for candidates, and there is no additional charge to use this service. Membership applications are available on the APA web site (www.apaonline.org) or by contacting the APA National Office (302) 831-1112, or at the Membership/Registration desk at the Meeting.
-

2. You **MUST REGISTER** for the **MEETING** in order to use the Service.
 - If you **register in advance** for the meeting and indicate that you will be a candidate (an advance registration form is located at the back of this issue), a candidate number will be assigned in the National Office and will appear on the back of your badge. You **must** pick up your badge from the APA Registration staff prior to using the Placement service.
 - If you register on-site for the meeting, present your badge to the Placement Staff, who will be available to assign you a candidate number in the “Placement Service” line.

WHAT TO BRING WITH YOU

1. Your copies of recent issues of *Jobs for Philosophers*.
2. Several copies of your curriculum vitae. If you run out of CVs at the meeting, the hotel has a copy center located in the Business Center.

HOW TO USE THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

After you have picked up your registration materials from the APA Registration staff, you are ready to use the Service. If you do not yet have a candidate number on the back of your badge, please go to the job candidates’ area in Essex A, B (Fourth Floor) to receive one.

JOB CANDIDATES’ AREA

Here you will find a file folder (mailbox) with your candidate number on it. All communications addressed to you during the meeting will be placed there. Your folder contains:

1. “Request for Interview” forms. Additional forms will be available from the Placement staff. **Be sure that your Placement Number appears at the top of all forms.**
2. A “Locator” form for you to list your name and hotel address. Please do not remove this form from your folder. This is used only in the event that we need to contact you during the meeting.

JOB POSTINGS

New jobs (not having appeared in the *Jobs for Philosophers*) will be posted on a bulletin board in the Placement Service Area. You should check this board regularly for new postings. Each ad should contain instructions on how to apply.

INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE CHECKED IN WITH PLACEMENT

The names of institutions that have registered to use the Service will be posted on the bulletin board (updated regularly) in *alphabetical order*. Each listing will indicate the following:

1. If the listing institution has checked in with Placement.
 2. If the institution is accepting interview requests at the meeting.
-

3. The relevant *JFP* for the institution's opening(s).
4. Where the institution plans on interviewing (Table with number assignment).
5. Miscellaneous notes deemed appropriate by the Placement staff or the institution.

SUBMITTING AN INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Complete the top portion of the "Request for Interview" form and attach a copy of your CV. **Be sure that your candidate number appears at the top of all forms.** After you have completed the necessary information, place your request form and CV in the box marked Requests for Interviews which will be prominently displayed on the Placement Service Desk. Your "Request" will be forwarded to the institution by a staff member.

INTERVIEWS

After your "Request" has been reviewed by a representative from the institution, the form will be returned to your file folder with the institution's response appearing on the bottom of the form. Check your file folder often so that you can be certain to receive your messages promptly.

By Noon on December 30, all "Requests" submitted by you should have been returned to your file folder, and you should remove them at that time. Occasionally, an institution may retain applications to review at a later date. If you applied for a job that had been posted and the institution did not check in with the Service during the meeting, it is suggested that you contact the institution by mail.

INTERVIEWING LOCATIONS

Some departments will be conducting interviews at tables. Each institution using a table for interviewing will be assigned a table number. The table numbers assigned to institutions will be posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service Area.

ADVICE FOR JOB APPLICANTS

The APA Committee on Career Opportunities offers the following advice for job applicants: The schedule for those seeking jobs and those Departments offering positions is difficult for all concerned. From the point of view of Departments, any publication date for *Jobs for Philosophers* is a compromise between the competing demands imposed by the need to get funding for positions, so the later the better, and by the need to have time to process applications, so the sooner the better. From the point of view of job applicants, there are also competing demands: the sooner it is published, the more time to apply, but the later it is published, the more opportunities will be available. There is no easy solution to this problem, but you can ease your difficulties somewhat by being prepared when the

JFP is published. You should have your curriculum vitae ready to put in an envelope, a generic draft of a letter of application ready to be fine-tuned for particular job opportunities, and the rest of your file ready for mailing. This means talking to those who are to write letters of recommendation long before the *JFP* is due to arrive, preparing material about your teaching capacities, and selecting a writing sample for those Departments that request it. Applications should be complete, as well as clearly organized. It is to your advantage to send in your application as soon as possible after an ad appears.

INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERVIEWERS

REQUIREMENTS FOR USING THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

1. It is expected that **all individual interviewers will register for the meeting** either by using the registration form found in the back of this issue or by stopping at the APA Registration Desk to register on-site prior to using the Placement Service.
2. All **Departments (not individuals)** planning to interview at the meeting should complete the Placement Service advance registration form found on our web site, www.apaonline.org/apa/divisions/eastern or at the end of this section.

Upon receipt of an advance registration form additional information pertaining to the Placement Service will be sent to the attention of the contact person listed on the form. In the event that we send Placement forms either via email or mail, please be sure to complete these and return them to our office **at your earliest opportunity**. Not doing so may cause your materials to arrive after the Staff has already left the office to travel to the meeting. In this case, we **must** have you fill out the forms again on site. If time does not allow sending them to us, please bring them with you and turn them in at the Placement Desk.

CHECKING IN WITH THE SERVICE

Before you begin to interview candidates, please check in with the Placement Staff. We will need to know that you have arrived on site for candidates inquiring about your institution. Additional information will be requested from you (or confirmed if your department pre-registered) at this time as well.

Some institutions accept interview requests at the meeting; some of these job notices may have appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* while others are unpublished positions. A new, unpublished position will be assigned an AD# and posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service area. If you are bringing such a job notice with you to the meeting, please provide the Placement Staff with four copies of the notice for the position you are advertising. Such a position announcement should be typed on *one side only* in a good size and easy to read font, and be as brief as possible. Only positions that have not appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* will be posted. If you would like a position that has been posted at this meeting to appear

on our website immediately following the meeting, or in the issue of *Jobs for Philosophers*, you need to submit this ad immediately, following the close of the meeting via our website, www.apa.udel.edu/apa/publications/jfp/advertise.asp. If you need assistance with this, contact the APA National Office.

Some institutions interview by prearrangement after placing an advertisement in *Jobs for Philosophers* and then contacting candidates prior to the meeting. When you arrange an interview with candidates, please inform them that they **must be APA Members in order to use the Service and they must register for the meeting in order to use the Service.**

If you plan to conduct job interviews anywhere other than at the interviewing tables/suites we have provided, please let us know your plans because candidates often come to us to ask questions about the location/time (etc.) of their interviews, and we need to have complete, up-to-date information in order to help them (and you).

Departments using a Suite for interviewing will need to complete an interview schedule form at the time they check in with the Service. Doing so enables the Service to notify the candidates you wish to interview of your interviewing location. Be assured that only those candidates listed on your interview schedule will be told the location of your hotel room, unless you have directed us to give this information to all candidates who ask. Departments interviewing at tables DO NOT need to complete this form.

N.B.: If you are conducting interviews in a hotel room, please be aware of the following policy statement adopted by the APA Board of Officers at its November 2004 meeting:

“Departments should not conduct Job Interviews in non-suite hotel rooms. Candidates who are subject to such interviews can appeal to the APA and are guaranteed anonymity.” (Originally published in *Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association*, Vol. 78, No. 5, pp. 23, 119.)

APA INTERVIEWING TABLES

The Placement Service provides numbered tables for interviewing purposes; you will receive your table number assignment when you check in. These table number assignments will also be posted on the Placement Service Bulletin Board. Should additional space for interviewing tables be necessary, this location will be posted as well.

INSTITUTION FILE FOLDER (MAILBOX)

There will be a file folder bearing the name of your institution. Requests for interviews from candidates will be placed in this file folder.

