

September 2011
Volume 85, Issue 1

Proceedings and Addresses

of The American Philosophical Association



apa

THE AMERICAN
PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION

Eastern Division Program

The American Philosophical
Association
Eastern Division

One Hundred Eighth
Annual Meeting



Marriott Wardman Park
Washington, DC

December 27 - 30, 2011

Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association

Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association (ISSN 0065-972X) is published five times each year and is distributed to members of the APA as a benefit of membership and to libraries, departments, and institutions for \$75 per year. It is published by The American Philosophical Association, 31 Amstel Ave., University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716. Periodicals Postage Paid at Newark, DE and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Proceedings and Addresses*, The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

Editor: David E. Schrader **Phone:** (302) 831-1112
Publications Coordinator: Erin Shepherd **Fax:** (302) 831-8690
Associate Editor: Richard Bett **Web:** www.apaonline.org
Meeting Coordinator: Linda Smallbrook

Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association, the major publication of The American Philosophical Association, is published five times each academic year in the months of September, November, January, February, and May.

Each annual volume contains the programs for the meetings of the three Divisions; the membership list; Presidential Addresses; news of the Association, its Divisions and Committees, and announcements of interest to philosophers. Other items of interest to the community of philosophers may be included by decision of the Editor or the APA Board of Officers.

Microfilm copies are available through National Archive Publishing Company, Periodicals/Acquisitions Dept., P.O. Box 998, Ann Arbor, MI 48106-0998. ([HTTP://WWW.NAPUBCO.COM](http://www.napubco.com)). Certain back issues may be obtained from the APA.

All correspondence should be sent to the APA, 31 Amstel Avenue, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, directed to the appropriate staff member as follows: Erin Shepherd for inquiries and publication copy concerning programs of the Divisional meetings, general issues of the *Proceedings*, announcements, awards to members, and advertising; Janet Sample for membership information, address changes, and memorial minutes; and the Executive Director for letters to the editor.

Copyright © 2011 by The American Philosophical Association
ISSN 0065-972X

TABLE OF CONTENTS

IMPORTANT NOTICE FOR MEETING ATTENDEES.....	1
LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER.....	3
EASTERN DIVISION COMMITTEES.....	11
MAIN PROGRAM	15
GROUP PROGRAM.....	47
MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	79
ABSTRACTS OF COLLOQUIUM PAPERS.....	101
ABSTRACTS OF INVITED AND SYMPOSIUM PAPERS	121
SPECIAL SESSIONS SPONSORED BY APA COMMITTEES.....	131
GROUP SESSIONS.....	133
APA PLACEMENT SERVICE INFORMATION.....	137
PLACEMENT SERVICE REGISTRATION FORM.....	145
PAPER SUBMISSION GUIDELINES	147
MINUTES OF THE 2010 EASTERN DIVISION BUSINESS MEETING.....	153
MINUTES OF THE 2010 EASTERN DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING.....	157
ELECTION RESULTS	159
CHILDCARE INFORMATION.....	161
LIST OF ADVERTISERS AND BOOK EXHIBITORS	163
FORMS	191
ADVANCE REGISTRATION FORM.....	193
RECEPTION TABLE REQUEST FORM	195
HOTEL RESERVATION INFORMATION.....	197
HOTEL SUITE INFORMATION	199
APA REGISTRATION POLICY	201
CONVENTION HOTEL DIAGRAMS	203

IMPORTANT NOTICES FOR MEETING ATTENDEES

SESSION LOCATIONS

PLEASE NOTE: THE LOCATIONS OF INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS, IN BOTH THE MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAMS, WILL BE GIVEN IN A SEPARATE BROCHURE THAT WILL BE HANDED TO YOU WHEN YOU PICK UP YOUR REGISTRATION MATERIALS AT THE MEETING.

IN ADDITION, LOCATIONS FOR SESSIONS ON THE FIRST EVENING (DECEMBER 27) WILL BE POSTED IN THE REGISTRATION AREA.

***PROCEEDINGS* NO LONGER DISTRIBUTED TO MEMBERS AT REGISTRATION**

Starting with the 2011 meeting, APA members will no longer receive a duplicate copy of this issue of the *Proceedings* at the conference registration desk. All registrants will receive a copy of the brochure mentioned above, giving the locations of main program and group program sessions. Only non-members will receive a copy of the *Proceedings* at registration.

If you plan to attend the meeting and wish to consult the full program, please bring a copy of the *Proceedings* to the meeting or use the online program at www.apaonline.org.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT REGISTRATION!!!

PLEASE NOTE: IT NOW **COSTS LESS** TO REGISTER IN ADVANCE THAN TO REGISTER AT THE MEETING. PLEASE SEE THE FIRST PAGE OF THE LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER FOR THE EXACT FIGURES. (The rates are the same as last year.)

Online registration should be available by late October at www.apaonline.org. Alternatively, you can send in the registration form at the back of this issue of the *Proceedings* (also available at www.apaonline.org).

LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY- TREASURER

To All Members of the Association:

The one hundred and eighth Annual Meeting of the Eastern Division will be held from Tuesday, December 27th through Friday, December 30th, 2011, at the Marriott Wardman Park, Washington, DC. All meeting functions will take place at this hotel. Hotel accommodations at convention rates will be available at the Marriott. I suggest that you make reservations at your earliest convenience. (**Please Note:** suite reservations for interviewers are handled through Linda Smallbrook at the National Office; see the Hotel Suite Information page at the end of the program, along with information about regular hotel reservations and the registration forms.)

This issue of the *Proceedings* contains the following items:

- (1) The complete program, including the program for group meetings.
- (2) An advance registration form for individuals.
- (3) An advance registration form for departments planning to interview candidates at the meeting and wishing to use the APA Placement Service.
- (4) Information about how to make hotel reservations at regular and student convention rates.
- (5) A table reservation form for the receptions.

Please Note: it is expected that by early fall, meeting registration, Placement Service registration for departments, and reception table reservation (in other words, the operations corresponding to items (2), (3), and (5) above) will also be available online on the APA web site. Please check at <http://www.apaonline.org>

1. REGISTRATION

PLEASE NOTE: THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE IN REGISTRATION POLICY. IT NOW COSTS LESS TO REGISTER IN ADVANCE THAN AT THE MEETING. The rates for advance registration are the same as for the last two years; there is now a supplement for registering in person at the meeting (introduced in all three Divisions last year). The purpose of this change is to encourage as many attendees as possible to pre-register, thereby reducing the long lines at the Registration Desk at the start of the meeting.

Rates for registration are as follows:

APA Members: \$60 for advance registration, \$90 for in-person registration

Non-members: \$90 for advance registration, \$120 for in-person registration

Student members: \$10 for advance registration, \$20 for in-person registration

Of the \$60 the Eastern Division collects from each APA member who pre-registers, \$40 will be returned to the National Office to cover the salaries of staff members essential to the functioning of the Division. The remaining \$20 will go to offset meeting expenses directly. All those participating in the meeting are expected to register, and to wear their name badges to signify that they have done so. The staff conducts random checks at the meeting, and those who have not registered are asked to do so immediately.

Persons who are not now members may take advantage of the lower registration fee for members by joining the APA. Regular and student membership application forms are available from the APA National Office, on the APA's web site (<http://www.apaonline.org/>), and will be available during the meeting at the APA Registration Desk. The APA Registration Desk will be open on:

Tuesday, December 27: 3:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m.

Wednesday, December 28: 8:30 a.m. to 6:30 p.m.

Thursday, December 29: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Friday, December 30: 8:30 a.m. to Noon

Only registrants are entitled to attend the Reception on December 28th at no additional charge. Non-registrants who wish to attend this reception must purchase a \$10 ticket; tickets will be available at the door to the reception. For those who register, name badges will serve as proof of registration.

2. INFORMATION ON SESSION LOCATIONS: DISCONTINUATION OF DUPLICATE PROGRAMS

Following the procedure in all three Divisions in the last three years, the program does not include the rooms in which individual meeting sessions are to be held. Instead, this information will be made available at the meeting itself, in a separate brochure to be handed out at the Registration Desk. The locations of the Registration Desk, Book Exhibits, Placement Service, Interview Tables, Business Meeting, Presidential Address, and Evening Receptions are, however, included in the program. In addition, the locations of sessions scheduled on the first evening (December 27) will be posted in the registration area.

Following the lead of the Pacific Division at its 2011 meeting, the Eastern Division will no longer provide duplicate copies of the program to members at the Registration Desk. Members who wish to consult the full program at the meeting should bring a copy with them or look at the online version at www.apaonline.org.

3. PLACEMENT SERVICE

The APA Placement Service functions mainly as a channel of communication between interviewers and job applicants. It can be particularly valuable when other arrangements fail to function properly. For this reason, interviewers and candidates, even those who plan to use the Placement Center for no other purpose, should inform the Placement Center about how they may be contacted in case of an emergency. The placement process will operate most smoothly when appointing officers and applicants make preparations for their part in advance of the Annual Meeting and when interviews are arranged prior to the meeting on the basis of listings in *Jobs for Philosophers*. Detailed information about the APA Placement Service can be found elsewhere in this issue of the *Proceedings*.

A Placement Ombudsperson, either the Chair or a member of the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement, will be available in the Placement Service area for anyone encountering problems with the interviewing process.

4. BOOK EXHIBITS AND POSTER SESSION

The publishers' book exhibit area is in Exhibit Hall C (Exhibition Level), which is accessible by (downward) escalator from the Lobby Level of the hotel. Exhibit Hall C also includes three rooms (Lincoln 2, 3, and 4) in which program sessions will be held. It is open at the following times:

Wednesday, December 28: 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Thursday, December 29: 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

Friday, December 30: 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m.

Behind the exhibits in Exhibit Hall C will be an open space displaying a number of posters on Experimental Philosophy. There will be a Poster Session on this topic in this area from 4:00-6:00 p.m. on Wednesday, December 28, accompanied by refreshments (wine and cheese).

5. PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

The Presidential Address will be delivered by Paul Guyer at 4:45 p.m., December 29th, in the Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level). The title of the address is "Passion for Reason."

6. JOHN DEWEY LECTURE

At its 2004 meeting, the Eastern Division Executive Committee accepted a generous offer from the John Dewey Foundation to fund a John Dewey Lecture at each annual meeting. The Dewey Lecture is given by a prominent and senior (typically retired) American philosopher who is invited to reflect, broadly and in an autobiographical spirit, on philosophy in America. The Eastern Division is pleased to announce that its sixth John Dewey Lecture will be given by Lucius Outlaw at 1:30 p.m. on December 29 (session V-K). The lecture will be immediately followed by a reception.

Nominations are invited for future Eastern Division Dewey Lecturers. The 2012 Dewey Lecturer will be Judith Thomson. Previous Eastern Division Dewey Lecturers are Richard Bernstein, Hilary Putnam, J.B. Schneewind, Ruth Marcus, and Harry Frankfurt. At its annual meeting on December 27, the Executive Committee will establish a short list of candidates for Dewey Lecturer in 2013, and will take into account nominations received from members. Please send all nominations by November 15 to the Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, Department of Philosophy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N. Charles St., Baltimore, MD 21218-2686, or by email to rbett1@jhu.edu.

7. DIALECTICA LECTURE

The Eastern Division is pleased to host the 2011 Dialectica Lecture. This annual lecture is sponsored by the journal *Dialectica* and funded by Blackwell-Wiley. The Dialectica Lecture migrates from place to place, but is given at a major conference each year; this is the first time it has been given at an APA Divisional meeting. The 2011 Dialectica Lecture will be given by Kit Fine at 2:00 p.m. on December 28 (session III-L). The lecture will be immediately followed by a reception.

8. ROMANELL LECTURE

The Eastern Division is pleased to host the 2011 Patrick Romanell Lecture on Philosophical Naturalism, to be given by Galen Strawson. The title of the lecture is "Real Naturalism." The lecture will be given at 1:30 p.m. on December 30 (session VIII-H).

9. RECEPTIONS AND RECEPTION TABLES

There will be Receptions on the nights of December 28th, from 8:00 p.m. to Midnight, and December 29th, from 9:00 p.m. to Midnight. Both Receptions will take place in the Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level).

The large round tables at the Receptions will be numbered. A chart will be distributed at registration giving the table locations along with an index showing, for each table, who has reserved it.

Departments and societies are invited to reserve tables for a fee of \$50 per table for both nights. If you wish to reserve a table, please fill out and mail the form included in the back pages of this issue of the *Proceedings* or call or email Ms. Linda Smallbrook at the National Office (phone: 302-831-1113; fax: 302-831-3372; email: lindas@udel.edu). The deadline for making table reservations is December 12th.

10. BUSINESS MEETING AND VOTING MEMBERSHIP

The annual Business Meeting will be held on December 29th at 11:15 a.m. in the Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level). There will be reports from Divisional and National Officers and Committees.

According to the Association's By-Laws, regular membership is given by an act of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board of Officers. Each

year the list of regular members that appears in the November issue of the *Proceedings* is used as the list of eligible voters for the three subsequent Divisional meetings. Certification of persons as voting affiliates of the Eastern Division will take place at the entrance to the Business Meeting itself, for those wishing to attend and to vote.

11. RESOLUTIONS

(a) All resolutions to be presented for inclusion on the agenda of the Business Meeting as separate items must be submitted in writing to the Secretary-Treasurer at least nine weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by October 25, 2011) in order to be properly announced to the membership.

(b) No question of a substantive nature other than routine business shall be in order under “New Business” unless it has been received by the Secretary-Treasurer three weeks in advance of the Annual Meeting (by December 6, 2011) for transmission to the Executive Committee, except if three-fourths of the Eastern Division affiliates in attendance at the Business Meeting vote that such a question should be taken up at that meeting.

(c) According to section 5.5.D of the Bylaws of the APA, “Resolutions which purport to represent the sense of a division on matters of public policy may be voted on only by mail ballot authorized by the annual Business Meeting of that division. Such mail ballots will include relevant minutes of the meeting and a summary of the arguments presented.”

(d) The Eastern Division Executive Committee has the prerogative to order a mail or secure electronic ballot on any question of a substantive nature other than routine business that may come before the Business Meeting.

(e) There is a Resolutions Subcommittee of the Executive Committee consisting of the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, each of whom is available to consult with members before and during the Annual Meeting, on the preparation of resolutions.

12. THE 2012 PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The 2012 Program Committee invites contributions for the 2012 Annual Meeting that will be held in Atlanta on December 27-30. The 2012 Program Committee is composed of:

Laurie Paul (Chair)
Richard Bett (*ex officio*)
David Estlund
Martin Lin
Mary Beth Mader
Mary Kate McGowan
Eduardo Mendieta
Joseph Rouse

Harvey Siegel
Sharon Street
Zoltan Gendler Szabo
Paul Taylor
Christine Thomas

The deadline for submitted papers for the 2012 meeting is February 15, 2012. For further details on how and where to submit papers for consideration, see the section on Paper Submission Guidelines elsewhere in this issue.

The 2012 Program Committee welcomes nominations, including self-nominations, for commentators and session chairs. In selecting commentators and chairs, the Program Committee tends to prefer, other things being equal, persons who have not made frequent appearances on the Eastern Division Program in the past few years. Nominations should be sent to the Chair of the Program Committee, Laurie Paul, Philosophy Department, UNC Chapel Hill, Caldwell Hall, CB# 3125, Chapel Hill, NC 27599-3125, or by email at lapaul@unc.edu. Nominations should be received by the Chair no later than April 1, 2012; they should include a short list of significant or representative publications by the nominee, and should indicate the nominee's area(s) of specialization. (A curriculum vitae is not required for this purpose.)

13. GRADUATE STUDENT TRAVEL STIPENDS AND THE WILLIAM JAMES PRIZE

The Eastern Division is pleased to announce that there are two sources of financial support for junior members of the Association who wish to submit papers for consideration for the Eastern Division program. One source is the Graduate Student Travel Stipends, the other is the William James Prize. The guidelines for both are given below.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends. The Eastern Division will award a \$300 travel stipend for any paper written by a graduate student that is accepted by the Program Committee in its normal, blind-review process. Eligibility is restricted to APA members or student associate members who are graduate students in good standing in an M.A. or Ph.D. program in philosophy. *A graduate student may submit a paper either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.* Authors must comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Information elsewhere in the *Proceedings* or on the APA web site (<http://www.apaonline.org>)), with two modifications. First, the author must indicate that the paper is being submitted for consideration for a graduate student travel stipend. If the paper is submitted electronically, this is to be done by checking the relevant box on the online submission form; if it is submitted by mail, the paper must be accompanied by a cover

letter indicating that the paper is in competition for a Graduate Student stipend. (This information is *not to be included in the paper itself.*) Second, whichever method of submission is used, the author must also supply, at the time of submission, documentation from the author's institution showing that the author is a graduate student in good standing.

William James Prize. The Eastern Division will award the William James Prize to the best paper in the area of American Philosophy that is both (a) written by a philosopher who received the Ph.D. after January 1, 2007, or is a graduate student, and (b) accepted for inclusion in the Eastern Division program by the Program Committee through the normal process of blind-reviewing. Thanks to a generous gift from Professor and Mrs. John Lachs, the James Prize carries with it an honorarium of \$300. For purposes of competition for the James Prize, American Philosophy is to be construed broadly as including not only studies of the work of significant thinkers in the history of American Philosophy but also creative extensions or applications of the ideas, methods, or results of traditional American Philosophy to philosophical issues of current interest or lasting importance.

A paper may be submitted for the competition for the James Prize either as a colloquium paper or as a symposium paper. *Authors who are willing to have a shortened version of a symposium paper considered as a colloquium paper should submit the shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.* Authors must comply with all rules, including those intended to ensure the integrity of the process of blind-reviewing, that govern normal submissions to the Program Committee (see the APA Paper Submission Guidelines and the Eastern Division Paper Submission Information elsewhere in the *Proceedings* or on the APA web site (<http://www.apaonline.org>)). In addition, each submission for the competition for the James Prize must be accompanied by a letter from its author both (a) stating either the date on which the author's Ph.D. was granted if the author has already received the Ph.D., or the fact that the author is a graduate student if that is the case, and (b) expressing the author's wish to have the paper considered for the James Prize.

The competition for the William James Prize in 2012 will be judged by a subcommittee of the 2012 Program Committee. Its members are Laurie Paul (Chair of the Program Committee, serving *ex officio*), Richard Bett (Secretary-Treasurer of the Eastern Division, serving *ex officio*), and two other committee members.

In the event that no entrant marked specifically for the William James Prize competition is accepted by the Program Committee, the Program Committee will survey the Graduate Student Travel Stipend recipients to see whether any of those papers qualifies by topic for the James Prize. If one (or more) does so qualify, it (or the best one) will receive the William James Prize instead of a Graduate Student Travel Stipend. No paper may be awarded *both* the William James Prize *and* a Graduate Student Travel Stipend.

Each recipient of an award will be acknowledged in the printed program for the annual Eastern Division Meeting.

14. APA PRIZE RECEPTION

There will be a special reception for the presentation of awards, at which all meeting registrants are welcome. This reception will take place in Washington 5 and 6 (Exhibition Level) at 5:00-6:00 p.m. on December 28. The awards to be presented include the Graduate Student Travel Stipends and William James Prize, as well as a number of national APA prizes; the specifics are listed with the announcement of the reception in the main program.

15. THE 2013 PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The Eastern Division's Committee on Committees, whose *ex officio* members are the Vice President, the Divisional Representative to the Board of Officers, and the Secretary-Treasurer, invites nominations, including self-nominations, for membership on the 2013 Program Committee. Nominees should be voting affiliates of the Eastern Division. Each nomination must be accompanied by the nominee's *curriculum vitae* and must be received by December 1, 2011, at the Office of the Secretary-Treasurer, Department of Philosophy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218-2686; electronic nominations are preferable – send to rbett1@jhu.edu.

On behalf of the Executive and Program Committees, I extend to every member of The American Philosophical Association a warm invitation to take part in our one hundred and eighth Annual Meeting.

Cordially yours,

Richard Bett, Secretary-Treasurer

EASTERN DIVISION COMMITTEES, 2011-2012

EASTERN DIVISION OFFICERS

Paul Guyer, President
Linda Martín Alcoff, Vice President
Susan Wolf, Immediate Past President
Richard Bett, Secretary-Treasurer
Catherine Elgin, Divisional Representative

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Walter Brogan	Linda Martín Alcoff (<i>ex officio</i>)
Michael Della Rocca	Richard Bett (<i>ex officio</i>)
Béatrice Longuenesse	Catherine Elgin (<i>ex officio</i>)
Howard McGary	Paul Guyer (<i>ex officio</i>)
Tommie Shelby	Susan Wolf (<i>ex officio</i>)
Ted Sider	

NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Susan Wolf, Chair
Thomas McCarthy
Michele Moody-Adams
Alison Simmons
Michael Williams

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

David Rasmussen (Chair)	Verity Harte	Laurie Paul
Richard Bett (<i>ex officio</i>)	Martin Lin	Sharon Street
Linda Martín Alcoff	Mary Beth Mader	Zoltan Gendler Szabó
Alia Al-Saji	James Mattingly	
Austen Clark	Eduardo Mendieta	

ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE EASTERN DIVISION PROGRAM COMMITTEE

The function of the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee is to advise the Program Committee about the invited portion of the Eastern Division program. Members of the Advisory Committee are appointed to fill particular areas of specialization for three-year staggered terms by the Eastern Division's Executive Committee, with the advice of the Committee on Committees. The present members of the Advisory Committee (2011), with their areas of specialization and their terms of office, are given below.

HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY

ANCIENT

Allan Silverman (11-14)

Richard Kraut (10-13)

Nicholas Smith (09-12)

MODERN

Tad Schmaltz (11-14)

Christia Mercer (10-13)

Jeffrey Edwards (09-12)

20TH CENTURY

Thomas Baldwin (11-14)

Meredith Williams (10-13)

Dermot Moran (09-12)

MEDIEVAL AND RENAISSANCE

Robert Pasnau (11-14)

Bonnie Kent (10-13)

Neil Lewis (09-12)

19TH CENTURY

Dean Moyar (11-14)

Allen Wood (10-13)

Robert Pippin (09-12)

TRADITIONAL DIVISIONS

METAPHYSICS

Mark Hinchliff (11-14)

Hartry Field (10-13)

Linda Wetzel (09-12)

ETHICS

Margaret Little (11-14)

Marcia Baron (10-13)

Sarah Buss (09-12)

LOGIC

Anil Gupta (11-14)

Peter Koellner (10-13)

Steven Kuhn (09-12)

EPISTEMOLOGY

Jonathan Vogel (11-14)

Ram Neta (10-13)

Wayne Davis (09-12)

AESTHETICS

Noel Carroll (11-14)

Jerry Levinson (10-13)

Hugh Silverman (09-12)

SPECIAL FIELDS**PHILOSOPHY OF LANGUAGE**

Josef Stern (11-14)

Alex Byrne (10-13)

Jeffrey King (09-12)

PHILOSOPHY OF SCIENCE

Mark Bedau (11-14)

John Roberts (10-13)

Peter Godfrey-Smith (09-12)

SOCIAL AND POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY

Henry Richardson (11-14)

Rahul Kumar (10-13)

Samuel Freeman (09-12)

PHILOSOPHY OF LITERATURE

John Gibson (11-14)

Michael Naas (10-13)

Mary Rawlinson (09-12)

NON-WESTERN PHILOSOPHY

Hwa-Yol Jung (11-14)

David Wong (10-13)

Bret Davis (09-12)

FEMINISM

Charlotte Witt (11-14)

Cynthia Willett (10-13)

Sharon Meagher (09-12)

AMERICAN PHILOSOPHY

Russell Goodman (11-14)

Jennifer Welchman (10-13)

Robert Talisse (09-12)

**PHENOMENOLOGY, EXISTENTIALISM,
AND HERMENEUTICS**

Kristin Gjesdal (11-14)

Peter Gordon (10-13)

Dan Smith (09-12)

PHILOSOPHY OF LAW

Jules Coleman (11-14)

John Mikhail (10-13)

Michael Sandel (09-12)

PHILOSOPHY OF MIND

Cheryl Chen (11-14)

Eric Margolis (10-13)

Alvin Goldman (09-12)

PHILOSOPHY OF MATHEMATICS

Alexis Burgess (11-14)

Stewart Shapiro (10-13)

Charles Parsons (09-12)

PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

Keith DeRose (11-14)

Charles Taliaferro (10-13)

Marilyn McCord Adams (09-12)

APPLIED ETHICS

Jonathan Moreno (11-14)

Thomas Beauchamp (10-13)

Madison Powers (09-12)

AFRICANA

Frank Kirkland (11-14)

Derrick Darby (10-13)

D.A. Masolo (09-12)

PHILOSOPHY OF EDUCATION

Harry Brighouse (11-14)

Victoria Costa (10-13)

Michael Luntley (09-12)

**POSTMODERNISM, PHILOSOPHY OF
CULTURE, AND CRITICAL THEORY**

Espen Hammer (11-14)

Lorenzo Simpson (10-13)

James Bernauer (09-12)

THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION

EASTERN DIVISION ONE HUNDRED EIGHTH ANNUAL MEETING PROGRAM

DECEMBER 27-30, 2011

MARRIOTT WARDMAN PARK, WASHINGTON, DC

TUESDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 27

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

1:00-6:00 p.m.

REGISTRATION

3:00-10:00 p.m., Convention Registration Desk (Lobby Level)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: 3:00-10:00 p.m., Washington 3 (Exhibition Level)

Candidates: 3:00-10:00 p.m., Washington 4 (Exhibition Level)

Interview Tables: Exhibit Hall A (Exhibition Level)

TUESDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27

SESSION I – 6:30-9:30 P.M.

I-A. Symposium: Chinese Philosophy and Moral Psychology

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Chair: JeeLoo Liu (California State University–Fullerton)

Speakers: Amy Olberding (University of Oklahoma)

Hagop Sarkissian (Baruch College–City University of
New York)

Commentator: Michael Slote (University of Miami)

I-B. Symposium: Memorial Session for Kurt Baier

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Chair: Bernard Gert (Dartmouth College)

- Speakers: Stephen Darwall (Yale University)
 James Sterba (University of Notre Dame)
 Laurence Thomas (Syracuse University)
- Commentator: Gerald Gaus (University of Arizona)

I-C. Symposium: Composition as Identity

6:30-9:30 p.m.

- Chair: Daniel Z. Korman (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
- Speakers: Raul Saucedo (Yale University)
 Kris McDaniel (Syracuse University)
- Commentator: Aaron Cotnoir (University of Aberdeen–United Kingdom)

I-D. Symposium: Early Modern Women Philosophers

6:30-9:30 p.m.

- Chair: Shoshanna Brassfield (Utica College)
- Speakers: Karen Detlefsen (University of Pennsylvania)
 Lisa Shapiro (Simon Fraser University)
- Commentator: Christia Mercer (Columbia University)

I-E. Colloquium: Legal Philosophy, Dissent, and Consensus

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Chair: Sarit Larry (Boston College)

6:30-7:30 p.m.

- Speaker: Kevin Gray (University of Toronto)
 “Misguided Systems Theory in Habermas’ Legal Philosophy”
- Commentator: Amelia Wirts (Boston College)

7:30-8:30 p.m.

- Speaker: Benjamin Sachs (New York University)
 “The Hopelessness of Carving out a Threatening Speech Exception to Freedom of Speech”
- Commentator: Paul Van Rooy (Boston College)

8:30-9:30 p.m.

- Speaker: Jon Garthoff (University of Tennessee)
 “The Idea of an Overlapping Consensus Revisited”
- Commentator: Willy Moka (Boston College)

I-F. APA Committee Session: Author Meets Critics: Lewis Gordon, *An Introduction to Africana Philosophy*

Arranged by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Chair: Patrick Goodin (Howard University)

Critics: Elias Bongmba (Rice University)

Drucilla Cornell (Rutgers University)

Paget Henry (Brown University)

Clevis Headley (Florida Atlantic University)

Author: Lewis Gordon (Temple University)

I-G. APA Committee Session: Placement Practices and Procedures

Arranged by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement

7:30-9:30 p.m.

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA

(NOTE: THIS SESSION BEGINS AT 7:30 P.M.)

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:30-9:30 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for details)

Association for Symbolic Logic

Metaphysical Society of America

Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy

Society for Applied Philosophy

WEDNESDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 28

REGISTRATION

8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m., Convention Registration Desk (Lobby Level)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: *8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m., Washington 3 (Exhibition Level)*

Candidates: *8:30 a.m.-6:30 p.m., Washington 4 (Exhibition Level)*

Interview Tables: *Exhibit Hall A (Exhibition Level)*

BOOK EXHIBITS

10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m., Exhibit Hall C (Exhibition Level)

(Experimental Philosophy Posters also on Display)

SESSION II – 9:00 A.M.-NOON**II-A. Symposium: Race, Racism, and Racial Cognition***9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Susanna Siegel (Harvard University)

Speakers: Sarah-Jane Leslie (Princeton University)
Alexis Shotwell (Laurentian University-Ontario)

Commentator: Luc Faucher (University of Québec-Montréal)

II-B. Symposium: Philosophy of Action, Ancient and Modern*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Brian Johnson (Fordham University)

Speakers: Agnes Callard (University of Chicago)
Katja Vogt (Columbia University)

Commentator: Michael Thompson (University of Pittsburgh)

II-C. Symposium: Emmanuel Levinas*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Martin Kavka (Florida State University)

Speakers: Robert Bernasconi (Pennsylvania State University)
Tina Chanter (DePaul University)

Commentator: Diane Perpich (Clemson University)

II-D. Symposium: Game Theory and the Evolution of Morals*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Steven Kuhn (Georgetown University)

Speakers: Brian Skyrms (University of California-Irvine)
Ken Binmore (University College London)
Elinor Ostrom (Indiana University-Bloomington)**II-E. Author Meets Critics: Martha Nussbaum, *Creating Capabilities: The Human Developmental Approach****9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Matt Priselac (University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill)

Critics: Hilary Bok (Johns Hopkins University)
Ingrid Robeyns (Erasmus University-Rotterdam)

Author: Martha Nussbaum (University of Chicago)

(This session will end at 11:00 a.m.)

II-F. Colloquium: Merleau-Ponty and Irigaray*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Debra Bergoffen (George Mason University)

9:00-10:00 a.m.

Speaker: Shiloh Whitney (McGill University)
 “Affective Orientation and Difference in Merleau-Ponty’s Account of Pure Depth: A New Conception of Intentionality?”

Commentator: Ann V. Murphy (Fordham University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Darian Meacham (University of the West of England)
 “Phenomenology, on what Grounds? Subject, Life and Matter in Merleau-Ponty’s Philosophy of Nature”

Commentator: Donald A. Landes (McGill University)

11:00 a.m.-Noon

Speaker: Cori Wong (Pennsylvania State University)
 “Irigaray, (Trans)Sexual Difference and the Future of Feminism”

Commentator: Emily Anne Parker (Santa Clara University)

II-G. APA Committee Session: Understanding Health

Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine

10:00 a.m.-Noon

Chair: Leonard Kahn (U.S. Air Force Academy)

Speakers: Anita Silvers (San Francisco State University)
 “Health and Aging”

Michael Boylan (Marymount University)
 “Health and Self-Fulfillment”

Rosemarie Tong (University of North Carolina-Charlotte)

“Health, Happiness, and Assisted Reproduction”

(NOTE: THIS SESSION BEGINS AT 10:00 A.M.)

II-H. APA Committee Session: Philosophy for Young People: Curriculum, Implementation, and Sustainability Challenges

Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Craig Merow (Michigan State University)

Speakers: Kirsten Jacobson (University of Maine)
 “Philosophy Across the Ages: My Experience with Philosophy Outreach in Maine”

Beth A. Dixon (State University of New York–
Plattsburgh)

“What Counts as Success? Sustaining a P4C
Program in the Local Community”

Stuart Gluck (Johns Hopkins Center for Talented
Youth)

“Johns Hopkins CTY Curriculum on Pre-college
Philosophy”

Wendy C. Turgeon (St. Joseph’s College)

“Working with Teachers in a Graduate Course on
Philosophy for Children”

(This session will end at 11:00 a.m.)

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

George Santayana Society

Hume Society

North American Nietzsche Society

Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

Society for Business Ethics

Society for Realist/Anti-Realist Discussion

Society for the Philosophy of History

Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues

Society for the Philosophy of Sex and Love

COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

APA Committee on Lectures, Publications and Research

American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society

Concerned Philosophers for Peace

Institute for the Advancement of Philosophy for Children

International Association for Environmental Philosophy

International Society for Buddhist Philosophy

Leibniz Society of North America

Society for the Study of Process Philosophies

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28

SESSION III – 2:00-5:00 P.M.

III-A. Symposium: Critical Theory and Culture

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Jonathan Treo-Mathys (Boston College)

Speakers: James Bohman (Saint Louis University)
James Swindal (Duquesne University)

Commentator: Barbara Fultner (Denison University)

III-B. Symposium: Evolution and Metaethics

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Dan Moller (University of Maryland–College Park)

Speakers: William Fitzpatrick (University of Rochester)
Sharon Street (New York University)

Commentator: Karl Schafer (University of Pittsburgh)

III-C. Symposium: Greek Philosophical Theories of Emotion

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Daniel Devereux (University of Virginia)

Speakers: Jamie Dow (University of Leeds–United Kingdom)
Amber Carpenter (University of York–United Kingdom)

Commentator: Rachana Kamtekar (University of Arizona)

III-D. Information Session: Feminist Bioethics: Interventions into the Politics of Race and Globalization

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Mary Rawlinson (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Speakers: Lisa Eckenwiler (George Mason University)
Allison Wolf (Simpson College)
Ellen Feder (American University)

III-E. Colloquium: Aesthetics and Experience

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Kyoo Lee (John Jay College–City University of New York)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Speaker: Joseph Cannon (Marquette University)
“Beauty, System, and Skepticism in Kant’s Aesthetics”

Commentator: Peter W. Milne (Seoul National University–Korea)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Speaker: Eleanor Helms (Fordham University)
 “Husserl’s Aesthetics: A Phenomenology of the
 Literary Work of Art”

Commentator: Andrea Staiti (Boston College)

4:00-5:00 p.m.

Speaker: Scott Alexander Howard (University of Toronto)
 “Lyrical Emotions and Sentimentality”

Commentator: Michael R. Kelly (Boston College)

III-F. Colloquium: Social Ethics and Reactive Attitudes

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: John Murungi (Towson University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Speaker: David Goldman (University of California–Los
 Angeles)
 “Modification of the Reactive Attitudes”

Commentator: Daniel Haggerty (University of Scranton)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Speaker: Andrew Pierce (Loyola University–Chicago)
 “On Institutional Racism: A Reply to Glasgow”

Commentator: Lawrence Blum (University of Massachusetts–
 Boston)

4:00-5:00 p.m.

