

## **Service of Process**

**by Jeff Kirkpatrick** (12/2016)

### Overview of Law

#### A. Serving the Complaint

##### 1. In General

Service of process—service of a summons and a copy of the complaint—is the method for notifying a defendant that he or she has been sued. See MCR 2.105.

Everyone whose rights might be affected by litigation is entitled to notice and a reasonable opportunity to appear and defend his or her interests—in other words, he or she is entitled to due process of law. US Const amends V, XIV, §1; Const 1963, art 1, §17; see Michigan License Beverage Ass'n v Behnan Hall, Inc, 82 Mich App 319, 266 NW2d 808 (1978). The purpose of service of process is to acquire jurisdiction over the defendant so that he or she may properly be brought into court. See De Kuyper v De Kuyper, 365 Mich 487, 113 NW2d 804 (1962); Grenawalt v Nyhuis, 335 Mich 76, 55 NW2d 736 (1952).

Service of a summons and a copy of a complaint as provided by MCR 2.105(A)–(J) confers personal jurisdiction over a defendant having any of the contacts, ties, or relations with the state specified in MCL 600.701–.775 (chapter 7 of the RJA). The rules for service of process cover only how process is served; they do not define which persons are subject to process and to the jurisdiction of the courts. Those subjects are covered by RJA chapter 7 and MCR 2.105(J).

The rules regarding service of process are intended to satisfy the due process requirement of notice, and as long as service provides notice, even if service was improper under the rules, the action will not be dismissed. MCR 2.105(J)(1), (3); Bunner v Blow-Rite Insulation Co, 162 Mich App 669, 413 NW2d 474 (1987); Hill v Frawley, 155 Mich App 611, 400 NW2d 328 (1986).

There are no territorial limits on the service of the notice. MCR 2.105(J)(2). If service of the summons and a copy of the complaint is made outside Michigan, the court rules permit an additional 7 days (28 instead of 21) after service for the defendant to answer or take other action permitted by law or by the court rules. MCR 2.108(A)(2). The proper time must be specified in the body of the summons. MCR 2.102(B)(10).

Process issued in lawsuits started in courts outside Michigan may be served in Michigan with or without an order from a Michigan court. MCL 600.1852.

After an amendment of pleadings or other proceedings, a new party may not be added by simply serving the complaint on the new party without service of process. People ex rel Attorney Gen v Detroit Mortgage Corp, 228 Mich 91, 199 NW 677 (1924); see De Kuyper.

##### 2. The Summons

###### a. Issuance

When a complaint is filed, the clerk must issue a summons. MCL 600.1905(1); MCR 2.102(A). In certain cases, a summons is not necessary because an order to show cause or an extraordinary writ will issue instead. See MCR 3.301(C).

The summons is valid for 91 days from the date the complaint is filed. Filing an amended complaint does not extend the time a summons is valid. The summons issued with the amended complaint must have the same expiration date as the original summons. Durfy v Kellogg, 193 Mich App

141, 483 NW2d 664 (1992). However, on good cause shown, and within that 91 days, the court may order a second summons to be issued for a definite period not exceeding one year from the date the complaint is filed. MCR 2.102(D). The SCAO has issued an approved summons form (MC 01) for use in courts throughout the state.

The summons, which is directed to the defendant, includes essential information about the lawsuit such as the names of parties, the name and address of the court, the file number, the name of the court clerk, and the name and address of the plaintiff's attorney. It also includes information about the date of issuance of the summons and the time period for which the summons is valid. The summons must indicate how long the defendant has to answer the complaint or to take other action. It must warn that if the defendant fails to respond in the time allowed, judgment may be entered against the defendant for the relief demanded in the complaint. See MCL 600.1905(2); MCR 2.102(B).

The clerk may issue a separate summons directed to a particular defendant or group of defendants. A duplicate summons may also be issued at any time at the plaintiff's request. MCR 2.102(A). It is not necessary to have a return of no service upon the first summons before issuing an additional one. However, duplicate summonses do not have the effect of extending the expiration date of the original summons. MCR 2.102(D).

b. Expiration, Extension, and Automatic Dismissal

As noted, a summons is valid for 91 days from the date the complaint is filed. The expiration date can be extended if the plaintiff files an ex parte motion for an extension before the expiration date. In granting such an extension, the judge orders a second summons to issue for a definite period not exceeding one year from the date the complaint was filed. The judge may impose just conditions on the issuance of the second summons. The running of the 91-day period is tolled during the pendency of a motion challenging the sufficiency of the summons or of the service of the summons. MCR 2.102(D).

After the summons expires, the action is deemed automatically dismissed without prejudice as to any defendant who has not been served unless the defendant has submitted to the court's jurisdiction. MCR 2.102(E)(1). The court clerk must enter an order dismissing the action and give notice of the dismissal. However, failure of the clerk either to enter or give notice of the dismissal does not affect the dismissal. MCR 2.102(E)(2), (3).

A plaintiff can have the dismissal set aside only on stipulation of the parties or when three conditions are met:

1. service of process was in fact properly made or the defendant submitted to the court's jurisdiction before the summons expired;
2. proof of service of process was filed or the failure to file is excused for good cause; and
3. motion to set aside dismissal was filed within 28 days after the notice of dismissal was given, or after the plaintiff learned of the dismissal if the notice was not properly given. MCR 2.102(F).