REVIEWING INTERVIEW REQUESTS

When a candidate requests an interview with your institution, you should receive her/his curriculum vitae attached to a “Request for Interview” form. The bottom of this form is to be completed by you and returned to

Placement staff. If an interview is granted, space is provided on this form to list the time, date, and location of the interview. If you are *unable to interview a candidate*, space for this response is also provided on the form. Any request you receive in an envelope contains confidential material and should be destroyed rather than returned to the candidate. As a matter of professional courtesy, *all requests should receive a response*. When a candidate receives a “Request” form back without a response, he or she is likely to assume that the material *has not been reviewed* and might return this to you via our service or contact your institution by mail.

Each candidate is assigned a numbered file folder to facilitate prompt communication between interviewers and candidates. Materials that you wish to transmit to a registered candidate should be handed in to the Placement Service staff located Essex C (Fourth Floor), and will be delivered to the candidate by our staff.

APA STATEMENT ON PLACEMENT PRACTICES

The APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession reminds you of its Statement on Placement Practices: The APA discourages the nomination by graduate departments of job seekers for positions in philosophy, and the submission of their dossiers in response to announcements of positions, without their knowledge or interest. This may seriously mislead those who are conducting searches, and may have unfortunate consequences both for them and for genuinely interested applicants. Graduate departments using a nomination procedure or submitting dossiers on behalf of job seekers should either attest explicitly that the candidate wishes to be considered for the positions in question, or (preferably) ensure that the job seekers themselves submit personal letters of application for these positions. Departments conducting searches are encouraged to recommend or require explicitly (in their position announcements) that each candidate, to be assessed of full consideration, should submit a personal letter of application for and interest in the announced position.

A Placement Ombudsperson will be available at the meeting. Please see the Placement Service Staff if you would like to contact the Ombudsperson.

PAPER SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

Online Paper Submissions: Papers may be submitted either electronically or in hard copy by mail. For electronic submissions, follow the instructions on the APA web site. For hard copy submissions, mail to: Lindsay Palkovitz, ATTN: [Eastern, Pacific, or Central] Division Papers, The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716, (302) 831-4298. Papers in any area are welcome. All papers are blind reviewed. Author's name, institution, or references pertaining to the identity of the author should be omitted from the paper, abstract, notes, and bibliography. Submitted papers are not returned to authors. Papers not accepted by one Division may be re-submitted for consideration to another Division. In submitting papers, authors warrant that those papers are entirely their own work or the joint work of the authors identified in the cover letter, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends and Awards: Papers to be considered for Graduate Student Travel Stipends/Awards must meet the criteria specified by each Division. For more information, visit the following address: www.apa.udel.edu/apa/divisions/stipends.

GUIDELINES FOR ALL THREE DIVISIONS

(*Guidelines 6, 7, 9, and 10 only apply to authors who are submitting papers in hard copy.)

1. Authors must be members in good standing of the APA.
 2. 1 copy of the paper.
 3. 1 copy of the abstract.
 4. Colloquium papers are limited to 3,000 words.
 5. Abstracts for colloquium papers are limited to 150 words.
 - *6. Title or cover page, which must include: a) title; b) word counts for all papers and abstracts; c) author's contact information; d) the Division the paper is to be submitted for.
 - *7. Papers must be typed on one side of page, double spaced, 1-inch margins on all sides, all pages numbered, 10-12 pt. font.
 8. No more than one submission by the same author for the same Division will be considered.
 - *9. Indicate name of Division on outside lower left side of the envelope containing the paper.
 - *10. Include a self-addressed stamped envelope to the National Office if you wish the receipt of your paper to be acknowledged.
-

11. Any paper which is under consideration for publication elsewhere will be considered, provided that it will not appear in print until after the Divisional meeting is held. If this is the case, indicate when and where the paper is expected to be published.
12. Papers are not accepted by e-mail.
13. Authors are advised to provide accurate email addresses as part of their contact information because they may be contacted by email, sometimes under urgent circumstances. Authors who expect to relocate between the date of submission and the date of the meeting should arrange for email forwarding, or else provide both current and new email addresses with their contact information.

EASTERN DIVISION:

Meeting is held December 27-30.

Selections are announced in May or June.

Papers accepted by either the Central Division or the Pacific Division may not be presented at any subsequent meeting of the Eastern Division.

Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.