Speaker: Franco Trivigno (Marquette University)
 “The Virtual Ethical Case for Pacifism”

Commentator: Graham Parsons (Brooklyn College–City University
 of New York)

III-G. Colloquium: Context and Semantics

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Mark Moffett (University of Wyoming)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Speaker: Brian Rabern (Australian National University)
 “The Monstrous Quantifiers of Kaplan’s LD”

Commentator: Karen Lewis (University of Southern California)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Speakers: Michael O’Rourke and Liela Rotschy (University of
 Idaho)

“Against Speaker Intentions”

Commentator: Nick Kroll (Franklin and Marshall College)

4:00-5:00 p.m.

Speaker: Alex Silk (University of Michigan)

“Why ‘Ought’ Detaches: Or, Why You Ought to Get with My Friends (If You Want to Be My Lover)”

Commentator: Justin Khoo (Yale University)

III-H. Colloquium: Fractured Selves

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Asha Bhandary (Wesleyan University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Speaker: Jason Ryan Lopez (Siena College)

“What the Dynamic Puzzle of Self-Deception Says about the Dynamics of Self-Deception”

Commentator: Naomi Reshotko (University of Denver)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Speaker: W. Scott Clifton (University of Washington)

“The Token-Individuation Problem for Pure Affective Theories of Emotion and Capgras Syndrome”

Commentator: Tim Bloser (Augustana College)

4:00-5:00 p.m.

Speaker: Joshua May (Monash University-Australia)

“Empirical Evidence against the Efficacy of Reason?”

Commentator: Nathan Lindsey (University of Connecticut)

III-I. Colloquium: Limits of Contextualism

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Mitchell Green (University of Virginia)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Speaker: Jay Newhard (East Carolina University)

“The Argument from Skepticism for Contextualism”

Commentator: Andrew Moon (University of Missouri–Columbia)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Speaker: Reuben Stern (Georgia State University)

“Free Will, Contextualism, and the Law”

Commentator: Thomas Nadelhoffer (Dickinson College)

4:00-5:00 p.m.

Speaker: Mark Criley (Illinois Wesleyan University)

“Cappelen, Content Relativism, and the ‘Creative Interpreter’”

Commentator: Wayne Davis (Georgetown University)

III-J. APA Committee Session: Borges and Philosophy**Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics***2:00-5:00 p.m.*

Chair: Amy Oliver (American University)

Speakers: Jorge J. E. Gracia (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)

“The Presence of the Absence in Interpretation: Destefanis and Celma on Borges”

Marcelo Sabates (Kansas State University)

“Three Versions of Borges”

Alejandro Vallega (University of Oregon)

“The Obscene Idiom of Imagination: Borges as a Latin American Thinker”

III-K. APA Committee Session: Maternal Thinking/Peace Thinking: The Philosophical Legacy of Sara Ruddick**Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women***2:00-5:00 p.m.*

Chair: Diana Tietjens Meyers (Loyola University–Chicago)

Speakers: Eva F. Kittay (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Hilde Lindemann (Michigan State University)

Nel Noddings (Stanford University)

Virginia Held (Graduate Center–City University of New York)

Carol Cohn (Boston Consortium on Gender, Security and Human Rights)

Robin Schott (Danish Institute for International Studies)

Margaret Urban Walker (Marquette University)

III-L. Dialectica Lecture*2:00-3:30 p.m.*

Chair: Zoltan Gendler Szabo (Yale University)

Speaker: Kit Fine (New York University)

(Note: this session will end around 3:30 p.m., and will be followed by a reception)

GROUP MEETINGS, 2:00-5:00 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Association of Chinese Philosophers in America

Ayn Rand Society

International Association for the Philosophy of Sport
 North American Spinoza Society
 Society for Systematic Philosophy

WEDNESDAY LATE AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28

POSTER SESSION ON EXPERIMENTAL PHILOSOPHY

4:00-6:00 p.m., Exhibit Hall C, behind the Book Exhibits (Exhibition Level)

(Wine/Cheese Served)

Organizer: Mark Phelan (Yale University)

Speakers: Jennifer Baker (College of Charleston), Walter Limehouse, (Medical University of South Carolina), and Adam Limehouse (College of Charleston)

“The Role of Bioethics in Clinicians’ Self-Reported Decision-Making: How Does Bioethics Impact Decision Making In Situ?”

Adam Lerner (College of William & Mary)

“Just Desert Retribution: An Error Theory for Compatibilist Intuitions”

Moti Mizrahi and Wesley Buckwalter (City University of New York)

“Intuitions about Scientific Progress: An Empirical Study”

Mark Alfano (University of Notre Dame), Brian Robinson (Grand Valley State University), and James Beebe (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)

“Norm Salience and Reflection as Keys to the Side-effect Effect”

Alexandra Bradner (Denison University), Jeanine Weekes Schroer (University of Minnesota–Duluth), and Seth Chin-Parker (Denison University)

“When the Violinist is Your Half-Sibling: An Experimental Study of Thomson’s Classic Thought Experiment”

Christopher Grau (Clemson University)

“Attitudes Towards Reference and Replaceability”

Markus Arvan (University of Tampa)

“Personality Traits and Moral Intuitions”

Matthew Kieran, Aaron Meskin, and Margaret Moore (University of Leeds-United Kingdom)

“Mere Exposure to Bad Art”

James Andow (University of Nottingham-United Kingdom)

“A Role for the Moral/Conventional Distinction”

David Faraci (Bowling Green State University) and David Shoemaker (Tulane University)

“Huck Finn vs. JoJo: Moral Ignorance and the (A)symmetry of Praise and Blame”

Joseph Ulatowski and Robert Barnard (University of Mississippi)

“Whose Truth? What Evidence?”

Matthew Lindauer (Yale University) and Meena Krishnamurthy (University of Manitoba)

“The Effectiveness of Philosophical Argumentation in Inspiring Concern and Action with Regard to Global Poverty”

Garret Merriam (University of Southern Indiana)

“Miscarriages of Justice: A Paradoxical Problem for Death Penalty Opponents”

(Note: Posters relating to this session will remain on display throughout the Book Exhibit opening hours)

APA PRIZE RECEPTION (OPEN TO ALL: WINE/CHEESE SERVED)

5:00-6:00 p.m., Washington 5 and 6 (Exhibition Level)

APA NATIONAL PRIZES

Book Prize 2011

Winner: Lisa Bortolotti (University of Birmingham)

Title: *Delusions and Other Irrational Beliefs*

Honorable Mention: Jonathan Cohen (University of California–San Diego)

Title: *The Red and the Real: An Essay on Color Ontology*

Dewey Lecture, Eastern Division 2011

Winner: Lucius Outlaw (Vanderbilt University)

Dialectica Lecture 2011

Winner: Kit Fine (New York University)

Edinburgh Fellowship 2010-11

Winner: Paul O. Nnodim (Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts)

Gittler Award 2011

Winner: Elizabeth Anderson (University of Michigan)

Title: *The Imperative of Integration*

Latin American Thought 2011

- Winner: John Kaiser Ortiz (Bowling Green State University)
 Title: "Octavio Paz and the Universal Problem of Mexican Solitude"

Quinn Prize 2011

- Winner: TBA

Romanell Lecture 2011

- Winner: Galen Strawson (University of Reading-United Kingdom)
 Title: "Real Naturalism"

Sharp Memorial Prize 2011

- Winner: Seth Lazar (University of Oxford)
 Title: *War and Associative Duties*

EASTERN DIVISION PRIZES AND STIPENDS**William James Prize**

- Winner: Daniel Hicks (University of Notre Dame)
 Title: "Rawls' Rationalist Conception of Personhood" (IV-H)
- Winner: Jennifer Szende (Queen's University-Ontario)
 Title: "Beitz and the Problem with a State-Focused Approach to Human Rights" (IV-H)

Graduate Student Travel Stipends

- Winner: Charity Anderson (Saint Louis University)
 Title: "On the Intimate Relationship of Knowledge and Action" (VI-H)
- Winner: Kenneth Boyd (University of Toronto)
 Title: "Epistemic Norms and Excuses" (VI-H)
- Winner: Robert William Fischer (University of Illinois-Chicago)
 Title: "The Theory Theory: A Neglected Modal Epistemology" (V-F)
- Winner: David Goldman (University of California-Los Angeles)
 Title: "Modification of the Reactive Attitudes" (III-F)
- Winner: Kevin Gray (University of Toronto)
 Title: "Misguided Systems Theory in Habermas' Legal Philosophy" (I-E)
- Winner: Eleanor Helms (Fordham University)
 Title: "Husserl's Aesthetics: A Phenomenology of the Literary Work of Art" (III-E)

- Winner: Scott Alexander Howard (University of Toronto)
 Title: "Lyrical Emotions and Sentimentality" (III-E)
- Winner: Vinod Acharya (Rice University)
 Title: "Science and Philosophy: The Relation between *Human, All-Too-Human* and Nietzsche's Early Thought" (VII-G)
- Winner: Sebastian Lutz (University of Utrecht-Netherlands)
 Title: "On Likelihoodism and Intelligent Design" (VIII-F)
- Winner: Vincent Picciuto (University of Maryland-College Park)
 Title: "Mental Quotation beyond the Phenomenal Concept Strategy" (VI-G)
- Winner: Adrienne Prettyman (University of Toronto)
 Title: "Attention and Perceptual Content: Keeping it Determinate" (VII-H)
- Winner: Brian Rabern (Australian National University)
 Title: "The Monstrous Quantifiers of Kaplan's LD" (III-G)
- Winner: Christopher Rice (Fordham University)
 Title: "Evolution and Our Ultimate Reasons for Action" (V-H)
- Winner: Yasha Rohwer (University of Missouri-Columbia)
 Title: "Modeling the Evolution of Human Intelligence: Our Lousy Iterated Theory of Mind" (VI-I)
- Winner: B. Scot Rouse (Northwestern University)
 Title: "Sociality and Human Agency in Heidegger and Korsgaard" (VIII-E)
- Winner: Alex Silk (University of Michigan)
 Title: "Why 'Ought' Detaches: Or, Why You Ought to Get with My Friends (If You Want to Be My Lover)" (III-G)
- Winner: Reuben Stern (Georgia State University)
 Title: "Free Will, Contextualism, and the Law" (III-I)
- Winner: Elanor Taylor (University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill)
 Title: "Collapsing Emergence" (VII-F)
- Winner: Shiloh Whitney (McGill University)
 Title: "Affective Orientation and Difference in Merleau-Ponty's Account of Pure Depth: A New Conception of Intentionality?" (II-F)
- Winner: Cori Wong (Pennsylvania State University)
 Title: "Irigaray, (Trans)Sexual Difference and the Future of Feminism" (II-F)

WEDNESDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 28

COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 5:15-7:15 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

APA Committee on Black Philosophers

American Association of Philosophy Teachers

Association of Chinese Philosophers in America

International Berkeley Society

Marxism and Philosophy Association

North American Kant Society

Philosophers in Jesuit Education

Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy

Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World

Society for Skeptical Studies

Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy

Society for the Study of Indian and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy

Society for Women in Philosophy

GROUP MEETINGS, 7:30-10:30 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy

Association for Symbolic Logic

Conference on Philosophical Societies

Hegel Society of America

International Association for Environmental Philosophy

Karl Jaspers Society of North America

Radical Philosophy Association

Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

Society for the History of Political Philosophy

Society of Philosophers in America

RECEPTION

8:00 p.m.-Midnight, Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level)

THURSDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29

REGISTRATION

8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Convention Registration Desk (Lobby Level)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Washington 3 (Exhibition Level)

Candidates: 8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Washington 4 (Exhibition Level)

Interview Tables: Exhibit Hall A (Exhibition Level)

BOOK EXHIBITS

10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m., Exhibit Hall C (Exhibition Level)

(Experimental Philosophy Posters also on Display)

SESSION IV – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

IV-A. Invited Papers: Structure and Categories

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Dan Giberman (Stanford University)

Speakers: John Hawthorne (Oxford University)

Peter van Inwagen (University of Notre Dame)

IV-B. Invited Papers: Organism in Biology

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Bryan Bannon (University of North Florida)

Speakers: Thomas Pradeu (University of Paris-Sorbonne IV)

John Dupre (University of Exeter-United Kingdom)

IV-C. Author Meets Critics: Kelly Oliver, *Women as Weapons of War*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Sharon Meagher (University of Scranton)

Critics: Chris Cuomo (University of Georgia)

Ellen Armour (Vanderbilt University)

Author: Kelly Oliver (Vanderbilt University)

IV-D. Author Meets Critics: Thomas McCarthy, *Race, Empire & the Idea of Human Development*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Harvey Cormier (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Critics: Charles W. Mills (Northwestern University)

David Ingram (Loyola University–Chicago)

Author: Thomas McCarthy (Northwestern University)

IV-E. Author Meets Critics: John Palmer, *Parmenides and Pre-Socratic Philosophy*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Tim Clarke (Yale University)

Critics: Herbert Granger (Wayne State University)
James Leshner (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

Author: John Palmer (University of Florida–Gainesville)

IV-F. Author Meets Critics: Scott Soames, *What is Meaning?*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Steven Gross (Johns Hopkins University)

Critics: Stephen Neale (Graduate Center–City University of New York)
Mark Richard (Harvard University)

Author: Scott Soames (University of Southern California)

IV-G. Submitted Symposium: Psychology and Neuroscience

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Robert Rynasiewicz (Johns Hopkins University)

Speakers: Gualtiero Piccinini (University of Missouri–St. Louis) and Carl Craver (Washington University–St. Louis)

“Integrating Psychology and Neuroscience:
Functional Analysis as Mechanism Sketches”

Commentators: Rocco J. Gennaro (University of Southern Indiana)
Bryce Huebner (Georgetown University)

IV-H. William James Prize Colloquium: Politics and Human Rights

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Christopher Morris (University of Maryland–College Park)

9:00-10:00 a.m.

Speaker: Jennifer Szende (Queen’s University–Ontario)
“Beitz and the Problem with a State Focused Approach to Human Rights”

Commentator: Hallie Liberto (University of Connecticut)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Daniel Hicks (University of Notre Dame)
“Rawls’ Rationalist Conception of Personhood”

Commentator: David McCabe (Colgate University)

IV-I. Colloquium: Knowledge and its Absence*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Jeff Engelhart (Georgetown University)

*9:00-10:00 a.m.*Speaker: Tudor Ioan Protopopescu and Sergei Artemov
(Graduate Center–City University of New York)
“The Knowability Paradox, Semantically”

Commentator: Franz-Peter Griesmaier (University of Wyoming)

*10:00-11:00 a.m.*Speaker: Alexander Arnold (University of Notre Dame)
“A Knowledge-Centric Argument Against E=K”

Commentator: Yuri Cath (University of St. Andrews–United Kingdom)

IV-J. Colloquium: Frege and Aristotle, Thinking about Thinking*9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Ian McCready-Flora (Columbia University)

*9:00-10:00 a.m.*Speaker: Pieranna Garavaso (University of Minnesota–Morris) and Nicla Vassallo (University of Genoa–Italy)
“Frege’s Thinking”

Commentator: Ted Parent (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University)

*10:00-11:00 a.m.*Speaker: Nathan Colaner (Seattle University)
“What Is ‘Thought that Thinks Itself?’”

Commentator: Charlotte Witt (University of New Hampshire)

IV-K. APA Committee Session: International Philosophy Olympiad**Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy***9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Deborah Tollefsen (University of Memphis)

Speakers: Joseph A. Murphy (Dwight-Englewood School–New Jersey), Kelly Greiss (Pitzer College), and Andrew Loeshelle (Bucknell University)

“Report from the First U.S. Team Participating in the International Philosophy Olympiad”

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Society for Value Inquiry

Foucault Circle

International Society for Buddhist Philosophy

International Society for Environmental Ethics

International St. Thomas Aquinas Society

Society of Humanist Philosophers

BUSINESS MEETING

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m., Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level)

COMMITTEE AND GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

APA Committee on Philosophy and Law

APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers
and Philosophies

American Society for Value Inquiry

Association for Symbolic Logic

Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious

International Institute for Field-Being

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western
Philosophy

Josiah Royce Society

Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs

Society for the Study of Women Philosophers

THURSDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 29**SESSION V – 1:30-4:30 P.M.****V-A. Symposium: Race and Embodiment**

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Gail Weiss (George Washington University)

Speakers: George Yancy (Duquesne University)

David Kim (University of San Francisco)

Commentator: Falguni Sheth (Hampshire College)

V-B. Symposium: Quasi-Realism About Normativity: Recent Developments*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Michael Pendlebury (North Carolina State University)

Speakers: Jamie Dreier (Brown University)

Allan Gibbard (University of Michigan)

Commentator: Nadeem Hussain (Stanford University)

V-C. Symposium: Postmodernism and the Cosmopolitan Project*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Samuel A. Butler (Elon University)

Speakers: Amós Nascimento (University of Washington–Tacoma)

Mitchell Aboulafia (Juilliard School)

Commentator: Anne O’Byrne (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

V-D. Symposium: Implicit Bias*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Sally Haslanger (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)

Speakers: Tamar Gendler (Yale University)

Jennifer Saul (University of Sheffield–United Kingdom)

Commentator: Louise Antony (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)

V-E. Author Meets Critics: Daniel Garber, *Leibniz: Body, Substance, Monad**1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Ohad Nachtomy (Fordham University/Bar-Ilan University-Israel)

Critics: Sam Levy (Dartmouth College)

Jeff McDonough (Harvard University)

Brandon Look (University of Kentucky)

Author: Daniel Garber (Princeton University)

V-F. Colloquium: Deep Waters*1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Jeff Snapper (University of Notre Dame)

*1:30-2:30 p.m.*Speaker: Kelly Trogdon (Lingnan University–Hong Kong)
“Grounding: Necessary or Contingent?”

Commentator: Dana Goswick (Melbourne University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Robert William Fischer (University of Illinois–Chicago)
 “The Theory Theory: A Neglected Modal Epistemology”

Commentator: Jamin Asay (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Robert Koons (University of Texas–Austin)
 “A New Kalam Argument”

Commentator: Andrew Bailey (University of Notre Dame)

V-G. Colloquium: Kant

1:30-4:30 p.m.

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Chair: Lara Denis (Agnes Scott College)

Speaker: Robert Greenberg (Brandeis University)
 “On a Presumed Omission in Kant’s Derivation of the Categorical Imperative”

Commentator: Sidney Axinn (University of South Florida)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Apaar Kumar (Emory University)
 “Kant and ‘Empfindung’”

Commentator: Brian Watkins (Duke University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Stefano Franchi (Texas A&M University)
 “Teleonomy and Contingency in Kant’s Third Critique”

Commentator: Thomas Land (Cambridge University)

V-H. Colloquium: Contingency, Reasons, and Modesty

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Alisa Carse (Georgetown University)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: David Dick (University of Calgary)
 “Frankfurt, Unthinkable Actions, and Deliberative Paralysis”

Commentator: Iskra Fileva (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Christopher Rice (Fordham University)
 “Evolution and Our Ultimate Reasons for Action”

Commentator: Ekaterina Vavova (Amherst College)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Nicolas Bommarito (Brown University)
 “Modesty as a Virtue of Attention”

Commentator: Daniela Dover (New York University)

V-I. APA Committee Session: Professional Philosophical Bloggers

Arranged by the APA Committee on Public Philosophy

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Andrew Light (George Mason University/Center for American Progress)

Speakers: David Roberts (Grist)
 Matthew Yglesias (Think Progress)
 Andrew Sullivan (The Daily Beast)

V-J. APA Committee Session: Japanese Aesthetics

Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Minh Nguyen (Eastern Kentucky University)

Speakers: Peter Leech (University of Otago-New Zealand/
 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México)
 “The Fragrance of Paris: Delicacies of Kuki Shuzo’s
 Aesthetic Thought”

Mara Miller (Independent Scholar/Consultant)
 “Japanese Literary Aesthetics Today: Rewriting
 the Traditional in the Modern and Post-Modern
 World”

Yuriko Saito (Rhode Island School of Design)
 “The Moral Dimension of Japanese Aesthetics”

Barbara Sandrisser (Architectural and
 Environmental Aesthetics)
 “The History of Elegance in Japan”

V-K. Dewey Lecture

1:30-3:00 p.m.

Chair: Leonard Harris (Purdue University)

Speaker: Lucius Outlaw (Vanderbilt University)

(Note: this session will end around 3 p.m., and will be followed by a reception)

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:30-4:30 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy

Molinari Society

Société Internationale pour L'Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale

Society for Realist/Anti-Realist Discussion

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts

Society for the Philosophy of Creativity

Søren Kierkegaard Society

William James Society

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS

4:45 p.m., Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level)

Introduction: Linda Martín Alcoff (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)

Speaker: Paul Guyer (University of Pennsylvania)
“Passion for Reason”

THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 29**GROUP MEETINGS, 7:00-10:00 P.M.**

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking

Heidegger Circle

International Society for Chinese Philosophy

International Society for Environmental Ethics

Karl Jaspers Society of North America

North American Society for Social Philosophy

Personalist Discussion Group

Radical Philosophy Association

Society for Analytical Feminism

Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World

Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts

Society for the Philosophy of Sex and Love

RECEPTION

9:00 p.m.-Midnight, Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level)

FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 30

REGISTRATION

8:30 a.m.-Noon, Convention Registration Desk (Lobby Level)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION

Interviewers: 8:30 a.m.-Noon, Washington 3 (Exhibition Level)

Candidates: 8:30 a.m.-Noon, Washington 4 (Exhibition Level)

Interview Tables: Exhibit Hall A (Exhibition Level)

BOOK EXHIBITS

9:00 a.m.-2:00 p.m., Exhibit Hall C (Exhibition Level)

(Experimental Philosophy Posters also on Display)

SESSION VI – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

VI-A. Invited Papers: Nature, Feminism, Science

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Fanny Söderbäck (Siena College)

Speakers: Claire Colebrook (Pennsylvania State University)
Rebecca Jordan-Young (Barnard College)

VI-B. Author Meets Critics: Tyler Burge, *Origins of Objectivity*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Sebastian Watzl (Harvard University)

Critics: Ned Block (New York University)
Christopher Peacocke (Columbia University)

Author: Tyler Burge (University of California–Los Angeles)

VI-C. Author Meets Critics: Eric Winsberg, *Science in the Age of Computer Simulation*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Rebecca Kukla (Georgetown University)

Critics: Wendy Parker (Ohio State University)
Isabelle Peschard (San Francisco State University)

Author: Eric Winsberg (University of South Florida)

VI-D. Author Meets Critics: Sebastiano Maffettone, *Rawls: An Introduction*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Jim Boettcher (Saint Joseph's University)

Critics: Thomas Scanlon (Harvard University)
Joshua Cohen (Stanford University)

Author: Sebastiano Maffettone (Libera Università Internazionale degli Studi Sociali-Rome)

VI-E. Author Meets Critics: Elizabeth Anderson, *The Imperative of Integration*

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Robert Talisse (Vanderbilt University)

Speakers: Lionel McPherson (Tufts University)
Derrick Darby (University of Kansas)

Author: Elizabeth Anderson (University of Michigan)

VI-F. Submitted Symposium: Aristotle and Friendship

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Daniel Bader (University of Toronto)

Speaker: Anthony Carreras (Rice University)
“Aristotle on Other-Selfhood and Reciprocal Shaping”

Commentators: Matthew Walker (Rutgers University)
Jennifer Whiting (University of Toronto)

VI-G. Colloquium: The Phenomenal Problem

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: David Capps (University of Connecticut)

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: George Seli (Graduate Center–City University of New York)
“The Utility of Perceptual Consciousness on Higher-Order Theory”

Commentator: Rik Hine (Texas Christian University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Vincent Picciuto (University of Maryland–College Park)
“Mental Quotation beyond the Phenomenal Concept Strategy”

Commentator: John Schwenkler (Mount St. Mary’s University)

VI-H. Colloquium: Knowledge: Norms and Action

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Elizabeth Goodnick (University of Notre Dame)

9:00-10:00 a.m.

Speaker: Kenneth Boyd (University of Toronto)
“Epistemic Norms and Excuses”

Commentator: Blake Roeber (Rutgers University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Charity Anderson (Saint Louis University)
 “On the Intimate Relationship of Knowledge and Action”

Commentator: Matthew Benton (Rutgers University)

VI-I. Colloquium: Metatheories of Mind

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Moti Mizrahi (City University of New York)

9:00-10:00 a.m.

Speaker: Carrie Figdor (University of Iowa)
 “Homunculi without Functionalism”

Commentator: Steven J. Todd (Henderson State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.

Speaker: Yasha Rohwer (University of Missouri–Columbia)
 “Modeling the Evolution of Human Intelligence:
 Our Lousy Iterated Theory of Mind”

Commentator: Theodore Bach (Southern Connecticut State University)

VI-J. APA Committee Session: The Limits of Engagement? World Philosophy Day in Tehran, 2010

Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation and the APA Committee on Public Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Chair: Garry Hagberg (Bard College)

Speakers: William McBride (Purdue University)
 Eric Thomas Weber (The University of Mississippi)
 Carlin Romano (Ursinus College/*Chronicle of Higher Education*)

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 A.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

Philosophy of Time Society

Society for Neo-Kantianism

SESSION VII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

VII-A. Invited Papers: Psychology and Philosophy

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Felipe de Brigard (Harvard University)
 Speakers: Susan Carey (Harvard University)
 Brian Scholl (Yale University)

VII-B. Invited Papers: Propositional Attitudes

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Will Starr (Cornell University)
 Speakers: Angelika Kratzer (University of Massachusetts–
 Amherst)
 Josh Dever (University of Texas–Austin)

VII-C. Author Meets Critics: Paula Gottlieb, *The Virtue of Aristotle's Ethics*

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Brooks Sommerville (University of Toronto)
 Critics: Richard Kraut (Northwestern University)
 Rachel Singpurwalla (University of Maryland–
 College Park)
 Author: Paula Gottlieb (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

VII-D. Author Meets Critics: Robert Gooding-Williams, *In the Shadow of DuBois*

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Anika Mann (Morgan State University)
 Critics: Paul Taylor (Pennsylvania State University)
 Frank Kirkland (Hunter College–City University of
 New York)
 Author: Robert Gooding-Williams (University of Chicago)

VII-E. Author Meets Critics: Jason Stanley, *Know How*

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Ted Poston (University of South Alabama)
 Critics: Robert Stalnaker (Massachusetts Institute of
 Technology)
 Mark Schroeder (University of Southern California)
 Author: Jason Stanley (Rutgers University)

VII-F. Colloquium: Ontological Parsimony

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Alex Skiles (University of Notre Dame)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.

Speaker: Elanor Taylor (University of North Carolina–Chapel
 Hill)
 “Collapsing Emergence”

Commentator: Marc Johansen (University of Arizona)

12:15-1:15 p.m.

Speaker: Jared Woodard (Fordham University)

“Why Nihilism about Composition is Simpler than it Looks”

Commentator: Jason Bowers (Bowdoin College)

VII-G. Colloquium: Nietzsche, Korsgaard and Gadamer

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Robin James (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.

Speaker: Vinod Acharya (Rice University)

“Science and Philosophy: The Relation between *Human, All-Too-Human* and Nietzsche’s Early Thought”

Commentator: Michael Kilivris (Hunter College–City University of New York)

12:15-1:15 p.m.

Speaker: Peter Fristedt (Towson University)

“Interpretation in Ethics: Korsgaard, Nietzsche, and Gadamer”

Commentator: Rebecca Bamford (University of Minnesota–Rochester)

VII-H. Colloquium: Attending

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Chair: Luca Struble (University of California–Los Angeles)

11:15 a.m.-12:15 p.m.

Speaker: Patrick Hawley (Hong Kong University)

“Attention as a Dual Process”

Commentator: David Frost (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

12:15-1:15 p.m.

Speaker: Adrienne Prettyman (University of Toronto)

“Attention and Perceptual Content: Keeping it Determinate”

Commentator: Anya Farennikova (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

GROUP MEETINGS, 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Association for Symbolic Logic

International Institute for Field-Being

Society for Women in Philosophy

FRIDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30**SESSION VIII – 1:30-4:30 P.M.****VIII-A. Symposium: What is it for a Justification to be Defeated?**

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Michael Williams (Johns Hopkins University)

Speakers: Maria Lasonen Aarnio (University of Michigan)
Matthew Kotzen (University of North Carolina–
Chapel Hill)

Commentator: John Greco (St. Louis University)

VIII-B. Symposium: Jacques Derrida's *The Beast and the Sovereign*

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Hugh J. Silverman (Stony Brook University–State
University of New York)

Speakers: David R. Wills (University at Albany–State
University of New York)
Falguni A. Sheth (Hampshire College)
Peter Gratton (University of San Diego)

VIII-C. Symposium: Split Brain Consciousness

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Bradley Jay Strawser (University of Connecticut)

Speakers: Tim Bayne (Oxford University)
Elizabeth Schechter (Washington University–St.
Louis)

Commentator: Andrew Brook (Carleton University-Ontario)

VIII-D. Colloquium: Hegel and Schlegel

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Dean Moyar (Johns Hopkins University)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Martin Thibodeau (St. Paul University-Ottawa)
“Tragedy and Ethical Life: Remarks on Hegel’s
Early Essay on the Spirit of Christianity”

Commentator: Brady Bowman (Pennsylvania State University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Rocio Zambrana (University of Oregon)
 “Love in Hegel’s Logic”

Commentator: Angelica Nuzzo (Graduate Center–City University
 of New York)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Nathan Ross (Oklahoma City University)
 “Friedrich Schlegel: Fragmentary Thought,
 Fragmentary Politics”

Commentator: Benjamin Crowe (University of Utah)

VIII-E. Colloquium: Public Reasons and Secularism

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Hamad Al-Rayes (Stony Brook University–State
 University of New York)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Carl Hammer (Baruch College–City University of
 New York)
 “Constructivist Public Reasons”

Commentator: Javier Aguirre (Stony Brook University–State
 University of New York)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: B. Scot Rousse (Northwestern University)
 “Sociality and Human Agency in Heidegger and
 Korsgaard”

Commentator: Colin McQuillan (University of Tennessee–Knoxville)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Joshua Andresen (American University of Beirut)
 “Autoimmunity, Iterability, and Islam”

Commentator: Gary Shapiro (University of Richmond)

VIII-F. Colloquium: Laws and Prediction

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Richard Fry (Georgetown University)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Tyler Hildebrand (University of Colorado–Boulder)
 “Can Bare Dispositions Explain Observed
 Regularities?”

Commentator: Daniel Parker (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and
 State University)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Sebastian Lutz (University of Utrecht-Netherlands)
 “On Likelihoodism and Intelligent Design”

Commentator: Wes Van Camp (George Washington University)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: David Taylor (Stanford University)
 “Physical Intentionality and the Open Future”

Commentator: Neil Manson (University of Mississippi)

VIII-G. Colloquium: Responsibility, Environment, and Inequality

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Celina María Bragagnolo (Stony Brook University–
 State University of New York)

1:30-2:30 p.m.

Speaker: Joshua Broady Preiss (Minnesota State University–
 Mankato)
 “American Inequality and the Idea of Personal
 Responsibility”

Commentator: Chad Kautzer (University of Colorado–Denver)

2:30-3:30 p.m.

Speaker: Katherine Biederman (Cornell College)
 “Non-Culpable Ignorance Is Culpable Ignorance”

Commentator: Grant Sylva (Canisius College)

3:30-4:30 p.m.

Speaker: Matthew Altman (Central Washington University)
 “Future Generations and the Problem of Consent:
 A Free Market Defense of Environmental Sin
 Taxes”

Commentator: Ben Hale (University of Colorado–Boulder)

VIII-H. Patrick Romanell Lecture on Philosophical Naturalism

**Arranged by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publication
 and Research**

1:30-3:30 p.m.

Chair: Sally Haslanger (Massachusetts Institute of
 Technology)

Speaker: Galen Strawson (University of Reading-United
 Kingdom)
 “Real Naturalism”

(This session will end at 3:30 p.m.)

VIII-I. APA Committee Session: Latina Feminisms and Latin American Thought Prize Essay**Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics***1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Cynthia M. Paccacerqua (The University of Texas–Pan American)

Topic: Latina Feminisms

Speakers: Edwina Barvosa (University of California–Santa Barbara)

“Self-Transformation as Political Struggle: Gloria Anzaldúa’s ‘Mestiza Way’ in Philosophical Context”

Rita Alfonso (University of California–Berkeley)

“Queer Spaces, Racialized Marks”

Elena Ruiz-Aho (Florida Gulf Coast University)

“Latin American Feminisms and the Continental Tradition”

Topic: Latin American Thought Prize Essay

Speaker: John Kaiser Ortiz (Bowling Green State University)

“Octavio Paz and the Universal Problem of Mexican Solitude”

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:30-4:30 P.M.

(See Group Meeting Program for Details)

Society for Applied Philosophy

Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion

GROUP PROGRAM

TUESDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 27

GROUP SESSION I – 6:30-9:30 P.M.