The court rules regarding expiration of the summons, MCR 2.102(D), automatic dismissal without prejudice on expiration of the summons, MCR 2.102(E), and the setting aside of automatic dismissals, MCR 2.102(F), do not apply to summary proceedings to recover possession of premises that are governed by MCL 600.5701–.5759 and MCR 4.200 et seq. MCR 2.102(G).

c. Amendment

Liberal amendment of any process or proof of service is allowed in the discretion of the court. An amendment relates back to the date of the original issuance or service of process unless relation back would unfairly prejudice the party against whom the process issued. MCR 2.102(C); see also MCL 600.1905(3).

Minor errors and mistakes are regarded as irregularities only and may be corrected by amendment. Amendment should be denied only where it clearly appears that to permit the amendment would result in material prejudice to the substantial rights of the other party. *Barber v Tuohy*, 33 Mich App 169, 189 NW2d 722 (1971). Examples of rulings on requests for amendment include the following:

- *Wells v Detroit News, Inc*, 360 Mich 634, 104 NW2d 767 (1960) (misnomer of defendant corporation that was properly served).
- *Parke, Davis & Co v Grand Trunk Ry Sys*, 207 Mich 388, 174 NW 145 (1919) (amendment on grounds of misnomer not allowed where right party not served).
- *Barber* (amendment allowed to correct failure to notify defendant that secretary of state had been served pursuant to nonresident motorist statute).
- *Detroit Indep Sprinkler Co v Plywood Prods Corp*, 311 Mich 226, 18 NW2d 387 (1945) (amendment permitted to describe plaintiff as copartnership instead of corporation).
- *Rudell v Union Guardian Trust Co*, 295 Mich 157, 294 NW 132 (1940) (amendment allowed to correct failure to designate return day in summons).
- *Fildew v Stockard*, 256 Mich 494, 239 NW 868 (1932) (amendment permitted to correct name of state in which defendant was incorporated).
- *Neidhold v Henry*, 210 Mich 598, 178 NW 30 (1920) (amendment allowed to correct errors in dates of issuance and return day of subpoena).

The court rule permitting liberal amendment of the summons reiterates and strengthens the philosophy contained in the various RJA provisions relating to amendments: Unless a person has been so misled regarding the nature of the proceedings against that person or that person's obligation to respond, so as to materially prejudice the person's chances of maintaining his or her position on the merits, the court should permit an amendment of the process or return of service to overcome any formal deficiency.

The provisions of RJA chapter 23 regarding amendments to correct technical and harmless defects in process and proof of service provide more detail than the court rule:

- MCL 600.2301 allows amendment of process and of pleadings before judgment in the furtherance of justice.
- MCL 600.2311 allows amendment of process and of pleadings after judgment in the furtherance of justice.
- MCL 600.2315, .2321 provides that judgment must not be stayed or reversed for immaterial defects and that amendment of immaterial defects should be liberally allowed.
- MCL 600.1809 provides that all returns of process made by any sheriff or other officer or by any court or subordinate tribunal may be amended as to form by the court to which the returns are made, both before and after judgment.

Amendments can be made only by motion to the court. MCL 600.2325 provides that no process, pleading, or record will be amended by the clerk or other officer of the court or any other person without the order of the court.

### 3. Who May Serve Process

#### a. In General

The same rules govern service of process in both circuit court and district court. As a general rule, any legally competent adult who is not a party or an officer of a corporate party may serve process in civil actions. MCR 2.103(A); see also MCL 600.1908. See also. There are several exceptions to this rule:

- A sheriff or certain other officers must serve process requiring seizure or attachment of property. MCR 2.103(B). See.
- Only a sheriff, deputy, police officer, or specially appointed court officer may serve process in civil proceedings requiring the arrest of a person. MCR 2.103(D), MCR 3.106.

- The person in charge of an institution or someone designated by that person must serve defendants in governmental institutions, hospitals, or group homes. MCR 2.103(C). To effect service under those conditions, the plaintiff must have the summons and complaint, along with the form for proof of service, delivered to the head of the institution for service by that individual or by someone the individual designates.

For a case filed in the court of claims, process may be served by a Michigan State Police officer or by any other officer or person who is authorized to serve process in circuit court actions. MCL 600.6410(3).

#### b. Service by Private Persons

A party to the lawsuit (and officers of a corporate party) cannot serve the summons and complaint on the defendant, but any other adult can serve process not involving seizure of persons or property. MCR 2.103(A). No court order permitting service by private parties is required. Similarly, private individuals may serve writs of garnishment. MCR 2.103(B).

MCR 2.103(A) does not bar the plaintiff's attorney from serving the summons and complaint. However, in view of the fact that the process server might be called as a witness if service is challenged, it is a better practice to turn the process over to a process server. Attorneys who serve process may prove service by filing a certificate of service rather than an affidavit of service, which other private process servers must file. MCR 2.104(A)(2)(d).

Note that only certain individuals may serve persons in governmental institutions, hospitals, or group homes. See.

#### c. Service by Officers

A sheriff or other officer must serve process involving arrest or seizure of property:

A writ of restitution or process requiring the seizure or attachment of property may only be served by (1) a sheriff or deputy sheriff, or a bailiff or court officer appointed by the court for that purpose, (2) an officer of the Department of State Police in an action in which the state is a party, or (3) a police officer of an incorporated city or village in an action in which the city or village is a party.

A writ of garnishment may be served by any person authorized by subrule (A).MCR 2.103(B). "Process in civil proceedings requiring the arrest of a person may be served only by a sheriff, deputy sheriff, or police officer, or by a court officer appointed by the court for that purpose." MCR 2.103(D); see also MCL 600.1801, .1811. A sheriff or officer must also serve the following types of process:

- Civil arrest. MCR 2.103(D).
- Order to Seize Property (Execution) to collect judgment. MCL 600.6001.
- Claims for delivery of personal property. MCR 3.105(E)(4)(c).
- Arrest by bench warrant for contempt of court. MCL 600.1711, .1735; see MCL 600.6075; MCR 3.606.
- Writ of possession or restitution in connection with action to quiet title. MCL 600.2932(3).
- Writ of attachment. MCR 3.103(E).