Any paper submitted without an abstract will not be considered. Any paper whose abstract is deemed unacceptable by the Program Committee will not be accepted. No revised abstract submitted after the paper's acceptance will be published in the *Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association* without the approval of the Program Committee.

PACIFIC DIVISION:

Meeting is usually held at the end of March.

Selections are announced in January.

A paper that has been on the Main Program at a past APA meeting (any division), or will be on the Main Program at a future Central or Eastern Division meeting, cannot be presented as a Main Program colloquium/symposium paper at the Pacific Division meeting.

Submissions for consideration as colloquium papers must not exceed 3,000 words.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. (This will be considered a single submission.)

CENTRAL DIVISION:

Meeting has usually been held at the end of April, but starting in 2009 will be held in February.

Selections are announced in January, or before when possible.

Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.

The Central Division will not include a paper on its meeting program if that paper has already been presented or is scheduled for presentation on the Main Program of another APA Divisional meeting.

MINUTES OF THE 2006 EASTERN DIVISION BUSINESS MEETING

1. The meeting was called to order at 11:21 a.m. by President Seyla Benhabib.
 2. President Benhabib announced the agenda, which had been distributed to members present, and appointed Stephanie Lewis as Parliamentarian.
 3. The minutes of the 2005 Business Meeting, which had been published in the *Proceedings*, volume 80, number 1 (September 2006), were approved as published.
 4. The Secretary-Treasurer announced that the minutes of the December 27, 2005, Executive Committee meeting had been published in the *Proceedings*, volume 80, number 1 (September 2006), and had been formally approved by the Executive Committee (at its December 27, 2006, meeting) as published. The Secretary-Treasurer also reported that the 2006 Program Committee had met in April 2006 to establish the submitted portion of the 2006 program, and that the 2007 Program Committee had met in September 2006 to establish the invited portion of the 2007 program.
 5. The reports of Eastern Division mail ballots, which had been published in the *Proceedings*, volume 80, number 1 (September 2006), were received.
 6. The auditor's report of the division's finances for fiscal year 2005-2006, which had been distributed to members present, was received.
 7. The report of the Nominating Committee, which (as announced in the *Proceedings*, volume 80, number 2 (November 2006)) had been posted on the APA web site since November 2006, was received.
 8. The Secretary-Treasurer reported the following actions taken by the Executive Committee at its December 27, 2006, meeting: Beginning in the 2007-2008 fiscal year, \$25,000 per year is to be made available to the National Office to fund projects that are to the benefit of the profession, in accordance with the policies and procedures then in effect for proposals submitted to the APA Board of Officers. This commitment is initially for three years, but may be renewed on an ongoing basis. In addition, a one-time gift of \$25,000 is to be made to the National Office in the 2006-2007 fiscal year to help with the funding of its database improvement project. The full report of the Executive Committee's actions at this meeting will appear in the minutes of the meeting, to be published in the *Proceedings*, volume 81, number 1 (September 2007).
-

9. The Secretary-Treasurer announced the nominations of the Executive Committee for two positions on the Nominating Committee and invited other nominations from the floor. Listed alphabetically, the Executive Committee's nominees are Michael Della Rocca, Gilbert Harman, Terry Pinkard, and Michael Williams. John Ryder and Robert Innis were nominated from the floor.
 10. The Secretary-Treasurer presented a set of proposed revised Bylaws of the APA, which are to be voted on in the spring 2007 mail ballots, and were previously published in the *Proceedings*, volume 79, number 3 (January 2006). He also announced that the Executive Committee recommended that the Eastern Division pass these revised Bylaws as proposed, and invited discussion. No amendments to the proposed revised Bylaws were suggested; they will therefore be submitted to mail ballot unchanged.
 11. The Secretary-Treasurer reported that the winners of the William James Prize and Graduate Student Travel Stipends had been awarded their prizes at a reception the previous evening, and were listed in the *Proceedings*, volume 80, number 1 (September 2006) at the place in the program where the reception was announced.
 12. President Benhabib read the names of those members whose deaths had been reported since the 2005 Business Meeting. Their names are:
William H. Aiken, Jr.
Henry A. Alexander, Jr.
Norman Bernstein
Helen M. Cartwright
Laurence L. Cassidy
James W. Child
Theodore C. Denise
James A. Diefenbeck
Willis Doney
Ellery Eells
Ned S. Garvin
Rob Gildert
John L. Groves
Thomas E. Hill, Sr.
Dewey J. Hoytenga
Daniel Kading
Constance Leibowitz
Ramon M. Lemos
Edward H. Madden
Don E. Marietta, Jr.
Richard V. Mason
Arthur R. Miller
-