GI-1. Association for Symbolic Logic

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Topic: Dynamic Semantics

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Will Starr (Cornell University)
Nathan Charlow (University of Toronto)
Seth Yalcin (University of California–Berkeley)

GI-2. Metaphysical Society of America

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Topic: Making Sense of Reality

Chair: Daniel Brunson (Morgan State University)

Speakers: John Lysaker (Emory University)
“Intelligibility in Adorno, Benjamin, and Emerson:
Toward Some Formal Conditions”
Robert Innis (University of Massachusetts–Lowell)
“Aesthetic Intelligibility: On Making Sense”
Vincent Colapietro (Pennsylvania State University)
“The Ineffable, the Individual, and the Intelligible:
Peircean Reflections”

GI-3. Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Chair: Tony Preus (Binghamton University–State University of
New York)

Speakers: Holly Moore (Luther College)
“The Transcendental Nature of Anaximander’s
Apeiron”
Matthew Cashen (Southern Illinois University–
Edwardsville)
“Cephalus and Euthydemus”
Franco Trivigno (Marquette University)
“Aristotle’s Definition of Anger”

GI-4. Society for Applied Philosophy*6:30-8:30 p.m.***Topic: Applying Ethics**

Chair: Hugh LaFollette (University of South Florida–St. Petersburg)

Speakers: Marilyn Friedman (Vanderbilt University)
 “Jewish Self-Hatred, Authenticity, and Israeli Policies”

Adrian Walsh (University of New England)
 “Economic Hurts and Millian Harms”

*(This session will end at 8:30 p.m.)***WEDNESDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 28****GROUP SESSION II – 9:00-11:00 A.M.****GII-1. George Santayana Society***9:00-11:00 a.m.*

Chair: Glenn Tiller (Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi)

Speakers: Jude P. Dougherty (Catholic University of America)
 “The Amphibolous Character of Existence: Matter and its Negation in the Thought of George Santayana”

Diana Heney (University of Toronto)
 “Santayana on Value”

GII-2. Hume Society*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Sympathy and the Indirect Passions: Pity and Pride**

Chair: Yumiko Inukai (University of Massachusetts–Boston)

Speakers: Alison McIntyre (Wellesley College)
 “Does Pride Always Have Intersubjective Resonance? Hume vs. Allestree”

Aaron Garrett (Boston University)
 “Hume on Pride and Other Prejudices”

GII-3. North American Nietzsche Society*9:00 a.m.-Noon***Topic: Nietzsche and Romanticism**

Chair: Robert Pippin (University of Chicago)

Speakers: Wolfgang Mann (Columbia University)
 Frederick Neuhauser (Barnard College)

Ben Wolfson (University of California–Santa Barbara)

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GII-4. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

9:00 a.m.–Noon

Topic: Death: East and West

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Suk Choi (Towson University)

“Rethinking Zhuangzi on Life and Death”

Stephen Scales (Towson University)

“Determinist Materialism and Zhuangzi on Death and Destiny”

Carl Yamamoto (Towson University)

“Dying Like a Philosopher, Dying Like a Lama: Lama Zhang and the Material Inheritance of Lineage”

Wolfgang Fuchs (Towson University)

“Thinking Death and Life Differently”

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GII-5. Society for Business Ethics

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Moral and Political Dimensions of Economic Life

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA

GII-6. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Author Meets Critics: Rebeca Kukla and Mark Lance’s ‘Yo!’ and ‘Lo!’: The Pragmatic Topography of the Space of Reasons

Chair: Henry Jackman (York University-Ontario)

Critics: Willem de Vries (University of New Hampshire)

“Tellings, Propositional Structure, and Mental Pragmatics”

Jim Conant (University of Chicago)

“The Fine Structure of the Pragmatic Topography of the Space of Reasons”

Authors: Rebecca Kukla (Georgetown University)

Mark Lance (Georgetown University)

GII-7. Society for the Philosophy of History*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Meaning, Interpretation, and Practice-Dependence in Political Theory**

Chair: Naomi Choi (University of Alabama)

Speakers: Daniel Koltonski (Binghamton University–State University of New York)

“Global Justice and the Practice-Dependence of Justification”

Brandon Morgan-Olsen (Queen’s University–Ontario)

“The Burdens of Interpersonal Justification”

Stefan Bird-Pollan (University of Kentucky)

“Fanon’s Negative Dialectic of Decolonization and the New Humanism”

Commentator: Christopher Zurn (University of Massachusetts–Boston)

GII-8. Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Every Innocent Human Being Has a Right to Life: A Disputed Question for Audience Debate**

Chair: Joseph Koterski (Fordham University)

Speakers: Christopher Kaczor (Loyola Marymount University)

Ed Grippe (Norwalk Community College)

GII-9. Society for the Philosophy of Sex and Love*9:00 a.m.–Noon***Topic: Sex, Love, and War**

Chair: Helga Varden (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

Tom Digby (Springfield College)

“Battle of the Sexes: How Cultural Militarism Shapes Heterosexual Eroticism”

Ami Harbin (Dalhousie University–Nova Scotia)

“Sexual Minorities in Contexts of War: New Norms and Collective Crises”

Jen McWeeny (John Carroll University)

“Intimate Violence: Hetero-Asymmetry, Intersectionality, and Performativity”

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GROUP SESSION III – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**GIII-1. APA Committee Session: Grant Opportunities for Philosophers****Arranged by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publications and Research***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: Carol C. Gould (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)

Speakers: Frederick Kronz (National Science Foundation)
Steven Ross (National Endowment for the Humanities)
Jason Boffetti (National Endowment for the Humanities)
Suzanne Brown-Fleming (United States Holocaust Memorial Museum)**GIII-2. American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Sports, Values, and Society**

Chair: Jennifer Baker (College of Charleston)

Speakers: Tara Smith (University of Texas–Austin)
“Sport & the Value of Valuing – What are We Cheering?”Commentator: Scott Scheall (Arizona State University Polytechnic)
Shawn E. Klein (Rockford College)
“Internal and External Values: Does Commercialism Corrupt Sport?”

Commentator: Jason Walker (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

GIII-3. Concerned Philosophers for Peace*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: Tracey Nicholls (Lewis University)

Speakers: Court Lewis (Pellissippi State Community College)
“Making Sense of the Moral Responsibility to Forgive”

Jean-Marie Makang (Frostburg State University)

“Humanitarianism and Multilateralism and Wars of Imperialism: The Case of Obama’s Wars”

Tracey Nicholls (Lewis University)

“How Not to Do Cosmopolitan Peacebuilding”

Eddy Souffrant (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)

“The Challenge of Chronic Need”

GIII-4. Institute for the Advancement of Philosophy for Children*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: John Dewey and the Child as Philosopher**

Chair: Joe Oyler (Montclair State University)

Speakers: TBA

GIII-5. International Association for Environmental Philosophy*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Ecophenomenology**

Chair: Jonathan Maskit (Denison University)

Speakers: David Wood (Vanderbilt University)

"The Idea of Ecophenomenology"

Edward S. Casey (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

"Where is the Edge of the Earth?"

GIII-6. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: The Place(s) of Body in Buddhist Thought**

Chair: David S. Howe (Central Maine Community College)

Speakers: Christian Coseru (College of Charleston)

"How Embodiment Shapes Consciousness and Cognition"

Douglas Duckworth (East Tennessee State University)

"Deconstruction Embodied: From Absent-minded Bodies to Body-citta"

Eric Haynie (University of Colorado–Boulder)

"Sacrificing to Immanence: Body and the Question of Violence in the *gCod*"

Glen A. Mazis (Pennsylvania State University–Harrisburg)

"There Is No 'The Body': Embodiment as Formlessness and Ringing of the World"

GIII-7. Leibniz Society of North America*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Leibniz**

Chair: Christia Mercer (Columbia University)

Speaker: Lea F. Schweitz (Lutheran School of Theology–Chicago)

"Human Uniqueness, the Species Problem and the Image of God"

Commentator: Ohad Nachtomy (Fordham University/Bar-Ilan University-Israel)

GIII-8. Society for the Study of Process Philosophies

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Process Thought in Physics and Meta-Physics: Issues for the New Millenium

Chair: Jude Jones (Fordham University)

Speakers: Timothy E. Eastman (Plasmas International)
 “Evolving towards Relational Realism”

Gary L. Herstein (Ellis University)

“Bad Metaphysics does not make for Good Science”

William M. Kallfelz (Mississippi State University)

“Whitehead’s Natural Philosophy: A Meta-Physical Framework for Productive Physics”

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION IV – 2:00-5:00 P.M.

GIV-1. Association of Chinese Philosophers in America

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Topic: Reexamining Confucianism: Past and Today

Chair: Steven Geisz (University of Tampa)

Speaker: Yong Li (Saint Louis University)

“The Confucian Puzzle and Tree Argument”

Commentator: Suk Choi (Towson University)

Speaker: Myeong-Seok Kim (Sung Kyun Kwan University-Korea)

“Respect, Desire and Behavioral Disposition”

Commentator: Steven Geisz (University of Tampa)

Speaker: David Elstein (State University of New York–New Paltz)

“Virtue, Education, and Liberty”

Commentator: Bongrae Seok (Alvernia University)

Speaker: Xiufen Lu (Wichita State University)

“Nationalism, Iconoclasm, and the Traditional Chinese Thinking in the Work of Li Dazhao”

Commentator: Kevin Brien (Washington College)

GIV-2. Ayn Rand Society*2:00-5:00 p.m.***Topic: The Philosophical Basis of the Separation of Church and State: Theory and History**

Chair: Allan Gotthelf (University of Pittsburgh)

Speakers: Onkar Ghate (Ayn Rand Institute)
Mark McGarvie (University of Richmond)**GIV-3. International Association for the Philosophy of Sport***2:00-5:00 p.m.*

Chair: Joan Grassbaugh Forry (Vanderbilt University)

Speakers: Gwen Bradford (Rice University)

"Being a Sports Fan: Paradox, Recursion, and
Intrinsic Value"

Craig Forney (Palomar College)

"Japanese Baseball: Zen, Shinto, and the Way of
the Diamond"

Brett Gaul (Southwest Minnesota State University)

"That's Not How You Play the Game! Towards an
Understanding of Unwritten Ethical Rules in Sport"

Emrys Westacott (Alfred University)

"Galahad versus Odysseus: An Approach to Moral
Controversies in Sport"**GIV-4. North American Spinoza Society***2:00-5:00 p.m.*

Chair: Jacob Adler (University of Arkansas)

Speaker: Matt Homan (Emory University)

"On the Alleged Exceptional Nature of Thought in
Spinoza"

Commentator: Sean Butler (Western Michigan University)

Speaker: Ericka Tucker (California State Polytechnic
University-Pomona)

"Spinoza and Global Justice"

Commentator: George Schieck (San Diego State University)

Speaker: Jacob Adler (University of Arkansas)

"The Education of E. W. von Tschirnhaus"

Commentator: TBA

GIV-5. Society for Systematic Philosophy*2:00-5:00 p.m.***Topic: The Principle of Non-Contradiction**

Chair: Richard Dien Winfield (University of Georgia)

- Speakers: Daniel Bloom (University of Georgia)
 Laurence Bloom (University of Cape Town-South Africa)
 Edward Halper (University of Georgia)
- Commentator: Yehuda Halper (Tulane University)

WEDNESDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 28

GROUP SESSION V – 5:15-7:15 P.M.

GV-1. APA Committee Session: Author Meets Critics: Michael Monahan, *The Creolizing Subject: Race, Reason and the Politics of Purity*

Arranged by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers

5:15-7:15 p.m.

- Chair: Patrick Goodin (Howard University)
- Critics: Lewis Gordon (Temple University)
 Clevis Headley (Florida Atlantic University)
 Eduardo Mendieta (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
- Author: Michael Monahan (Marquette University)

GV-2. American Association of Philosophy Teachers

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Topic: The Ethics of Grading and New Approaches to Teaching Logic

- Chair: Andrew P. Mills (Otterbein University)
- Speakers: Stephen Finn (United States Military Academy)
 “The Ethics of Grading in Philosophy Courses”
- Rebecca Bamford (University of Minnesota–Rochester)
 “Fair Grades, Attendance & Class Participation, and Metacognition”
- Thomas Adajian, Tracy Luper, and Christopher Runyon (James Madison University)
 “Teaching Logic Online”
- Seth Vannatta (Morgan State University)
 “Teaching to the Test: A Pragmatic Approach to Teaching Logic”

GV-3. Association of Chinese Philosophers in America

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Topic: *Dao* Annual Best Essay Award

- Chair: Yong Huang (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)

Speaker: Myeong-seok Kim (Sung Kyun Kwan University-Korea)

“What *Ceyin zhi xin* (Compassion/Familial Affection) Really Is”

Commentators: Bryan van Norden (Vassar College)

Manyul Im (Fairfield University)

Winnie Sung (Nanyang Technological University-Singapore)

GV- 4. International Berkeley Society

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Chair: Stephen H. Daniel (Texas A&M University)

Speakers: Hugh Hunter (University of Toronto)

“Berkeley and the Price of Tulips”

Kenneth L. Pearce (University of Southern California)

“Divine Language, Unperceived Objects and Berkeley’s Response to Skepticism”

Luc Peterschmitt (Université Lille 3)

“Berkeley and Chemistry in the *Siris*: The Rebuilding on a Non-Existent Theory”

GV-5. Marxism and Philosophy Association

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Topic: Marxism, Philosophy, and Technology

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Richard Daniels (Oregon State University)

“Frankfurt School Marxism and Technology”

Peter Amato (Drexel University)

“Marxism and Critical Theory of Technology”

GV-6. North American Kant Society

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Topic: Kant’s Theoretical Philosophy

Chair: Robert B. Louden (University of Southern Maine)

Speakers: Anja Jauernig (University of Pittsburgh)

“Kant’s Realism and Fictionalism about Things in Themselves”

Desmond Hogan (Princeton University)

“Incongruence, Idealism, and Freedom”

Commentators: Konstantin Pollok (University of South Carolina-Columbia)

Julian Wuerth (Vanderbilt University)

GV-7. Philosophers in Jesuit Education*5:15-7:15 p.m.***Topic: Moral Imperfection**

Chair: Michael Baur (Fordham University)

Speaker: Karen Stohr (Georgetown University)

"Moral Imperfection"

GV-8. Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy*5:15-7:15 p.m.*

Chair: Debra Bergoffen (American University)

Speaker: Leonard Lawlor (Pennsylvania State University)

"What Happened? What is going to Happen? An Essay on the Experience of the Event"

Commentator: Ann Murphy (Fordham University)

(Reception to follow)

GV-9. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World*5:15-7:15 p.m.***Topic: Health, Healthcare, and the Contemporary World, Part 1**

Chair: R. Dillon Emerick (Palomar College)

Speakers: Michael McClain (St. Joseph's College)

"Wittgenstein, Pain and the NIH: A Patient-Reported Outcomes Initiative Offers an Opportunity for Dialogue"

J. Jeremy Wisnewski (Hartwick College)

"Moral Perception, Embodiment, and Medical Ethics"

GV-10. Society for Skeptical Studies*5:15-7:15 p.m.*

Chair: Patrick Hawley (Hong Kong University)

Speaker: Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)

"Skepticism and Externalism: Still in Tension"

GV-11. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy*5:15-7:15 p.m.***Topic: Re-thinking American Philosophy: An Examination of Josiah Royce's Colonialism**

Chair: Celia Bardwell-Jones (Towson University)

Speakers: Tommy Curry (Texas A&M University)

"On the Dark Arts: The Ethnological Foundations of Royce's Idealism as Derivative from Joseph LeConte's 'Southern Problems': The Racist Foundations of Royce's Colonial Assimilationism"

Marilyn Fischer (University of Dayton)
 “Audience, Instincts, and Antipathies in Royce’s
 Writings on Race”

Celia Bardwell-Jones (Towson University)
 “The Possibility of Utilizing the Master’s Tools in
 Royce’s Philosophy”

Commentator: Jacquelyn Kegley (California State University–
 Bakersfield)

GV-12. Society for the Study of Indian and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Topic: Knowledge and Liberation in Indo-Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy

Chair: Toy Tung (John Jay College)

Speakers: Raziel Abelson (Columbia University)
 “Buddhism and the Science of Happiness”

Marie Friquegnon (William Paterson University)
 “*Santaraksita* on Philosophical Claims”

Constance Kassor (Emory University)
 “What is ‘Seen’ on the Path of Seeing? *Gorampa*,
 Logic, and Nonceptuality”

Tom Troughton (McGill University)
 “Knowledge and Liberation and Mind-Training”

Ben Abelson (Hunter College–CUNY)
 “*Santaraksita* and Contemporary Cognitive
 Science”

GV-13. Society for Women in Philosophy

5:15-7:15 p.m.

Topic: Distinguished Woman Philosopher Award: Jennifer Saul

Chair: Sally Haslanger (Massachusetts Institute of
 Technology)

Speakers: Kathryn J. Norlock (Trent University)
 David Braun (University at Buffalo–State University
 of New York)

Laura Beeby (University of Sheffield-United
 Kingdom)

Nellie Wieland (California State University–Long
 Beach)

Commentator: Jennifer Saul (University of Sheffield-United
 Kingdom)

(Reception to follow)

GROUP SESSION VI – 7:30-10:30 P.M.**GVI-1. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy***7:30-10:30 p.m.*

Chair: James Stacey Taylor (College of New Jersey)

Speakers: Elliot D. Cohen (Indian River State College)

“Is Perfectionism a Mental Disorder?”

Bryan T. Reuther (Nova Southeastern University)

“More than a Feeling: Rogerian ‘Reflection of Feelings’ and the Elucidation of Emotional Life”

Samuel Zinaich (Purdue University–Calumet)

“Is it Possible to Live Rationally in a Detention Center?”

GVI-2. Association for Symbolic Logic*7:30-10:30 p.m.***Topic: Lambda Calculi, Type Systems, and Applications to Natural Language**

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Chris Barker (New York University)

Chung-chieh Shan (Rutgers University)

Oleg Kiselyov (Independent Scholar)

GVI-3. Conference on Philosophical Societies*7:30-10:30 p.m.***Topic: Culture as a Philosophy of Life**

Chair: G. John M. Abbarno (D’Youville College)

Speakers: William McBride (Purdue University)

Vincent Shen (University of Toronto)

Joe Asike (Howard University)

Hu Yeping (Catholic University of America)

GVI-4. Hegel Society of America*7:30-10:30 p.m.***Topic: Hegel on Practical Reason and Conscience**

Chair: Lydia Moland (Colby College)

Speaker: Dean Moyar (Johns Hopkins University)

“Hegel on Practical Reason and Conscience”

Commentators: Martin DeNys (George Mason University)

Jason Howard (Viterbo University)

Allen Speight (Boston University)

GVI-5. International Association for Environmental Philosophy

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Topic: Rethinking Nature at the Historical and Social Interface

Chair: Janet Donohoe (University of West Georgia)

Speakers: Michael Menser (Brooklyn College)

"The Bioregion and Social Difference: What Might Environmental Philosophy Learn from Iris Young's Metropolitan Regionalism?"

Shannon Hayes (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

"Ernst Bloch and The Relationship Between History/Nature"

Jennifer Rowland (University of North Texas)

"Rethinking Nature through the Erotic: A Challenge to Ecophenomenology"

GVI-6. Karl Jaspers Society of North America

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Topic: Author Meets Critics: Alina Feld, *Melancholy and the Otherness of God*

Chair: Gregory J. Walters (Saint Paul University-Ottawa)

Critics: Thomas J.J. Altizer (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Michael Raposa (Lehigh University)

Tom Rockmore (Duquesne University)

Elena Bezzubova (University of California–Irvine)

Edward S. Casey (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

Malek K. Khzaee (California State University–Long Beach)

Author: Alina Feld (Long Island University)

GVI-7. Radical Philosophy Association

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Topic: Author Meets Critics: Alexis Shotwell, *Knowing Otherwise: Race, Gender and Implicit Understanding*

Chair: TBA

Critics: Camisha Russell (Pennsylvania State University)
"Epistemology and Identity Formation"Anna Mudde (University of Regina-Saskatchewan)
"Implicit Understanding and Social Ontologies"

Author: Alexis Shotwell (Laurentian University-Ontario)

Topic: Author Meets Critics: Marilyn Nissim-Sabat, *Neither Victim Nor Survivor*

Chair: Lewis Gordon (Temple University)

Critics: Marina Banchetti-Rabino (Florida Atlantic University)

Paget Henry (Brown University)

Author: Marilyn Nissim-Sabat (Lewis University)

GVI-8. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Topic: Buddhist Moral Psychology, Physics, and Religiousness

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Christopher Kelley (Columbia University)

“How Good Tricks Widen the Circle of Altruism: The Abnormal Moral Psychology of *Bodhicaryavatara*”

Jake H. Davis (Graduate Center–City University of New York)

“On the Goodness of Goodwill in Early Buddhist Texts”

Robert Alan Paul (Dalhousie University–Nova Scotia)

“How Physics Contravenes the ‘Neither-One-Nor-Many’ *Madhyamaka* Argument for Emptiness”

Aaron Fehir (St. Leo University)

“Kierkegaardian Faith and Non-Christian Religion: Religiousness B in Pure Land Buddhism”

GVI-9. Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Chair: Yehuda Halper (Tulane University)

Speakers: Nadja Germann (Loyola University–Maryland)

“The Power of Words: Language and Logic in Classical Islamic Thought”

Therese Scarpelli Cory (Seattle University)

“The Unity of Consciousness in Augustine and Aquinas”

(This session may end at 9:30 p.m.)

GVI-10. Society for the History of Political Philosophy

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Topic: Plato on the City, the Soul, and the Divine

Chair: TBA

- Speakers: Gwenda-lin Grewal (Tulane University)
 “Crito and Socrates: Unconventional Friends”
- Nalin Ranasinghe (Assumption College)
 “The Cave and the Cyclops: Plato’s Re-Reading of Homer”
- Mark Pryor (Tulane University)
 “The Political Construction of the Soul in *Republic IV*”
- Alexandre Priou (Tulane University)
 “The Greatest *Aporia* of Plato’s *Parmenides*”
- Patrick Goodin (Howard University)
 “Definitions of Law in Plato’s *Minos*”

GVI-11. Society of Philosophers in America

7:30-10:30 p.m.

Topic: Defending Philosophy in the Academy

- Chair: John Lachs (Vanderbilt University)
- Speakers: Kenneth Stickers (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)
 “Defending Philosophy, Defending Humanity”
- George Lucas (U.S. Naval Academy)
 “Philosophy, Military Forces, and National Security: the Philosophical Education of Warriors in a Democracy”
- Bertha Manninen (Arizona State University)
 “Glenn Beck vs. WK Clifford: Philosophy and the Ethics of Belief”
- Tuomas Manninen (Arizona State University)
 “Philosophy and Science – (Not so) Strange Bedfellows: Stories from a Science and Religion Class”

THURSDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 29

GROUP SESSION VII – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GVII-1. American Society for Value Inquiry

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Presidential Address

- Chair: Thomas Magnell (Drew University)
- Speaker: Larry May (Vanderbilt University)
 “Contingent Pacifism and Selective Refusal”

GVII-2. Foucault Circle*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Recent Work on Resistance in Foucault**

Chair: Devonya Havis (Canisius College)

Speakers: Devonya Havis (Canisius College)

“Genealogy, Critique, and the Possibility of Resistance”

Dianna Taylor (John Carroll University)

“Resisting the Subject”

Ladelle McWhorter (Richmond College)

“Feminism and Resistance in Foucault”

GVII-3. International Society for Buddhist Philosophy*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Responses to Globalization in Modern Japanese Buddhist Thought**

Chair: Gereon Kopf (Luther College)

Speakers: James Mark Shields (Bucknell University)

“Against the Nation: Globalization as Liberation in Japanese Buddhist Socialism”

Brian Daizen Victoria (Antioch University)

“Globalization in the Thought of D. T. Suzuki”

John Krummel (Hobart & William Smith Colleges)

“Globalization and the Social Imaginary in the Work of Nishida Kitarô”

GVII-4. International Society for Environmental Ethics*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Derek Turner (Connecticut College)

Speakers: Mark Sagoff (George Mason University)

“Does Ecological Economics Rest on a Mistake?”

Commentator: Robert Chapman (Pace University)

Speaker: Ben Hale (University of Colorado)

“Moral Friction”

Commentator: Derek Turner (Connecticut College)

Speaker: Jason Simus (University of North Texas)

“Save the Earth on Your Own Time”

Commentator: Joel MacClellan (University of Tennessee)

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GVII-5. International St. Thomas Aquinas Society*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: The Five Ways as Conspectus of Thomistic Metaphysics:
is Divine as 'Person' the Key?**

Chair: Joseph Koterski (Fordham University)

Speaker: Barry David (Ave Maria University)

Commentator: Joseph Koterski (Fordham University)

GVII-6. Society of Humanist Philosophers*9:00-11:00 a.m.***Topic: Naturalism and Morality**

Chair: TBA

Speakers: William Casebeer (U.S. Air Force)

"Pragmatism and Naturalistic Ethics: Looking to
the Sciences for Norms"

Owen Flanagan (Duke University)

"What Is Love?"

Tibor Solymosi (Southern Illinois University–
Carbondale)

"Neuropragmatism and Moral First Aid"

John Shook (George Mason University)

"The Appropriate End of Moral Realism"

GROUP SESSION VIII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**GVIII-1. APA Committee Session: Are We at an Impasse?****Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*Chair: Kenneth Ehrenberg (University at Buffalo–State
University of New York)

Speakers: TBA

**GVIII-2. APA Committee Session: Xenophobia and Critical Race
Theory****Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and
Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Chair: Ronald Sundstrom (University of San Francisco)

Speakers: Sally Haslanger (Massachusetts Institute of
Technology)"Being a Foreigner at Home, Being at Home in a
Foreign Land: Thoughts on Race and Place"

Kyoo Lee (John Jay College-City University of New York)

“Xenoracism and Homo Habitus: How to Speak American without an English Accent”

Eduardo Mendieta (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)

“The Somatology of Xenophobia: Towards a Biopolitical Analysis of Hate”

GVIII-3. American Society for Value Inquiry

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Collective Responsibility

Chair: G. John M. Abbarno (D’Youville College)

Speakers: Kendy Hess (College of the Holy Cross)

“Collective Agency: Free Will and Akratic Action”

Brian Epstein (Tufts University)

“The Puppet-Master: When the Intentions of Members are Irrelevant to the Intentions of the Group”

GVIII-4. Association for Symbolic Logic

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Submitted Papers

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA

GVIII-5. Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Reflecting back on Antigone and Oedipus

Chair: Wilfried Ver Eecke (Georgetown University)

Speakers: Dominik Finkelde (Hochschule für Philosophie, Munich-Germany)

“Hegel, Kant, Lacan: Excessive Subjectivity and the Grace of Political Escalation”

David Pettigrew (Southern Connecticut State University)

“Oedipus and the Fantasm of Castration”

Commentators: Thomas Brockelman (Le Moyne College)

Debra Bergoffen (American University)

GVIII-6. International Institute for Field-Being

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Field Being, Energy and Death

Chair: David White (Saint John Fisher College)

- Speakers: Maja Milcinski (University of Ljubljana-Slovenia)
 “Soteriological Aspects of Death and Dying”
 Miran Bozovic (University of Ljubljana-Slovenia)
 “Diderot’s Philosophy of Energy and Death”

GVIII-7. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Family Care, Common Good and Moral Cultivation

Chair: Hagop Sarkissian (Baruch College–City University of New York)

- Speakers: Li-Hsiang Lisa Rosenlee (University of Hawaii–West Oahu)
 “Why Care? Making a Case for Confucian *Xiao*”
 Erin M. Cline (Georgetown University)
 “Infants, Children, and Moral Cultivation in Early Confucianism”
 May Sim (College of the Holy Cross)
 “Economic Goods, Common Good and the Good Life”

GVIII-8. Josiah Royce Society

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Josiah Royce’s Philosophy of Community and Interpretation

Chair: Kara Barnette (Gustavus Adolphus College/University of Oregon)

- Speakers: Jason Bell (Mount Allison University–New Brunswick)
 “What is New about Royce’s New Phenomenology?”
 Daniel Brunson (Morgan State University)
 “Interpretation as Risk Management: Royce on the Logic of Insurance”

Commentator: Kara Barnette (Gustavus Adolphus College/University of Oregon)

GVIII-9. Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: The Human Right to Democracy: For and Against

Chair: TBA

- Speakers: Pablo Gilabert (Concordia University)
 Carol C. Gould (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)
 David Reidy (University of Tennessee)

GVIII-10. Society for the Study of Women Philosophers*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic:** TBA

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA

THURSDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 29**GROUP SESSION IX – 1:30-4:30 P.M.****GIX-1. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy***1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Chair: Samuel Zinaich, Jr. (Purdue University–Calumet)

Speakers: Amy E. White (Ohio University–Zanesville)

“Mill, Pornography, and the Possibility of Psychological Harm”

Michael Grosso (University of Virginia)

“The Education of Humanity: A Skills-Based Model of Philosophical Practice”

Leon Pomeroy (George Mason University)

“Beyond Good and Evil: Mechanisms vs. Content”

GIX-2. Molinari Society*1:30-4:30 p.m.***Topic: Explorations in Philosophical Anarchy**

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Kevin Vallier (Brown University/Bowling Green State University)

“The Eligibility of a Polycentric Constitution”

Eli Dourado (George Mason University)

“Anarchy and Equilibrium: When Is Statelessness Stable?”

Commentators: Nina Brewer-Davis (Auburn University)

Charles Johnson (Molinari Institute)

Roderick T. Long (Auburn University)

Jon Mahoney (Kansas State University)

GIX-3. Société Internationale pour l'Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale*1:30-4:30 p.m.***Topic: New Perspectives on Later Medieval Ontology**

Chair: Martin Pickavé (University of Toronto)

- Speakers: Jeffrey Brower (Purdue University)
 “Aquinas on Prime Matter: Prospects and Pitfalls”
 Gabriele Galluzzo (Scuola Normale Superiore-Pisa)
 “Thomas Aquinas on Substance and Anti-Reductionism”
 Giorgio Pini (Fordham University)
 “The Ontological Status of Objects of Thought in Some Later Medieval Thinkers”

GIX-4. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Perception and Mathematical Intuition

- Speakers: Jody Azzouni (Tufts University)
 “Sources of Mathematical Intuition”
 Otávio Bueno (University of Miami)
 “The Perceptual Basis of Mathematical Intuition”
 Eli Chudnoff (University of Miami)
 “Is Intuition Based on Understanding?”

GIX-5. Society for the Philosophical Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Author Meets Critics: George Wilson, *Seeing Fictions in Film: On the Epistemology of Movies*

- Chair: Richard Moran (Harvard University)
 Critics: Critics: Andrew Kania (Trinity University)
 Robert Pippin (University of Chicago)
 Katherine Thomson-Jones (Oberlin College)
 Author: George Wilson (University of Southern California)

GIX-6. Society for the Philosophy of Creativity

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: Postmodern Belief

- Chair: Noelle Vahanian (Lebanon Valley College)
 Speakers: William Rowe (University of Scranton)
 “Believing Violence in Rene Girard”
 John Burke (Siena College)
 “Faith/Belief in Derrida: A Phenomenological Re-visitation”
 Jeffrey Robbins (Lebanon Valley College)
 “Žižek: Lying Sincerely”

GIX-7. Søren Kierkegaard Society*1:30-4:30 p.m.***Topic: Kierkegaard on Sex, Prozac, and German Idealism**

Chair: Michael Strawser (University of Central Florida)

Speakers: Céline Leon (Grove City College)

"The Neither/Nor of the Second Sex: Kierkegaard on Women, Sexual Difference, and Sexual Relations"

Norman Lillegard (University of Tennessee–Martin)

"Listening to Prozac while Sick unto Death"

Michael Burns (University of Dundee-United Kingdom)

"The Fractured Dialectic: Kierkegaard and German Idealism after Žižek"

Commentator: Charles Guignon (University of South Florida)

GIX-8. William James Society*1:30-4:30 p.m.***Topic: Three Questions for William James**

Chair: Todd Lekan (Muskingum University)

Speakers: Megan Mustain (St. Mary's University–San Antonio)

"How Shall We Approach the Dead, Forced, and Momentous?"

James Campbell (University of Toledo)

"Does War Have a Moral Equivalent?"

Tadd Ruetenik (St. Ambrose University)

"Is Universal Salvation Possible, or Must There be a Hell?"

Commentator: Stuart Rosenbaum (Baylor University)
(Business Meeting to Follow)**THURSDAY EVENING, DECEMBER 29****GROUP SESSION X – 7:00-10:00 P.M.****GX-1. Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking***7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA

GX-2. Heidegger Circle*7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Chair: Holger Zaborowski (Catholic University of America)

- Speaker: Charles Guignon (University of South Florida)
 “Heidegger and Kierkegaard: The Existentiell and the Existential”
- Christopher Yates (Boston College)
 “Poetizing and the Question of Measure”
- Commentator: Holger Zaborowski (Catholic University of America)

GX-3. International Society for Chinese Philosophy

7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Virtue Epistemology: Chinese and Western

- Chair: Xiaomei Yang (Southern Connecticut University)
- Speakers: Peter Graham (University of California–Riverside)
 “Virtue and Function in Ethics and Epistemology”
- Chienkuo Mi (Soochow University-Taipei)
 “Performance-Based Normativity and the Value Problem”
- Hsiang-Min Shen (Sochow University-Taipei)
 “Zhu Xi’s Theory of Investigation and Knowledge: A Perspective from Virtue Epistemology”
- Yong Huang (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)
 “More than Knowing-that and Knowing-how: Why Besire is not Bizarre”

GX-4. International Society for Environmental Ethics

7:00-10:00 p.m.

- Chair: Jason Simus (University of North Texas)
- Speaker: Matt Ferkany (Michigan State University)
 “Assessing the Relative Merits of Teleological versus Nonteleological Accounts of Environmental Virtue”
- Commentator: Christopher Rice (Fordham University)
- Speaker: Joel MacClellan (University of Tennessee)
 “Is Biocentrism Dead?” Unresolved Problems for Life-Centered Ethics”
- Commentator: Paul Haught (Christian Brothers University)
- Speaker: Antoine Dussault (Université de Montréal)
 “Ecocentrism and the Critiques of Ethical Naturalism”
- Commentator: Chaone Mallory (Villanova University)

GX-5. Karl Jaspers Society of North America

7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Shiite Islam's Jurisprudence in Hermeneutic Perspective

- Chair: Helmut Wautischer (California State University–Sonoma)
- Speakers: Mohammad Reza Emam (University of Tehran)
 “Relation between Philosophy and Law in Islam”
- Mohammad Reza Rezvantaleb (University of Tehran)
 “Philosophy in Islam and the West”
- Ali Asgariyazdi (University of Tehran)
 “Characteristics of Islamic Philosophy”
- Mohammad Taghi Sobhani (Research Center of Science & Islamic Studies at Qom-Iran)
 “Islamic Philosophical Foundations for World Peace and Justice from a Shi'a Perspective”
- Reza Berenjkari (Tehran University at Qom-Iran)
 “Instrumental Reason in Islamic Thought”
- Hadi Sadeqi (Hadith Sciences College at Qom-Iran)
 “The Rationality of Belief in Action”
- Commentators: Ali Mo'alleem Damghani (Iranian Academy of the Arts)
- Charles Butterworth (University of Maryland–College Park)
- Dimitri Gutas (Yale University)
- Philip Clayton (Claremont School of Theology)

GX-6. North American Society for Social Philosophy

7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Human Rights and Global Justice

- Chair: James Boettcher (Saint Joseph's University)
- Speakers: Sarah Clark Miller (University of Memphis/
 Pennsylvania State University)
 “Harm, Repair, and Human Rights: Responding to Sexual Violence as a Weapon of War”
- Peter Higgins (Eastern Michigan University)
 “Is There a Right to Immigration?”
- Serena Parekh (Northeastern University)
 “Structural Injustice and Women's Human Rights”
- Dianna Taylor (John Carroll University)
 “‘A Place in the World’: How Statelessness Informs Hannah Arendt's Thinking on Citizenship and Human Rights”

GX-7. Personalist Discussion Group*7:00-10:00 p.m.***Topic: Pragmatism and Ethics**

Chair: John Kaag (University of Massachusetts–Lowell)

Speakers: Phillip Dean (Wellesley College)

“Faith in Public Discourse”

Kim Garchar (Kent State University)

“Love in West and Royce in Public Affairs”

GX-8. Radical Philosophy Association*7:00-10:00 p.m.***Topic: Philosophies of Liberation**

Chair: J. Everet Green (Purchase College–State University of New York/Mercy College)

Speakers: Doug Morris (Eastern New Mexico University)

“Pedagogies of Liberation”

Nathan J. Jun (Midwestern State University)

“Anarchism”

Richard Jones (Howard University)

“Realizing a Logic of Human Liberation”

Michael Paradiso-Michau (North Central College)

“The Ethics of Co-Responsibility in the Philosophy of Liberation”

Topic: Liberal Imperialism and Armed Humanitarian Intervention

Chair: Rodney Peffer (San Diego State University)

Speakers: Rita Manning (San Jose State University)

Harry Van der Linden (Butler University)

“Barack Obama as Just War Theorist”

Rodney Peffer (San Diego State University)

“Liberal Imperialism and NATO’s Military Intervention in Libya”

GX-9. Society for Analytic Feminism*7:00-10:00 p.m.***Topic: Author Meets Critics: Sibyl Schwarzenbach, *On Civic Friendship: Including Women in the State***

Chair: James P. Sterba (University of Notre Dame)

Critics: Carol C. Gould (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)

Henry Richardson (Georgetown University)

Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)

Author: Sibyl Schwarzenbach (Baruch College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)

GX-10. Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy

7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Augustine’s Philosophy of Mind (In Memory of Gareth B. Matthews [1929-2011])

Chair: Scott MacDonald (Cornell University)

Speakers: Susan Brower-Toland (St. Louis University)

“Augustine on Conscious Perception”

Charles Brittain (Cornell University)

“Augustine on the Nature of Consciousness”

Emmanuel Bermon (University of Bordeaux)

“Heavenly Inspired Dreams: Augustine’s Letters 8 & 9”

GX-11. Society for the Philosophical Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts

7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Visual Arts, Film and Philosophy

Chair: Christopher Grau (Clemson University)

Speakers: Timothy Quigley (The New School)

“The Imperatives of Contemporary Art”

Michelle Saint (Rollins College)

“Onstage Emotion: A Situationist Account”

Ian Schnee (Western Kentucky University)

“Ideology, Socratic Elenchus, and *Inglourious Basterds*”

Kevin W. Sweeney (University of Tampa)

“Searching for Kantian Good Will in *Good Will Hunting*”

Morgan Rempel (University of Southern Mississippi)

“*The Big Lebowski* as Epicurean Parable”

GX-12. Society for the Philosophy of Sex and Love

7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: “As One Throws Away a Lemon After Sucking Out Its Juice”: Wollstonecraft and Kant on Sex and Marriage

Chair: Patricia Marino (University of Waterloo-Ontario)

Speakers: Nathaniel Adam Tobias Coleman (University of Michigan)

“Why Wollstonecraft Was Right to Divorce Sex from Marriage”

Jordan Pascoe (Graduate Center–City University of New York)

“Impermissible Use and Structural Transformations: Why Kant’s Account of Marriage Can’t Morally Transform Sex”

Helga Varden (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

“Kant on Sex”

GX-13. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World

7:00-10:00 p.m.

