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ABSTRACT

Background. Oculomotor dysfunction (OMD) is one of many visual anomalies that can be successfully treated with optometric vision therapy (OVT). A widely used diagnostic indicator of OMD is a low score on the Developmental Eye Movement test (DEM) Ratio.

Methods. Thirty-six subjects with OMD per a DEM Ratio standard score of 92 or lower were retested with DEM one or more years later. None of the 36 participated in OVT.

Results. Upon retest, 26 subjects (72%) failed DEM ratio again. Ten improved to pass (standard score 93 or higher.) Thirteen (36%) improved by two standard errors of measurement or more and two individuals decreased by two standard errors of measurement or more.

Conclusion. Although spontaneous improvement and normalization is possible, untreated oculomotor dysfunction is more likely to persist over time than it is to self-correct. Active optometric vision therapy should be considered as a viable treatment option in these patients.
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Oculomotor dysfunction (OMD, also known as Ocular Motility dysfunction¹) is characterized by a deficiency in one or more of the following visual skills:
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examination findings were utilized for this study per the following criteria:

1. The patient was in grade one through eight upon presenting for the OVT evaluation.

2. A diagnosis of OMD was made at the initial OVT evaluation. In all cases, a low score on the DEM Ratio was one of the test results leading to a diagnosis of OMD. Specifically, a DEM Ratio raw score that converted to a standard score of 92 or lower was considered a fail and indicative of OMD. A standard score of 92, which converts to the 30th percentile, was chosen as the upper limit of fail based on the recommendation of Solan and Suchoff.\(^\text{10}\) (The DEM Examiner’s Booklet does not provide a pass/fail cut off.) The DEM was administered and the Ratio Score calculated per the protocols in the DEM Examiner’s Booklet. Ratio raw scores were converted to standard scores using the grade tables in the Examiner’s Booklet.

3. The patient did not participate in OVT, even though it was recommended they do so.

4. The patient returned one or more years later for another evaluation.

5. The DEM was administered again at the return evaluation. A repeat administration of the DEM is routinely done for patient’s who had failed it previously.

RESULTS

The record review produced 18 female and 18 male subjects who met the selection criteria. The grade level of the 36 subjects at the first DEM administration is shown in Table 1. Figure 1 shows that half of the group was re-tested one to two years later. The remainder was re-tested 25 months to almost 8 years after the original diagnosis of OMD.

Table 1. Sample size at each grade level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5th</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6th</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7th</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8th</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(N=36, 18\) Female and 18 Male

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for change in DEM standard scores upon retest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test of Ratio Scores</th>
<th>Range of Ratio Scores</th>
<th>Mean Score</th>
<th>Median Score</th>
<th>Fail</th>
<th>Pass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First DEM</td>
<td>58-91</td>
<td>77.78 (+/-8.2)</td>
<td>77.00</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second DEM</td>
<td>52-106</td>
<td>84.75 (+/-13.3)</td>
<td>85.50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SCORE, Standard Score FAIL, Standard Score of 92 or lower

Table 3. Statistical Analysis of Test and Retest Scores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean Change 95% Confidence Intervals</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.97 (13.39)</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Number of subjects per each re-test interval. Value in parentheses is the number of subjects whose DEM Ratio score improved to pass on re-test.
the range of fluctuation to be expected in an individual’s test score. SEM is calculated using the equation \( SEM = s\sqrt{1-r} \), where \( s \) = the standard deviation of the test and \( r \) is the correlation coefficient. Solan and Suchoff recommend that 2 SEM be used to assess a change in score as within the expected range of fluctuation or a true change. Using an \( r \) of 0.76 from a reliability study of office based subjects and the \( s \) (8.2) from this study, 2 SEM = 8.0 standard score points. Using an \( r \) of .27 from another study, 2 SEM is 14.0. Averaging the 2 values yields a 2 SEM value of 11. Figure 2 shows that 13 subjects improved by 2 SEM or more. The difference in Ratio standard score between the two administrations was analyzed for the influence of time interval. Figure 1 shows that six of the ten subjects who improved to normal had test/retest interval of one to two years. The other four improve-to-normal subjects had a test/retest interval of more than three years. A Pearson correlation of time interval and differences in Ratio standard was low (-0.1) and insignificant (\( P = 0.60 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

OMD is a visual skill problem that is assumed to be related to difficulty keeping place while reading and other symptoms. The DEM is a frequently used test for OMD. OVT is an accepted treatment for ameliorating these problems. An unanswered clinical question is the status of untreated OMD one or more years after diagnosis. The results of this study indicate that OMD per DEM ratio does not improve significantly when group performance is analyzed. There are individual exceptions who do improve from below expected to above. There are also some patients who become worse. Thus the best answer to the question, “Will OMD resolve without treatment?” is “Probably not.”

The results of this study should be considered in the context of reliability. It is possible that some subjects showed change because of test score fluctuation – not better or worse oculomotor skill. A previous reliability study showed that 5% of school children with OMD will rise from fail DEM Ratio to pass DEM Ratio when retested 2-4 weeks later. This study exceeded the 5% improvement expected because of imperfect reliability. This result indicates
that there were subjects who genuinely achieved better oculomotor skills over time.

A possible limitation of this study is the single criterion (DEM Ratio) used to diagnose OMD. Many clinicians use a broader approach to diagnose OMD, which may include observational eye movement tests (NSUCO Saccade NSUCO Pursuit, etc.), Visagraph/ReadAlyzer results and a review of symptoms. The status of untreated OMD using an expanded diagnostic methodology may yield additional results supportive and/or conflicting with these findings. Other limitations of this study are the lack of a second examiner to administer the retest and the utilization of a retrospective study design. A retrospective study, however, permitted the long intervals between test and retest. There would be ethical considerations in a prospective study in which treatment for OMD was deliberately withheld for a period of one or more years.

CONCLUSION

Among school children with a low score on the DEM Ratio, 72% will continue to perform poorly over time if OVT is not initiated. This result indicates that the functional visual skill problem of OMD does not self-correct for the majority of affected individuals. For the minority of individuals with OMD who normalize without OVT, prompt treatment with the appropriate therapy may shorten the time period OMD and its consequences are present.
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