D. Z. Phillips
Harry Prosch
Frederick Purnell, Jr.
John G. Robison
Eugene E. Ryan
Ernest Schwarcz
Hubert R.G. Schwyzer
Hans Seigfried
Paul L. Shiman
Surjit Singh
Timothy D. Sullivan
Theodore E. Uehling, Jr.
Theodore Waldman
Iris M. Young
William S. Zaferson

At the request of President Benhabib, the members present stood to observe a minute of silent respect for these deceased members.

13. Reports were received from Ernest Sosa, Chair of the Board of Officers, and from David Schrader, Executive Director, concerning actions taken at the November 2006 Board meeting and the database improvement project at the National Office.
14. There were no items of new business.
15. The agenda called for an open forum on issues concerning the welfare of the Division and the Association. Stephanie Lewis recorded her gratitude to the Eastern Division for providing the National Office with disposable income to help fund the database improvement project and to fund other deserving projects proposed by committees or individuals (see item 8 above).
16. The meeting adjourned at 11:45 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Bett

Secretary-Treasurer

American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division

MINUTES OF THE 2006 EASTERN DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Present: Louise Antony, Kwame Anthony Appiah, Seyla Benhabib, Richard Bett, Bob Brandom, Daniel Garber, Jorge Garcia, Christine Korsgaard, David Rosenthal, Merold Westphal. Visitors: Stephanie Lewis, Ernest Sosa (items 1-8), David Schrader (items 1-9). **Absent:** Jerry Fodor.

The Executive Committee took the following actions at its meeting on December 27, 2006:

1. Approved the minutes of its previous meeting on December 27, 2005 (as published in *Proceedings and Addresses* volume 80, #1, September 2006, pp. 139-141).
 2. Received a report on other actions of Eastern Division committees during the 2006 calendar year, including the results so far of the experiment to expand the invited portion of the Eastern Division program, as agreed at the 2004 meeting; recommended to the Program Committee that this expanded invited program be continued beyond its initial two-year experimental period; and decided to leave vacant (for the remaining year of her term) Christine Korsgaard's at-large slot on the Executive Committee, should she win election to Vice President for 2007-8.
 3. Set the agenda for the 2006 Business Meeting.
 4. Heard reports from David Schrader, Executive Director of the Association, and Ernest Sosa, Chair of the Board of Officers, concerning actions at the 2006 Board meeting.
 5. Discussed the proposed revised Bylaws of the Association in preparation for their presentation at the Business Meeting, and decided to recommend to the Business Meeting that they be sent to mail ballot without amendment and that members vote to approve them.
 6. Received a report on the Division's financial situation.
 7. Reconsidered the question of providing travel funding and accommodation for a few foreign invited speakers. The following policy was decided on concerning the main program: Up to four distinguished overseas philosophers in the invited portion of the program may be offered funding for travel (using an economy round-trip ticket from a speaker's home airport to the convention city) and accommodation (for a maximum of four nights in the convention hotel) on an ad hoc basis, provided that a) the speakers have less than full funding for these purposes from their institutions and b) the total amount expended in any given year does not exceed \$10,000. This plan is to be adopted on a three-year experimental basis. The following policy was decided on concerning overseas participants in sessions sponsored by the APA
-