Topic: Health, Healthcare, and the Contemporary World, Part 2

Chair: R. Dillon Emerick (Palomar College)

Speakers: David K. Chan (University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point)

“Is Choice Good or Bad for Justice in Healthcare?”

Lisa Eckenwiler (George Mason University)

“Ecological Subjects and Grounding Responsibilities for Global Health Equity”

Mandy Mitchell (University of California–Riverside)

“Hegemony and the Health Care Debate: A Postmarxist Analysis”

Talia Welsh (University of Tennessee at Chattanooga)

“Violating the Good Health Imperative: The Ethics of Modifying Bad Health Habits”

FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 30

GROUP SESSION XI – 9:00-11:00 A.M.

GXI-1. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy

9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.

Topic: Comparative Method, Human Nature, and Rights

Chair: May Sim (College of the Holy Cross)

Speakers: Leigh Jenco (National University of Singapore)

“Representing Western Others as Chinese Precedents”

William Day (Le Moyne College)

“Xunzi and Nietzsche on Human Nature and the Desire for Self-Cultivation”

Benedict Chan (Tulane University)

“An East-West Debate on Political Rights and Civil Rights”

GXI-2. Philosophy of Time Society*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Timothy Schoettle (Messiah College)

Speaker: Takeshi Sakon (Osaka University)
"On Some Dis-analogies between Time and Modality"

Commentator: TBA

Speaker: Clancy Smith (Duquesne University)
"Sliding Doors"

Commentator: Melanie Walton (Belmont University)

Speaker: Adam Bowen (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
"Epistemological Puzzle"

Commentator: David Ingram (University of Nottingham)

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GXI-3. Society for Neo-Kantianism*9:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: TBA

Speakers: Abraham Stone (University of California–Santa Cruz)
"Kant on Objects and Things"
Nick Stang (University of Miami)
"Critical Idealism"
Alan Kim (Dartmouth College)
Title TBA

(This session will continue past 11:00 a.m.)

GROUP SESSION XII – 11:15 A.M.-1:15 P.M.**GXII-1. Association for Symbolic Logic***11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Submitted Papers**

Chair: TBA

Speakers: TBA

GXII-2. International Institute for Field-Being*11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.***Topic: Field Being in American and Asian Philosophy**

Chair: Maja Milcinski (University of Ljubljana-Slovenia)

Speakers: David White (Saint John Fisher College)
"Dewey, Whitehead and Field Being"
Laura Weed (College of Saint Rose)
"James' *More*, Panpsychism, and Daoism"

Sarah Vollmer (University of Alabama–Birmingham)
“Daoism and Ethics”

Gereon Kopf (Luther College)
“Zen Buddhist Ethics, between Legalism and Transgression”

GXII-3. Society for Women in Philosophy

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Topic: Motherhood & Philosophy

Chair: Maeve O’Donovan (Notre Dame of Maryland University)

Speakers: Megan R. Dowdell (University of California–San Francisco)

“The Respect for the Human Dignity of Black Motherhood”

Sarah LaChance Adams (University of Wisconsin–Superior)

“Mad Mothers, Bad Mothers and What a Good Mother Would Do”

Shelley Park (University of Central Florida)

“Mothering Queerly, Queering Motherhood: An Exploration of Polymaternal Families”

Mike Jostedt (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)

“Jane Addams’ Evolving Concept of Motherhood”

FRIDAY AFTERNOON, DECEMBER 30

GROUP SESSION XIII – 1:30-4:30 P.M.

GXIII-1. Society for Applied Philosophy

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Topic: After Marriage

Chair: Judith Lichtenberg (Georgetown University)

Speakers: Jeremy Garrett (Children’s Mercy Bioethics Center/ University of Missouri–Kansas City)

“How the State Can, and Why it Should, Secure the Interests of Children without Civil Marriage”

Elizabeth Brake (University of Calgary-Alberta)

“Justice and Legal Frameworks for Caring Relationships”

Martha Fineman (Emory University)

“Rearranging the Ties that Bind”

Simon May (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University)

“Liberal Neutrality and Civil Marriage”

GXIII-2. Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Chair: Nancy Snow (Marquette University)

Speakers: Kisor Chakrabarti (Davis and Elkins College)

“Intention, Consequence and Character in Morality”

Anne F. Ashbaugh (Rutgers University)

“Socrates’ Duties and The Laws of Manu”

Yong Li (Saint Louis University)

“The Confucian Puzzle”

K. S. Sangeetha (Cambridge University)

“Can Essential Human Spirituality Act as the Standard of Morality?”

Krishna Mani Pathak (University of Delhi)

“The Ethical Goal: Liberation, the Good Life, or Respect to Laws?”

Chandana Chakrabarti (Davis and Elkins College)

“Ethics of Non-Violence”

Wendy Lynne Lee (Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania)

“Some Philosophical Reflections on Bodily Inscription: Holocaust Tattooing and its Implications for Agency and Identity”

Michael Allen (East Tennessee State University)

“Involuntary Disobedients”

Douglas Giles (Elmhurst College)

“Comparing the Enlightened Political Theories of Daoism and Locke”

MAIN AND GROUP PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS

(Group Sessions are those beginning with the letter G; all others are main sessions)

A

AARNIO, Maria Lasonen (University of Michigan)	VIII-A Fri PM
ABBARNO, G. John M. (D'Youville College)	GVI-3 Wed PM, GVIII-3 Thur AM
ABELSON, Ben (Hunter College–CUNY)	GV-12 Wed PM
ABELSON, Raziel (Columbia University).....	GV-12 Wed PM
ABOULAFIA, Mitchell (Juilliard School).....	V-C Thur PM
ACHARYA, Vinod (Rice University)	VII-G Fri AM
ADAJIAN, Thomas (James Madison University)	GV-2 Wed PM
ADAMS, Sarah LaChance (University of Wisconsin–Superior)	GXII-3 Fri AM
ADLER, Jacob (University of Arkansas).....	GIV-4 Wed PM
AGUIRRE, Javier (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	VIII-E Fri PM
AL-RAYES, Hamad (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	VIII-E Fri PM
ALCOFF, Linda Martín (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York)	Introduction, Presidential Address Thur PM
ALFANO, Mark (University of Notre Dame).....	Poster Session Wed PM
ALFONSO, Rita (University of California–Berkeley).....	VIII-1 Fri PM
ALLEN, Michael (East Tennessee State University).....	GXIII-2 Fri PM
ALTIZER, Thomas J.J. (Stony Brook University–State University of New York).....	GVI-6 Wed PM
ALTMAN, Matthew (Central Washington University)	VIII-G Fri PM
AMATO, Peter (Drexel University)	GV-5 Wed PM
ANDERSON, Charity (Saint Louis University).....	VI-H Fri AM
ANDERSON, Elizabeth (University of Michigan).....	VI-E Fri AM
ANDOW, James (University of Nottingham-United Kingdom)	Poster Session Wed PM
ANDRESEN, Joshua (American University of Beirut).....	VIII-E Fri PM
ANTONY, Louise (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)	V-D Thur PM
ARMOUR, Ellen (Vanderbilt University).....	IV-C Thur AM

ARNOLD, Alexander (University of Notre Dame).....	IV-I Thur AM
ARTEMOV, Sergei (Graduate Center–City University of New York)	IV-I Thur AM
ARVAN, Marcus (University of Tampa)	Poster Session Wed PM
ASAY, Jamin (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill).....	V-F Thur PM
ASGARIYAZDI, Ali (University of Tehran)	GX-5 Thur PM
ASHBAUGH, Anne F. (Rutgers University).....	GXIII-2 Fri PM
ASIKE, Joe (Howard University).....	GVI-3 Wed PM
AXINN, Sidney (University of South Florida).....	V-G Thur PM
AZZOUNI, Jody (Tufts University).....	GIX-4 Thur PM

B

BACH, Theodore (Southern Connecticut State University).....	VI-I Fri AM
BADER, Daniel (University of Toronto).....	VI-F Fri AM
BAILEY, Andrew (University of Notre Dame).....	V-F Thur PM
BAKER, Jennifer (College of Charleston)	GIII-2 Wed AM, Poster Session Wed PM
BAMFORD, Rebecca (University of Minnesota–Rochester)	GV-2 Wed PM, VII-G Fri AM
BANCHETTI-RABINO, Marina (Florida Atlantic University)...	GVI-7 Wed PM
BANNON, Bryan (University of North Florida).....	IV-B Thur AM
BARDWELL-JONES, Celia (Towson University).....	GV-11 Wed PM
BARKER, Chris (New York University)	GVI-2 Wed PM
BARNARD, Robert (University of Mississippi).....	Poster Session Wed PM
BARNETTE, Kara (Gustavus Adolphus College/University of Oregon)	GVIII-8 Thur AM
BARVOSA, Edwina (University of California–Santa Barbara)	VIII-I Fri PM
BAUR, Michael (Fordham University).....	GV-7 Wed PM
BAYNE, Tim (Oxford University).....	VIII-C Fri PM
BEEBE, James (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)	Poster Session Wed PM
BEEBY, Laura (University of Sheffield-United Kingdom).....	GV-13 Wed PM
BELL, Jason (Mount Allison University-New Brunswick)....	GVIII-8 Thur AM
BENTON, Matthew (Rutgers University).....	VI-H Fri AM
BERENJKAR, Reza (Tehran University at Qom-Iran)	GX-5 Thur PM
BERGOFFEN, Debra (American University)	II-F Wed AM, GV-8 Wed PM, GVIII-5 Thur AM
BERMON, Emmanuel (University of Bordeaux).....	GX-10 Thur PM
BERNASCONI, Robert (Pennsylvania State University)	II-C Wed AM
BEZZUBOVA, Elena (University of California–Irvine)	GVI-6 Wed PM
BHANDARY, Asha (Wesleyan University)	III-H Wed PM
BIEDERMAN, Katherine (Cornell College).....	VIII-G Fri PM

BINMORE, Ken (University College London)	II-D Wed AM
BIRD-POLLAN, Stefan (University of Kentucky).....	GII-7 Wed AM
BLOCK, Ned (New York University).....	VI-B Fri AM
BLOOM, Daniel (University of Georgia)	GIV-5 Wed PM
BLOOM, Laurence (University of Cape Town-South Africa)	GIV-5 Wed PM
BLOSER, Tim (Augustana College).....	III-H Wed PM
BLUM, Lawrence (University of Massachusetts–Boston).....	III-F Wed PM
BOETTCHER, James (Saint Joseph’s University)	GX-6 Thur PM, VI-D Fri AM
BOFFETTI, Jason (National Endowment for the Humanities)	GIII-1 Wed AM
BOHMAN, James (Saint Louis University).....	III-A Wed PM
BOK, Hilary (Johns Hopkins University).....	II-E Wed AM
BOMMARITO, Nicolas (Brown University)	V-H Thur PM
BONGMBA, Elias (Rice University)	I-F Tue PM
BOWEN, Adam (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) ...	GXI-2 Fri AM
BOWERS, Jason (Bowdoin College)	VII-F Fri AM
BOWMAN, Brady (Pennsylvania State University)	VIII-D Fri PM
BOYD, Kenneth (University of Toronto).....	VI-H Fri AM
BOYLAN, Michael (Marymount University)	II-G Wed AM
BOZOVIC, Miran (University of Ljubljana-Slovenia)	GVIII-6 Thur AM
BRADFORD, Gwen (Rice University).....	GIV-3 Wed PM
BRADNER, Alexandra (Denison University).....	Poster Session Wed PM
BRAGAGNOLO, Celina María (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	VIII-G Fri PM
BRAKE, Elizabeth (University of Calgary-Alberta)	GXIII-1 Fri PM
BRASSFIELD, Shoshanna (Utica College).....	I-D Tue PM
BRAUN, David (University at Buffalo–State University of New York)	GV-13 Wed PM
BREWER-DAVIS, Nina (Auburn University).....	GIX-2 Thur PM
BRIEN, Kevin (Washington College).....	GIV-1 Wed PM
BRITTAIN, Charles (Cornell University).....	GX-10 Thur PM
BROCKELMAN, Thomas (Le Moyne College)	GVIII-5 Thur AM
BROOK, Andrew (Carleton University-Ontario)	VIII-C Fri PM
BROWER-TOLAND, Susan (St. Louis University).....	GX-10 Thur PM
BROWER, Jeffrey (Purdue University).....	GIX-3 Thur PM
BROWN-FLEMING, Suzanne (United States Holocaust Memorial Museum)	GIII-1 Wed AM
BRUNSON, Daniel (Morgan State University)	GI-2 Tue PM, GVIII-8 Thur AM

BUCKWALTER, Wesley (City University of New York)	Poster Session Wed PM
BUENO, Otávio (University of Miami)	GV-10 Wed PM, GIX-4 Thur PM
BURGE, Tyler (University of California–Los Angeles)	VI-B Fri AM
BURKEY, John (Siena College)	GIX-6 Thur PM
BURNS, Michael (University of Dundee–United Kingdom)	GIX-7 Thur PM
BUTLER, Samuel A. (Elon University)	V-C Thur PM
BUTLER, Sean (Western Michigan University)	GIV-4 Wed PM
BUTTERWORTH, Charles (University of Maryland–College Park)	GX-5 Thur PM

C

CALLARD, Agnes (University of Chicago)	II-B Wed AM
CAMPBELL, James (University of Toledo)	GIX-8 Thur PM
CANNON, Joseph (Marquette University)	III-E Wed PM
CAPPS, David (University of Connecticut)	VI-G Fri AM
CAREY, Susan (Harvard University)	VII-A Fri AM
CARPENTER, Amber (University of York–United Kingdom)	III-C Wed PM
CARRERAS, Anthony (Rice University)	VI-F Fri AM
CARSE, Alisa (Georgetown University)	V-H Thur PM
CASEBEER, William (U.S. Air Force)	GVII-6 Thur AM
CASEY, Edward S. (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	GIII-5 Wed AM, GVI-6 Wed PM
CASHEN, Matthew (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville)	GI-3 Tue PM
CATH, Yuri (University of St. Andrews–United Kingdom)	IV-I Thur AM
CHAKRABARTI, Chandana (Davis and Elkins College)	GXIII-2 Fri PM
CHAKRABARTI, Kisor (Davis and Elkins College)	GXIII-2 Fri PM
CHAN, Benedict (Tulane University)	GXI-1 Fri AM
CHAN, David K. (University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point)	GX-13 Thur PM
CHANTER, Tina (DePaul University)	II-C Wed AM
CHAPMAN, Robert (Pace University)	GVII-4 Thur AM
CHARLOW, Nathan (University of Toronto)	GI-1 Tue PM
CHIN-PARKER, Seth (Denison University)	Poster Session Wed PM
CHOI, Naomi (University of Alabama)	GII-7 Wed AM
CHOI, Suk (Towson University)	GII-4 Wed AM, GIV-1 Wed PM
CHUDNOFF, Eli (University of Miami)	GIX-4 Thur PM
CLARKE, Tim (Yale University)	IV-E Thur AM
CLAYTON, Philip (Claremont School of Theology)	GX-5 Thur PM
CLIFTON, W. Scott (University of Washington)	III-H Wed PM
CLINE, Erin M. (Georgetown University)	GVIII-7 Thur AM
COHEN, Elliot D. (Indian River State College)	GVI-1 Wed PM

COHEN, Joshua (Stanford University)	VI-D Fri AM
COHN, Carol (Boston Consortium on Gender, Security and Human Rights).....	III-K Wed PM
COLANER, Nathan (Seattle University)	IV-J Thur AM
COLAPIETRO, Vincent (Pennsylvania State University)	GI-2 Tue PM
COLEBROOK, Claire (Pennsylvania State University).....	VI-A Fri AM
COLEMAN, Nathaniel Adam Tobias (University of Michigan)	GX-12 Thur PM
CONANT, Jim (University of Chicago)	GII-6 Wed AM
CORMIER, Harvey (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	IV-D Thur AM
CORNELL, Drucilla (Rutgers University)	I-F Tue PM
CORY, Therese Scarpelli (Seattle University)	GVI-9 Wed PM
COSERU, Christian (College of Charleston).....	GIII-6 Wed AM
COTNOIR, Aaron (University of Aberdeen–United Kingdom).....	I-C Tue PM
CRAVER, Carl (Washington University–St. Louis).....	IV-G Thur AM
CRILEY, Mark (Illinois Wesleyan University)	III-I Wed PM
CROWE, Benjamin (University of Utah).....	VIII-D Fri PM
CUOMO, Chris (University of Georgia)	IV-C Thur AM
CURRY, Tommy (Texas A&M University)	GV-11 Wed PM

D

DAMGHANI, Ali Mo'alleem (Iranian Academy of the Arts).....	GX-5 Thur PM
DANIEL, Stephen H. (Texas A&M University)	GV-4 Wed PM
DANIELS, Richard (Oregon State University)	GV-5 Wed PM
DARBY, Derrick (University of Kansas)	VI-E Fri AM
DARWALL, Stephen (Yale University)	I-B Tue PM
DAVID, Barry (Ave Maria University)	GVII-5 Thur AM
DAVIS, Jake H. (Graduate Center–City University of New York)	GVI-8 Wed PM
DAVIS, Wayne (Georgetown University)	III-I Wed PM
DAY, William (Le Moyne College)	GXI-1 Fri AM
DEAN, Phillip (Wellesley College).....	GX-7 Thur PM
DE BRIGARD, Felipe (Harvard University)	VII-A Fri AM
DE VRIES, Willem (University of New Hampshire).....	GII-6 Wed AM
DENIS, Lara (Agnes Scott College)	V-G Thur PM
DENYS, Martin (George Mason University)	GVI-4 Wed PM
DETLEFSEN, Karen (University of Pennsylvania)	I-D Tue PM
DEVER, Josh (University of Texas–Austin)	VII-B Fri AM
DEVEREUX, Daniel (University of Virginia)	III-C Wed PM
DICK, David (University of Calgary)	V-H Thur PM
DIGBY, Tom (Springfield College)	GII-9 Wed AM

DIXON, Beth A. (State University of New York–Plattsburgh)....II-H Wed AM
 DONOHOE, Janet (University of West Georgia)GVI-5 Wed PM
 DOUGHERTY, Jude P. (Catholic University of America)GII-1 Wed AM
 DOURADO, Eli (George Mason University) GIX-2 Thur PM
 DOVER, Daniela (New York University).....V-H Thur PM
 DOW, Jamie (University of Leeds-United Kingdom) III-C Wed PM
 DOWDELL, Megan R. (University of California–San Francisco)
 GXII-3 Fri AM
 DREIER, Jamie (Brown University) V-B Thur PM
 DUCKWORTH, Douglas (East Tennessee State University)GIII-6 Wed AM
 DUPRE, John (University of Exeter-United Kingdom)IV-B Thur AM
 DUSSAULT, Antoine (Université de Montréal)GX-4 Thur PM

E

EASTMAN, Timothy E. (Plasmas International).....GIII-8 Wed AM
 ECKENWILER, Lisa (George Mason University)
III-D Wed PM, GX-13 Thur PM
 EHRENBERG, Kenneth (University at Buffalo–State University of
 New York)..... GVIII-1 Thur AM
 ELSTEIN, David (State University of New York–New Paltz)...GIV-1 Wed PM
 EMAM, Mohammad Reza (University of Tehran)GX-5 Thur PM
 EMERICK, R. Dillon (Palomar College).....GV-9 Wed PM, GX-13 Thur PM
 ENGELHART, Jeff (Georgetown University) IV-I Thur AM
 EPSTEIN, Brian (Tufts University)..... GVIII-3 Thur AM

F

FARACI, David (Bowling Green State University) ... Poster Session Wed PM
 FARENNIKOVA, Anya (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
 VII-H Fri AM
 FAUCHER, Luc (University of Québec-Montréal) II-A Wed AM
 FEDER, Ellen (American University)..... III-D Wed PM
 FEHIR, Aaron (St. Leo University)GVI-8 Wed PM
 FELD, Alina (Long Island University)GVI-6 Wed PM
 FERKANY, Matt (Michigan State University)GX-4 Thur PM
 FIGDOR, Carrie (University of Iowa)VI-I Fri AM
 FILEVA, Iskra (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)..... V-H Thur PM
 FINE, Kit (New York University)..... III-L Wed PM
 FINEMAN, Martha (Emory University) GXIII-1 Fri PM
 FINKELDE, Dominik (Hochschule für Philosophie, Munich-Germany)
 GVIII-5 Thur AM
 FINN, Stephen (United States Military Academy)GV-2 Wed PM
 FISCHER, Marilyn (University of Dayton).....GV-11 Wed PM
 FISCHER, Robert William (University of Illinois–Chicago)..... V-F Thur PM

FITZPATRICK, William (University of Rochester).....	III-B Wed PM
FLANAGAN, Owen (Duke University).....	GVII-6 Thur AM
FORNEY, Craig (Palomar College)	GIV-3 Wed PM
FORRY, Joan Grassbaugh (Vanderbilt University)	GIV-3 Wed PM
FRANCHI, Stefano (Texas A&M University).....	V-G Thur PM
FRIEDMAN, Marilyn (Vanderbilt University)	GI-4 Tue PM
FRIQUEGNON, Marie (William Paterson University)	GV-12 Wed PM
FRISTEDT, Peter (Towson University).....	VII-G Fri AM
FROST, David (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	VII-H Fri AM
FRY, Richard (Georgetown University).....	VIII-F Fri PM
FUCHS, Wolfgang (Towson University)	GII-4 Wed AM
FULTNER, Barbara (Denison University).....	III-A Wed PM

G

GALLUZZO, Gabriele (Scuola Normale Superiore-Pisa).....	GIX-3 Thur PM
GARAVASO, Pieranna (University of Minnesota–Morris).....	IV-J Thur AM
GARBER, Daniel (Princeton University)	V-E Thur PM
GARCHAR, Kim (Kent State University)	GX-7 Thur PM
GARRETT, Aaron (Boston University).....	GII-2 Wed AM
GARRETT, Jeremy (Children’s Mercy Bioethics Center/University of Missouri–Kansas City).....	GXIII-1 Fri PM
GARTHOFF, Jon (University of Tennessee).....	I-E Tue PM
GAUL, Brett (Southwest Minnesota State University).....	GIV-3 Wed PM
GAUS, Gerald (University of Arizona)	I-B Tue PM
GEISZ, Steven (University of Tampa).....	GIV-1 Wed PM
GENDLER, Tamar (Yale University).....	V-D Thur PM
GENNARO, Rocco J. (University of Southern Indiana).....	IV-G Thur AM
GERMANN, Nadja (Loyola University–Maryland).....	GVI-9 Wed PM
GERT, Bernard (Dartmouth College)	I-B Tue PM
GHATE, Onkar (Ayn Rand Institute).....	GIV-2 Wed PM
GIBBARD, Allan (University of Michigan).....	V-B Thur PM
GIBERMAN, Dan (Stanford University)	IV-A Thur AM
GILABERT, Pablo (Concordia University).....	GVIII-9 Thur AM
GILES, Douglas (Elmhurst College)	GXIII-2 Fri PM
GLUCK, Stuart (Johns Hopkins Center for Talented Youth)	II-H Wed AM
GOLDMAN, David (University of California–Los Angeles)	III-F Wed PM
GOODIN, Patrick (Howard University)	I-F Tue PM, GV-1 Wed PM, GVI-10 Wed PM
GOODING-WILLIAMS, Robert (University of Chicago).....	VII-D Fri AM
GOODNICK, Elizabeth (University of Notre Dame).....	VI-H Fri AM
GORDON, Lewis (Temple University)	I-F Tue PM, GV-1 Wed PM, GVI-7 Wed PM

GOSWICK, Dana (Melbourne University)	V-F Thur PM
GOTTHELF, Allan (University of Pittsburgh).....	GIV-2 Wed PM
GOTTLIEB, Paula (University of Wisconsin–Madison)	VII-C Fri AM
GOULD, Carol C. (Hunter College/Graduate Center–City University of New York).....	GIII-1 Wed AM, GVIII-9 Thur AM, GX-9 Thur PM
GRACIA, Jorge J. E. (University at Buffalo–State University of New York).....	III-J Wed PM
GRAHAM, Peter (University of California–Riverside)	GX-3 Thur PM
GRANGER, Herbert (Wayne State University).....	IV-E Thur AM
GRATTON, Peter (University of San Diego)	VIII-B Fri PM
GRAU, Christopher (Clemson University)	Poster Session Wed PM, GX-11 Thur PM
GRAY, Kevin (University of Toronto).....	I-E Tue PM
GRECO, John (St. Louis University)	VIII-A Fri PM
GREEN, J. Everet (Purchase College–State University of New York/ Mercy College).....	GX-8 Thur PM
GREEN, Mitchell (University of Virginia).....	III-I Wed PM
GREENBERG, Robert (Brandeis University).....	V-G Thur PM
GREISS, Kelly (Pitzer College)	IV-K Thur AM
GREWAL, Gwenda-lin (Tulane University).....	GVI-10 Wed PM
GRIESMAIER, Franz-Peter (University of Wyoming)	IV-I Thur AM
GRIPPE, Ed (Norwalk Community College)	GII-8 Wed AM
GROSS, Steven (Johns Hopkins University).....	IV-F Thur AM
GROSSO, Michael (University of Virginia).....	GIX-1 Thur PM
GUIGNON, Charles (University of South Florida)	GIX-7 Thur PM, GX-2 Thur PM
GUTAS, Dimitri (Yale University)	GX-5 Thur PM
GUYER, Paul (University of Pennsylvania)	Presidential Address Thur PM

H

HAGBERG, Garry (Bard College)	VI-J Fri AM
HAGGERTY, Daniel (University of Scranton).....	III-F Wed PM
HALE, Ben (University of Colorado)	GVII-4 Thur AM, VIII-G Fri PM
HALPER, Edward (University of Georgia)	GIV-5 Wed PM
HALPER, Yehuda (Tulane University)	GIV-5 Wed PM, GVI-9 Wed PM
HAMMER, Carl (Baruch College–City University of New York)	VIII-E Fri PM
HARBIN, Ami (Dalhousie University–Nova Scotia)	GII-9 Wed AM
HARRIS, Leonard (Purdue University).....	V-K Thur PM
HASLANGER, Sally (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)	GV-13 Wed PM, GVIII-2 Thur AM, V-D Thur PM, VIII-H Fri PM
HAUGHT, Paul (Christian Brothers University)	GX-4 Thur PM
HAVIS, Devonya (Canisius College).....	GVII-2 Thur AM

HAWLEY, Patrick (Hong Kong University).....	GV-10 Wed PM, VII-H Fri AM
HAWTHORNE, John (Oxford University).....	IV-A Thur AM
HAYES, Shannon (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	
.....	GVI-5 Wed PM
HAYNIE, Eric (University of Colorado–Boulder)	GIII-6 Wed AM
HEADLEY, Clevis (Florida Atlantic University)	I-F Tue PM, GV-1 Wed PM
HELD, Virginia (Graduate Center–City University of New York)	
.....	III-K Wed PM
HELMS, Eleanor (Fordham University).....	III-E Wed PM
HENEY, Diana (University of Toronto).....	GII-1 Wed AM
HENRY, Paget (Brown University)	I-F Tue PM, GVI-7 Wed PM
HERSTEIN, Gary L. (Ellis University)	GIII-8 Wed AM
HESS, Kendy (College of the Holy Cross).....	GVIII-3 Thur AM
HICKS, Daniel (University of Notre Dame).....	IV-H Thur AM
HIGGINS, Peter (Eastern Michigan University)	GX-6 Thur PM
HILDEBRAND, Tyler (University of Colorado–Boulder)	VIII-F Fri PM
HINE, Rik (Texas Christian University).....	VI-G Fri AM
HOGAN, Desmond (Princeton University)	GV-6 Wed PM
HOMAN, Matt (Emory University)	GIV-4 Wed PM
HOWARD, Scott Alexander (University of Toronto)	III-E Wed PM
HOWE, David S. (Central Maine Community College).....	GIII-6 Wed AM
HUANG, Yong (Kutztown University of Pennsylvania)	
.....	GV-3 Wed PM, GX-3 Thur PM
HUEBNER, Bryce (Georgetown University).....	IV-G Thur AM
HUNTER, Hugh (University of Toronto)	GV-4 Wed PM
HUSSAIN, Nadeem (Stanford University).....	V-B Thur PM

I

IM, Manyul (Fairfield University).....	GV-3 Wed PM
INGRAM, David (Loyola University–Chicago) ...	IV-D Thur AM, GXI-2 Fri AM
INNIS, Robert (University of Massachusetts–Lowell)	GI-2 Tue PM
INUKAI, Yumiko (University of Massachusetts–Boston).....	GII-2 Wed AM

J

JACKMAN, Henry (York University-Ontario).....	GII-6 Wed AM
JACOBSON, Kirsten (University of Maine)	II-H Wed AM
JAMES, Robin (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)	VII-G Fri AM
JAUERNIG, Anja (University of Pittsburgh).....	GV-6 Wed PM
JENCO, Leigh (National University of Singapore)	GXI-1 Fri AM
JOHANSEN, Marc (University of Arizona).....	VII-F Fri AM
JOHNSON, Brian (Fordham University).....	II-B Wed AM
JOHNSON, Charles (Molinari Institute)	GIX-2 Thur PM
JONES, Jude (Fordham University).....	GIII-8 Wed AM

JONES, Richard (Howard University)GX-8 Thur PM
 JORDAN-YOUNG, Rebecca (Barnard College).....VI-A Fri AM
 JOSTEDT, Mike (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)..... GXII-3 Fri AM
 JUN, Nathan J. (Midwestern State University).....GX-8 Thur PM

K

KAAG, John (University of Massachusetts–Lowell)GX-7 Thur PM
 KACZOR, Christopher (Loyola Marymount University)GII-8 Wed AM
 KAHN, Leonard (U.S. Air Force Academy)II-G Wed AM
 KALLFELZ, William M. (Mississippi State University)GIII-8 Wed AM
 KAMTEKAR, Rachana (University of Arizona)III-C Wed PM
 KANIA, Andrew (Trinity University)..... GIX-5 Thur PM
 KASSOR, Constance (Emory University)GV-12 Wed PM
 KAUTZER, Chad (University of Colorado–Denver)VIII-G Fri PM
 KAVKA, Martin (Florida State University)II-C Wed AM
 KEGLEY, Jacquelyn (California State University–Bakersfield)
GV-11 Wed PM
 KELLEY, Christopher (Columbia University).....GVI-8 Wed PM
 KELLY, Michael R. (Boston College)III-E Wed PM
 KHAZAEI, Malek K. (California State University–Long Beach)
GVI-6 Wed PM
 KHOO, Justin (Yale University)III-G Wed PM
 KIERAN, Matthew (University of Leeds-United Kingdom)
Poster Session Wed PM
 KILIVRIS, Michael (Hunter College–City University of New York)
VII-G Fri AM
 KIM, Alan (Dartmouth College)GXI-3 Fri AM
 KIM, David (University of San Francisco)V-A Thur PM
 KIM, Myeong-Seok (Sung Kyun Kwan University-Korea)
GIV-1 Wed PM, GV-3 Wed PM
 KIRKLAND, Frank (Hunter College–City University of New York)
VII-D Fri AM
 KISELYOV, Oleg (Independent Scholar)GVI-2 Wed PM
 KITTAY, Eva F. (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)
III-K Wed PM
 KLEIN, Shawn E. (Rockford College)GIII-2 Wed AM
 KOLTONSKI, Daniel (Binghamton University–State University of
 New York).....GII-7 Wed AM
 KOONS, Robert (University of Texas–Austin).....V-F Thur PM
 KOPF, Gereon (Luther College)GVII-3 Thur AM, GXII-2 Fri AM
 KORMAN, Daniel Z. (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
I-C Tue PM
 KOTERSKI, Joseph (Fordham University) ... GII-8 Wed AM, GVII-5 Thur AM