Generally, a sheriff or an officer only has authority to serve civil process within the territorial boundaries of the governmental body of which he or she is employed or appointed. In making service beyond such boundaries, the officer acts only as a private person. *Coleman v Bolton*, 24 Mich App 547, 180 NW2d 319 (1970). Note that the special tolling provision that applies where the summons and complaint are placed in the sheriff's hands in good faith, MCL 600.5856(c), does not apply when the sheriff must serve process outside his or her territorial boundaries.

If the county sheriff and coroners are parties, are interested, or are incapacitated to act, a circuit court judge may appoint a disinterested person to serve process or do any other act that the county sheriff is authorized to do in an action. MCL 600.1811. A party may invoke this provision only when service by an officer is required, such as for process involving arrest, or when service by an officer is desired to toll the statute of limitations under MCL 600.5856(c). Coleman.

A sheriff or other officer is entitled to fees, which are listed in MCL 600.2559, for serving process.

#### 4. Persons Exempt from Service of Process

Service of process may not be made on the following:

1. Any persons going to, attending, or returning from any court proceedings in any action in which their presence is needed, if service could not have been made on them had they not attended the proceedings. MCL 600.1835(1). Judges, attorneys, parties, and witnesses are all included in this category. See, e.g., *Gist v Romey*, 321 Mich 357, 32 NW2d 481 (1948) (party); *Lindow v Mudge*, 266 Mich 11, 253 NW 196 (1934) (attorney); *Connelly v Lamb*, 227 Mich 139, 198 NW 585 (1924) (witness). The privilege also extends to corporate officers or agents who are acting as representatives of a corporate party. See *Flewelling v Prima Oil Co*, 291 Mich 281, 289 NW 160 (1939).
2. Any person brought into the state by or after waiver of extradition, in a civil action based on the same facts as the criminal proceedings giving rise to the extradition. However, process may be served after conviction in the criminal proceeding or after an acquitted person has had a reasonable opportunity to return to the state from which the person came. MCL 600.1835(2).
3. A member of the legislature on a day on which there is a scheduled meeting of the house of which the legislator is a member. However, process may be executed on such a day by certified mail, return receipt requested. MCL 600.1835(3).
4. An elector entitled to vote, on an election day. However, a judge may authorize service of any writ on an election day. MCL 600.1831(1).
5. A Sunday. However, a circuit judge may authorize service of a writ on a Sunday. MCL 600.1831(2).

Individuals in the first three categories listed above have only a privilege, which must be timely exercised or it is waived. MCL 600.1835; see, e.g., *Thompson v Michigan Mut Benefit Ass'n*, 52 Mich 522, 18 NW 247 (1884). The statute precluding service of process in the last two categories provides an exemption rather than a privilege from service of process.

Individuals who are imprisoned are not exempt from service of process. See *Stuart v Wayne Circuit Judge*, 252 Mich 522, 233 NW 402 (1930); *Steindler Paper Co v Charlevoix Circuit Judge*, 234 Mich 288, 207 NW 896 (1926).

Note that exemptions do not apply to persons served with citations for motor vehicle code violations. MCL 600.1865.

#### 5. Manner of Service—Personal and Substituted Service Authorized by Court Rules

- a. Service on Individuals
  - i. Personal Service

The court rules specify two ways of serving individuals personally:

Process may be served on a resident or nonresident individual by, (1) delivering a summons and a copy of the complaint to the defendant personally; or (2) sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, and delivery restricted to the addressee. Service is made when the defendant acknowledges receipt of the mail. A copy of the return receipt signed by the defendant must be attached to proof showing service under subrule (A)(2).MCR 2.105(A). See also MCL 600.1912, which is substantially the same as MCR 2.105(A)(1).

Permitting personal service to be made on an individual by registered mail is a significant change in Michigan law that occurred when the General Court Rules were replaced by the Michigan Court Rules, effective March 1, 1985. Under MCR 2.105(A)(2), personal service on individuals may be made by sending a summons and a copy of the complaint to the defendant by registered or certified mail with delivery restricted to the addressee and a return receipt requested. Service is complete only when the defendant acknowledges receipt of the mailing by signing the return receipt. A copy of the return receipt, signed by the defendant, must be attached to the proof of service. This manner of service applies whenever an individual must be served.

When a corporation is served by serving the resident agent or a partnership is served by serving a general partner, it is done in the manner described for individuals. Either registered mail or certified mail may be used where service is allowed by one of those methods. See MCR 2.105(C)–(E), (K).

Service by registered mail under this provision is not accomplished unless the return receipt, with the defendant's signature, is returned. Cf. *Tomkiw v Saucedo*, 374 Mich 381, 132 NW2d 125 (1965) (decision under nonresident motorist statute, which has similar requirement that return receipt be filed with proof of service). This is presumably true even if the defendant refuses to sign for the registered mail. Service must then be effected by personal delivery. If neither method is successful, plaintiff must resort to alternative service in the discretion of the court. See— for further discussion. Of course, a defendant's refusal of registered mail would serve as grounds for the court to exercise discretion and order an alternative method of service. Note that MCR 2.105(J)(3) may affect the application of this rule because it states that an action may not be dismissed because of improper service of process unless the service failed to inform the defendant of the action within the time provided for service.