- Committee on International Cooperation: Up to two speakers per year may be offered funding for travel and accommodation, provided that the total amount expended in any given year does not exceed \$5,000, and subject to the other conditions mentioned above.
8. Approved the 2006-2007 Divisional budget, including a contribution to the National Office of \$7,000 towards the cost of the Philosophy in a New Key Summer Institute (PIKSI) for 2007. In addition, the following decisions were made: a) Beginning in the 2007-2008 fiscal year, \$25,000 per year, for three years, is to be made available to the National Office to fund projects that are to the benefit of the profession, in accordance with the policies and procedures then in effect for proposals submitted to the APA Board of Officers. Each year the National Office shall submit a report on proposals funded that year; the Executive Committee shall review this report and shall have the option of extending funding for an additional year beyond the years for which a commitment has already been made. (The Eastern Division will thus be committed to this funding for a maximum of three years at any given time, and the National Office will have at least two years' notice if it is decided not to continue such funding.) b) A one-time gift of \$25,000 is to be made to the National Office in the 2006-2007 fiscal year to help with the funding of its database improvement project.
 9. Authorized the Secretary-Treasurer to negotiate a contract with the Marriott Wardman Park hotel for the 2011 Eastern Division meeting.
 10. Decided on a procedure for selecting future Dewey Lecturers, as follows: Nominations are to be solicited by means of a notice in the program, on the APA web site and in the materials sent with the annual Eastern Division ballots; this notice should include a statement of the purpose of the Dewey Lectures, and should specify a deadline that will allow the list of nominees to be circulated to the Executive Committee in advance of its annual meeting, at which a decision will be made on the Dewey Lecturer for the meeting two years forward. In addition, a ranked list of possible invitees was decided on for the 2008 Dewey Lecturer.
 11. Recognized as affiliated groups the Society for the History of Political Philosophy and the Society for Arab, Persian and Islamic Philosophy, provided that membership in these groups is open to all APA members. In addition, it was decided that a) the Hermann Cohen Gesellschaft should be permitted to be listed as a co-sponsor of group sessions (where the other group in question is a regular Eastern Division affiliate group), and will be considered for recognition as a regular Eastern Division affiliate group upon submission of its bylaws and provided it meets the usual conditions for such recognition (including the one mentioned above); and b) The Viktor von Weizsäcker Gesellschaft should be permitted to be listed as a co-sponsor of group sessions (where the other group in question is a regular Eastern Division affiliate group), and be informed that regular Eastern Division affiliate group status is not required for such co-sponsorship.
 12. Received a report on the winners of Divisional awards and prizes.
-

-
13. Nominated four candidates for two positions on the 2007 Nominating Committee. They are Michael Della Rocca, Gilbert Harman, Terry Pinkard, and Michael Williams.
 14. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the 2008 Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.
 15. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Bett

Secretary-Treasurer

American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division

ELECTION RESULTS

RESULTS OF EASTERN DIVISION ELECTIONS

As a result of the mail ballot that took place in the spring of 2007, the following Eastern Division members have been elected to office in the Eastern Division.

- Christine Korsgaard has been elected to the office of Vice President for 2007-2008. Professor Korsgaard will become President for 2008-2009 without further election.
- Nancy Sherman has been elected to the office of Divisional Representative for 2007-2010.
- Don Garrett and Cynthia Willett have been elected as members-at-large of the Executive Committee for 2007-2010.
- Gilbert Harman and Terry Pinkard have been elected as members of the Nominating Committee for 2007-2009.

In addition, the Proposed Revised Bylaws of the APA were approved in the Eastern Division by a vote of 483-23. The same Proposed Revised Bylaws were also approved in the summer of 2007 in the Pacific Division, by a vote of 147-4, and had already been approved in the Central Division in the summer of 2006 by a vote of 295-12. Since all three Divisions have now voted to approve identical versions of the Proposed Revised Bylaws, they take effect, replacing the previous APA Constitution and By-Laws. (For details of the changes, see *Proceedings and Addresses* volume 80, #3 (January 2007), pp. 221-37.)