KOTZEN, Matthew (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	VIII-A Fri PM
KRATZER, Angelika (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)....	VII-B Fri AM
KRAUT, Richard (Northwestern University)	VII-C Fri AM
KRISHNAMURTHY, Meena (University of Manitoba)	Poster Session Wed PM
KROLL, Nick (Franklin and Marshall College).....	III-G Wed PM
KRONZ, Frederick (National Science Foundation)	GIII-1 Wed AM
KRUMMEL, John (Hobart & William Smith Colleges)	GVII-3 Thur AM
KUHN, Steven (Georgetown University)	II-D Wed AM
KUKLA, Rebecca (Georgetown University).....	GII-6 Wed AM, VI-C Fri AM
KUMAR, Apaar (Emory University)	V-G Thur PM
L	
LACHS, John (Vanderbilt University)	GVI-11 Wed PM
LAFOLLETTE, Hugh (University of South Florida–St. Petersburg)	GI-4 Tue PM
LANCE, Mark (Georgetown University).....	GII-6 Wed AM
LAND, Thomas (Cambridge University).....	V-G Thur PM
LANDES, Donald A. (McGill University).....	II-F Wed AM
LARRY, Sarit (Boston College)	I-E Tue PM
LAWLOR, Leonard (Pennsylvania State University)	GV-8 Wed PM
LEE, Kyoo (John Jay College–City University of New York)	III-E Wed PM, GVIII-2 Thur AM
LEE, Wendy Lynne (Bloomsburg University of Pennsylvania)	GXIII-2 Fri PM
LEECH, Peter (University of Otago-New Zealand/Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México)	V-J Thur PM
LEKAN, Todd (Muskingum University)	GIX-8 Thur PM
LEON, Céline (Grove City College)	GIX-7 Thur PM
LERNER, Adam (College of William and Mary)	Poster Session Wed PM
LESHER, James (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ...	IV-E Thur AM
LESLIE, Sarah-Jane (Princeton University)	II-A Wed AM
LEVY, Sam (Dartmouth College)	V-E Thur PM
LEWIS, Court (Pellissippi State Community College).....	GIII-3 Wed AM
LEWIS, Karen (University of Southern California)	III-G Wed PM
LI, Yong (Saint Louis University)	GIV-1 Wed PM, GXIII-2 Fri PM
LIBERTO, Hallie (University of Connecticut)	IV-H Thur AM
LICHTENBERG, Judith (Georgetown University)	GXIII-1 Fri PM
LIGHT, Andrew (George Mason University/Center for American Progress).....	V-I Thur PM
LILLEGARD, Norman (University of Tennessee–Martin).....	GIX-7 Thur PM

LIMEHOUSE, Adam (College of Charleston)	Poster Session Wed PM
LIMEHOUSE, Walter (Medical University of South Carolina)	Poster Session Wed PM
LINDAUER, Matthew (Yale University).....	Poster Session Wed PM
LINDEMANN, Hilde (Michigan State University).....	III-K Wed PM
LINDSEY, Nathan (University of Connecticut)	III-H Wed PM
LIU, JeeLoo (California State University–Fullerton).....	I-A Tue PM
LOESHELLE, Andrew (Bucknell University)	IV-K Thur AM
LONG, Roderick T. (Auburn University)	GIX-2 Thur PM
LOOK, Brandon (University of Kentucky)	V-E Thur PM
LOPEZ, Jason Ryan (Siena College)	III-H Wed PM
LOUDEN, Robert B. (University of Southern Maine).....	GV-6 Wed PM
LU, Xiufen (Wichita State University)	GIV-1 Wed PM
LUCAS, George (U.S. Naval Academy).....	GVI-11 Wed PM
LUPHER, Tracy (James Madison University)	GV-2 Wed PM
LUTZ, Sebastian (University of Utrecht-Netherlands).....	VIII-F Fri PM
LYSAKER, John (Emory University).....	GI-2 Tue PM

M

MacCLELLAN, Joel (University of Tennessee)	GVII-4 Thur AM, GX-4 Thur PM
MacDONALD, Scott (Cornell University)	GX-10 Thur PM
MAFFETTONE, Sebastiano (Libera Università Internazionale degli Studi Sociali-Rome)	VI-D Fri AM
MAGNELL, Thomas (Drew University)	GVII-1 Thur AM
MAHONEY, Jon (Kansas State University).....	GIX-2 Thur PM
MAKANG, Jean-Marie (Frostburg State University)	GIII-3 Wed AM
MALLORY, Chaone (Villanova University).....	GX-4 Thur PM
MANN, Anika (Morgan State University).....	VII-D Fri AM
MANN, Wolfgang (Columbia University)	GII-3 Wed AM
MANNINEN, Bertha (Arizona State University)	GVI-11 Wed PM
MANNINEN, Tuomas (Arizona State University)	GVI-11 Wed PM
MANNING, Rita (San Jose State University)	GX-8 Thur PM
MANSON, Neil (University of Mississippi)	VIII-F Fri PM
MARINO, Patricia (University of Waterloo-Ontario)	GX-12 Thur PM
MASKIT, Jonathan (Denison University).....	GIII-5 Wed AM
MAY, Joshua (Monash University-Australia)	III-H Wed PM
MAY, Larry (Vanderbilt University)	GVII-1 Thur AM
MAY, Simon (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University)	GXIII-1 Fri PM
MAZIS, Glen A. (Pennsylvania State University–Harrisburg) ...	GIII-6 Wed AM
McBRIDE, William (Purdue University)	GVI-3 Wed PM, VI-J Fri AM

McCABE, David (Colgate University)	IV-H Thur AM
McCARTHY, Thomas (Northwestern University)	IV-D Thur AM
McCLAIN, Michael (St. Joseph's College)	GV-9 Wed PM
McCREADY-FLORA, Ian (Columbia University)	IV-J Thur AM
McDANIEL, Kris (Syracuse University)	I-C Tue PM
McDONOUGH, Jeff (Harvard University)	V-E Thur PM
McGARVIE, Mark (University of Richmond)	GIV-2 Wed PM
McINTYRE, Alison (Wellesley College)	GII-2 Wed AM
McPHERSON, Lionel (Tufts University)	VI-E Fri AM
McQUILLAN, Colin (University of Tennessee–Knoxville)	VIII-E Fri PM
McWEENY, Jen (John Carroll University)	GII-9 Wed AM
McWHORTER, Ladelle (Richmond College)	GVII-2 Thur AM
MEACHAM, Darian (University of the West of England)	II-F Wed AM
MEAGHER, Sharon (University of Scranton)	IV-C Thur AM
MENDIETA, Eduardo (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	GV-1 Wed PM, GVIII-2 Thur AM
MENSER, Michael (Brooklyn College)	GVI-5 Wed PM
MERCER, Christia (Columbia University)	I-D Tue PM, GIII-7 Wed AM
MEROW, Craig (Michigan State University)	II-H Wed AM
MERRIAM, Garret (University of Southern Indiana) ...	Poster Session Wed PM
MESKIN, Aaron (University of Leeds-United Kingdom)	Poster Session Wed PM
MEYERS, Diana Tietjens (Loyola University–Chicago)	III-K Wed PM
MI, Chienkuo (Soochow University-Taipei)	GX-3 Thur PM
MILCINSKI, Maja (University of Ljubljana-Slovenia)	GVIII-6 Thur AM, GXII-2 Fri AM
MILLER, Mara (Independent Scholar/Consultant)	V-J Thur PM
MILLER, Sarah Clark (University of Memphis/Pennsylvania State University)	GX-6 Thur PM
MILLS, Andrew P. (Otterbein University)	GV-2 Wed PM
MILLS, Charles W. (Northwestern University)	IV-D Thur AM
MILNE, Peter W. (Seoul National University-Korea)	III-E Wed PM
MITCHELL, Mandy (University of California–Riverside)	GX-13 Thur PM
MIZRAHI, Moti (City University of New York)	Poster Session Wed PM, VI-I Fri AM
MOFFETT, Mark (University of Wyoming)	III-G Wed PM
MOKA, Willy (Boston College)	I-E Tue PM
MOLAND, Lydia (Colby College)	GVI-4 Wed PM
MOLLER, Dan (University of Maryland–College Park)	III-B Wed PM
MONAHAN, Michael (Marquette University)	GV-1 Wed PM
MOON, Andrew (University of Missouri–Columbia)	III-I Wed PM
MOORE, Holly (Luther College)	GI-3 Tue PM

MOORE, Margaret (University of Leeds-United Kingdom)	Poster Session Wed PM
MORAN, Richard (Harvard University)	GIX-5 Thur PM
MORGAN-OLSEN, Brandon (Queen's University-Ontario)	GII-7 Wed AM
MORRIS, Christopher (University of Maryland-College Park)	IV-H Thur AM
MORRIS, Doug (Eastern New Mexico University)	GX-8 Thur PM
MOYAR, Dean (Johns Hopkins University)	GVI-4 Wed PM, VIII-D Fri PM
MUDDE, Anna (University of Regina-Saskatchewan)	GVI-7 Wed PM
MURPHY, Ann V. (Fordham University)	II-F Wed AM, GV-8 Wed PM
MURPHY, Joseph A. (Dwight-Englewood School-New Jersey)	IV-K Thur AM
MURUNGI, John (Towson University)	III-F Wed PM
MUSTAIN, Megan (St. Mary's University-San Antonio)	GIX-8 Thur PM

N

NACHTOMY, Ohad (Fordham University/Bar-Ilan University-Israel)	GIII-7 Wed AM, V-E Thur PM
NADELHOFFER, Thomas (Dickinson College)	III-I Wed PM
NASCIMENTO, Amós (University of Washington-Tacoma)	V-C Thur PM
NEALE, Stephen (Graduate Center-City University of New York)	IV-F Thur AM
NEUHOUSER, Frederick (Barnard College)	GII-3 Wed AM
NEWHARD, Jay (East Carolina University)	III-I Wed PM
NGUYEN, Minh (Eastern Kentucky University)	V-J Thur PM
NICHOLLS, Tracey (Lewis University)	GIII-3 Wed AM
NISSIM-SABAT, Marilyn (Lewis University)	GVI-7 Wed PM
NODDINGS, Nel (Stanford University)	III-K Wed PM
NORLOCK, Kathryn J. (Trent University)	GV-13 Wed PM
NUSSBAUM, Martha (University of Chicago)	II-E Wed AM
NUZZO, Angelica (Graduate Center-City University of New York)	VIII-D Fri PM

O

O'BYRNE, Anne (Stony Brook University-State University of New York)	V-C Thur PM
O'DONOVAN, Maeve (Notre Dame of Maryland University)	GXII-3 Fri AM
O'ROURKE, Michael (University of Idaho)	III-G Wed PM
OLBERDING, Amy (University of Oklahoma)	I-A Tue PM
OLIVER, Amy (American University)	III-J Wed PM
OLIVER, Kelly (Vanderbilt University)	IV-C Thur AM
ORTIZ, John Kaiser (Bowling Green State University)	VIII-I Fri PM
OSTROM, Elinor (Indiana University-Bloomington)	II-D Wed AM

OUTLAW, Lucius (Vanderbilt University) V-K Thur PM
 OYLER, Joe (Montclair State University) GIII-4 Wed AM

P

PACCACERQUA, Cynthia M. (The University of Texas–Pan American)
 VIII-1 Fri PM
 PALMER, John (University of Florida–Gainesville) IV-E Thur AM
 PARADISO-MICHAU, Michael (North Central College) GX-8 Thur PM
 PAREKH, Serena (Northeastern University) GX-6 Thur PM
 PARENT, Ted (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University)
 IV-J Thur AM
 PARK, Shelley (University of Central Florida) GXII-3 Fri AM
 PARKER, Daniel (Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University)
 VIII-F Fri PM
 PARKER, Emily Anne (Santa Clara University) II-F Wed AM
 PARKER, Wendy (Ohio State University) VI-C Fri AM
 PARSONS, Graham (Brooklyn College–City University of New York)
 III-F Wed PM
 PASCOE, Jordan (Graduate Center–City University of New York)
 GX-12 Thur PM
 PATHAK, Krishna Mani (University of Delhi) GXIII-2 Fri PM
 PAUL, Robert Alan (Dalhousie University–Nova Scotia) GVI-8 Wed PM
 PEACOCKE, Christopher (Columbia University) VI-B Fri AM
 PEARCE, Kenneth L. (University of Southern California) GV-4 Wed PM
 PEFFER, Rodney (San Diego State University) GX-8 Thur PM
 PENDLEBURY, Michael (North Carolina State University) V-B Thur PM
 PERPICH, Diane (Clemson University) II-C Wed AM
 PESCHARD, Isabelle (San Francisco State University) VI-C Fri AM
 PETERSCHMITT, Luc (Université Lille 3) GV-4 Wed PM
 PETTIGREW, David (Southern Connecticut State University)
 GVIII-5 Thur AM
 PHELAN, Mark (Yale University) Poster Session Wed PM
 PICCININI, Gualtiero (University of Missouri–St. Louis) IV-G Thur AM
 PICCIUTO, Vincent (University of Maryland–College Park) VI-G Fri AM
 PICKAVÉ, Martin (University of Toronto) GIX-3 Thur PM
 PIERCE, Andrew (Loyola University–Chicago) III-F Wed PM
 PINI, Giorgio (Fordham University) GIX-3 Thur PM
 PIPPIN, Robert (University of Chicago) GII-3 Wed AM, GIX-5 Thur PM
 POLLOK, Konstantin (University of South Carolina–Columbia)
 GV-6 Wed PM
 POMEROY, Leon (George Mason University) GIX-1 Thur PM
 POSTON, Ted (University of South Alabama) VII-E Fri AM
 PRADEU, Thomas (University of Paris–Sorbonne IV) IV-B Thur AM

PREISS, Joshua Broady (Minnesota State University–Mankato)	VIII-G Fri PM
PRETTYMAN, Adrienne (University of Toronto)	VII-H Fri AM
PREUS, Tony (Binghamton University–State University of New York)	GI-3 Tue PM
PRIOU, Alexandre (Tulane University)	GVI-10 Wed PM
PRISELAC, Matt (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	II-E Wed AM
PROTOPODESCU, Tudor Ioan (Graduate Center–City University of New York)	IV-I Thur AM
PRYOR, Mark (Tulane University)	GVI-10 Wed PM

Q

QUIGLEY, Timothy (The New School)	GX-11 Thur PM
-----------------------------------	---------------

R

RABERN, Brian (Australian National University)	III-G Wed PM
RANASINGHE, Nalin (Assumption College)	GVI-10 Wed PM
RAPOSA, Michael (Lehigh University)	GVI-6 Wed PM
RAWLINSON, Mary (Stony Brook University–State University of New York)	III-D Wed PM
REIDY, David (University of Tennessee)	GVIII-9 Thur AM
REMPER, Morgan (University of Southern Mississippi)	GX-11 Thur PM
RESHOTKO, Naomi (University of Denver)	III-H Wed PM
REUTHER, Bryan T. (Nova Southeastern University)	GVI-1 Wed PM
REZVANTALAB, Mohammad Reza (University of Tehran)	GX-5 Thur PM
RICE, Christopher (Fordham University)	V-H Thur PM, GX-4 Thur PM
RICHARD, Mark (Harvard University)	IV-F Thur AM
RICHARDSON, Henry (Georgetown University)	GX-9 Thur PM
ROBBINS, Jeffrey (Lebanon Valley College)	GIX-6 Thur PM
ROBERTS, David (Grist)	V-I Thur PM
ROBEYNS, Ingrid (Erasmus University–Rotterdam)	II-E Wed AM
ROBINSON, Brian (Grand Valley State University)	Poster Session Wed PM
ROCKMORE, Tom (Duquesne University)	GVI-6 Wed PM
ROEBER, Blake (Rutgers University)	VI-H Fri AM
ROHWER, Yasha (University of Missouri–Columbia)	VI-I Fri AM
ROMANO, Carlin (Ursinus College/ <i>Chronicle of Higher Education</i>)	VI-J Fri AM
ROSENBAUM, Stuart (Baylor University)	GIX-8 Thur PM
ROSENLEE, Li-Hsiang Lisa (University of Hawaii–West Oahu)	GVIII-7 Thur AM
ROSS, Nathan (Oklahoma City University)	VIII-D Fri PM
ROSS, Steven (National Endowment for the Humanities)	GIII-1 Wed AM
ROTSCHY, Liela (University of Idaho)	III-G Wed PM

ROUSSE, B. Scot (Northwestern University).....VIII-E Fri PM
 ROWE, William (University of Scranton) GIX-6 Thur PM
 ROWLAND, Jennifer (University of North Texas).....GVI-5 Wed PM
 RUETENIK, Tadd (St. Ambrose University) GIX-8 Thur PM
 RUIZ-AHO, Elena (Florida Gulf Coast University).....VIII-I Fri PM
 RUNYON, Christopher (James Madison University)GV-2 Wed PM
 RUSSELL, Camisha (Pennsylvania State University).....GVI-7 Wed PM
 RYNASIEWICZ, Robert (Johns Hopkins University)..... IV-G Thur AM

S

SABATES, Marcelo (Kansas State University) III-J Wed PM
 SACHS, Benjamin (New York University) I-E Tue PM
 SADEQI, Hadi (Hadith Sciences College at Qom-Iran).....GX-5 Thur PM
 SAGOFF, Mark (George Mason University)..... GVII-4 Thur AM
 SAINT, Michelle (Rollins College).....GX-11 Thur PM
 SAITO, Yuriko (Rhode Island School of Design) V-J Thur PM
 SAKON, Takeshi (Osaka University)..... GXI-2 Fri AM
 SANDRISSER, Barbara (Architectural and Environmental Aesthetics)
 V-J Thur PM
 SANGEETHA, K. S. (Cambridge University) GXIII-2 Fri PM
 SARKISSIAN, Hagop (Baruch College–City University of New York)
I-A Tue PM, GVIII-7 Thur AM
 SAUCEDO, Raul (Yale University) I-C Tue PM
 SAUL, Jennifer (University of Sheffield-United Kingdom)
GV-13 Wed PM, V-D Thur PM
 SCALES, Stephen (Towson University)..... GII-4 Wed AM
 SCANLON, Thomas (Harvard University)..... VI-D Fri AM
 SCHAFER, Karl (University of Pittsburgh)..... III-B Wed PM
 SCHEALL, Scott (Arizona State University Polytechnic)GIII-2 Wed AM
 SCHECHTER, Elizabeth (Washington University–St. Louis) VIII-C Fri PM
 SCHIECK, George (San Diego State University).....GIV-4 Wed PM
 SCHNEE, Ian (Western Kentucky University)GX-11 Thur PM
 SCHOETTLE, Timothy (Messiah College) GXI-2 Fri AM
 SCHOLL, Brian (Yale University) VII-A Fri AM
 SCHOTT, Robin (Danish Institute for International Studies) ... III-K Wed PM
 SCHROEDER, Mark (University of Southern California)VII-E Fri AM
 SCHROER, Jeanine Weekes (University of Minnesota–Duluth)
 Poster Session Wed PM
 SCHWARZENBACH, Sibyl (Baruch College/Graduate Center–City
 University of New York)GX-9 Thur PM
 SCHWEITZ, Lea F. (Lutheran School of Theology–Chicago)
GIII-7 Wed AM

SCHWENKLER, John (Mount St. Mary's University)	VI-G Fri AM
SELI, George (Graduate Center–City University of New York)...	VI-G Fri AM
SEOK, Bongrae (Alvernia University).....	GIV-1 Wed PM
SHAN, Chung-chieh (Rutgers University).....	GVI-2 Wed PM
SHAPIRO, Gary (University of Richmond)	VIII-E Fri PM
SHAPIRO, Lisa (Simon Fraser University)	I-D Tue PM
SHEN, Hsiang-Min (Sochow University-Taipei)	GX-3 Thur PM
SHEN, Vincent (University of Toronto)	GVI-3 Wed PM
SHETH, Falguni (Hampshire College).....	V-A Thur PM, VIII-B Fri PM
SHIELDS, James Mark (Bucknell University)	GVII-3 Thur AM
SHOEMAKER, David (Tulane University).....	Poster Session Wed PM
SHOOK, John (George Mason University)	GVII-6 Thur AM
SHOTWELL, Alexis (Laurentian University-Ontario)	II-A Wed AM, GVI-7 Wed PM
SIEGEL, Susanna (Harvard University)	II-A Wed AM
SILK, Alex (University of Michigan).....	III-G Wed PM
SILVERMAN, Hugh J. (Stony Brook University–State University of New York).....	VIII-B Fri PM
SILVERS, Anita (San Francisco State University)	II-G Wed AM
SIM, May (College of the Holy Cross)	GVIII-7 Thur AM, GXI-1 Fri AM
SIMUS, Jason (University of North Texas) ...	GVII-4 Thur AM, GX-4 Thur PM
SINGPURWALLA, Rachel (University of Maryland–College Park)	VII-C Fri AM
SKILES, Alex (University of Notre Dame)	VII-F Fri AM
SKYRMS, Brian (University of California–Irvine).....	II-D Wed AM
SLOTE, Michael (University of Miami).....	I-A Tue PM
SMITH, Clancy (Duquesne University)	GXI-2 Fri AM
SMITH, Tara (University of Texas–Austin).....	GIII-2 Wed AM
SNAPPER, Jeff (University of Notre Dame)	V-F Thur PM
SNOW, Nancy (Marquette University)	GXIII-2 Fri PM
SOAMES, Scott (University of Southern California).....	IV-F Thur AM
SOBHANI, Mohammad Taghi (Research Center of Science & Islamic Studies at Qom-Iran)	GX-5 Thur PM
SÖDERBÄCK, Fanny (Siena College)	VI-A Fri AM
SOLYMOSI, Tibor (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)	GVII-6 Thur AM
SOMMERVILLE, Brooks (University of Toronto)	VII-C Fri AM
SOUFFRANT, Eddy (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)	GIII-3 Wed AM
SPEIGHT, Allen (Boston University)	GVI-4 Wed PM
STAITI, Andrea (Boston College)	III-E Wed PM
STALNAKER, Robert (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)	VII-E Fri AM

STANG, Nick (University of Miami)	GXI-3 Fri AM
STANLEY, Jason (Rutgers University).....	VII-E Fri AM
STARR, Will (Cornell University)	GI-1 Tue PM, VII-B Fri AM
STERBA, James (University of Notre Dame).....	I-B Tue PM, GX-9 Thur PM
STERN, Reuben (Georgia State University).....	III-I Wed PM
STIKKERS, Kenneth (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)	GVI-11 Wed PM
STOHR, Karen (Georgetown University).....	GV-7 Wed PM
STONE, Abraham (University of California–Santa Cruz)	GXI-3 Fri AM
STRAWSER, Bradley Jay (University of Connecticut)	VIII-C Fri PM
STRAWSER, Michael (University of Central Florida).....	GIX-7 Thur PM
STRAWSON, Galen (University of Reading–United Kingdom) ...	VIII-H Fri PM
STREET, Sharon (New York University)	III-B Wed PM
STRUBLE, Luca (University of California–Los Angeles)	VII-H Fri AM
SULLIVAN, Andrew (The Daily Beast)	V-I Thur PM
SUNDSTROM, Ronald (University of San Francisco)	GVIII-2 Thur AM
SUNG, Winnie (Nanyang Technological University–Singapore)	GV-3 Wed PM
SWEENEY, Kevin W. (University of Tampa)	GX-11 Thur PM
SWINDAL, James (Duquesne University)	III-A Wed PM
SYLVA, Grant (Canisius College)	VIII-G Fri PM
SZABO, Zoltan Gendler (Yale University)	III-L Wed PM
SZENDE, Jennifer (Queen’s University–Ontario)	IV-H Thur AM

T

TALISSE, Robert (Vanderbilt University)	VI-E Fri AM
TAYLOR, David (Stanford University).....	VIII-F Fri PM
TAYLOR, Dianna (John Carroll University) ...	GVII-2 Thur AM, GX-6 Thur PM
TAYLOR, Elanor (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)	VII-F Fri AM
TAYLOR, James Stacey (College of New Jersey)	GVI-1 Wed PM
TAYLOR, Paul (Pennsylvania State University).....	VII-D Fri AM
THIBODEAU, Martin (St. Paul University–Ottawa)	VIII-D Fri PM
THOMAS, Laurence (Syracuse University)	I-B Tue PM
THOMPSON, Michael (University of Pittsburgh).....	II-B Wed AM
THOMSON-JONES, Katherine (Oberlin College).....	GIX-5 Thur PM
TILLER, Glenn (Texas A&M University–Corpus Christi).....	GII-1 Wed AM
TODD, Steven J. (Henderson State University).....	VI-I Fri AM
TOLLEFSEN, Deborah (University of Memphis).....	IV-K Thur AM
TONG, Rosemarie (University of North Carolina–Charlotte) ...	II-G Wed AM
TREO-MATHYS, Jonathan (Boston College)	III-A Wed PM
TRIVIGNO, Franco (Marquette University).....	GI-3 Tue PM, III-F Wed PM
TROGDON, Kelly (Lingnan University–Hong Kong)	V-F Thur PM

TROUGHTON, Tom (McGill University)	GV-12 Wed PM
TUCKER, Ericka (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)	GIV-4 Wed PM
TUNG, Toy (John Jay College)	GV-12 Wed PM
TURGEON, Wendy C. (St. Joseph's College)	II-H Wed AM
TURNER, Derek (Connecticut College)	GVII-4 Thur AM

U

ULATOWSKI, Joseph (University of Mississippi)	Poster Session Wed PM
--	-----------------------

V

VAHANIAN, Noelle (Lebanon Valley College)	GIX-6 Thur PM
VALLEGA, Alejandro (University of Oregon)	III-J Wed PM
VALLIER, Kevin (Brown University/Bowling Green State University)	GIX-2 Thur PM
VAN CAMP, Wes (George Washington University)	VIII-F Fri PM
VAN DER LINDEN, Harry (Butler University)	GX-8 Thur PM
VAN INWAGEN, Peter (University of Notre Dame)	IV-A Thur AM
VAN NORDEN, Bryan (Vassar College)	GV-3 Wed PM
VAN ROOY, Paul (Boston College)	I-E Tue PM
VANNATTA, Seth (Morgan State University)	GV-2 Wed PM
VARDEN, Helga (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)	GII-9 Wed AM, GX-12 Thur PM
VASSALLO, Nicla (University of Genoa-Italy)	IV-J Thur AM
VAVOVA, Ekaterina (Amherst College)	V-H Thur PM
VER EECHE, Wilfried (Georgetown University)	GVIII-5 Thur AM
VICTORIA, Brian Daizen (Antioch University)	GVII-3 Thur AM
VOGT, Katja (Columbia University)	II-B Wed AM
VOLLMER, Sarah (University of Alabama–Birmingham)	GXII-2 Fri AM

V

WALKER, Jason (University of Wisconsin–Madison)	GIII-2 Wed AM
WALKER, Margaret Urban (Marquette University)	III-K Wed PM
WALKER, Matthew (Rutgers University)	VI-F Fri AM
WALSH, Adrian (University of New England)	GI-4 Tue PM
WALTERS, Gregory J. (Saint Paul University–Ottawa)	GVI-6 Wed PM
WALTON, Melanie (Belmont University)	GXI-2 Fri AM
WATKINS, Brian (Duke University)	V-G Thur PM
WATZL, Sebastian (Harvard University)	VI-B Fri AM
WAUTISCHER, Helmut (California State University–Sonoma)	GX-5 Thur PM
WEBER, Eric Thomas (The University of Mississippi)	VI-J Fri AM
WEED, Laura (College of Saint Rose)	GXII-2 Fri AM

WEISS, Gail (George Washington University).....	V-A Thur PM
WELSH, Talia (University of Tennessee at Chattanooga).....	GX-13 Thur PM
WESTACOTT, Emrys (Alfred University).....	GIV-3 Wed PM
WHITE, Amy E. (Ohio University–Zanesville).....	GIX-1 Thur PM
WHITE, David (Saint John Fisher College)....	GVIII-6 Thur AM, GXII-2 Fri AM
WHITING, Jennifer (University of Toronto).....	VI-F Fri AM
WHITNEY, Shiloh (McGill University).....	II-F Wed AM
WIELAND, Nellie (California State University–Long Beach)	
.....	GV-13 Wed PM
WILLIAMS, Michael (Johns Hopkins University).....	VIII-A Fri PM
WILLS, David R. (University at Albany–State University of New York)	
.....	VIII-B Fri PM
WILSON, George (University of Southern California)	GIX-5 Thur PM
WINFIELD, Richard Dien (University of Georgia)	GIV-5 Wed PM
WINSBERG, Eric (University of South Florida)	VI-C Fri AM
WIRTS, Amelia (Boston College)	I-E Tue PM
WISNEWSKI, J. Jeremy (Hartwick College)	GV-9 Wed PM
WITT, Charlotte (University of New Hampshire)	IV-J Thur AM
WOLF, Allison (Simpson College).....	III-D Wed PM
WOLFSON, Ben (University of California–Santa Barbara).....	GII-3 Wed AM
WONG, Cori (Pennsylvania State University).....	II-F Wed AM
WOOD, David (Vanderbilt University)	GIII-5 Wed AM
WOODARD, Jared (Fordham University)	VII-F Fri AM
WUERTH, Julian (Vanderbilt University)	GV-6 Wed PM

Y

YALCIN, Seth (University of California–Berkeley)	GI-1 Tue PM
YAMAMOTO, Carl (Towson University)	GII-4 Wed AM
YANCY, George (Duquesne University)	V-A Thur PM
YANG, Xiaomei (Southern Connecticut University).....	GX-3 Thur PM
YATES, Christopher (Boston College).....	GX-2 Thur PM
YEPING, Hu (Catholic University of America)	GVI-3 Wed PM
YGLESIAS, Matthew (Think Progress)	V-I Thur PM

Z

ZABOROWSKI, Holger (Catholic University of America)	GX-2 Thur PM
ZACK, Naomi (University of Oregon).....	GX-9 Thur PM
ZAMBRANA, Rocio (University of Oregon)	VIII-D Fri PM
ZINAICH, Samuel (Purdue University–Calumet)	
.....	GVI-1 Wed PM, GIX-1 Thur PM
ZURN, Christopher (University of Massachusetts–Boston)	GII-7 Wed AM

ABSTRACTS OF COLLOQUIUM PAPERS

FUTURE GENERATIONS AND THE PROBLEM OF CONSENT: A FREE MARKET DEFENSE OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIN TAXES (VIII-G)

MATTHEW ALTMAN, CENTRAL WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Some environmental ethicists and activists believe that the self-interested activity of individuals and corporations will lead inevitably to catastrophic environmental degradation. In this essay, I defend a version of free market environmentalism in which the government has a role in facilitating the conditions of free, capitalist exchange. Specifically, the state must tax certain industries so that they and their consumers bear long-term environmental costs, thus internalizing the costs to future generations. Even in a free market, the government has a role in regulating environmental harms, and it should be more involved than defenders of the free market approach typically allow. If free market environmentalism adheres to this policy, then allowing consumers to determine acceptable tradeoffs will not have the devastating effects that interventionists anticipate.

ON THE INTIMATE RELATIONSHIP OF KNOWLEDGE AND ACTION (VI-H)

CHARITY ANDERSON, SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY

There is an intimate relationship between knowledge and action. Our folk appraisals make this much clear—it is natural to use ‘know’ to criticize or defend action. Recently, some philosophers have defended the thesis that the nature of this relationship is such that knowledge is necessary and/or sufficient for action. In this paper, I will advance five independent problems for this thesis. I conclude that although knowledge is neither necessary nor sufficient for action, this does not rule out the possibility of an intimate relationship between the two. The relationship is more messy than we might have hoped it to be.

AUTOIMMUNITY, ITERABILITY, AND ISLAM (VIII-E)

JOSHUA P. ANDRESEN, AMERICAN UNIVERSITY OF BEIRUT

In this presentation I seek to unsettle Derrida’s commitment to secularism for democracy through an examination of several recent Muslim reformers. In the work of Abdulahi An-Na’im, Abdolkarim Soroush, and Mohammad Shabestari we find an implicit, but deep, commitment to the logics of autoimmunity and iterability that Derrida analyzes at length in “Faith and Knowledge.” By examining their engagement with these logics, I show how it is possible to draw strong democratic consequences from the necessarily profane status of religious interpretation and to defend democratic reforms from an avowedly religious basis.

A KNOWLEDGE-CENTRIC ARGUMENT AGAINST E=K (IV-I)

ALEXANDER ARNOLD, *UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME*

Timothy Williamson accepts an account of evidence possession according to which one's evidence consists in all and only those propositions one knows—for short, "E=K." Like many philosophical theses, E=K faces criticism, most of which Williamson has rejected for not adequately respecting the knowledge-centric character of his epistemology. In this paper, I wish to break the dialectical impasse by presenting a case for thinking that Williamsonians have a knowledge-centric reason for rejecting E=K. This knowledge-centric reason derives from the possibility of knowledge from falsehood.

NON-CULPABLE IGNORANCE IS CULPABLE IGNORANCE (VIII-G)

KATHERINE K. BIEDERMAN, *CORNELL COLLEGE*

In this paper, I argue that Aristotle's accounts of culpable and non-culpable ignorance are incomplete. Aristotle's attempt at formulating a substantive theory of moral responsibility fails to be sensitive to the range of activities that agents engage in when pursuing moral ends and merely provides a guide for assessing and directing one's moral conduct independent of epistemological considerations. An agent cannot properly be deemed a responsible moral agent without also paying attention to the epistemic activities that influence and inform one's moral practices. An epistemological analysis of ignorance provides not only a landscape of some of its various causes; it also provides a suitably well-defined outline of the limits of its excusing force. I develop an alternative understanding of the nature of responsible moral agency and show that Aristotle's account of non-culpable ignorance, when approached from an epistemological perspective, is actually ignorance for which one is culpable.

MODESTY AS A VIRTUE OF ATTENTION (V-H)

NICOLAS BOMMARITO, *BROWN UNIVERSITY*

The contemporary discussion of modesty has focused on whether or not the modest person is accurate about her own good qualities. I argue that this way of framing the debate is unhelpful and offer examples that show neither ignorance nor accuracy is necessary for modesty. I suggest that what is necessary for modesty is directing one's attention in certain ways. By analyzing modesty in this way we can best explain the distinct features of modesty, keep much of what is intuitive in contemporary accounts, and better understand why modesty is a virtue at all.

EPISTEMIC NORMS AND EXCUSES (VI-H)

KENNETH BOYD, *UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO*

The knowledge norms of assertion and practical reasoning state that one should assert/use in one's practical reasoning the proposition p iff one knows that p, respectively. Proposed counterexamples to these norms have been abundant; specifically, it seems that one can appropriately

assert/use in practical reasoning a proposition that one doesn't know, but merely justifiably believes. Knowledge norm proponents have explained these results by appeal to a so-called "excuse manoeuvre": there can be cases of appropriate norm violation because the subject, for whatever reason, is "excused" in certain cases. While excuse manoeuvres can then account for the data, they have been criticized as being under-described and ad hoc. Here I argue that any plausible norm of assertion/practical reasoning will require appeal to an excuse manoeuvre in order to explain some class of cases. Knowledge norms, then, do not suffer the burden of needing excuse manoeuvres alone.