MCR 2.105(A)(1) provides for the traditional method of personal service—leaving the summons and a copy of the complaint with the defendant personally. The rule does not attempt to define what constitutes delivering the papers to the defendant personally. Whether this has been accomplished will have to be determined case by case. Circumstances such as a defendant who deliberately avoids service or is unconscious or otherwise incapacitated must be considered in determining whether process has been delivered to the defendant personally.

The requirement to deliver papers to the defendant personally should be interpreted in the context of the essential function of service, which is to give actual notice of the institution of an action. Physically leaving the summons and a copy of the complaint with the defendant in person is the generally contemplated method of personal service of process. (Earlier decisions on this subject should be read with caution because the law has changed materially. The defendant is no longer required to be shown the original papers with the seal of the court.) However, merely laying the documents on the body of a man too sick to understand their meaning is not valid service. *People ex rel Midler v Judge of Superior Court*, 38 Mich 310 (1878). Sliding the documents under the door of the person's home is not sufficient for personal service. See *People v Featherstone*, 93 Mich App 541, 286 NW2d 907 (1979) (service of subpoena).

Michigan courts have not decided whether a defendant can avoid service by refusing to accept the proffered papers from a process server after being told of their significance or whether service is complete if the documents in some way touch the defendant who has refused to accept them.

Process servers may not use force to enter an outer door of a home. However, if the process server gains entrance through the outer door without force or fraud, the privilege is gone, and the process server may force open any other door necessary to make complete service of process. *Remes v Duby*, 69 Mich App 265, 244 NW2d 440 (1976) (citing *Vanden Bogert v May*, 334 Mich 606, 611, 55 NW2d 115 (1952)).

In the case of joint defendants, service on any of the defendants is sufficient to obtain jurisdiction to enter a judgment in the cause. See *Sheldon Axle Co v Landman*, 186 Mich 61, 152 NW 914 (1915). However, in a suit where defendants held disputed land as tenants by the entireties, service on both defendants was required. *Reinecke v Sheehy*, 47 Mich App 250, 209 NW2d 460 (1973).

Nonresidents may be served personally anywhere they can be found, assuming that they have the contacts, ties, or relations with the state of Michigan which, under the provisions of MCL 600.701 et seq., enable Michigan to exercise general or limited personal jurisdiction over them. See . Statutes that provide bases for exercising personal jurisdiction also provide bases for serving process on a nonresident to effect jurisdiction.

Minors may be served personally or by substituted service on their caretakers.

Persons in government institutions may only be served by the person in charge of the institution or by someone designated by that person. MCR 2.103(C).

Parties may expressly consent to methods of process other than those provided by the court rules. *National Equip Rental, Ltd v Miller*, 73 Mich App 421, 251 NW2d 611 (1977).

## ii. Substituted Service

The term substituted service is commonly used to mean service by any means other than delivery personally to the defendant. It includes delivery to another person in lieu of delivery to the defendant and service by publication. There are two types of substituted service under the Michigan Court Rules—one that can be used without court order and one that requires a court order after a showing that the defendant could not be served by a method provided by the court rules. This section covers the first type of substituted service.

Substituted service that does not require a court order is governed by MCR 2.105(B). It explicitly provides for substituted service on certain categories of individuals: nonresidents, minors, defendants for whom a guardian or conservator has been appointed, and individuals doing business under an assumed name.

Service of process may be made: (1) on a nonresident individual, by (a) serving a summons and a copy of the complaint in Michigan on an agent, employee, representative, sales representative, or servant of the defendant, and (b) sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail addressed to the defendant at his or her last known address; (2) on a minor, by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on a person having care and control of the minor and with whom he or she resides; (3) on a defendant for whom a guardian or conservator has been appointed and is acting, by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on the guardian or conservator; (4) on an individual doing business under an assumed name, by (a) serving a summons and copy of the complaint on the person in charge of an office or business establishment of the individual, and (b) sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail addressed to the individual at his or her usual residence or last known address. MCR 2.105(B).

Service can be made on individual nonresidents by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint in Michigan on an agent, employee, representative, salesperson, or servant of the defendant, and by sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail to the defendant at his or her last known address. MCR 2.105(B)(1). Of course, registered mail alone is sufficient service under MCR 2.105(A)(2). See also *McGee v International Life Ins Co*, 355 US 220 (1957). However, service under MCR 2.105(A)(2) is effective only if the defendant acknowledges receipt of the process by signing the return receipt form. In contrast, MCR 2.105(B)(1) apparently does not require that the defendant actually receive the summons and the copy of the complaint. But cf. *Tomkiw v Saucedo*, 374 Mich 381, 132 NW2d 125 (1965). For a discussion of whether a defendant must actually receive registered mail, see *and*. However, even if such service is valid, a defendant who did not in fact have knowledge of the pendency of the action has one year after final judgment to seek relief from the judgment. MCR 2.612(B).

The provision for substituted service on nonresidents does not in any way confer jurisdiction over nonresidents. It merely states how service is to be made on those over whom the court may exercise jurisdiction arising out of the jurisdictional relationships set up in the statutes. MCL 600.705, .715, .725, .735. See— for a discussion of the right to exercise jurisdiction over nonresidents.

Substituted service may be made on a minor defendant by leaving a summons and a copy of the complaint with the person having care and control of the minor and with whom the minor resides. MCR 2.105(B)(2). However, minors do not have to be served this way; they may also be served personally. *Pioneer State Mut Ins Co v Wood*, 126 Mich App 165, 336 NW2d 887 (1983) (personal service on 17-year-old minor in juvenile detention center held to be adequate service because it gave defendant actual notice of proceedings).

