

Results from the 2013 Annual Meeting Evaluations
By Maureen Hodgson, CMM

Thank you to everyone who completed the 2013 annual meeting evaluations. The feedback you provide is crucial to helping us deliver the best scientific meeting possible. These results help us identify areas for improvement and what was successful, which is taken into consideration during the planning process. With a response rate of 24%, we are pleased to present the results.

1. What is your specialty?
 - a. 58% answered urogynecology
 - b. 21% answered OB/GYN

2. How many urogynecology conferences/courses do you attend per year?
 - a. 33.78% answered 0-1
 - b. 46.62% answered 2-3
 - c. 19.59% answered more than 3

3. Was the exhibitor interaction helpful to you professionally?
 - a. 79.52% answered yes
 - b. 15.96% answered no

4. Have you attended an IUGA Annual Meeting in the last 3 years?
 - a. 65.09% answered yes
 - b. 34.91% answered no

5. How did you hear about this meeting?
 - a. 42.95% answered from a colleague
 - b. 20% answered from the IUGA web site
 - c. 15% answered from IUGA broadcast e-mail

6. Are you planning to attend the joint Scientific Meeting with AUGS in Washington DC from July 21 - 26, 2014?
 - a. 69.49% answered yes
 - b. 30.51% answered no

7. How would you compare the benefits you received from attending the IUGA 38th Annual Meeting to the cost of the meeting?
 - a. 58.96% answered appropriate cost for value received
 - b. 38.73% answered high cost for value received
 - c. 2.31% answered low cost for value received

8. What did you learn from the annual meeting that you plan to implement?
 - a. Other options for treating prolapse instead of using mesh
 - b. New treatments for OAB (i.e. Mirabegron)

9. What did you like most about the meeting
 - a. Networking
 - b. Location
 - c. Debates

Please rate the special lectures on a scale from 1-4

| | |
|---|------|
| State of the Art | 3.25 |
| Cadaver Lab | 3.3 |
| Ulf Ulmsten | 3.2 |
| OASIS Workshop | 3.25 |
| Debate: This house believes that instrumental delivery should be abandoned in favour of caesarean section | 3.45 |
| Almost Live Surgery | 3.14 |
| Overall Workshop Program | 3.14 |
| Roundtable: Native Tissue Prolapse Repair - How I do it/tips & techniques | 2.84 |
| Appropriate time in the workshop section | 3.24 |
| Roundtable: Role of urodynamics before sling surgery | 3.17 |
| Organization of Scientific Program | 3.25 |
| Appropriate time for questions and answers in the scientific program | 3.2 |

Please rate the following statements on a scale from 1-4

| | |
|--|------|
| Overall I thought this meeting was excellent | 3.18 |
| The level of content was appropriate for my years of experience in the field | 3.16 |
| I was able to participate actively in the learning experience | 3.09 |
| The program was well organized | 3.31 |

Please rate the meeting logistics on a scale from 1-4

| | |
|--|------|
| Convention Centre Dublin | 3.65 |
| Advanced registration process | 3.52 |
| Speaker Ready Room | 3.41 |
| Ease of Abstract Submission | 3.34 |
| Length of Conference | 3.28 |
| Conference Website | 3.17 |
| Usefulness of the online program planner | 3.02 |
| IUGA registration desk | 3.44 |

Please rate the social events on a scale from 1-4

| | |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Welcome reception in exhibit hall | 2.75 |
| Fellows Reception | 2.88 |
| Friday Night Gala Dinner | 3.32 |
| Closing ceremony and awards | 2.8 |
| Overall networking opportunities | 3.25 |

Thank you once again for taking the time to complete the 2013 annual meeting evaluation.