BEAUTY, SYSTEM AND SKEPTICISM IN KANT'S AESTHETICS (III-E)

JOSEPH CANNON, MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

I argue that despite Kant's a priori defense of the possibility of pure judgments of taste, he is committed to skepticism about individual judgments of taste. That is, the claim that any particular aesthetic judgment is pure can be no less tentative for him than is a causal judgment for a skeptic like Hume. I will show that the skeptical doubt that arises concerning individual judgments of taste is related to but distinct from the kind of epistemic problem that arises in Kant's account of moral judgment concerning the possibility of knowing one's own motivations. I will further argue that this necessarily tentative epistemic position of pure judgments of taste is not a failing or fault in Kant's theory of taste, but a systematic feature of his aesthetics that underwrites his account of beauty as a sensible representation of moral ideas.

THE TOKEN-INDIVIDUATION PROBLEM FOR PURE AFFECTIVE THEORIES OF EMOTION AND CAPGRAS SYNDROME (III-H)

W. SCOTT CLIFTON, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Traditionally, the debate over the fundamental nature of emotions has centered around two kinds of theories: cognitive and affective. Cognitive theories hold that emotions just are cognitive states, such as beliefs, desires, judgments, or thoughts. Affective theories hold that emotions are feelings—that is, experiences of physiological changes occurring in the body. One feature of emotions that affective theories have been thought to fail to capture is intentionality. If feelings alone cannot exhibit intentionality, our ability to individuate individual emotions is mysterious, since the physiological profiles of different emotions can be very similar in certain situations. This can be called the problem of emotion token-individuation. I argue that this problem can be solved, once we recognize token-individuation requires only the aptness of feelings to inform us subdoxastically. I provide some evidence from the work done on Capgras syndrome to suggest that feelings are informative in the way that I describe.

WHAT IS ‘THOUGHT THAT THINKS ITSELF’? (IV-J)

NATHAN COLANER, SEATTLE UNIVERSITY

Aristotle famously says that contemplation is when “thought...thinks itself.” I argue that this phrase is typically misinterpreted because contemplation is usually thought of as a discursive process. I argue that “thought thinks itself” must be retranslated as “mind intuits itself,” because contemplation is active intellectual intuition of the forms. Before discussing Aristotle’s doctrine of contemplation (C), I will discuss his doctrine of passive intellectual intuition, which gives rise to contemplation (B). I then show that contemplative activity is misinterpreted when it is interpreted as discursive activity (D).

CAPPELEN, CONTENT RELATIVISM, AND THE “CREATIVE INTERPRETER” (III-I)

MARK E. CRILEY, ILLINOIS WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY

In recent work, Herman Cappelen has defended a position he calls content relativism (CR): the thesis that one and the same utterance may have different content at different contexts of assessment or interpretation. In his most recent treatment of the topic, “The Creative Interpreter,” Cappelen argues for CR using examples involving prescriptive language: instructions, orders, and laws. By pointing out some problems for Cappelen’s argument and suggesting ways they might be fixed, I hope to show how CR might best be developed and defended.

FRANKFURT, UNTHINKABLE ACTIONS, AND DELIBERATIVE PARALYSIS (V-H)

DAVID DICK, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY

In “Rationality and the Unthinkable” Harry Frankfurt argues that “unthinkable actions” must provide limits on the will in two ways. First, they are thought to stabilize an agent’s deliberative landscape to avoid a condition I call “deliberative paralysis.” Second, they provide an agent’s will an unchangeable essential character and so, an identity. In this paper, after laying out Frankfurt’s discussion of unthinkable actions and the difficulties that loom without them, I argue that unthinkable actions are by their nature incapable of preventing deliberative paralysis and have a rigidity that is unnecessary for a solution to the problem of deliberative paralysis. Ultimately, this is because Frankfurt’s unthinkable actions have the right name but the wrong nature to do the work required of them.

HOMUNCULI WITHOUT FUNCTIONALISM (VI-I)

CARRIE FIGDOR, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

Much of mainstream cognitive science aims at a mechanistic explanation of the mind. This goal is being pursued in cognitive science in a way that appears to accord with homuncular functionalism, in which personal-level cognitive capacities are analyzed into subpersonal capacities that in turn are localized in various components of the brain. I argue that the homunculi of cognitive science have nothing to do with those envisioned by homuncular functionalists and that functionalist-sounding rhetoric

of cognitive scientists masks a fundamentally different metaphysical framework.

TELEONOMY AND CONTINGENCY IN KANT'S THIRD CRITIQUE (V-G)

STEFANO FRANCHI, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY

The paper argues that recent debates on teleonomy and its antecedent formulation in Kant's third Critique tend to downplay the important role played by the contingency of natural beings in Kant's argument. It is argued that the deeper alternative faced by Kant is between the roles of necessity and contingency in life forms, and not, as it is has often been argued, between physicalist and teleological explanations of natural phenomena. A reassessment of the Kantian argument, it is claimed, allows a better understanding or recent re-evaluations of contingency in biology (Gould) and in European philosophy (Esposito, Tarizzo).

INTERPRETATION IN ETHICS: KORSGAARD, NIETZSCHE, GADAMER (VII-G)

PETER FRISTEDT, TOWSON UNIVERSITY

In this paper, I ask whether Korsgaard's ethics can be reconciled with a hermeneutic understanding of the human subject. Hermeneutics, inspired by Nietzsche, has traditionally been skeptical about the notion that moral principles have authority over us. But Korsgaard's account of normativity as grounded in self-consciousness and its reflective distance from beliefs and desires is strikingly similar to Gadamer's description of human beings as distant and 'free' from their environment. The hermeneutic challenge I pose to Korsgaard is how a finite subject can be bound and necessitated by principles, when our understanding of them is always historically mediated and partial.

THE THEORY THEORY: A NEGLECTED MODAL EPISTEMOLOGY (V-F)

ROBERT WILLIAM FISCHER, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-CHICAGO

Some people think that we can form justified beliefs about *p*'s modal status even if we have no theory that says that it has such-and-such a status. So, for example, they think that we can justifiably believe that there could be naturally purple elephants even if we lack (inter alia) a theory about the factors that are germane to elephant pigmentation. In this paper I outline a modal epistemology that rejects this assumption: I suppose that we are not justified in believing (say) that *p* is possible unless we have a theory according to which *p* is possible. My proposal marries the semantic view of theories with the thesis that we justifiably believe our best theories to be true.

FREGE'S THINKING (IV-J)

PIERANNA GARAVASO, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

NICLA VASSALLO, UNIVERSITY OF GENOA-ITALY

Frege's conception of thinking (*das Denken*) has not been sufficiently investigated because of two erroneous convictions among his interpreters. The first is the belief that, since Frege's notion of thinking is wholly subjective, it is of no interest to logic. The second is the belief that Frege as the grandfather of analytical philosophy was chiefly interested in thought (*der Gedanke*) rather than in thinking. Dummett is the main source of these convictions; yet, his reading does not explain why Frege says so much about thinking from *Conceptual Notation* to later essays. In this paper, we argue that Frege's notion of thinking is not merely psychological or subjective, but has an important relation to logic, language, and objective entities. Although we cannot argue for this thesis, we suggest that this notion is the key to understanding the epistemological role that Frege ascribes to thinking in the grasping of thoughts.

THE IDEA OF AN OVERLAPPING CONSENSUS REVISITED (I-E)

JON GARTHOFF, UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE

In this essay I offer a novel interpretation of the rationale for John Rawls's model of overlapping consensus, and I argue that although this rationale enables the model to resist objections which rebut the more standard interpretation of overlapping consensus, it ultimately fails to vindicate the model. My argument against overlapping consensus takes the form of a dilemma. If the model is asserted simply in response to the conjunction of the need for stability with the fact of reasonable disagreement, then the model is undermotivated because stability can be achieved without it. But if the model is instead asserted in response to the special problem presented by citizens who are politically cooperative and liberal yet comprehensively injudicious and illiberal, then it is overly pessimistic in postulating the endemic existence of such citizens and so overly accommodating of them in public deliberation.

MODIFICATION OF THE REACTIVE ATTITUDES (III-F)

DAVID GOLDMAN, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA-LOS ANGELES

In his seminal essay "Freedom and Resentment," P. F. Strawson offers a forceful defense of our moral concepts and practices. In this paper, I argue that Strawson's defense is seriously limited: it does not rule out significant, far-reaching modification to our moral concepts and practices. Strawson argues that we cannot altogether eliminate the reactive attitudes like resentment and indignation, because doing so would involve exiting interpersonal relationships altogether. I describe an alternative to the reactive attitudes Strawson discusses: a form of sadness that, I argue, preserves our participation in interpersonal relationships. Unlike the reactive attitudes discussed by Strawson, which involve a "withdrawal of goodwill" and a "preparedness to acquiesce in the infliction of suffering" (90), the

sadness I describe has no antagonistic or ill-willed-elements. For this reason, substituting sadness for resentment and indignation would amount to a deep, far-reaching change in the way we relate to each other.

MISGUIDED SYSTEMS THEORY IN HABERMAS' LEGAL PHILOSOPHY (I-E)

KEVIN GRAY, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Habermas' *Between Facts and Norms* (BFN) offers a theory of law designed to supplement the model of society developed in *The Theory of Communicative Action* (TCA). Superficially, BFN adopts the binary model of society developed in TCA. However, in spite of the many positive things BFN brings to the table, I argue that Habermas changes the system-lifeworld model in his theory of law, even if he is loath to admit it. My interest is to show that the system-lifeworld model is unsuitable for Habermas' legal theory. I conclude that in BFN the model of system communication has been tacitly abandoned.

ON A PRESUMED OMISSION IN KANT'S DERIVATION OF THE CATEGORICAL IMPERATIVE (V-G)

ROBERT GREENBERG, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY

A new book by Stephen Engstrom repeats a criticism of Bruce Aune's of Kant's derivation of the universalizability formula of the categorical imperative. The criticism is that Kant omitted at least one substantive premise in the derivation of the formula: "Act only on that maxim through which you can at the same time will that it become a universal law." The grounds for the formula that are given in the *Groundwork of the Metaphysics of Morals*, however, are said to support only a weaker requirement, viz., that a maxim conform to a universal law. Hence, Kant omits at least one necessary substantive premise of the derivation. This paper attempts to show that nothing substantive is omitted from the argument. It only needs two principles of inference that it is assumed add nothing substantive to the premises.

CONSTRUCTIVIST PUBLIC REASONS (VIII-E)

CARL HAMMER, BARUCH COLLEGE-CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

Christine Korsgaard and R. Jay Wallace have both recently argued for a publicity of reasons thesis—the claim that reasons have some open-ended structure, such that their normative force extends across the boundaries of persons. Wallace defends the publicity thesis by arguing that it best explains a pattern of corresponding reasons. I offer my own explanation of the pattern that is based on social relations and shared intentions. I argue that my analysis is plausible on its own, is a better explanation of the phenomena, and makes the publicity thesis more explainable. This analysis opens up many lines of investigation concerning social normativity and how our social nature relates to our practical reason. It may also provide an intermediate domain of normativity between individualistic normativity and full-blown morality.

ATTENTION AS A DUAL PROCESS (VII-H)

PATRICK HAWLEY, UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

Attention plays a central role in recent work on perception and consciousness. For example, Michael Tye claims that you do not see a thing unless you can attend to that thing. This leads Tye to the surprising view that “there are sometimes things in clear view which are large enough for us to see but which we fail to see” (Tye 2009). Here I argue that one can indeed have a phenomenally conscious visual experience of something without being able to attend to that thing. What will result is a clearer understanding of the nature of attention and its relation to conscious experience and perceptual content.

HUSSERL’S AESTHETICS: A PHENOMENOLOGY OF THE LITERARY WORK OF ART (III-E)

ELEANOR HELMS, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY

I set forward Husserl’s theory of meaning in the specific context of literary works of art, focusing especially on the noematic pole, where Husserl’s concept of meaning as “identity-in-a-manifold” comes most readily to the foreground. Derrida’s theory of meaning, by contrast, focuses almost exclusively on the reader’s acts—i.e., the fact that these take place in time and so “contaminate” pure relationships of sense with the need for inscription and memory. I focus especially on the role of passive and active synthesis and pre-thematic intimation of the author’s expressive acts.

RAWLS’ RATIONALIST CONCEPTION OF PERSONHOOD (IV-H)

DANIEL HICKS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

In dealing with a serious objection to the account of justice as fairness that he presented in *A Theory of Justice*, John Rawls developed what he called a political conception of personhood. In this paper, I argue that Rawls’ conception of personhood is rationalist, that is, that it includes only what I call rational capabilities and does not include other, non-rational capabilities that should be included. My discussion focuses on two non-rational capabilities, which I call affective and pragmatic capabilities—capabilities to form and maintain families and networks of friends and capabilities to manipulate and create material objects in purposive ways. In the final section, I consider some implications for the original position when these non-rational capabilities are included.

CAN BARE DISPOSITIONS EXPLAIN OBSERVED REGULARITIES? (VIII-F)

TYLER HILDEBRAND, UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO—BOULDER

There are a number of reasons to postulate bare dispositions. Perhaps the most important is that bare dispositions are thought to aid in the explanation of observed natural regularities. Many philosophers believe that bare dispositions explain observed natural regularities so well that there is no need for governing laws of nature. (Why would we posit governing laws if not to explain regularities?) I believe that this line of thinking is mistaken. In this essay, I argue that bare dispositions are unable to do the primary

work that we want from a theory of governing laws: bare dispositions cannot explain observed natural regularities in a way that does not require the postulate of (or entail the existence of) governing laws of nature. The upshot is that we have at least some reason to try to analyze dispositions in terms of laws, rather than the other way around.

LYRICAL EMOTIONS AND SENTIMENTALITY (III-E)

SCOTT ALEXANDER HOWARD, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

This paper concerns the normative assessment of a particular category of emotions, which I will call “lyrical.” These emotions are sometimes accused of being sentimental or not fitting their objects. However, when we test the merits of that charge using the standard model of emotion justification, it turns out that we are unable to make normative distinctions between lyrical emotion episodes at all. Yet the standard model cannot be given up. Thus, our critical discourse about these emotions, according to which some episodes can be fitting and others not, appears to be undermined.

A NEW KALAM ARGUMENT (V-F)

ROBERT KOONS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS—AUSTIN

Modifying Benardete’s Grim Reaper paradox results in a new version of the Kalam cosmological argument: an argument for the necessary finitude of the past. The argument relies upon two crucial assumptions: the intrinsicality of causal powers, and a generalization of Lewis’s Patchwork Principle. I distinguish two different senses in which the past might be finite and consider their logical relations. Finally, I argue that the argument’s conclusion is consistent with the potential infinite divisibility of time, and that it provides support for the hypothesis that the universe has an extra-temporal and immaterial cause.

KANT AND “EMPFINDUNG” (V-G)

APAAR KUMAR, EMORY UNIVERSITY

In this essay, I re-examine the concept of “Empfindung” in Kant’s theoretical philosophy. I argue that an “Empfindung” should not be understood as sense-apprehension in the narrow empiricist sense. Instead, it should be understood as the general modification of the mind comprising all possible modifications of the subject’s mental state owing to inner and outer causes including the operations of the faculties. Further, unlike perceptions which are clearly conscious and relate to an indeterminate object in time, an “Empfindung” is a-temporal, obscurely conscious, and relates solely to the subject. These obscurely conscious and a-temporal “Empfindungen” constitute perceptions, and they can only be clearly conscious as perceptions. This characterization of “Empfindung” is consistent with Kant’s theory of cognition in which perceptions require but cannot be reduced to “Empfindungen,” and cognitions require perceptions since the understanding cannot directly relate to “Empfindungen.”

SCIENCE AND PHILOSOPHY: THE RELATION BETWEEN *HUMAN, ALL-TOO-HUMAN* AND NIETZSCHE'S EARLY THOUGHT (VII-G)

VINOD ACHARYA, RICE UNIVERSITY

The goal of this paper is to trace the transformations in Nietzsche's early thinking that led to the ideas published in *Human, All-Too-Human*, which is the first book of his mature philosophy. In contrast to his early works, in which he sides with art and philosophy in critiquing the scientific culture of his time, Nietzsche, in *Human, All-Too-Human*, hails the methodology of science as a way to overcome the metaphysical delusions of philosophy, art, and religion. However, in disagreement with popular scholarly view, I show that *Human, All-Too-Human* does not represent a complete break with his earlier writings, but rather a shift in emphasis. I argue that the overall project connecting Nietzsche's early thought to *Human, All-Too-Human* is, first, the attempt to come to terms with the upheavals introduced into the cultural terrain by the Socratic turn, and, second, to affirm a higher culture.

WHAT THE DYNAMIC PUZZLE OF SELF-DECEPTION SAYS ABOUT THE DYNAMICS OF SELF-DECEPTION (III-H)

JASON RYAN LOPEZ, SIENA COLLEGE

Many hold, along with Alfred Mele, that those who believe that self-deception is an intentional act must resolve two difficult puzzles. This is accepted both by those who support and those who oppose the intentionalist picture. Here, I will break this trend and accept the result of one of the puzzles: the process of intentional self-deception must undermine itself. Interestingly, taking on this position does not make self-deception paradoxical; a self-deceiver is free to continue to try to trick him- or herself even though it is impossible to do so. Looking at self-deception this way gives the intentionalist a response to some of the classic worries about this type of theory: the self-deceiver need not believe contradictory things and the theory posits no mental partitioning.

ON LIKELIHOODISM AND INTELLIGENT DESIGN (VIII-F)

SEBASTIAN LUTZ, UNIVERSITY OF UTRECHT-NETHERLANDS

Two common and plausible claims in the philosophy of science are that (i) a theory that makes no predictions is not testable and (ii) one cannot confirm a theory by criticizing a competing one absent further assumptions about their relation. Elliott Sober has developed these claims within likelihoodism, which defines the testability and confirmation of a theory only in contrast to another, and has argued that the claims hold for intelligent design (ID) when contrasted with evolutionary theory (ET). I show that Sober's arguments rely on a contentious hidden premise, and that within likelihoodism, both claims are false for ID and ET under his assumptions and one very weak further assumption about ID and ET. I then show that, given Sober's assumptions, the claims are true for a non-contrastive criterion of testability close to the Bayesian one and the relevance criterion of confirmation.

EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE AGAINST THE EFFICACY OF REASON? (III-H)

JOSHUA MAY, MONASH UNIVERSITY-AUSTRALIA

It is difficult to determine the role of “reason” in motivation. Rationalists claim that at least sometimes beliefs about what one has reason to do can be the ultimate source of one’s motivation. So-called “Humeans,” on the other hand, maintain that motivation always ultimately has its source in desire. Such theses are partly empirical in nature. As such, I investigate how some empirical work bears on this debate. The focus is limited to neurological disorders (viz. “acquired sociopathy,” Parkinson’s, and Tourette’s). Against the dominant trend (especially enshrined in the work of Roskies, Schroeder, and Nichols), I argue that the evidence is entirely compatible with a rationalistic view of motivation and in some cases provides some tentative support for it.

PHENOMENOLOGY, ON WHAT GROUNDS? SUBJECT, LIFE AND MATTER IN MERLEAU-PONTY’S PHILOSOPHY OF NATURE (II-F)

DARIAN MEACHAM, UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST OF ENGLAND

In this presentation I will try to develop a framework through which we might begin to characterize and question Merleau-Ponty’s conception of Nature as the Ground of phenomenology. Specifically, I will point to Merleau-Ponty’s appropriation of the Husserlian concepts of “institution” and the phenomenological Rückfrage as a means to transition from a phenomenology of the subject to a phenomenological account of Nature out of which the subject emerges as an “institution” or “ideal object”. What I contend is that Merleau-Ponty’s analyses, and his demand that the elementary organization of biological matter and consciousness be thought together, both as “institutions,” point towards a phenomenology of life, and ultimately a philosophy of Nature that demands we rethink the distinction between Nature and ideality, and ultimately the being of matter itself.

THE ARGUMENT FROM SKEPTICISM FOR CONTEXTUALISM (III-I)

JAY NEWHARD, EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY

Epistemic contextualism was originally motivated and supported by the response it provides to skeptical paradox. Contextualists argue that contextualism accounts for the plausibility and apparent inconsistency of a set of paradoxical claims better than any invariantist theory. In this paper I carefully examine the argument from skepticism for contextualism. I argue not only that the *prima facie* advantage of contextualism is specious, but also that contextualism is at a competitive disadvantage on two counts. In addition, I argue that the contextualist response to skeptical paradox is seriously flawed, and cannot be maintained on pain of inconsistency and paradox. Consequently, the argument from skepticism for contextualism fails; furthermore, this feature of the contextualist response to skeptical paradox completely undermines the motivation and support for contextualism deriving from its treatment of skeptical paradox.

AGAINST SPEAKER INTENTIONS (III-G)

MICHAEL R. O'ROURKE, UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO

LIELA ROTSCHY, UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO

In his recent essay, "Directing Intentions," John Perry defends David Kaplan's view, put forward in "Afterthoughts," that it is the speaker's directing intention that figures into the character of demonstrative expressions and thereby fixes their reference. In this essay, we critique Perry's view of directing intentions. After developing his view, we argue that directing intentions are neither necessary nor sufficient to fix demonstrative reference. We take reliance on speaker intentions in semantic theory to reflect an underlying commitment to speaker authority over semantic content. Because speaker intentions fail here, where they are most plausible, we suggest that the underlying commitment is mistaken and gesture toward a very different semantic theory, where speakers and listeners determine semantic content jointly.

MENTAL QUOTATION BEYOND THE PHENOMENAL CONCEPT STRATEGY (VI-G)

VINCENT PICCIUTO, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND—COLLEGE PARK

This paper proposes that quotational concepts have an explanatory role beyond their original role in the phenomenal concept strategy and explores the consequences of mentally quoting attitudes. It is argued that the quotation of attitudinal states would, for select cases, underwrite a direct mode of self-attribution that would qualify as a theoretically interesting case of "introspection." The upshot is a kind of minimalism regarding attitude introspection. In exploring the consequences of quoting attitudes the discussion provides a clearer understanding of what mental quotation is and why there would be such a thing in the first place.

ON INSTITUTIONAL RACISM: A REPLY TO GLASGOW (III-F)

ANDREW PIERCE, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY—CHICAGO

In recent work, Joshua Glasgow argues for a "monistic" definition of racism that can capture the ordinary use of the term in all of its variety, and thus put to rest the debates among cognitive, behavioral, attitudinal, and institutionalist definitions. The key to such a definition, he argues, is the idea of disrespect. He offers, then, the following definition: " ϕ is racist if and only if ϕ is disrespectful toward members of racialized group R as Rs." While Glasgow's definition may capture an important commonality among cognitive, behavioral, and attitudinal accounts of racism, I argue that his attempt to expand this definition to cover so-called institutional racism is less persuasive, since it does not account for the systematic nature of institutional racism.

AMERICAN INEQUALITY AND THE IDEA OF PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY (VIII-G)

JOSHUA PREISS, MINNESOTA STATE UNIVERSITY—MANKATO

Is American inequality greater because our social and political institutions hold individuals responsible for their decisions? Does this political philosophy of personal responsibility, reflected in both academic and popular documents, withstand greater scrutiny? This essay takes as its starting point Elizabeth Anderson's widely discussed criticisms of so-called luck egalitarian theories, and considers several of the best and most sustained responses to these criticisms. These responses, when combined with an analysis of recent economic data on inequality and social immobility, provide a plural grounding for a critical theory of luck egalitarianism. This theory offers a normative framework for exposing and critiquing the ways in which notions of responsibility serve to justify social and political institutions that deny resources and opportunities to disadvantaged citizens. In the process, it provides guidance as to how to make American society comparatively just, even as it eschews debates over the role of personal responsibility in the "ideal society."

ATTENTION AND PERCEPTUAL CONTENT: KEEPING IT DETERMINATE (VII-H)

ADRIENNE PRETTYMAN, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Attention seems to raise a problem for an intentionalist account of the phenomenal character of perception. The worry is that shifting attention sometimes brings about a change in phenomenal character without an identifiable change in intentional content. In this paper, I consider one defense of intentionalism advanced by Nanay (2010). I show that Nanay's view is independently problematic for an intentionalist account of phenomenal character. I then present a different defense of intentionalism that enables us to hold on to Nanay's main conclusion, that all perceptual content is "post-attentive." Rather than challenge intentionalism, I conclude that the effect of attention on perception motivates a new view of the intentional content of perception.

THE KNOWABILITY PARADOX, SEMANTICALLY (IV-I)

TUDOR IOAN PROTOPOPESCU, GRADUATE CENTER—CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

SERGEI ARTEMOV, GRADUATE CENTER—CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

We analyze and clarify the concept of knowability expressed in the verificationist principle, all truths are knowable, $F \rightarrow \diamond \mathbf{K}F$ (VK). We analyze this principle as a scheme in a logical framework with the alethic modalities \square (necessary), \diamond (possible), and the epistemic modality \mathbf{K} . Modalities \square/\diamond represent, in an abstract way, the process of discovery. First we note VK is not intuitively valid once F is allowed to change from true to false during the verification process. We then provide a new semantical proof of the knowability paradox. This indicates that the classical understanding of VK needs to be augmented by some features representing the constructive view of truth and knowability it is supposed to express. We argue that VK is valid only for stable truths, those that remain true in the process

of discovery. When stability is made explicit the knowability paradox disappears.

THE MONSTROUS QUANTIFIERS OF KAPLAN'S LD (III-G)

BRIAN RABERN, AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY

Kaplan (1989) insists that natural languages do not contain displacing devices which operate on character—such displacing devices are called “monsters.” This thesis has recently faced numerous empirical challenges. Here the thesis is challenged on grounds of a more theoretical nature. It is argued that the standard compositional semantics of variable binding employs monstrous operations. As a dramatic example, Kaplan's own formal language LD (Logic of Demonstratives) is shown to contain monsters.

EVOLUTION AND OUR ULTIMATE REASONS FOR ACTION (V-H)

CHRISTOPHER RICE, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY

In this paper, I develop a critique of Sharon Street's evolutionary objection to normative realism by appealing to our judgments about ultimate reasons for action. Evolutionary critics (and defenders) of realism usually focus on our explicitly moral judgments, but in this paper, I set aside these judgments to focus on our judgments about ultimate reasons. We experience, for example, evolved desires for both pleasure and health, but judge upon reflection that pleasure provides us with an ultimate reason for action (or is worth pursuing for its own sake), while health is merely valuable as a means to other goods. In this paper, I draw on some of Derek Parfit's arguments in his forthcoming book *On What Matters*. I conclude that our judgments about ultimate reasons cannot be explained by evolution, and so provide us with some evidence for our ability to grasp realist normative truths through rational reflection.

MODELING THE EVOLUTION OF HUMAN INTELLIGENCE: OUR LOUSY ITERATED THEORY OF MIND (VI-I)

YASHA ROHWER, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI-COLUMBIA

Did human intelligence evolve via an arms race style competition between conspecifics (Flinn et al. 2005) or through collective action (Sterelny 2007)? This paper argues that to critically compare these two models it is necessary to focus on the nature of our particular cognitive capacities predicted by the unique selective pressure proposed by each model. Focusing on theory of mind, I conclude that the competitive model makes predictions unsupported by empirical evidence from behavioral game theory and that the cooperative model better accounts for our current theory of mind. This result has interesting implications for the evolution of prosocial behavior.

SOCIALITY AND HUMAN AGENCY IN HEIDEGGER AND KORSGAARD (VIII-E)

B. SCOT ROUSSE, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

What does sociality—the condition of living and understanding ourselves amidst social relations to others—have to do with human agency? According to Heidegger's *Being and Time*, sociality is constitutive of the core features of human agency. On this view, which I call a "strong conception" of sociality, the core features of human agency cannot obtain in an individual subject independently of social relations to others. I describe Heidegger's strong conception of sociality and I argue for its superiority over a "weak conception." On a weak conception, the core features of human agency obtain without the individual having to stand in social relations to others. I argue that Christine Korsgaard operates with a weak conception of sociality and that this produces a problem in her view: she is unable to account for the motivational efficacy of shared social norms.

FRIEDRICH SCHLEGEL: FRAGMENTARY THOUGHT, FRAGMENTARY POLITICS (VIII-D)

NATHAN ROSS, OKLAHOMA CITY UNIVERSITY

This essay examines the notion of the fragment in Friedrich Schlegel's philosophy and in his method of political critique. The first part of the paper offers a definition of the fragment as a form of writing and a unit of thought. It argues that fragments are units of thought that are both complete, in the sense of enabling a reflective relation through the use of paradox, and that they relate to each other in terms of a non-foundational relation of reflection (each fragment is reflected differently in each other fragment). The second part of the paper applies this notion of fragmentary units of thought to Schlegel's political thought, by arguing for a method of political critique in which each member of society acts as both means and end to each other member. Thus fragmentary thought supports Schlegel's radical republicanism.

THE HOPELESSNESS OF CARVING OUT A THREATENING SPEECH EXCEPTION TO FREEDOM OF SPEECH (I-E)

BENJAMIN SACHS, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

Over the last 40 years the Supreme Court has been trying to carve out an exception to the First Amendment that would allow the states to regulate some threatening speech. This project has become increasingly urgent and has drawn ever-more attention in the literature due to recent concerns about school bullying and terrorism—two practices that often involve the use of threats. I will argue here that there is nothing the court could do that would be satisfactory. A sensible threatening speech exception is a pipe dream. It is not possible to delineate an exception that excludes the right kinds of threat from protection and meets these two criteria: 1) enforceability; 2) being narrow enough so as not to exclude from free speech protection certain kinds of speech that deserve it.

THE UTILITY OF PERCEPTUAL CONSCIOUSNESS ON HIGHER-ORDER THEORY (VI-G)

GEORGE SELI, GRADUATE CENTER—CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK

Higher-order theories of consciousness posit that a mental state is conscious in virtue of being represented by another mental state, which is therefore a higher-order representation (HOR). Whether HORs are construed as thoughts or experiences, higher-order theorists have generally contested whether such metarepresentations have any significant cognitive function. Focusing on perceptual consciousness, I argue that HORs enable reasoning about one's current perceptual state. I show how this account of the function of state consciousness, which I call IMT (Inferential Metacognition Theory), explains the correlation between conscious perception and executive access to first-order perceptual content. The latter allows planning one's reaction to external objects, and information about one's perceptual state can be relevant to that planning. I further argue that IMT is compatible with actualist higher-order theory, versus P. Carruthers' contention that the role of state consciousness in facilitating metacognition best fits a dispositionalist theory.

WHY 'OUGHT' DETACHES: OR, WHY YOU OUGHT TO GET WITH MY FRIENDS (IF YOU WANT TO BE MY LOVER) (III-G)

ALEX SILK, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

This paper argues that a (suitably revised) standard analysis of modals from formal semantics suggests a solution to the detaching problem, i.e., the problem of whether (certain objectionable) unembedded 'ought'-claims can "detach" (be derived) from hypothetical imperatives and their antecedent conditions. On this analysis, modals have a skeletal conventional meaning and only receive a particular reading (e.g., deontic, epistemic, teleological) relative to certain forms of contextual supplementation. Accordingly, 'ought'-claims can detach, but only as long as the 'oughts' in the conditional premise and conclusion are interpreted relative to the same ordering sources. Further, detached 'ought'-claims play a crucial role in practical reasoning: they serve as lemmas, which, in conjunction with additional normative assumptions, can figure in larger practical arguments. Finally, I argue against rival wide-scoping accounts on the grounds that they are without a response to certain quantificational variants of the detaching problem.

FREE WILL, CONTEXTUALISM, AND THE LAW (III-I)

REUBEN STERN, GEORGIA STATE UNIVERSITY

This paper explores the limits of contextualism about free will. I argue that contextualism about free will offers no advice to lawmakers as to whether retributive punishment can be justified, whereas other theories of free will do offer such advice. I do not conclude that contextualism about free will is wrong per se, but rather conclude only that if it were right, then the free will debate, as traditionally conceived, would not be as pragmatically important as one might think, since it could not help guide our legal theory.

BEITZ AND THE PROBLEM WITH A STATE-FOCUSED APPROACH TO HUMAN RIGHTS (IV-H)

JENNIFER SZENDE, QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY KINGSTON

Charles Beitz has presented us with a new and novel theory of human rights, one that is motivated by a concern for the enforcement of human rights in modern international practice. However, the focus on states in his human rights project generates a tension between the universal aspirations of individual human rights and the vulnerable individuals who through rendition or state failure find themselves outside the international state system. This paper argues that Beitz and other theorists of human rights make a mistake when they define human rights in statist terms. The scope of a theory of human rights must include all human beings, even if not simply in virtue of their humanity. The aspiration for human rights to be political and not metaphysical is interesting and admirable, but the human scope of human rights must be retained in order for human rights to retain their critical force.

PHYSICAL INTENTIONALITY AND THE OPEN FUTURE (VIII-F)

DAVID TAYLOR, STANFORD UNIVERSITY

I present a problem for the Growing Block Theory of Time and then briefly suggest a possible solution. The problem concerns a conflict between the view and standard accounts of the laws of nature (regularity theories and relations-among-universals theories). The problem arises from the fact that the GBT hold that the future is "open," that is, that there are no determinate truths about the future, which conflicts with the idea that the laws of nature involve universal generalizations about which events succeed other events and which seem to require truths about the future. I suggest that an account of laws grounds them in irreducible causal powers, possessing "physical intentionality," might solve this problem for the GBT.

COLLAPSING EMERGENCE (VII-F)

ELANOR TAYLOR, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA—CHAPEL HILL

It is generally accepted that claims about emergence presuppose a distinction between a micro level and a macro level. Consider, for example, the claim that some properties of an individual's beliefs emerge from that individual's neural properties. In this case, the micro-level properties are the individual's neural properties and the macro-level properties are the properties of their beliefs. So much is uncontroversial. In this paper, however, I show that claims about emergence presuppose not only a distinction between micro and macro levels but also a particular restricted set of micro-level properties, where membership in that set differs across different cases of emergence. I explore some different responses to this finding, including appeals to mind-independent groupings of properties. I argue that the failure of these responses indicates that emergence, if there is any, cannot be a mind-independent phenomenon.

TRAGEDY AND ETHICAL LIFE: REMARKS ON HEGEL'S EARLY ESSAY ON THE SPIRIT OF CHRISTIANITY (VIII-D)

MARTIN THIBODEAU, ST. PAUL UNIVERSITY—OTTAWA

In recent years much attention has been devoted to Hegel's interpretation of Greek tragedy. Admittedly, authors dealing with Hegel's understanding of tragedy have adopted different perspectives and pursued different goals. Yet they do share a common view: tragedy plays a crucial role in shaping some key features of Hegel's philosophy. In my paper, I focus on the particular feature of ethical life and I argue that Hegel's later "retrospective" theory of action finds some of its key insights in his interpretation of Greek tragedy as developed in *The Spirit of Christianity and its Fate*.