Substituted service may be made on a defendant for whom a guardian or conservator has been appointed and is acting by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on the guardian or conservator. MCR 2.105(B)(3).

Substituted service may be made on an individual doing business under an assumed name by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on the person in charge of the individual's business establishment or office and sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail to the individual at his or her usual residence or last known address. MCR 2.105(B)(4). Under MCL 445.3, the plaintiff has yet another alternative for substituted service on a nonresident doing business under an assumed name. County clerks can be appointed as agents to receive service. See MCR 2.105(H)(1).

Substituted service of process is permitted in small claims court actions. MCL 600.8405.

#### b. Service on Partnerships

Partnerships are separate entities for purposes of litigation. *Yenglin v Mazur*, 121 Mich App 218, 328 NW2d 624 (1982). Partnerships may be sued in the partnership name or in the names of any of the partners. MCL 600.2051(2). See also MCR 2.201(C)(3) to the same effect. For individual partners to sue or be sued on behalf of the partnership, the partners must be designated as partners in the original pleadings. *George Morris Cruises v Irwin Yacht & Marine Corp*, 191 Mich App 409, 478 NW2d 693 (1991). A partnership would not necessarily be doing business under an assumed name. See MCL 445.1 et seq.

Service of process on a partnership or limited partnership may be made by: (1) serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on any general partner; or (2) serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on the person in charge of a partnership office or business establishment and sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail, addressed to a general partner at his or her usual residence or last known address. MCR 2.105(C); see also MCL 600.1917.

The rule makes available both personal service and substituted service on partnerships. Personal assets of individual partners are not subject to the jurisdiction of the court unless a partner is made a

party in his or her own name and served with process. *Elliott v Lavier*, 299 Mich 353, 300 NW 116 (1941).

The provisions for service on a partnership, including substituted service, apply to both residents and nonresidents, as long as the defendant has the necessary jurisdictional contacts as provided by MCL 600.701 et seq.

c. Service on Partnership Associations and Unincorporated Voluntary Associations

Service on partnership or unincorporated voluntary associations must be made by performing both of the following: (1) serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on an officer, director, trustee, agent, or person in charge of an office or business establishment of the association, and (2) sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail, addressed to an office of the association. If an office cannot be located, a summons and a copy of the complaint may be sent by registered mail to a member of the association other than the person on whom the summons and complaint was served. MCR 2.105(E) (emphasis added); see also MCL 600.1923. Service pursuant to MCR 2.105(E)(1) on an officer, director, trustee, agent, or person in charge of an office of the association can now be made either by personal service or by registered mail, return receipt requested, and delivery restricted to the addressee. MCR 2.105(A)(2).

See also MCL 600.2051(2) and MCR 2.201(C)(3), which provide that a partnership, partnership association, or any unincorporated voluntary association having a distinguishing name may sue or be sued in its partnership or association name or the names of any of its members designated as such or both. See: *George Morris Cruises v Irwin Yacht & Marine Corp*, 191 Mich App 409, 478 NW2d 693 (1991).

d. Service on Corporations

Service of process on a private corporation, whether domestic or foreign, is covered by MCR 2.105(D): (1) serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on an officer or the resident agent; (2) serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on a director, trustee, or person in charge of an office or business establishment of the corporation and sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail, addressed to the principal office of the corporation; (3) serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on the last presiding officer, president, cashier, secretary, or treasurer of a corporation that has ceased to do business by failing to keep up its organization by the appointment of officers or otherwise, or whose term of existence has expired; (4) sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail to the corporation or an appropriate corporation officer and to the Michigan Corporation and Securities Bureau if: (a) the corporation has failed to appoint and maintain a resident agent or to file a certificate of that appointment as required by law; (b) the corporation has failed to keep up its organization by the appointment of officers or otherwise; or (c) the corporation's term of existence has expired. MCR 2.105(D); see also MCL 600.1920.

Neither the court rule nor the statute makes a distinction between domestic and foreign corporations. The question of whether a corporation has the requisite relations with the state to give the court jurisdiction is determined by MCL 600.701 et seq. See— and—. This rule merely sets forth the manner in which service may be effected if jurisdiction is acquired over the corporation.

Service on any officer or the resident agent is the traditional way of acquiring personal jurisdiction over a corporation. "Service" includes either personal service or service by registered mail, as provided in MCR 2.105(A).

Defective service that gives actual notice may be adequate if it satisfies due process requirements. See *Miszewski v Knauf Constr, Inc*, 183 Mich App 312, 454 NW2d 253 (1990) (service of process against person individually would serve to put person's corporation on notice of plaintiff's claims); *Alycekay Co v Hasko Constr Co*, 180 Mich App 502, 448 NW2d 43 (1989) (failure to mail complaint to corporate office of bankrupt defendant corporation did not deprive court of personal jurisdiction over

defendant because: (1) there were indications that no corporate office existed and (2) trustee received actual notice of claim).

Substituted service as provided for in MCR 2.105(D)(2)–(4) may be made without court order, at the discretion of the party making service. MCR 2.105(D)(2) is an alternative to the traditional method of service on corporations, while MCR 2.105(D)(3) and (4) provide for substituted service on corporations under special circumstances, such as defunct corporations, and corporations that failed to comply with Michigan laws requiring the appointment of a resident agent or the appointment of officers. See, e.g., MCL 450.2011, which prohibits a foreign corporation from doing business in Michigan without procuring a certificate of authority. See *Clayton v Ann Arbor Motor Inn, Inc*, 94 Mich App 370, 288 NW2d 432 (1979) (trustee in bankruptcy is trustee within meaning of GCR 1963, 105.4(2) (now MCR 2.105(D)(2)), and personal service at principal place of business acceptable even though rule speaks of service on trustee plus service by registered mail at principal place of business). MCR 2.105(D)(3) and (4) are not the exclusive means of serving a bankrupt or defunct corporation. They are alternatives to the means of service prescribed by the other subrules of MCR 2.105(D). See also *Bunner v Blow-Rite Insulation Co*, 162 Mich App 669, 413 NW2d 474 (1987); *Clayton*.