CHILDCARE INFORMATION

Members who need childcare during the 2007 Eastern Division meeting may wish to contact the Baltimore City Child Care Resource Center:

Baltimore City Child Care Resource Center

1645 Ridgely St., Ste. 200

Baltimore, MD 21230

Phone: (410) 539-2209

Fax: (410) 685-2100

Email: childcare@bccrc.org

Web site: <http://www.bccrc.org>

RESTAURANTS

LOCAL RESTAURANTS WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE

FINE DINING

Capital Grille, American fare, Inner Harbor, 500 E. Pratt Street, (443) 703-4064

Aldo's, Italian, Little Italy, 306 S. High Street, (410) 727-0700

Da Mimmo, Italian, Little Italy, 217 S. High Street, (410) 727-6876

Pazo, Mediterranean, Harbor East, 1425 Aliceanna Street, (410) 534-7296

The Oceanaire, seafood, Harbor East, 801 Aliceanna Street, (443) 872-0000

Charleston, Southern American, Fells Point, 1000 Lancaster Street, (410) 332-7373

Flemings, steakhouse, Harbor East, 720 Aliceanna Street, (410) 332-1666

Ruths Chris, steakhouse, Inner Harbor, 711 Eastern Avenue, (410) 230-0033

MODERATE DINING

Legal Seafood, Boston seafood, Inner Harbor, 100 E. Pratt Street, (410) 332-7360

Roy's, Hawaiian Fusion, Harbor East, 720-B Aliceanna Street, (410) 659-0099

Della Notte, Italian, Little Italy, 801 Eastern Avenue, (410) 837-5500

Chiapparelli's, Italian, Little Italy, 237 S. High Street, (410) 837-0309

McCormick & Schmick's, West Coast seafood, Inner Harbor, 711 Eastern Avenue, (410) 234-1300

CASUAL DINING

California Pizza Kitchen, American, Inner Harbor, 201 E. Pratt Street, (410) 783-9339

Cheesecake Factory, American, Inner Harbor, 201 E. Pratt Street, (410) 234-3990

ESPN Zone, American, Inner Harbor, 601 E. Pratt Street, (410) 685-3776

Five Guys, American, Inner Harbor, 201 E. Pratt Street, (401) 244-7175

Hard Rock Baltimore, American, Inner Harbor, 601 E. Pratt Street, (410) 347-7625

Houlihan's, American, Inner Harbor, 621 E. Pratt Street, (443) 872-0058

James Joyce, Irish, Harbor East, 616 S. President Street, (410) 727-5107

Tir Na Nog, Irish, Inner Harbor, 201 E. Pratt Street, (410) 483-8968

Amicci's, Italian, Little Italy, 231 S. High Street, (410) 528-1096

Sabatino's, Italian, Little Italy, 901 Fawn Street, (410) 727-9414

Vellegia's, Italian, Little Italy, 829 E. Pratt Street, (410) 685-2620

Vaccaro's, Italian desserts, Little Italy, 222 Albemarle Street, (410) 685-4905

Chipotle, Mexican, Inner Harbor, 621 E. Pratt Street, (410) 837-8353

M&S Grille, seafood, Inner Harbor, 201 E. Pratt Street, (410) 547-9333

Mo's Fisherman, seafood & pasta, Harbor East, 502 S. Albemarle Street, (410) 837-8600

La Tasca, Spanish, Inner Harbor, 201 E. Pratt Street, (410) 209-2562

Edo Sushi, sushi, Inner Harbor, 201 E. Pratt Street, (401) 843-9804

LIST OF ADVERTISERS AND BOOK EXHIBITORS

AGORA PUBLICATIONS, INC. (B-211)

ASHGATE PUBLISHING (B-210) (A-214)

AYN RAND INSTITUTE (B-312)

BANCROFT PRESS (A-232)

BLACKWELL PUBLISHING (B-301, 303, 305) (A-194-197)

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-415, 417, 419) (A-198-201)

CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA PRESS (B-212) (A-208)

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-314, 316) (A-202-203)

CORNELL UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-179)

DUKE UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-310) (A-205)

FORDHAM UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-204) (A-190)

HACKETT PUBLISHING COMPANY, INC. (B-200, 202) (A-174-175)

HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-401, 403) (A-191)

INDIANA UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-400, 402) (A-192-193)

MCGRAW-HILL HIGHER EDUCATION (B-407)

OPEN COURT (B-409)