THE VIRTUAL ETHICAL CASE FOR PACIFISM (III-F)

FRANCO TRIVIGNO, MARQUETTE UNIVERSITY

This paper argues that the military's attempt to instill in its soldiers a willingness to commit deadly violence morally harms them. Soldiers are systematically trained to kill using psychological techniques which are aimed at overcoming our natural resistance to killing. The military's psychological training may involve several distancing mechanisms, which dehumanize the enemy, by causing a soldier either to fail to consider the enemy as a human or to consider the enemy to be somehow less than human. I argue that the resistance to killing has moral significance for the development of good character and, thus, that attempts to suppress the resistance can adversely affect one's character. Since training soldiers to kill and sending them into battle involves intentionally forming them in ways that are harmful to their characters and to their overall life prospects, I conclude that, on eudaimonistic grounds, one should prefer a pacifist community.

GROUNDING: NECESSARY OR CONTINGENT? (V-F)

KELLY TROGDON, LINGNAN UNIVERSITY—HONG KONG

There is a burgeoning literature on grounding, and several disputes concerning its nature have recently emerged. One is over how grounding and modality interact. Roughly speaking, the necessitarian claims that grounding is necessary in the sense that (complete) grounds necessitate what they ground. Against the background of Kit Fine's conception of essence, I argue that necessitarianism is correct. In particular I argue that, if P is grounded in Δ , then part of what it is to be P is that the conjunction of the members of Δ suffices for P . Such essential truths are necessary, so it follows that grounding carries necessitation understood as modal entailment. After setting out the argument I consider various putative counterexamples to necessitarianism. I then show how the argument recommends that these examples fall into one of two categories, neither of which threatens necessitarianism.

AFFECTIVE ORIENTATION AND DIFFERENCE IN MERLEAU-PONTY'S ACCOUNT OF PURE DEPTH: A NEW CONCEPTION OF INTENTIONALITY? (II-F)

SHILOH Y. WHITNEY, MCGILL UNIVERSITY

I explain Merleau-Ponty's account of the peculiar experience of "pure depth" as an affective orientation preceding perceptual orientation, and thereby explain its role in his project of producing a "new conception of intentionality." The body-world differentiating relation is recast as the pre-objective differentiation proper to this affective dimension, supporting my contention, contra Toadvine (2009), that Merleau-Ponty's position in the *Phenomenology of Perception* can be distinguished from a Sartrean account of intentionality as nihilation.

IRIGARAY, (TRANS)SEXUAL DIFFERENCE, AND THE FUTURE OF FEMINISM (II-F)

CORI WONG, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

This paper highlights a pattern in critical feminist commentary on Irigaray's formulation of sexual difference which consists in a critique of its exclusivity followed by a suggestion for an alternative reading that "saves" Irigaray but is explicitly rejected in her later work. After noting attempts to make sexual difference more inclusive, especially of those who are non-normatively gendered, I raise concerns about the promise of rethinking notions like sexual difference and how these efforts situate Irigaray's work regarding the future of feminism.

WHY NIHILISM ABOUT COMPOSITION IS SIMPLER THAN IT LOOKS (VII-F)

JARED WOODARD, FORDHAM UNIVERSITY

This paper presents a defense of mereological nihilism based on the ontological and ideological simplicity of the theory. Nihilism endorses the existence of fewer entities, providing an advantage in terms of ontological simplicity, but Karen Bennett has worried that the price of positing fewer entities is a level of ideological complexity that is equal to or even greater than the advantage gained on the ontological side. I argue that this worry relies on a misconception of the relation between the two kinds of simplicity, and that the nihilist has several ways to retain a relative advantage over other views.

LOVE IN HEGEL'S LOGIC (VIII-D)

ROCIO ZAMBRANA, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

"Love in Hegel's Logic" examines Hegel's transformation of unity in the *Science of Logic*. Hegel's treatment of concept, judgment, and syllogism in the *Begriffslogik* establishes that the unity classically attributed to form and understood as necessary for determinacy is not to be thought as exempt from division. Indeed, the paper argues, Hegel provides a critique of unity as exempt from negativity. While division is intrinsic to unity, division does not secure the seamless unfolding of an all-powerful concept. Rather, irreducible negativity establishes that unity radically depends on content.

To think of division as intrinsic to unity, then, is to thematize structural constraints on determinacy. Hegel's famous analogy of the concept with love in the opening of the *Begriffslogik*, the author argues, should be read accordingly. Given the irreducible division between self and other, love is only as powerful as it is authoritative. Love must negotiate its own actuality.

ABSTRACTS OF INVITED AND SYMPOSIUM PAPERS

THE COMMISSUROTOMY SYNDROME AND THE UNITY OF CONSCIOUSNESS (VIII-C)

TIM BAYNE, OXFORD UNIVERSITY

The commissurotomy (split-brain) syndrome provides a useful point of departure for discussions of the unity of consciousness. Examining the split-brain evidence forces us to both clarify our conception of the unity of consciousness, and to formulate principles on the basis of which one might determine whether consciousness is—or, as the case may be, is not—unified in a creature. This paper engages with both of these projects. I first identify a number of conceptions of the unity of consciousness and the relations that hold between them, and I then examine various principles—principles that link consciousness to agency, representational integration, and attention—that one might apply to split-brain subjects in order to determine whether or not they retain a unified consciousness.

TOTALITARIANISM AND THE INFINITY OF TIME (II-C)

ROBERT BERNASCONI, PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY

In this paper I challenge the dominant image of Emmanuel Levinas as a philosopher who contributed to our understanding of ethics but who had relatively little to offer when it came to political philosophy. Whereas it is true that Levinas had less to say about politics than ethics, I argue that the extent to which his philosophy was shaped as a direct response to the political trauma of the twentieth century has largely gone unnoticed because of a failure to appreciate the unconventional argumentative structure of his work. This is especially true of *Totality and Infinity*, the final part of which, entitled “Beyond the Face,” when it has been read at all has tended to be read in isolation from the rest of the book. In this paper I show how a concern with the political shapes *Totality and Infinity*. One should not turn to Levinas expecting him to address the standard questions of traditional ethics and political philosophy, but I illustrate the philosophical importance of his contribution by contrasting his discussion of responsibility with that of Hannah Arendt.

ARISTOTLE ON OTHER-SELFHOOD AND RECIPROCAL SHAPING (VI-F)

ANTHONY CARRERAS, RICE UNIVERSITY

In *Nicomachean Ethics* IX.4, Aristotle claims that “the characteristics of friendship seem to have come from those directed at oneself.” He then concludes: “Hence, because each of these belongs to a good person in

relation to himself, and he is related to his friend as he is to himself—for a friend is another self—friendship is thought to be one or other of these, and friends those to whom these things belong” (NE IX.4 1166a30-4). My paper concerns the role played by the claim that a friend is another self in this argument. Most commentators think that Aristotle is merely observing a similarity between friendship and self-love, according to which self-love provides the paradigm case of attitudes characteristic of friendship. On this view, I value my friend AS I DO myself. I argue, in contrast, that Aristotle is making a causal claim according to which the psychological origins of friendship grow out of a temporally prior self-love. On this view, I value my friend AS myself. Problems for this view are that it seems inconsistent with Aristotle’s claim that we must value our friends for themselves, and that it is unattractively egoistic. I conclude by arguing that the reciprocal nature of other-selfhood (i.e., the fact that each friend is at once both self and other self, and that friends have made each other this way) can solve these problems.

ACTIONS AS PEOPLE (II-B)

AGNES CALLARD, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Actions are multiplicities. Anytime anyone is doing anything, we can ask questions such as: Who is acting? By means of what? To or for or with whom? In what manner? To what end? When? Where? Answers to these questions would supply what Aristotle called “the particulars” of action. Since Anscombe we speak instead of “acting under a description”—Aristotle’s particulars figure then in the content for what she would call various descriptions of the same action: “swinging the stick,” “killing his father,” “behaving angrily,” “fighting at the crossroads,” etc. However one conceives of these multiplicities, a question arises about what unifies them, what makes them descriptions (or particulars) of one and the same action. Anscombe’s answer, the answer which inaugurates the field of “action theory,” is that the descriptions are unified by a calculative or means-ends structure. Aristotle’s answer is that the particulars are not a unity. There is no such thing, according to Aristotle, as *the* action performed by Oedipus, to Laius, at the crossroads, with a stick, angrily, etc. Extraordinary actions, those of the virtuous person, are the exception to this rule about the disunity of action. The excellent human being sees the particulars of his action as composing a singular unity, which is to say, as beautiful, because they compose his *own* psychic unity, the unity of his virtue. As dispositions or motivational states, the virtues are many: it is only in action that they are unified. On an Aristotelian view, the formal unity of the action is not supplied by any ethically neutral calculative or means-ends structure but rather by the ethical structure of a particular agent’s soul—his virtue. For Aristotle, most of what we call “actions” are not anything at all, but those which are, are (good) people.

THE REFUSAL OF ART TO SIGNIFY (II-C)

TINA CHANTER, DEPAUL UNIVERSITY

While there are good reasons to question Levinas's ultimate subordination of art to ethics, there are other important elements of Levinas's assessment of art that serve to dislodge certain dogmas that have been articulated about the function of art throughout the history of aesthetics. Levinas's meditation on art takes shape against the background of a tradition of philosophical attempts to construe affectivity and sensibility as inferior to representation. Such efforts assume a hierarchy between art and philosophy, so that the artwork shares the same aim as philosophical thought, namely, the effort to express truth. Insofar as art is judged as falling short of the successful representation of truth, it is found wanting. On this view, art is seen as inadequate to the task assigned to it, namely, the expression of truth.

To the extent that Sartre accords to art the purpose of revealing a truth about the world, and Heidegger looks to poets such as Hölderlin to reveal a higher truth, these philosophers might invert the priority that philosophy assumes over art in Plato or Hegel, but they do not question the underlying assumption, that art and philosophy share the same ontological goal.

Rather than judging art according to a philosophical standard to which it fails to live up, or reversing the priority of philosophy over art, and holding up the artist as the one who testifies to the truth, so that it falls to the philosopher to interpret that truth (even if, as in Heidegger, truth is understood as *aletheia*, and the artwork is understood as revealing the play of concealment and unconcealment), Levinas understands the ontological function allotted to art to diverge from that of philosophy. In this he affirms the importance of understanding art as image.

The task that this paper sets itself is to trace how Levinas develops his early account of the artwork, which specifies the ontological function of art in relation to the "there is," (*il y a*), by refining this account in *Totality and Infinity*. I affirm the significance of Levinas' critique of phenomenological and structuralist interpretations of the work of art, in their tendency to reduce art and philosophy to one another, and to reduce the image to the sign. At the same time, the paper is directed towards mining Levinas' view of art as a productive resource for construing the relationship between our aesthetic, imaginary orientations to the world, and the ways in which such orientations predispose us toward finding certain concepts intelligible, while rendering others less accessible.

EARLY MODERN WOMEN, ÉMILIE DU CHÂTELET, AND THE HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY (I-D)

KAREN DETLEFSEN, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Many working in the history of early modern philosophy have lately turned their attention to recovering the philosophical ideas of non-canonical figures, including the works of women philosophers of the 17th and 18th centuries. These projects are related to the rise in the contextual approach to history of philosophy according to which historians pay increased attention to the

intellectual, religious, political, and other contexts in which philosophers developed their ideas. The contextual approach to history is related to the recovery of the philosophies of non-canonical figures in at least two ways. First, understanding such figures' ideas provides greater context through which to understand the work of canonical figures. Second, one might believe that canonical figures are canonical because sociological forces external to the quality of the philosophers' ideas have selected for those ideas. Conversely, of course, marginal figures have become canonical due to forces external to the quality of their ideas. Thus, understanding the broader social context in which ideas are formed and propagated helps the historian explain the sociological evolution of philosophy, including the canonization of specific philosophers.

In this paper, I evaluate the virtues and limits of the contextual approach to history as it relates to the recovery of the thought of women philosophers, with an eye to blocking the slide into thoroughgoing relativism invited by the second point above. At this same time, I do want to allow that the work of early modern women philosophers has been lost often because of sociological reasons quite distinct from the quality of their ideas themselves. Using the work of Émilie Du Châtelet, I propose some principled ways we can recover the good ideas of hitherto marginalized women philosophers by drawing upon the strengths, and avoiding the potential weaknesses, of contextual approaches to philosophy.

FEELING FANTASTIC AGAIN: PASSIONS, APPEARANCES AND BELIEFS IN ARISTOTLE (III-C)

JAMIE DOW, UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS-UNITED KINGDOM

This paper concerns how we should describe Aristotle's view of human passions such as anger, pity, fear, and shame. Specifically, it is about how he viewed the representational aspect of those passions. The last decade or so has seen considerable debate as to whether for Aristotle the type of representational states involved in human passions is belief (*doxa*) or appearance (*phantasia*). I distinguish a number of distinct questions in this area; one concerns the psychological faculty exercised in the representational aspect of the passions, others concern the role these representational states play in the life of the subject. I defend the view that the faculty involved is, after all, *phantasia*, that the exercises of *phantasia* involved in the passions are such that the subject (to at least some extent) takes their representational contents to be the way things are, that as a matter of fact these passionate representations are typically responsive to what the subject believes but not to mere appearances (where these conflict with belief), and that this is precisely the kind of way Aristotle believes passions should be regulated—they should listen to reason as to a father or a friend. I canvass what I take to be the considerable philosophical merits of the view of the passions that results.

DEBUNKING EVOLUTIONARY DEBUNKING OF ETHICAL REALISM (III-B)

WILLIAM FITZPATRICK, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

What implications, if any, does evolutionary biology have for metaethics? Many believe that our evolutionary background—the fact that we, like other creatures, are members of a species that has evolved through natural selection—supports a deflationary view of ethics. In particular, it's often argued that evolution provides a basis for debunking ethical realism. A prominent theme running through these arguments involves a claim about the explanation of ethical beliefs: even if there existed real, independent ethical facts, they would not be responsible for our ethical beliefs, which latter can be accounted for with merely causal explanations that appeal heavily to evolutionary factors but involve no reference to ethical facts. This is taken to imply that it would be a miraculous coincidence if our ethical beliefs matched such ethical facts (beyond chance), and hence that we are not warranted in thinking that they do. In recent responses to the debunking arguments, some realists have granted the first claim about explanation and then sought to resist the skeptical implications by appealing to a “third-factor explanation” for how our moral beliefs could still reliably match the facts. I argue, first, that this strategy fails: the game is lost for realists if they allow ethical facts to be divorced from the explanation of our beliefs. Realists should instead reject the negative explanatory claim, along with positive claims about the pervasiveness of evolutionary influences on the content of our moral beliefs: such claims are not warranted by the science and ultimately beg the question against realism. I'll go on to explore some challenges facing realist alternatives, particularly for ethical non-naturalists, and suggest ways of meeting them. Ultimately, while serious epistemic challenges remain, these are largely older and more general ones, though there are also some difficulties posed specifically by evolutionary considerations.

AUTHOR MEETS CRITICS: THOMAS MCCARTHY, *RACE, EMPIRE & THE IDEA OF HUMAN DEVELOPMENT* (IV-D)

DAVID INGRAM, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY—CHICAGO

In *Race, Empire, and the Idea of Human Development*, Tom McCarthy examines the intersection of race, imperialism, and ideas of human development from Kant to the present day. The sciences of racial distinction, he maintains, continue to provide ideological justification for new forms of imperial domination within developmental policy. Arguing that the abstract idealism of Rawlsian normative theory is amenable to widely varying interpretations of human capability and development, McCarthy proposes an alternative method of criticism that analyzes the historical and functional connection between these interpretations and capitalist forms of class domination.

As I read McCarthy, capitalism is deeply implicated in the history of racial domination and underdevelopment. Does this mean, then, that a post-racist and post-imperial path of modernization must open up paths of development beyond capitalism?

A related question concerns whether modernization theory doesn't succumb to the very abstract idealism that besets Rawlsian social theory. If the theory succeeds in laying out the necessary and universal conditions for any conceivable development that merits the title of modernity, does it not uncritically accommodate too many unsavory paths of development? McCarthy's book can be read as a response to this question, and explains his turn toward a more historically situated critique of developmental theory. The question then arises how far a critical theory of development delimits the scope for authentic development within the broad parameters set forth by modernization theory. Can we envisage global capitalist development free from domination and race?

In addressing McCarthy's discussion of the intersection of modernization theory and development studies, I summarize some points of contention between Marxian critical theories of society and theories of modernity descended from other—chiefly Weberian—sources. I then query McCarthy's own attempt to situate his project relative to these approaches.

CONFUCIUS' GOOD LIFE AND ITS COMPLAINTS (I-A)

AMY OLBERDING, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

It is generally taken as a given that Confucius achieved "the good life." In this presentation, I seek to assess Confucius' life in light of the many deprivations and sorrows it included. The *Analects'* presentation of Confucius suggests that Confucius' felt sense of his life included much dissatisfaction and disappointment. I argue that these elements should weigh against any easy conclusion that Confucius' life is a good life. Confucius, put simply, does not get the life he wishes, but instead the best life he can. This difference, I argue, makes a difference in understanding the *Analects'* treatment of what a life of self-cultivation can afford the one who leads it. Confucius' responses to the conditions of his life indicate that moral maturity, understood as a psychological power to settle for less than one would wish, is an essential element in his enjoyment of a life that falls short of good in many non-trivial ways.

AUTHOR MEETS CRITICS: ERIC WINSBERG, *SCIENCE IN THE AGE OF COMPUTER SIMULATION* (VI-C)

ISABELLE PESCHARD, SAN FRANCISCO STATE UNIVERSITY

In *Science in the Age of Computer Simulation*, Eric Winsberg makes two intriguing claims about what we can learn from simulations.

One is that "experiments are [not] epistemically privileged relative to simulations." The claim is surprising. After all, we were able to gather information about the world well before simulation existed. And it is not clear how we could, from simulation alone, learn anything about the world since whether simulation results provide information about some system in the world depends on whether the simulation model is a model of that system.

Winsberg's argument for that claim is that, 1) both in experimentation and in simulation, information about the system of interest is inferred from the results of a manipulation; 2) in both cases, strategies for providing credentials for their results are both fallible; and, crucially, 3) no more in experimentation than in simulation is it the system of interest that is manipulated.

This last point will be disputed and, with it, the claim that experiments are not epistemically privileged relative to simulations. Lack of epistemological superiority, it will be argued, does not entail lack of epistemic priority.

The other claim to be discussed is that simulation produces "genuinely novel knowledge." In what sense is this knowledge novel? Winsberg's claim might simply be a reiteration, certainly with new evidence, of the claim that theoretical models are constructed with but not derived from theories. In this case, however, the novelty would not come specifically from the use of simulation. But simulation involves in addition to theoretical models, the construction of simulation models. Is this later construction an additional source of novelty? Could one claim that simulation models are somehow autonomous from theoretical models, just as theoretical models are from theoretical principles?

INTEGRATING PSYCHOLOGY AND NEUROSCIENCE: FUNCTIONAL ANALYSES AS MECHANISM SKETCHES (IV-G)

GUALTIERO PICCININI, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI–ST. LOUIS

CARL CRAVER, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS

We sketch a framework for building a unified science of cognition. This unification is achieved by showing how functional analyses of cognitive capacities can be integrated with the multilevel mechanistic explanations of neural systems. The core idea is that functional analyses are sketches of mechanisms, in which some structural aspects of a mechanistic explanation are omitted. Once the missing aspects are filled in, a functional analysis turns into a full-blown mechanistic explanation. By this process, functional analyses are seamlessly integrated with multilevel mechanistic explanations.

THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE ORGANISM (IV-B)

THOMAS PRADEU (PARIS-SORBONNE UNIVERSITY)

What is an organism, and how is it constructed? We tend to think that the living world is made of organisms, that it is quite easy to determine what counts as an organism because we simply "see" them, and finally that an organism can be defined as the set of constituents originating from one egg cell. In this talk, I would like to put into question all these three assumptions, and show that:

- i) Organisms are just one level in a complex hierarchy of biological individuals, which comprises also cells, genes, chromosomes and possibly groups.

- ii) In many cases, it is very difficult to determine what counts as an organism.
- iii) Far from being endogenously constructed, every organism is heterogeneous, that is, made of constituents of different origins, in particular microorganisms.
- iv) Despite this heterogeneity of the constituents of every organism, the articulation of an evolutionary and an immunological point of view demonstrates that the organism expresses a high degree of individuality and its boundaries can be quite precisely delineated.

I will finally draw one general philosophical consequence of these four claims: we philosophers should be extremely careful when we use organisms as paradigms of individuals (as has been done at least since Aristotle) and as inspiring models of what a society is, or should be.

TWO UNITIES OF CONSCIOUSNESS (VIII-C)

ELIZABETH SCHECHTER, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY—ST. LOUIS

The primary obstacle to accepting that consciousness is dual or divided in split-brain subjects is that the subjects' behavior is typically so unified, especially outside of experimental conditions. The evidential significance of unified behavior to conscious unity, however, depends both upon the concept of conscious unity in question, and, of course, upon the extent to which unity in behavior is the product of conscious forces. I believe that there is one sense in which a split-brain subject might properly be described as having a *unified* consciousness even if such a subject had *two streams* of consciousness. The split-brain cases may also reveal that much of the unity in our behavior is the product of forces operating upstream and downstream of conscious integration, and hence that unified behavior is consistent with conscious duality.

JE NE REGRETTE RIEN: PRINCESS ELISABETH, DESCARTES AND THE MORAL PSYCHOLOGY OF REGRET (I-D)

LISA SHAPIRO, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY

In this paper, I focus on a discussion in the correspondence between Descartes and Princess Elisabeth concerning regret. My aim is simple: I want to understand just what are Elisabeth's objections to Descartes' account of virtue as simply doing what one judges to be the best. I distinguish two objections that I take Elisabeth to be raising. The first concerns the content of our moral judgments, the second concerns those judgments, considered formally. Elisabeth wants to maintain that neither are wholly within our power. For her, regret is that emotion through which we not only correct for errors of judgment but also improve our ability to judge in and of itself.

RACIAL FORMATION AND IMPLICIT UNDERSTANDING (II-A)

ALEXIS SHOTWELL, LAURENTIAN UNIVERSITY-ONTARIO

In this paper, I lay out an account of “implicit understanding,” arguing that this category includes affective, bodily, presuppositional, and socially situated understanding. I then claim that implicit understanding is politically and epistemically salient to race, racism, and racial formation. Theorizing political transformation of race benefits from such an account of understanding and knowing.

BUILDING ON BAIER (I-B)

JAMES STERBA, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

In *The Rational and the Moral Order*, Kurt Baier attempted to answer the why-be-moral question by interpreting morality as a system of reasons of mutual benefit that are appropriate for contexts in which everyone’s following self-interested reasons would have suboptimal results for everyone. So interpreted, moral reasons apply only when there exists an adequate enforcement system that makes acting against those reasons unprofitable. Morality so construed never requires any degree of altruism or self-sacrifice; it only requires that people act upon reasons of mutual benefit.

In this paper, I attempt to build on Baier’s defense of a morality of mutual benefit by showing how it can be extended to also require a significant degree of altruism. To do this, I appeal to the principle of nonquestion-beggingness to support a morality is understood to be a nonarbitrary compromise between self-interested and altruistic reasons. A crucial step in my argument for morality is to cast the basic conflict with egoism not as a conflict between morality and self-interest, but rather as a conflict between altruism and self-interest.

ADORNO ON CULTURE (III-A)

JAMES SWINDAL, DUQUESNE UNIVERSITY

Walter Benjamin, in the *Ursprung des deutschen Trauerspiels*, famously claimed that phenomena come to us in a false unity, and require mediation by concepts in order to eventuate their “salvation” in constellations. Such a call for a non idealist rendering of the conceptual order found a welcome reception in Theodor Adorno’s thought. Like Benjamin, Adorno considered the concepts of nature and history central to such an emancipatory task. Nature, or more properly first nature, is the realm of material things in their given identities; history, correlative with second nature, is formed from the epistemic grasp of nature via what is nonidentical among things. First, nature importantly includes our human instinctual longings; second, nature now encompasses our social and cultural repressions of them. Adorno speculates that central to this repression is the historical phenomenon of exchange value, in both its economic and cultural forms. Hegel had employed the same two concepts of nature and history, resolving their interaction on the side of the subject who, when thinking

of objects dialectically, ultimately discovered *itself* therein. Adorno thinks in the same way but without the conveyance to the self. He posits a full translation back to the object, now as rendered in its totality relative to society. I shall argue that this translation, while in fact avoiding, as Adorno intends, pragmatic and phenomenological idealisms, nonetheless relies upon a form of self-reflection that remains burdened with a reification no longer of the self but now of the object. I argue that such a model is still consistent with a pre-dialectical concept of first nature that cannot be emancipatory in the way he intends.

DESIRING THE GOOD FOREVER: A THEORY OF MOTIVATION IN PLATO'S *SYMPOSIUM* (II-B)

KATJA MARIA VOGT, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

According to the *Symposium*, the motivation to have one's life go well translates into typical human pursuits. In a version of what contemporary philosophers have called the Paradox of Hedonism, Plato explores what I call a Paradox of Irrationality: in striving for happiness, people must strive for other things; it is impossible to pursue happiness directly. The puzzle continues with the observation that the things that are pursued as conducive to happiness must be pursued as valuable in their own right. And finally, the pursuits we typically associate with happiness tend to extend our motivations well beyond the confines of our own lives. I argue that the exchange between Socrates and Diotima in the *Symposium* addresses these questions; Plato offers an under-appreciated account of the rationality of typical human endeavors.

SESSIONS SPONSORED BY APA COMMITTEES

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 27

Author Meets Critics: Lewis Gordon, *An Introduction to Africana Philosophy* (I-F)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers

6:30-9:30 p.m.

Placement Practices and Procedures (I-G)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement

7:30-9:30 p.m.

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 28

Philosophy for Young People: Curriculum, Implementation, and Sustainability Challenges (II-H)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Understanding Health (II-G)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine

10:00 a.m.-Noon

Grant Opportunities for Philosophers (GIII-1)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publications and Research

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Borges and Philosophy (III-J)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Maternal Thinking/Peace Thinking: The Philosophical Legacy of Sara Ruddick (III-K)

Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women

2:00-5:00 p.m.

Author Meets Critics: Michael Monahan, *The Creolizing Subject: Race, Reason and the Politics of Purity* (GV-1)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Black Philosophers

5:15-7:15 p.m.

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 29

International Philosophy Olympiad (IV-K)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Pre-College Instruction in Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Are We at an Impasse? (GVIII-1)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

Xenophobia and Critical Race Theory (GVIII-2)

Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.

From Philosophical Training to Professional Blogging (V-I)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Public Philosophy

1:30-4:30 p.m.

Japanese Aesthetics (V-J)

Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

1:30-4:30 p.m.

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 30

The Limits of Engagement? World Philosophy Day in Tehran, 2010 (VI-J)

Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation and the APA Committee on Public Philosophy

9:00-11:00 a.m.

Patrick Romanell Lecture on Philosophical Naturalism (VIII-H)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Lectures, Publication and Research

1:30-3:30 p.m.

Latina Feminisms and Latin American Thought Prize Essay (VIII-I)

Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics

1:30-4:30 p.m.

GROUP SESSIONS

A

American Association for the Philosophic Study of Society, *Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

American Association of Philosophy Teachers, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*

American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

American Society for Value Inquiry, *Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m.; Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, *Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Association for Symbolic Logic, *Tue, 6:30-9:30 p.m.; Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Association for the Philosophy of the Unconscious, *Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Association of Chinese Philosophers in America, *Wed, 2:00-5:00 p.m.; Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*

Ayn Rand Society, *Wed, 2:00-5:00 p.m.*

C

Concerned Philosophers for Peace, *Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

Conference on Philosophical Societies, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.*

F

Foucault Circle, *Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

G

George Santayana Society, *Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

H

Hegel Society of America, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.*

Heidegger Circle, *Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Hume Society, *Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

I

Institute for the Advancement of Philosophy for Children, *Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

International Association for Environmental Philosophy, *Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.*

International Association for the Philosophy of Sport, *Wed, 2:00-5:00 p.m.*

International Berkeley Society, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*

International Institute for Field-Being, *Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

International Society for Buddhist Philosophy, *Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

International Society for Chinese Philosophy, *Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, *Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.; Fri, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

International Society for Environmental Ethics, *Thur, 9:00 a.m.-Noon; Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

International St. Thomas Aquinas Society, *Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*

J

Josiah Royce Society, *Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

K

Karl Jaspers Society of North America, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

L

Leibniz Society of North America, *Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*

M

Marxism and Philosophy Association, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*

Metaphysical Society of America, *Tue, 6:30-9:30 p.m.*

Molinari Society, *Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

N

North American Kant Society, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*

North American Nietzsche Society, *Wed, 9:00 a.m.-Noon*

North American Society for Social Philosophy, *Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

North American Spinoza Society, *Wed, 2:00-5:00 p.m.*

P

Personalist Discussion Group, *Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Philosophers in Jesuit Education, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*

Philosophy of Time Society, *Fri, 9:00 a.m.-Noon*

R

Radical Philosophy Association, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

S

Société Internationale pour l'Étude de la Philosophie Médiévale, *Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

Society for Analytic Feminism, *Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*

Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, *Tue, 6:30-9:30 p.m.*

Society for Applied Philosophy, *Tue, 6:30-8:30 p.m.; Fri, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

- Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, *Wed, 9:00 a.m.-Noon; Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.*
- Society for Business Ethics, *Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*
- Society for Indian Philosophy and Religion, *Fri, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*
- Society for Medieval and Renaissance Philosophy, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.; Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*
- Society for Neo-Kantianism, *Fri 9:00 a.m.-Noon*
- Society for Phenomenology and Existential Philosophy, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*
- Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs, *Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*
- Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.; Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*
- Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, *Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m.; Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*
- Society for Skeptical Studies, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*
- Society for Systematic Philosophy, *Wed, 2:00-5:00 p.m.*
- Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*
- Society for the History of Political Philosophy, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.*
- Society for the Philosophical Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, *Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.; Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*
- Society for the Philosophy of Creativity, *Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*
- Society for the Philosophy of History, *Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*
- Society for the Philosophy of Human Life Issues, *Wed, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*
- Society for the Philosophy of Sex and Love, *Wed, 9:00 a.m.-Noon; Thur, 7:00-10:00 p.m.*
- Society for the Study of Indian and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.*
- Society for the Study of Process Philosophies, *Wed, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*
- Society for the Study of Women Philosophers, *Thur, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*
- Society for Women in Philosophy, *Wed, 5:15-7:15 p.m.; Fri, 11:15 a.m.-1:15 p.m.*
- Society of Humanist Philosophers, *Thur, 9:00-11:00 a.m.*
- Society of Philosophers in America, *Wed, 7:30-10:30 p.m.*
- Søren Kierkegaard Society, *Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*

W

- William James Society, *Thur, 1:30-4:30 p.m.*
-

APA PLACEMENT SERVICE LOCATIONS

The Placement Services will be located in Washington 3, Washington 4, and Exhibit Hall A (Exhibition Level) of the Marriott Wardman Park hotel as outlined below. For your convenience, maps of the hotel appear at the back of this issue.

JOB CANDIDATES – WASHINGTON 4 (EXHIBITION LEVEL)

1. Candidate Numbers will be assigned at the Placement Desk.
2. The location of a Job Interview will be available from the Placement staff, or posted on the bulletin board at the information desk.
3. Additional “Request for Interview” forms will be available at the Placement Desk.
4. APA Membership Applications will be available at the APA Meeting Registration Desk.
5. Information and instructions for using the Service will be available at the Placement desk (also see following pages), and posted on the information bulletin board.
6. The mailboxes for Job Candidates will be located at the Placement Desk.
7. A complete set of Job Postings will be available at the Placement Desk.
8. A message for the APA Placement Ombudsperson can be left at the Placement Desk.

INTERVIEWERS – WASHINGTON 3 (EXHIBITION LEVEL)

1. **Interviewers check in here**—as soon as possible upon arrival.
2. Payments for **On-Site Interviewing Departments** will be received here.
3. Space will be provided here for interviewers to check their files.
4. “Request for Interview” forms received from job candidates that have been reviewed by interviewers **should be returned** here.
5. A list of interviewing table assignments will be posted on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.

INTERVIEWING AREA – EXHIBIT HALL A (EXHIBITION LEVEL)

1. APA Interviewing Tables will be located here.
 2. In the event that additional space for interviewing is needed, we will post the additional location on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.
-

INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR JOB CANDIDATES

REQUIREMENTS FOR USING THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

1. You **must be an APA member** in order to use the Service. Placement is a benefit of membership for candidates, and there is no additional charge to use this service. Membership applications are available on the APA web site (www.apaonline.org) or by contacting the APA National Office (302) 831-1112, or at the Membership/Registration desk at the Meeting.
2. You **MUST REGISTER** for the **MEETING** in order to use the Service.
 - If you **register in advance** for the meeting and indicate that you will be a candidate (an advance registration form is located at the back of this issue), a candidate number will be assigned in the National Office and will appear on the back of your badge. You **must** pick up your badge from the APA Registration staff prior to using the Placement service.
 - If you register on-site for the meeting, present your badge to the Placement Staff, who will be available to assign you a candidate number in the “Placement Service” line.

WHAT TO BRING WITH YOU

1. Your copies of recent issues of *Jobs For Philosophers*.
2. Several copies of your *curriculum vitae*. If you run out of CVs at the meeting, the hotel has a copy center located in the Business Center.

HOW TO USE THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

After you have picked up your registration materials from the APA Registration staff, you are ready to use the Service. If you do not yet have a candidate number on the back of your badge, please go to the job candidates’ area in Washington 4 (Exhibition Level) to receive one.

JOB CANDIDATES’ AREA – WASHINGTON 4 (EXHIBITION LEVEL)

Here you will find a file folder (mailbox) with your candidate number on it. All communications addressed to you during the meeting will be placed there. Your folder contains:

1. “Request for Interview” forms. Additional forms will be available from the Placement staff. **Be sure that your Placement Number appears at the top of all forms.**
2. A “Locator” form for you to list your name and hotel address. Please do not remove this form from your folder. This is used only in the event that we need to contact you during the meeting.

JOB POSTINGS

New jobs (not having appeared in the *Jobs for Philosophers*) will be posted on a bulletin board in the Placement Service Area. You should check this

board regularly for new postings. Each ad should contain instructions on how to apply.

INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE CHECKED IN WITH PLACEMENT

The names of institutions that have registered to use the Service will be posted on the bulletin board (updated regularly) in *alphabetical order*. Each listing will indicate the following:

1. If the listing institution has checked in with Placement.
2. If the institution is accepting interview requests at the meeting.
3. The relevant *JFP* for the institution's opening(s).
4. Where the institution plans on interviewing (Table with number assignment).
5. Miscellaneous notes deemed appropriate by the Placement staff or the institution.

SUBMITTING AN INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Complete the *top* portion of the "Request for Interview" form and attach a copy of your CV. **Be sure that your candidate number appears at the top of all forms.** After you have completed the necessary information, place your request form and CV in the box marked *Requests for Interviews* which will be prominently displayed on the Placement Service Desk. Your "Request" will be forwarded to the institution by a staff member.