Service of process under MCR 2.105(D)(4) (i.e., by sending the summons and complaint by registered mail to the corporation or a corporation officer and to the Michigan Corporation and Securities Bureau when the corporation has not appointed a resident agent) is proper even if the corporation is not required to appoint a resident agent. *Woods v Edgewater Amusement Park*, 381 Mich 559, 165 NW2d 12 (1969); see also *McGee v International Life Ins Co*, 355 US 220 (1957) (service by registered mail satisfies due process requirements of notice if nonresident corporation has sufficient jurisdictional contacts with state).

Service by registered mail under MCR 2.105(D) is effective regardless of whether it is received by the defendant. There is no requirement that the service by registered mail actually be received by the corporation or that the return receipt be attached to the proof of service. In *Clayton*, the court of appeals held that actual receipt of process by the corporation was not required under the circumstances of that case, where personal service was made on the trustee in bankruptcy and process was delivered (in lieu of registered mail) to the principal place of business (the address for which was false).Id.

In contrast, the nonresident motorist statute specifically requires that the return receipt be made a part of the proof of service. MCL 257.403; see *Tomkiw v Saucedo*, 374 Mich 381, 132 NW2d 125 (1965) (service made pursuant to nonresident motorist statute was not complete unless plaintiff could prove that registered mail had been received by nonresident motorist).

Service of process on a defendant insurance company is governed by MCR 2.105(F). That rule specifies the procedure for serving the commissioner of insurance, where such service is permitted by statute (the Insurance Code). See, e.g., MCL 500.456. See also MCL 600.1861, which provides that when service is made on a nongovernmental defendant by serving a public officer, such as the commissioner of insurance, that officer must forward a copy of the summons and complaint to the defendant by registered mail.

Although the restriction that precluded service on resident agents of defendant insurance companies still exists in the related statute (MCL 600.1920, MCR 2.105(F) should govern over the statute on matters of procedure. *Perin v Peuler*, 373 Mich 531, 130 NW2d 4 (1964); *Greek v Bassett*, 112 Mich App 556, 316 NW2d 489 (1982). Therefore, service on a defendant insurance company may be made by any method permitted by the court rules, usually MCR 2.105(D), and by service on the commissioner of insurance where permitted by statute.

MCR 2.105(F) applies only where the insurance company itself is a defendant, not where an insurance company is served as a means of substituted service on an individual defendant who is an insured of the insurance company. See for a discussion of service on insurance companies in lieu of service on insured alleged tortfeasors.

e. Service on Governmental Bodies

Public corporations and governmental units have long had the capacity to sue and be sued in their own names. See MCL 600.2051(4).

Service of process on a public, municipal, quasimunicipal, or governmental corporation, unincorporated board, or public body may be made by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on: (1) the chairperson of the board of commissioners or the county clerk of a county; (2) the mayor, the city clerk, or the city attorney of a city; (3) the president, the clerk, or a trustee of a village; (4) the supervisor or the township clerk of a township; (5) the president, the secretary, or the treasurer of a school district; (6) the president or the secretary of the Michigan State Board of Education; (7) the president, the secretary, or other member of the governing body of a corporate body or an unincorporated board having control of a state institution; (8) the president, the chairperson, the secretary, the manager, or the clerk of any other public body organized or existing under the constitution or laws of Michigan, when no other method of service is specially provided by statute.

The service of process may be made on an officer having substantially the same duties as those named or described above, irrespective of title. In any case, service may be made by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on a person in charge of the office of an officer on whom service may be made and sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered mail addressed to the officer at his or her office. MCR 2.105(G); see also MCL 600.1925.

Personal service on one of the officers listed in the court rule may be made pursuant to MCR 2.105(A) by personal delivery or by sending a summons and a copy of the complaint by registered or certified mail, return receipt requested, and delivery restricted to the addressee.

Substituted service may be used as an alternative to personal service by serving a person in charge of the office of one of the designated officials and sending a copy of the process by registered mail to the official at his or her office. MCR 2.105(G). Although the law is not settled, it appears that actual receipt of the registered mail by the officer is not required when served under this alternate provision. See and for a discussion of the issue of receipt of registered mail.

It is important to follow the procedure for alternative service of process prescribed in MCR 2.105(G) rather than following an alternative method that the defendant has established for accepting process. In *Tucker v Eaton*, 426 Mich 179, 393 NW2d 827 (1986), plaintiff brought an action against Detroit General Hospital and served a summons and complaint on a secretary at the hospital according to what plaintiff claimed was an alternative method for accepting process established by the hospital. The case was decided under a prior court rule that was substantially similar to MCR 2.105(G). Accelerated judgment was proper because defendant was not estopped from asserting a defect in the service, and there was no evidence that a proper official received actual notice within the limitations period.

