

Comparability of Cord Blood Units

John D. McMannis, Ph.D.

Professor of Cancer Medicine

Director, Cell Therapy Laboratory

Technical Director, UT MDAnderson Cord Blood Bank

Liaison Meeting, Bethesda

June 2007

Survey

- Draft Guidance document allows the CBB to present comparability data for units previously collected by different methods
- Snapshot of practices that have been used by CBB for some of their inventory
 - Asked questions about numbers of different procedures
 - Asked questions about “GMP” compliance

Survey

- Number of centers: 21
- Number of responses: 9

- Note: Last minute request

	# Different Procedures Used
Collection	1(2), 2(5), 4(2) <ul style="list-style-type: none">- in utero vs ex utero- different collection bags- donor screening
Processing	
Cryopreservation	
Quality Control	

	# Different Procedures Used
Collection	
Processing	1 (5), 2 (4) <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Manual vs automated- RBC depletion
Cryopreservation	
Quality Control	

	# Different Procedures Used
Collection	
Processing	
Cryopreservation	1 (7), 2 (2) - CRF vs Mechanical B/U
Quality Control	

	# Different Procedures Used
Collection	
Processing	
Cryopreservation	
Quality Control	1 (4), 2 (1), ? (4) <ul style="list-style-type: none">- TNC/CFU only- NAT Testing- Anaerobic bottles- Missing data (CFU, CD34)

GMP Documentation p.1

Complete documentation of procedure	1) Always.....8 2) Never 3) Past ___ years.....1
Cleaning documentation (instruments and work area) between products	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past ___ years
Cleaning documentation (instruments and work area) daily, weekly, monthly	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past ___ years

GMP Documentation p.1

Complete documentation of procedure	1) Always.....7 2) Never 3) Past __ years.....1
Cleaning documentation (instruments and work area) between products	1) Always.....4 2) Never.....3 3) Past __ years.....2
Cleaning documentation (instruments and work area) daily, weekly, monthly	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past __ years

GMP Documentation p.1

Complete documentation of procedure	1) Always.....8 2) Never 3) Past __ years.....1
Cleaning documentation (instruments and work area) between products	1) Always.....4 2) Never.....3 3) Past __ years.....2
Cleaning documentation (instruments and work area) daily, weekly, monthly	1) Always.....7 2) Never 3) Past __ years.....1

GMP Documentation p.2

Materials management (Quarantine, Release specifications, etc)	1) Always.....6 2) Never 3) Past ___ years.....3
Environmental Monitoring of NON VIABLE particles in the Cell Processing Lab	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past ___ years
Environmental Monitoring of VIABLE particles in the Cell Processing Lab	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past ___ years

GMP Documentation p.2

Materials management (Quarantine, Release specifications, etc)	1) Always.....6 2) Never 3) Past __ years.....3
Environmental Monitoring of NON VIABLE particles in the Cell Processing Lab	1) Always 2) Never.....7 3) Past __ years.....2 - occasionally
Environmental Monitoring of VIABLE particles in the Cell Processing Lab	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past __ years

GMP Documentation p.2

Materials management (Quarantine, Release specifications, etc)	1) Always.....6 2) Never 3) Past __ years.....3
Environmental Monitoring of NON VIABLE particles in the Cell Processing Lab	1) Always 2) Never.....7 3) Past __ years.....2 - occasionally
Environmental Monitoring of VIABLE particles in the Cell Processing Lab	1) Always 2) Never.....7 3) Past __ years.....2 - occasionally

GMP Documentation p.3

Training documentation of all personnel involved with CBB	1) Always.....9 2) Never 3) Past ___ years
Quality review of all deviations	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past ___ years
Two person sign-off of critical steps of procedure (i.e. addition of starch)	1) Always 2) Never 3) Past ___ years

GMP Documentation p.3

Training documentation of all personnel involved with CBB	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1) Always.....92) Never3) Past __ years
Quality review of all deviations	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1) Always.....72) Never3) Past __ years.....2
Two person sign-off of critical steps of procedure (i.e. addition of starch)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">1) Always2) Never3) Past __ years

GMP Documentation p.3

Training documentation of all personnel involved with CBB	1) Always.....9 2) Never 3) Past __ years
Quality review of all deviations	1) Always.....7 2) Never 3) Past __ years.....2
Two person sign-off of critical steps of procedure (i.e. addition of starch)	1) Always.....5 2) Never.....4 3) Past __ years

Cord Blood Technology (And HPC-A)

- Different than other therapeutic products
 - Half life is 50 years (?)
 - Not end stage differentiation
 - Take 20 – 40 days to detect in the periphery
 - Non-engraftment
 - Maybe due to poor Stem Cell Product
 - Double cord transplantation
 - Conditioning regimen
 - Clinical course during engraftment
 - Previous chemotherapy

Comparability

- Based on Clinical utility
 - Difficult if not impossible
 - Similar to drug study requirements
 - Large numbers of infusions dilute out the clinical factors
 - What is the endpoint
 - Engraftment
 - 30d, 6mo, 1, 2 5 year engraftment
 - Inhibit new technology
 - 1-3% of inventory is used on a yearly basis
 - Inhibit others from entering the field

Comparability

- Based on in vitro data
 - Goal is to have the maximum number of CB units available for clinical use balanced with protecting the safety of the patients receiving the product
 - **Less data is better** (within reason)
 - What are the minimum requirements to accomplish this goal

Choosing a Cord Blood Unit

- HLA: 6, then 5, then 4
- TNC
- Bank
- CD34 Numbers
- All other factors are reviewed but usually at time of CB arrival

Comparability Suggested Parameters

- TNC
- HLA
- Sterility

- CFU (growth) OR
- Viable CD34 (presence)

Collection

1(2), 2(5), 4(2)

- in utero vs ex utero
- different collection bags
- donor screening

■ In utero vs ex utero

- Published studies showing no difference in vitro or in vivo

■ Collection bags

- Qualification/validation runs of the different bags
 - Viability and sterility of cells

■ Donor screening

- License products as is with requirement of a callback at time of CT. If unreachable, then label appropriately

Processing	1 (5), 2 (4) - Manual vs automated - RBC depletion
------------	--

- Manual vs automated

- In vitro split runs comparing products

- Sterility, viability, CFU

- Minimum number - ???

- RBC depletion vs no depletion

- Same comparison

Cryopreservation	1 (7), 2 (2) - CRF vs Mechanical B/U
------------------	---

- Validate your method
 - Viability and yield
 - Sterility....yes but how?
- Two methods
 - Split runs and compare viability and yield

Quality Control

1 (4), 2 (1), ? (4)

- TNC/CFU only
- NAT Testing
- Anaerobic bottles
- Missing data (CFU, CD34)

- Minimum QC of TNC, HLA, Sterility and either CFU or CD34
- NAT testing/Anaerobic micro
 - Products licensed with requirement that NAT (or Sterility) be done at time of CT
 - NAT and Cord Blood
- Missing data
 - Product licensed with requirement that test be performed on segment (vial) at time of CT

Comparability

GMP Manufacturing Conditions

- Require analysis for all of the “GMP” requirements that have not been performed
 - Quality review of the effect of this deficiency on the potential safety of the product as part of Licensure submission
 - Example: No documentation of cleaning between products
 - Overall contamination rate before and after implementing this documentation
 - Review all positives and look upstream and downstream in manufacturing process to see if any other contamination
 - Viral screening on products processed in the same time period

Comparability

Additional Information (if available)

- Engraftment
- Chimerism for single CB infusions

Questions for discussion

- Back-up procedures
- New technologies
- Donor History