INTERVIEWS

After your "Request" has been reviewed by a representative from the institution, the form will be returned to your file folder with the institution's response appearing on the *bottom* of the form. Check your file folder often so that you can be certain to receive your messages promptly.

By Noon on December 30, all "Requests" submitted by you should have been returned to your file folder, and you should remove them at that time. Occasionally, an institution may retain applications to review at a later date. If you applied for a job that had been posted and the institution did not check in with the Service during the meeting, it is suggested that you contact the institution by mail.

INTERVIEWING LOCATIONS

Some departments will be conducting interviews at tables located in Exhibit Hall A (Exhibition Level). Other interviewing table locations will be posted if additional space is required. Each institution using a table for interviewing will be assigned a table number. The table numbers assigned to institutions will be posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service Area.

ADVICE FOR JOB APPLICANTS

The APA Committee on Career Opportunities offers the following advice for job applicants: The schedule for those seeking jobs and those Departments offering positions is difficult for all concerned. From the point of view of Departments, any publication date for *Jobs for Philosophers* is a compromise between the competing demands imposed by the need to get funding for positions, so the later the better, and by the need to have time to process applications, so the sooner the better. From the point of view of job applicants, there are also competing demands: the sooner it is published, the more time to apply, but the later it is published, the more opportunities will be available. There is no easy solution to this problem, but you can ease your difficulties somewhat by being prepared when the *JFP* is published. You should have your *curriculum vitae* ready to put in an envelope, a generic draft of a letter of application ready to be fine-tuned for particular job opportunities, and the rest of your file ready for mailing. This means talking to those who are to write letters of recommendation long before the *JFP* is due to arrive, preparing material about your teaching capacities, and selecting a writing sample for those Departments that request it. Applications should be complete, as well as clearly organized. It is to your advantage to send in your application as soon as possible after an ad appears.

INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERVIEWERS

REQUIREMENTS FOR USING THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

1. It is expected that **all individual interviewers will register for the meeting** either by using the registration form found at the back of this issue or by stopping at the APA Registration Desk (Lobby Level) to register on-site prior to using the Placement Service.
2. All **Departments (not individuals)** planning to interview at the meeting should complete the Placement Service advance registration form found on our web site, www.apaonline.org, or at the end of this section.

Upon receipt of an advance registration form additional information pertaining to the Placement Service will be sent to the attention of the contact person listed on the form. In the event that we send Placement forms either via email or mail, please be sure to complete these and return them to our office **at your earliest opportunity**. Not doing so may cause your materials to arrive after the Staff has already left the office to travel to the meeting. In this case, we **must** have you fill out the forms again on site. If time does not allow sending them to us, please bring them with you and turn them in at the Placement Desk.

CHECKING IN WITH THE SERVICE

Before you begin to interview candidates, please check in with the Placement Staff (Washington 3, Exhibition Level). We will need to know that you have arrived on site for candidates inquiring about your institution.

Additional information will be requested from you (or confirmed if your department pre-registered) at this time as well.

Some institutions accept interview requests at the meeting; some of these job notices may have appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* while others are unpublished positions. A new, unpublished position will be assigned an AD# and posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service area. If you are bringing such a job notice with you to the meeting, please provide the Placement Staff with **four** copies of the notice for the position you are advertising. Such a position announcement should be typed on *one side only* in a good size and easy to read font, and be as brief as possible. Only positions that have **not** appeared in *Jobs for Philosophers* will be posted. If you would like a position that has been posted at this meeting to appear on our website immediately following the meeting, or in the issue of *Jobs for Philosophers*, you need to submit this ad immediately, following the close of the meeting via our website, <http://www.apaonline.org>. If you need assistance with this, contact the APA National Office.

Some institutions interview by prearrangement after placing an advertisement in *Jobs for Philosophers* and then contacting candidates prior to the meeting. When you arrange an interview with candidates, please inform them that they **must be APA Members in order to use the Service and they must register for the meeting in order to use the Service**.

If you plan to conduct job interviews anywhere other than at the interviewing tables/suites we have provided, please let us know your plans because candidates often come to us to ask questions about the location/time (etc.) of their interviews, and we need to have complete, up-to-date information in order to help them (and you).

Departments using a **Suite** for interviewing will need to complete an interview schedule form at the time they check in with the Service. Doing so enables the Service to notify the candidates you wish to interview of your interviewing location. **Be assured that only those candidates listed on your interview schedule will be told the location of your hotel room, unless you have directed us to give this information to all candidates who ask.** Departments interviewing at tables **DO NOT** need to complete this form.

N.B.: If you are conducting interviews in a hotel room, please be aware of the following policy statement adopted by the APA Board of Officers at its November 2004 meeting:

“Departments should not conduct Job Interviews in non-suite hotel rooms. Candidates who are subject to such interviews can appeal to the APA and are guaranteed anonymity.” (Originally published in *Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association*, Vol. 78, No. 5, pp. 23, 119.)

APA INTERVIEWING TABLES

The Placement Service provides numbered tables for interviewing purposes; you will receive your table number assignment when you check in. These table number assignments will also be posted on the Placement Service Bulletin Board. Should additional space for interviewing tables be necessary, this location will be posted as well.

INSTITUTION FILE FOLDER (MAILBOX)

There will be a file folder bearing the name of your institution located in Washington 3 (Exhibition Level). Requests for interviews from candidates will be placed in this file folder.

REVIEWING INTERVIEW REQUESTS

When a candidate requests an interview with your institution, you should receive her/his *curriculum vitae* attached to a “Request for Interview” form. The bottom of this form is to be completed by you and returned to Placement staff. If an interview is granted, space is provided on this form to list the time, date, and location of the interview. If you are *unable to interview a candidate*, space for this response is also provided on the form. Any request you receive in an envelope contains confidential material and should be destroyed rather than returned to the candidate. As a matter of professional courtesy, *all requests should receive a response*. When a candidate receives a “Request” form back without a response, he or she is likely to assume that the material *has not been reviewed* and might return this to you via our service or contact your institution by mail.

Each candidate is assigned a numbered file folder to facilitate prompt communication between interviewers and candidates. Materials that you wish to transmit to a registered candidate should be handed in to the Placement Service staff located in Washington 3 (Exhibition Level), and will be delivered to the candidate by our staff.

APA STATEMENT ON PLACEMENT PRACTICES

The APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession reminds you of its Statement on Placement Practices: The APA discourages the nomination by graduate departments of job seekers for positions in philosophy, and the submission of their dossiers in response to announcements of positions, without their knowledge or interest. This may seriously mislead those who are conducting searches, and may have unfortunate consequences both for them and for genuinely interested applicants. Graduate departments using a nomination procedure or submitting dossiers on behalf of job seekers should either attest explicitly that the candidate wishes to be considered for the positions in question, or (preferably) ensure that the job seekers themselves submit personal letters of application for these positions. Departments conducting searches are encouraged to recommend or require explicitly (in their position announcements) that each candidate,

to be assessed of full consideration, should submit a personal letter of application for and interest in the announced position.

A Placement Ombudsperson will be available at the meeting. Please see the Placement Service Staff if you would like to contact the Ombudsperson.

Placement Service Registration for Interviewing Departments
APA 2011 Eastern Division Meeting
Washington, DC - Marriott Wardman Park
December 27-30, 2011

Only one form is required for each interviewing department. Once completed, this can be faxed to (302) 831-8690.

Institution: _____ Department: _____

Contact: _____ Phone: _____

Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____ Email: _____

All persons conducting interviews should be registered** for the Eastern Division Meeting as well as the department being registered with the Placement Service.

**Registered members of the department who will be conducting interviews:

Our department will:

_____ need interviewing table(s) Quantity of Tables _____

-or-

_____ conduct interviews in a hotel suite (you must contact Linda Smallbrook to reserve a suite)

Our department will:

_____ provide suite # and list of prearranged candidates to whom suite information may be given

-or-

_____ bring suite # and allow service to give to anyone who requests it

Our department will:

_____ conduct prearranged interviews only

-or-

_____ accept interview requests on-site

Please list the JFP issue(s) (if any) in which this job was advertised: _____

Registration Fees (Please Check One): _____ Pre-Registration, By December 2: \$50.00

_____ *Regular Registration, After December 2: \$75.00

Credit Card Type: (Circle One) VISA / MASTERCARD

Credit Card #:

Exp. Date: /

Last 3 Digits on back of card:

Name on Card: _____ Phone #: _____

Signature: _____ Email: _____

***Check #: _____ Check Date: _____ Check Amount _____

***Payable to: The American Philosophical Association. The APA only accepts checks drawn on U.S. banks in U.S. funds, or Int'l. Money Orders in U.S. funds. There is a \$10 charge for all returned checks. **If PAYMENT is not received in our office by December 2, 2011 you MUST pay the on-site fee! Please mail form and payment to: Attn: Employment Services Coordinator, E2011 Placement, The American Philosophical Association, 31 Amstel Avenue, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

PAPER SUBMISSION GUIDELINES

Anonymous Review: Papers in any area are welcome. All papers are anonymously reviewed. Author's name, institution, or references pertaining to the identity of the author must be removed from the paper, abstract, notes, and bibliography. Papers containing such identifying references may be rejected. Submitted papers are not returned to authors. Papers not accepted by one Division may be re-submitted for consideration to another Division. In submitting papers, authors warrant that those papers are entirely their own work or the joint work of the authors identified in the cover letter, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends and Awards: To compete for a Travel Stipend/Awards, at the time of submission on-line, the "Apply For Stipend" check-box must be checked. Those papers that are sent directly to the APA National Office must be accompanied by a separate cover page indicating that the paper should be considered for a Stipend/Award. Those papers that are to be considered for a Travel Stipend/Awards must include a letter on departmental stationery and signed by the department chair or graduate advisor verifying that the author of the paper is a student in residence. The letter may be sent by post, courier, or fax. A scan of the letter may be sent as an email attachment. **Stipend letters should be sent by fax to (302) 831-8690, or by mail to: The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716 PRIOR to the paper submission deadline. If the verification documentation is not received by the institution on the institution's letterhead by the paper deadline, the paper will NOT be considered for a Travel Stipend/Award.** For more information, see <http://www.apaonline.org>.

Submissions for Eastern, Central, and Pacific Divisions should be sent to:

ATTN: [Eastern, Pacific or Central] Division Papers

The American Philosophical Association

University of Delaware

Newark, DE 19716

(302) 831-1112

NOTE: Authors of *accepted* papers will be asked to submit a copy of their abstract by e-mail to the National Office.

EASTERN DIVISION:

Meeting is held December 27-30.

Selections are announced in May or June.

Papers accepted by either the Central Division or the Pacific Division may not be presented at any subsequent meeting of the Eastern Division.

Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.

Any paper submitted without an abstract will not be considered. Any paper whose abstract is deemed unacceptable by the Program Committee will not be accepted. No revised abstract submitted after the paper's acceptance will be published in the *Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association* without the approval of the Program Committee.

PACIFIC DIVISION:

Papers and posters in any area are welcome. All papers and posters are anonymously reviewed.

Important Notices

The Pacific Division only accepts on-line submissions made through the APA submission web site. Submissions by post and by email are not accepted.

The Pacific Division now invites submissions for poster presentations.

Important Dates

Deadline for membership dues and new applications (in time for submission deadline): August 13

Deadline for submissions: September 1

Deadline for receipt of proof of graduate student status (for travel stipends): September 1

Submission Eligibility

1. Authors (and all co-authors) must be members in good standing of the APA at the time of submission.
 2. Each member may submit up to one paper or poster. Co-authorship does not abrogate this rule.
-

3. Any paper or poster which is under consideration for publication elsewhere will be considered, provided that it will not appear in print until after the Divisional meeting is held. If this is the case, indicate when and where the paper or poster is expected to be published.
4. A paper or poster that has been on the Main Program at a past APA meeting (any division), or will be on the Main Program at a future Central or Eastern Division meeting, cannot be presented as a Main Program colloquium or symposium paper or poster at the Pacific Division meeting. However, papers not accepted by another Division may be submitted for consideration by the Pacific Division.
5. No one who is scheduled to appear in an invited session on the Main Program of a meeting may also present a submitted paper or poster as part of that same Main Program. Members who have already agreed to participate in invited sessions on the Main Program of a meeting are asked not to submit papers or posters for that same meeting. Please note that this does not apply to sessions organized by APA Committees or by affiliated groups.

Preparation for Anonymous Review

6. Submissions must be prepared for anonymous review. The author's (and any co-author's) name, institution, and references pertaining to the identity of the author must be removed from the paper, abstract(s), notes, and bibliography. Papers containing identifying references may be rejected.

Submission Process

7. A complete submission includes an abstract of not more than 150 words. Poster submissions additionally include a long abstract of not more than 750 words. Colloquium papers must not exceed 3,000 words. Symposium papers must not exceed 5,000 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. (This will be considered a single submission.)
8. The Pacific Division only considers submissions made through the APA web site. Postal submissions are not longer accepted. Submissions are not accepted by email.

Graduate Student Travel Stipends

9. A stipend of \$300 will be awarded to any graduate student whose paper or poster is accepted by the Program Committee in its normal, anonymous-review process.
 10. Eligibility is restricted to APA members or associate members who are graduate students in residence and in good standing at a MA or PhD program in philosophy. It is the author's responsibility to ensure that a letter verifying that author of the paper or poster is a student in residence is sent to the APA. The letter must be printed on departmental stationery and signed by the department chair or graduate advisor. It
-

may be uploaded at the time of submission or it may be sent separately by post, courier, fax, or a scan attached to an email. The deadline for faxes and email attachments is September 1. The postmark deadline for post or courier is September 1. The letter should be sent to:

The American Philosophical Association

31 Amstel Avenue

Newark DE 19716

phone: (302) 831-1112

fax: (302) 831-8690

If appropriate verification documentation is not sent by the deadline, the submission will not be considered for a Travel Stipend.

11. Co-authored papers and posters are not eligible for Graduate Student Travel Stipends unless all authors are graduate students.

Authors' Warrants and Permissions

12. In submitting papers or posters, authors warrant that their submissions are entirely their own work or the joint work of the named co-authors, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.
13. In submitting a paper or poster, its authors grant to The American Philosophical Association the nonexclusive worldwide right to publish the abstract of the paper or poster in the *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Association* and on the APA and Pacific Division web sites, in the event that the paper is accepted. Its authors also grant to The American Philosophical Association the nonexclusive worldwide right to post a preprint of the paper, in the form in which it was submitted, on the Pacific Division web site, for up to four months prior to the meeting for which the paper is accepted. (The Division will not publish a preprint provided that the authors so notify the Pacific Division in writing at the time the paper is accepted.)
14. Authors agree to provide accurate email addresses as part of their contact information and must notify the Pacific Division of any address changes that may occur between the time of submission and the meeting.

CENTRAL DIVISION:

Selections are announced in September, or before when possible.

Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.

The Central Division will not include a paper on its meeting program if that paper (1) has already been presented or is scheduled for presentation on the Main Program of another APA Divisional meeting or (2) has been accepted for publication and will have actually been published prior to the Central Division meeting in question. If a paper is accepted for presentation and the Program Committee subsequently learns that it will have been published prior to the meeting, then that paper will be withdrawn from the meeting program.

MINUTES OF THE 2010 EASTERN DIVISION BUSINESS MEETING

1. The meeting was called to order at 11:18 a.m. by President Susan Wolf.
 2. President Wolf announced the agenda, which had been distributed to members present, and appointed Stephanie Lewis as Parliamentarian.
 3. The minutes of the 2009 Business Meeting, which had been published in the *Proceedings*, volume 84, number 1 (September 2010), were approved as published.
 4. The Secretary-Treasurer announced that the minutes of the December 27, 2009 Executive Committee meeting had been published in the *Proceedings*, volume 84, number 1 (September 2010), and had been formally approved by the Executive Committee (at its December 27, 2010 meeting) as published. The Secretary-Treasurer also reported that the 2010 Program Committee had met in April 2010 to establish the submitted portion of the 2010 program, and that the 2011 Program Committee was working through email communication, without any in-person meeting, on the invited portion of the 2011 program.
 5. The reports of Eastern Division mail ballots, which had been published in the *Proceedings*, volume 84, number 1 (September 2010), were received.
 6. The financial condition of the Division was discussed. This included reports of the state of the Eastern Division investments as of June 30, 2010, and of the proposed and actual operating budget for 2009-2010 (both of which had been distributed to members present), together with an account of the increase in the value of the investments since June 30, 2010. It was noted that the Division generally depends on its investments to cover special expenses—particularly the \$25,000 made available annually to the Board for the purpose of funding projects to benefit the profession (on which see further item 8 below)—but not regular operating expenses; however, an exception was likely in the 2010-2011 fiscal year, given the expected significant shortfall in registration revenue (due to the blizzard) at the 2010 meeting.
 7. The report of the Nominating Committee, which (as announced in the *Proceedings*, volume 84, number 2 (November 2010)) had been posted on the APA web site since November 2010, was received.
 8. The Secretary-Treasurer reported the following actions taken by the Executive Committee at its December 27, 2010 meeting: a) the committee agreed to continue its \$25,000 annual commitment to the Board (on which see item 6 above) through 2013-2014 (agreements having already been made through 2013), but only on condition that the
-

total value of the Division's investments as of June 30, 2012 is at least \$500,000. If the value of the investments is less than that amount on that date, the Secretary-Treasurer was authorized to decide, in consultation with the committee, whether to discontinue funding for 2013-2014 or to provide funding at a lower rate. b) The committee discussed the possibility of a gradual but significant rise in registration fees in the coming years, to offset declines in other traditional sources of revenue for the both the Division and the national APA. c) The committee reconfirmed a decision reached at the 2009 meeting concerning a survey to determine the membership's attitude towards moving the dates of the Eastern Division meeting, to be conducted as soon as the National Office's planned upgrade to its database and other technical capabilities allows this. It was emphasized that this survey would be open to all APA members (including, importantly, Student Associate members), not only Eastern Division affiliates, and that it would be designed in consultation with a survey expert.

9. The Secretary-Treasurer announced the nominations of the Executive Committee for candidates for two positions on the Nominating Committee and invited other nominations from the floor. Listed alphabetically, the Executive Committee's nominees were Thomas McCarthy, Michael Williams, Mark Wilson, and Stephen Yablo. No further candidates were nominated from the floor.
 10. The Secretary-Treasurer reported that the winners of the William James Prize and Graduate Student Travel Stipends had been awarded their prizes at a reception the previous evening, and were listed in the *Proceedings*, volume 84, number 1 (September 2010) at the place in the program where the reception was announced.
 11. President Wolf read the names of those members whose deaths had been reported since the 2009 Business Meeting. Their names are:
 1. Kurt Baier (E-Pres: 1977-78; Chr of APA Board: 1983-86)
 2. William A. Beebe
 3. John F. Boler
 4. Charles Caton
 5. Paul Dietrichson
 6. Berkley Eddins
 7. Bernard Elevitch
 8. Joong Fang
 9. C.S. Feagins
 10. Joseph Flanagan
 11. Anthony C. Genova
 12. Reinhardt S. Grossmann
 13. Paul Hoffman
 14. Donald G. Jones
 15. Stanley B. Kaufman
 16. Michael Kerlin
-

17. Douglas Knapp
18. Richard Laplante (Student Associate Member)
19. Robert J. Lipkin
20. Matthias Lu
21. Neil R. Luebke
22. Ralph M. McInerney
23. Robert K. Meyer
24. Kewal Krishman Mittal
25. Joseph B. Mow
26. John E. Murdoch
27. Warren Nord
28. William Placher
29. Kingsley Price
30. Mary Jane Reagan
31. Leroy Rounder
32. John Edwin Smith (E-Div Pres. 1981-82)
33. Steven K. Strange
34. Stewart Thau
35. William W. Thomas
36. Sue Weinberg
37. William B. Williamson

At the request of President Wolf, the members present observed a minute of silent respect for these deceased members.

12. A report was received from David Schrader, Executive Director, concerning actions taken at the November 2010 Board meeting and other issues concerning the state of the National Office and the APA generally.
13. A change to the APA Bylaws proposed by the Board of Officers, to broaden the pool of those eligible to serve as Chair of the Board, was discussed. No amendments to the proposed changes were offered. It was noted that, in accordance with the current Bylaws, the proposed changes would now go to a mail ballot of all members affiliated with the Eastern Division, and that the other two Divisions would take up the measure in the same way at their 2011 Business Meetings.
14. The following resolution was discussed and adopted:
Resolved: that the APA Board of Officers should create an ongoing special Committee on Environment and Sustainability.
Rationale: The environmental crisis, manifested in phenomena such as climate change, pollution, species loss, desertification, and deforestation, has both practical and philosophical implications for the APA as an organization and for its members. For the APA as an organization, there are questions of the sustainability of the practices of its annual meetings. For APA members, there are crucial moral, political,

epistemological, religious, and spiritual aspects of the environmental crisis; as well as significant questions which arise in teaching a unique global threat. The APA Committee on Environment and Sustainability will focus attention on these matters by:

1. Taking steps to encourage the Divisions to green the annual meetings.
 2. Making teaching material on environmental issues available to APA members.
 3. Organizing sessions at the annual meetings on topics such as the pedagogy of environmental philosophy, cross group interest areas such as environmental justice, eco-feminism, or the implications of the environmental crisis for philosophy of science, and leading new ideas of environmental philosophy.
15. There were no items of new business.
 16. The agenda called for an open forum on issues concerning the welfare of the Division and the Association. No such issues were raised.
 17. The meeting adjourned at 12:04 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard Bett

Secretary-Treasurer

American Philosophical Association, Eastern Division

MINUTES OF THE 2010 EASTERN DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

Present: Richard Bett, Michael Della Rocca, Catherine Elgin, Paul Guyer, Howard McGary, Tommie Shelby. **Visitors:** Stephanie Lewis (items 1-7), David Schrader (items 1-10). **Absent:** Robert Bernasconi, Edward Casey, Ted Sider, Walter Sinnott-Armstrong, Susan Wolf.

The Executive Committee took the following actions at its meeting on December 27, 2010:

1. Approved the minutes of its previous meeting on December 27, 2009 (as published in *Proceedings and Addresses* volume 84, #1, September 2010, pp. 159-161).
 2. Received a report on actions of other Eastern Division committees, including a list of the stipends awarded by the Program Committee in connection with the 2010 meeting.
 3. Set the agenda for the 2010 Business Meeting.
 4. Heard a report from David Schrader, Executive Director of the Association, concerning actions at the 2010 Board meeting.
 5. Received a report on the Division's financial situation; and decided, on the basis of a report from the sub-committee appointed the previous year to consider and obtain advice on the current distribution of invested funds, to leave this distribution unchanged.
 6. Received a report on the projects funded by the Board at its 2010 meeting, using the \$25,000 made available for this purpose by the Eastern Division, and also progress reports on the projects funded at the previous Board meeting. In line with the procedure agreed upon at the Executive Committee's 2006 meeting (item 8a), the Committee also agreed to continue its annual commitment of \$25,000 through the academic year 2013-2014, but on condition that the total value of the Eastern Division's investments is at least \$500,000 on June 30, 2012. Should the value of the investments be less than that amount on that date, the Secretary-Treasurer was authorized to decide, in consultation with the remainder of the committee, whether to discontinue this funding altogether or to commit to funding for 2013-2014 at some level below \$25,000.
 7. Approved the 2010-2011 Divisional budget, and directed the Secretary-Treasurer to explore, with the other two Secretary-Treasurers and the Executive Director, the possibilities for significantly increasing registration revenue over the next few years. It was emphasized 1) that
-

- the National Office actually loses a significant amount of money from its work putting on the meetings, and 2) that other sources of revenue, both national and Divisional, have decreased in recent years, both because of the economic downturn and because of long-term structural changes.
8. Received reports on the change in the Eastern Division Bylaws allowing for electronic ballots, and discussed the change to the National APA Bylaws, proposed by the Board of Officers, that would broaden the pool of people eligible to be Chair of the Board.
 9. Discussed a resolution, to be introduced and voted on at the Business Meeting, proposing the creation of an APA committee on philosophy and environment.
 10. Reconfirmed a decision reached at the 2009 meeting concerning a survey to determine the membership's attitude towards moving the dates of the Eastern Division meeting, to be conducted as soon as the National Office's planned upgrade to its database and other technical capabilities allows this. It was emphasized that this survey should be open to all APA members (including, importantly, Student Associate members), not only Eastern Division affiliates, and that it should be designed in consultation with a survey expert. The Committee also instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to seek a contract with the Philadelphia Marriott for the 2014 meeting at the traditional dates.
 11. Received a report on the representation of women on the meeting programs.
 12. Recognized as affiliate groups the Heidegger Circle and (pending a final point of clarification with the Secretary-Treasurer) the Society for the Study of Indian and Tibetan Buddhist Philosophy.
 13. Decided on a ranked short-list of possible candidates for the 2012 Dewey Lecturer.
 14. Nominated four candidates for two positions on the 2011 Nominating Committee. They are Thomas McCarthy, Michael Williams, Mark Wilson, and Stephen Yablo. Two alternates were also decided upon, to be contacted should the number of candidates agreeing to stand be considered too small.
 15. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the 2012 Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.
 16. After receiving suggestions from the Committee on Committees, established a list of candidates and alternates for membership on the Advisory Committee to the Program Committee and instructed the Secretary-Treasurer to form a committee from that list plus the list of continuing members.
-

ELECTION RESULTS

RESULTS OF EASTERN DIVISION ELECTIONS

As a result of the mail ballot that took place in the spring of 2011, the following Eastern Division members have been elected to office in the Eastern Division.

- Linda Martín Alcoff has been elected to the office of Vice President for 2011-2012. Professor Alcoff will become President for 2012-2013 without further election.
- Walter Brogan and Béatrice Longuenesse have been elected as members-at-large of the Executive Committee for 2011-2014.
- Thomas McCarthy and Michael Williams have been elected as members of the Nominating Committee for 2011-2013.

The proposal to amend the national APA Bylaws so as to broaden the pool of those eligible to be Chair of the Board of Officers received 417 votes in favor and 20 against. Passage of amendments requires a majority vote in each of the three Divisions.

CHILDCARE INFORMATION

Members who need childcare during the 2011 Eastern Division meeting may wish to contact the Washington, DC Child Care Resource and Referral agency:

Washington Child Development Council

1400 Sixteenth Street, N.W. Suite 715

Washington, DC 20036

Phone: 202-387-0002

Fax: 202-387-0411

Email: Tanya Woodson twoodson@wcdc.net; Janet Wood jwood@wcdc.net

Web Site: <http://daycareindc.org/>

LIST OF ADVERTISERS AND BOOK EXHIBITORS

ANTHEM FOUNDATION

BROADVIEW PRESS

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-186-189)

CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA PRESS (A-165)

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-174)

CONTINUUM

CORNELL UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-173)

DE GRUYTER

DUKE UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-170-171)

HACKETT PUBLISHING COMPANY (A-169)

HARVARD UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-168)

INDIANA UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-183)

MCGILL-QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-181)

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-166-167)

PARMENIDES PUBLISHING

PENGUIN GROUP (A-180)

PENN STATE UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-181)

PHILOSOPHER'S INFORMATION CENTER (A-INSIDE BACK COVER)

PHILOSOPHY DOCUMENTATION CENTER (A-OUTSIDE BACK COVER)

POLITY

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-182)

PROGRAM IN THE HUMANITIES AND HUMAN VALUES AT UNC (A-183)

ROUTLEDGE (A-176-177)

ROWMAN & LITTLEFIELD PUBLISHING GROUP

SPRINGER

STANFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS (A-175)

SUNY PRESS (A-INSIDE FRONT COVER)

THE JOURNAL OF PHILOSOPHY (A-178)

THE MIT PRESS (A-184-185)

THE SCHOLAR'S CHOICE

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO PRESS (A-179)

WESTVIEW PRESS (A-172)

WILEY-BLACKWELL

FORMS

ADVANCE REGISTRATION FORM

RECEPTION TABLE REQUEST FORM

HOTEL RESERVATION INFORMATION

HOTEL SUITE INFORMATION

Please Note: It is expected that Advance Registration, Reception Table Requests, and Placement Service Registration for Interviewing Departments (see under APA Placement Service Information) will also be able to be performed online. Check the APA web site at <http://www.apaonline.org>.

EASTERN 2011

ADVANCE REGISTRATION FORM

DECEMBER 27 – 30, 2011, THE MARRIOTT WARDMAN PARK, WASHINGTON, DC

Fax this form with your credit card payment to Linda Smallbrook (302-831-3372). No cover sheet is needed. Or, if you prefer, mail this form with your payment to Linda Smallbrook, APA, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716. Payment must accompany your registration form and you must fill in all of the information below. We cannot process any advanced registrations at the National Office past the December 2nd deadline. After that date you will need to register in DC and at a higher rate: \$20 for students, \$90 for regular/international/emeritus members, and \$120 for nonmembers.

Please print legibly. This information is used for your name badge.

Name: _____

Affiliation: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State, Zip: _____

Email: _____

Advance Registration Fees (**Received by December 2, 2011** at the National Office)

_____ \$10.00 APA Student Members

_____ \$60.00 APA Regular, International & Emeritus Members

_____ \$90.00 Nonmembers

Placement Registration

Interviewers should also complete the Placement Registration Form. **Candidates** should check the space below if planning to use the service. Candidates **must** be a member of the APA in order to use the Placement Service. Placement is a free service for all current APA members.

_____ I will be a job candidate.

___ 1) Check* (Payable to APA)

*The APA can only accept checks drawn on a U.S. bank, in U.S. Dollars.

___ 2) Visa MasterCard

Exp. Date

Last 3 digits on back of card

- - -

Name as it appears on Card: _____

Signature of Authorization: _____

Email: _____ Phone #: _____

EASTERN 2011

RECEPTION TABLE FORM

DECEMBER 27 – 30, 2011 THE MARRIOTT WARDMAN PARK, WASHINGTON, DC

Fax this form with your credit card payment to Linda Smallbrook (302-831-3372). No cover sheet is needed. Or, if you prefer, mail this form with your payment to Linda Smallbrook, APA, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716.

Payment must accompany your registration form and you must fill in all of the information, including your address & email address. We will be unable to process your request without proper payment.

Receptions are scheduled in the **Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level)** on **December 28th** (8:00 pm to midnight) and in the **Marriott Ballroom (Lobby Level)** on **December 29th** (9:00 pm to midnight). The first night reception provides beer and soft drinks at no charge. **Admittance is by registration name badge or ticket only.** The second night reception is a cash bar. **The charge for a table at both receptions is \$50.00 total.** To reserve a table, please complete the following and return to Linda Smallbrook, APA, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716; or fax the form to 302-831-3372. The deadline for receipt of reservations is December 2nd. After that time we will still take reservations but your school's name will not appear in the printed handout.

Name: _____

School/Organization: _____

Street Address: _____

City, State & Zip _____

E-mail address: _____

Please select an option for payment and fill out the form completely if paying by credit card.

___ 1) **Check* (Payable to APA)**

***The APA can only accept checks drawn on a U.S. bank, in U.S. Dollars.**

___ 2) Visa MasterCard Exp. Date

Last 3 digits on back of card

- - -

Name as it appears on Card: _____

Signature of Authorization: _____

Email: _____ Phone #: _____

2011 EASTERN DIVISION HOTEL RESERVATION INFORMATION
The American Philosophical Association
Eastern Division Meeting, December 27-30, 2011
The Marriott Wardman Park Hotel
2660 Woodley Road, NW, Washington, DC 20008

The Marriott Wardman Park Hotel prefers that we register for hotel rooms through their website or by phone calls only (no faxing reservation forms). The link below will get you to both regular and nonmember rooms as well as the student rooms. Please keep in mind when registering for a student room, your APA student membership will be verified after you register. If we find that your status is not that of a student, you will be charged the regular and nonmember rate.

Reservation Link: <https://resweb.passkey.com/go/amerphilos2011>

Toll Free Number: 800-627-7468

Regular and **nonmember** single room rates are \$169/night plus 14.5% tax. Double room rates are \$189/night plus 14.5% tax. Check-in time is 4:00 pm and check-out time is noon. Please be sure to let the hotel know if you are physically challenged and have special room requirements.

Student member single room rates are \$153/night plus 14.5% tax. Student double room rates are \$173/night plus 14.5% tax. Check-in time is 4:00 pm and check-out time is noon. Please be sure to let the hotel know if you are physically challenged and have special room requirements.

Rooms are available on a first-come, first-served basis and subject to availability. Reservations must be made by December 2, 2011 to be assured of the APA rate.

HOTEL SUITE INFORMATION

**The American Philosophical Association
Eastern Division Meeting, December 27 – 30, 2011
The Marriott Wardman Park Hotel
2660 Woodley Road, NW, Washington, DC 20008**

To provide sufficient interviewing space and to comply with the APA's policy on discouraging job interviews in sleeping rooms, suites will be available for rent by schools using the APA Placement Service.

This year we should have a sufficient number of suites available. They will be rented on a first-come, first-served basis. Each school will be limited to one suite rental. Suites are subject to 14.5% tax per night. Check-in time is 4:00 p.m. and check-out time is noon. Deadline to cancel a guaranteed reservation without penalty is 72 hours prior to arrival.

For information about the suites and to receive the appropriate rental forms, please contact Linda Smallbrook after September 15, 2011 at the APA National Office preferably through email at lindas@udel.edu with the words "suite rental" in the subject line. If email is not an option you may call 302-831-1113.

Each school renting a suite will be required to fill out a Registration For Placement Interview Form and a Suite Rental Form and return these forms in a timely manner complete with payment to Linda Smallbrook at the National Office (fax: 302-831-3372). After completion of paperwork and payment, suite confirmation numbers will be sent out in **mid December**. You will need a credit card to reserve your suite.

The deadline to make a reservation for a suite is December 2, 2011, although we cannot guarantee that any suites will be available at that late date.

Please note: It is important that suite reservations not be canceled too close to the meeting. The number of schools requesting suites always exceeds the number of suites available; if a reservation is canceled at the last minute, it is generally not possible to reassign the suite. This is problematic for two reasons: those who could have used suites are unable to do so, and the Eastern Division's room occupancy commitment—which affects the amount of meeting space available—becomes harder to fulfill. Suites count considerably more for this purpose than regular rooms.

For these reasons, the **deadline for canceling a suite reservation without penalty is November 15**. Any school that cancels a suite reservation after that date will be charged the cost of one night in the suite that was reserved.

APA REGISTRATION POLICY

It is the policy of the APA that all persons attending sessions, including those who are participating as speakers, commentators or session chairs, are required to register for each meeting they attend. In addition, we have always recommended that all participants wear their APA badges during session hours to identify themselves as registered participants. In an effort better to enforce this policy we have begun a system of checking meeting attendees, randomly and anyone whom we suspect might not be registered, for proof of registration. If you are asked to provide proof of registration and are unable to do so, you will be asked to register immediately in order to enable you to attend sessions. Registration fees help subsidize every divisional meeting. Without that income we will be unable to ensure the quality and excellence of our meetings which you have come to expect. We thank you for your continued cooperation.