In a court of claims action, service on the appropriate department, agency, or other arm of the state must be made in the same manner as for a complaint filed in circuit court. MCL 600.6434. An extra copy of all papers, including the original complaint, must be provided to the clerk of the court of claims who must immediately transmit it to the attorney general. However, to be certain that the attorney general receives a copy of the complaint, you should consider having the Michigan State Police or other process server (see) serve the attorney general as well as the relevant state department or agency. Even if this approach is taken, the extra copy of the papers served must be provided to the court of claims clerk.

f. Service on an Agent of the Defendant

MCR 2.105(H) permits service on an agent of the defendant authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process. Agents must be appointed in writing. (1) Service of process on a defendant may be made by serving a summons and a copy of the complaint on an agent authorized by written

appointment or by law to receive service of process. (2)Whenever, pursuant to statute or court rule, service of process is to be made on a nongovernmental defendant by service on a public officer, service on the public officer may be made by registered mail addressed to his or her office.MCR 2.105(H).

Serving the summons and a copy of the complaint on the agent can be effected by either of the methods permitted by MCR 2.105(A): personally serving the agent or sending the process to the agent by registered mail, return receipt requested, and delivery restricted to the addressee.

Numerous statutes require or impute appointment of resident agents to receive service of process for certain nonresident defendants. MCL 600.701 et seq. give the state jurisdiction over nonresident defendants based on the defendant's contacts or relations with the state, regardless of the state's power to require the nonresident to appoint a resident agent.

Corporations and other bodies often appoint resident agents to receive service of process. The Michigan Department of Industry and Consumer Services will provide the name of a Michigan corporation's resident agent. Contact the department by telephone at (900) 555-0031 (toll call) or by mail at the Records Information Unit, Corporation, Securities, and Land Development Bureau, P.O. Box 30054, Lansing, MI 48909-7554. There is also a Web site available at <http://www.commerce.state.mi.us/corp>.

Individuals may also appoint agents to receive process. Such appointment must be in writing for service on the agent to be effective. MCR 2.105(H)(1); see MCL 600.1930. This may occur, for example, in a contract in which the parties each appoint an agent for service of process for lawsuits involving that contract.

Exercise caution when relying on such an appointment. There may be serious problems concerning its adequacy and propriety. For example, if the agent has an interest antagonistic to that of the principal with respect to the particular cause of action, service on the agent as agent will not bind the principal or employer. See *John W Masury & Son v Lowther*, 299 Mich 516, 300 NW 866 (1941) (insufficient to serve agent whose relations to plaintiff or claim are such as to make it in agent's interest to suppress fact of service).

In *National Equip Rental, Ltd v Szukhent*, 375 US 311 (1964), decided under Fed R Civ P 4(d)(1), the source of MCR 2.105(H), an equipment lease (a form contract) signed by Michigan defendants appointed a New York resident as defendants' agent for the purpose of accepting service of process in New York. Defendants did not know the agent, who may have been related to an officer of the New York plaintiff. Service was made on the New York agent, who gave prompt notice of service to Michigan defendants even though not required by the contract to do so. The Supreme Court upheld the service on the agent, explicitly noting that the holding was based on the fact that the agent gave prompt notice to defendants. Cf. *Wuchter v Pizzutti*, 276 US 13 (1928) (express provision for notice to defendant must be part of any statutory scheme for substituted service on agent authorized by law).

In certain cases, statutes authorize agents to receive service of process for persons and entities engaged in certain activities. Below is a list of such statutes. Before attempting to serve process under any of these statutes, read the statute to determine its specific requirements for service of process. See also MCL 600.1930, which provides that service may be made by registered mail on a governmental agent appointed by law as agent.

- Assumed name, nonresident using. MCL 445.3. The court clerk in whose office the assumed name certificate is filed may receive process.
- Corporate surety, nonresident. MCL 550.103. To qualify to do business in Michigan, a nonresident corporate surety must appoint an attorney in Michigan to receive process. See MCR 3.604(B) (surety on bond given pursuant to court rules or RJA submits to jurisdiction of court).
- Corporations, foreign. MCL 450.2015. Foreign corporations must provide the name of the resident agent and a statement that the agent is authorized to accept service of process.
- Corporations, Michigan. MCL 450.701. Directors, managers, trustees, and other officers of Michigan corporations deemed to have appointed the resident agent of the corporation as their

agent to accept service of process for actions arising out of their position as officer, etc., of the corporation.

- Insurance companies, foreign. MCL 500.456. Provides for service of process on the commissioner of insurance.
- Insurers, unauthorized. MCL 500.1921. Appoints the commissioner of insurance as agent for service of process.
- Motorist, nonresident. MCL 257.403. Nonresident owners or operators of motor vehicles may be served by service on the secretary of state. See: *Tomkiw v Saucedo*, 374 Mich 381, 132 NW2d 125 (1965) (service pursuant to statute valid only if nonresident actually receives summons and notice of service).
- Real estate agents, nonresident. MCL 339.2514. Provides for service on Department of Licensing and Regulation. See MCL 339.104.
- Savings and loan associations, foreign. MCL 491.1116. Provides for service on commissioner of the Financial Institutions Bureau of the Michigan Department of Commerce, or other officer designated by law to administer the Savings and Loan Act of 1980, MCL 491.102 et seq.
- Vessel, nonresident owner or operator. MCL 324.80175. Appoints secretary of state to receive process.

For a discussion of whether a defendant must actually receive registered mail where a statute authorizes substituted service by service on an agent, coupled with service by registered mail.