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-108, 110, 112, 114, 116) (A-162-171)

PARMENIDES PUBLISHING (B-410) (A-204)

PEARSON EDUCATION (B-213) (A-187)

PENGUIN GROUP (B-405) (A-173)


PENN STATE PRESS (A-207)

PERSEUS BOOKS GROUP (B-304) (A-185)
PHILOSOPHER'S INFORMATION CENTER (B-105)
PHILOSOPHY DOCUMENTATION CENTER (B-101) (A-OUTSIDE BACK COVER)
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-300, 302) (A-188-189)
PROMETHEUS BOOKS (B-100, 102, 104) (A-181)
ROUTLEDGE (B-411, 413) (A-176-178)
ROWMAN & LITTLEFIELD (B-311, 313) (A-209)
SPRINGER (B-201, 203, 205)
STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-212-213)
SUNY PRESS (B-115, 117) (A-INSIDE FRONT COVER)
THE CRITICAL THINKING LAB (B-106)
THE JOURNAL OF PHILOSOPHY (A-180)
THE MIT PRESS (B-412) (A-210-211)
THE SCHOLAR'S CHOICE (B-111, 113)
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS (B-103) (A-182-184)
UNIVERSITY PRESS OF AMERICA (B-315)
WADSWORTH, THOMSON (B-214, 216) (A-186)
W. W. NORTON (B-215) (A-206)
XXII WORLD CONGRESS (A-INSIDE BACK COVER)
YALE UNIVERSITY PRESS (B-404) (A-172)

AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION


DECEMBER 26-29, 2007

MARriott WATERFRONT HOTEL - GRAND BALLROOM LEVEL



74 8 x 10' BOOTHS

U-N-E-K E-X-P-O
 Baltimore/Washington/Orlando, 203-557-6802
 Fax: 410-796-7682



ES&A Inc. A. KOCAY/All Show Plans/2007/A. Assoc of Phil. 2/7/2007 11:30:25 AM

FORMS

ADVANCE REGISTRATION FORM

RECEPTION TABLE REQUEST FORM

HOTEL RESERVATION FORM

STUDENT HOTEL RESERVATION FORM


HOTEL SUITE INFORMATION

Please Note: It is expected that Advance Registration, Reception Table Requests, and Placement Service Registration for Interviewing Departments (see under APA Placement Service Information) will also be able to be performed online. Check the APA web site at <http://www.apa.udel.edu/apa>.

APA REGISTRATION POLICY

It is the policy of the APA that all persons attending sessions, including those who are participating as speakers, commentators or session chairs, are required to register for each meeting they attend. In addition, we have always recommended that all participants wear their APA badges during session hours to identify themselves as registered participants. In an effort better to enforce this policy we have begun a system of checking meeting attendees, randomly and anyone whom we suspect might not be registered, for proof of registration. If you are asked to provide proof of registration and are unable to do so, you will be asked to register immediately in order to enable you to attend sessions. Registration fees help subsidize every divisional meeting. Without that income we will be unable to ensure the quality and excellence of our meetings which you have come to expect. We thank you for your continued cooperation.

CONVENTION HOTEL DIAGRAMS




LEGEND


Numbers 24-28 on the map above represent the hotels associated with the Eastern Division meeting. Please disregard the other numbers.


- 24 - Courtyard Marriott
 - 25 - Baltimore Marriott Waterfront
 - 26 - Pier V
 - 27 - Hilton Garden Inn/Homewood Suites
 - 28 - Renaissance Harborplace
-

MARRIOTT WATERFRONT: THIRD FLOOR



MARRIOTT WATERFRONT: FOURTH FLOOR



RENAISSANCE HARBORPLACE: SIXTH FLOOR

Marriott Waterfront: Waterview A, B, C, D (Lobby Level) may be reached by going through the restaurant from the lobby.

Marriott Courtyard: Meeting Rooms A and B (Lobby Level) are down a short passageway to the right of the reception desk as you enter the hotel.

Pier V Hotel: Harbor East and Harbor West (Lobby Level) are to your left and right, respectively, as you enter the hotel from the front.