## 6. Manner of Service—Service in the Discretion of the Court

### a. In General

In addition to the basic methods for effecting service of process prescribed in MCR 2.105(A)–(H) (see—), service may be made in any other manner reasonably calculated to give the defendant actual notice of the proceedings and an opportunity to be heard. MCR 2.105(I). However, service may be made under this subrule only when the normal methods have not been effective, and only after the court has issued an order specifically allowing an alternate method of service. (1) On a showing that service of process cannot reasonably be made as provided by this rule, the court may by order permit service of process to be made in any other manner reasonably calculated to give the defendant actual notice of the proceedings and an opportunity to be heard. (2) A request for an order under the rule must be made in a verified motion dated not more than 14 days before it is filed. The motion must set forth sufficient facts to show that process cannot be served under this rule and must state the defendant's address or last known address, or that no address of the defendant is known. If the name or present address of the defendant is unknown, the moving party must set forth facts showing diligent inquiry to ascertain it. A hearing on the motion is not required unless the court so directs. (3) Service of process may not be made under this subrule before entry of the court's order permitting it.

For service under this subrule to be valid, the plaintiff must show that service cannot reasonably be made under the other rules for service of process and that the proposed method of service is the best available method reasonably calculated to give actual notice. See: *Sechler v Vanhoey*, 83 Mich App 252, 268 NW2d 364 (1978); *Felix v Felix*, 47 Mich App 744, 209 NW2d 871 (1973) (plaintiff must attempt service under court rules before service in another manner will be permitted). The use of even ordinarily futile means of notice, like publication and posting, are constitutionally acceptable if no other available alternative is more likely to be effective. *Krueger v Williams*, 410 Mich 144, 300 NW2d 910 (1981).

A common reason for using MCR 2.105(I) is that the defendant is intentionally evading service of process. See, e.g., *Fowler v Anderson*, 25 Mich App 404, 181 NW2d 671 (1970). Service under this subrule is also appropriate where a defendant who gave identifying information (including the name of his or her insurance company) at the time of an automobile accident cannot be found at the time the action is started. *Krueger; Silvas v Kelly*, 136 Mich App 790, 357 NW2d 772 (1984).

Substituted service under MCR 2.105(I) is usually made in one of four ways:

9. on an adult member of the defendant's family at the defendant's usual residence;
10. on the defendant's insurance carrier, *Krueger*; *Hayden v Gokenbach*, 179 Mich App 594, 446 NW2d 332 (1989), amended on other grounds, 435 Mich 856, 456 NW2d 714 (1990), after remand, 190 Mich App 489, 476 NW2d 446 (1991);
11. by publication (used in extreme cases);
12. by posting (used in extreme cases). The method chosen must be the method most likely to afford notice under the circumstances. To use a method that is unlikely to give notice, such as publication, the plaintiff must make a strong, specific factual showing that no other methods of service are more likely to give notice. *Krueger*.

Service by publication and posting are available in all types of actions, not just those that may be characterized as in rem. See *id.*

MCR 2.106 specifies the requirements for making service by publication as well as for making all methods of alternative service in the discretion of the court. For a discussion of the additional requirements for service of process by publication and posting see. Service by posting is no more or less likely to inform an absent defendant of the proceedings than is notice by publication, but it is usually less expensive.

Service on an insurer of an alleged tortfeasor has been allowed where the defendant is unavailable for service. *Krueger*. Prior negotiations between the plaintiff and the defendant's liability carrier are not necessary to obtain substituted service on the liability insurer when the defendant cannot be located. *Hayden* (reversed and remanded to determine whether alleged insured covered under policy; if covered, service on insurer proper; if not covered, order quashing service proper); *Silvas*. Note that MCR 2.105(F) does not apply to this situation. That court rule applies to service on an insurer when the insurer itself is the defendant in an action. See for further discussion.

#### b. Procedure for Obtaining an Order for Service in the Discretion of the Court

Under MCR 2.105(I)(2), an order for substituted service is issued after a plaintiff files an ex parte motion (or affidavit) that states facts showing that process cannot be served under the provisions of MCR 2.105(A)–(H). The motion must be verified not more than 14 days before it is filed. Presumably, a plaintiff could file a nonverified motion with an affidavit attached. If the defendant's name or present address is unknown, the motion must state facts showing diligent inquiry to ascertain it. The motion must indicate the defendant's last known address if available.

MCR 2.119(B) governs the contents of affidavits. See for further discussion. Verification of pleadings is governed by MCR 2.114(A). This rule also applies to other papers, including motions, signed by parties and attorneys. MCR 2.113(A). See for further discussion. The attorney's signature on the motion, as required by MCR 2.119(A)(1)(d), is subject to the requirements and sanctions of MCR 2.114(E).

The facts supporting the application for service in the discretion of the court must be sufficiently detailed. In *Krueger v Williams*, 410 Mich 144, 300 NW2d 910 (1981), the supreme court stated that the verified motion or its supporting affidavits must show two things: that service of process could not reasonably have been made according to the methods prescribed in the court rules and that no better alternative means of giving notice is available than publication or another method requested by the plaintiff. See also *Hamilton v Gordon*, 135 Mich App 289, 354 NW2d 323 (1984) (seven attempts at personal service in four months sufficient grounds to seek service in court's discretion); *Prosoli v Mullins*, 111 Mich App 8, 314 NW2d 508 (1981) (specificity required in affidavit in support of motion for service in court's discretion).

No hearing is held on the motion unless the court requires one. Proof of service made under this court rule is governed by MCR 2.104. See for further discussion. Proof of service by publication or posting is governed by MCR 2.106(G).

See for an ex parte verified motion for service of process in the discretion of the court and for an affidavit in support of motion for service of process on defendant's insurance carrier. See for an order for service at defendant's usual place of residence or on the insurance carrier. See SCAO form MC 304, Order for Alternative Service.

c. Service of Process by Publication or Posting

The procedures for obtaining an order for service by publication and by posting are prescribed in MCR 2.105(I). MCR 2.106(B). See for further discussion. MCR 2.106 specifies additional requirements for orders and for proof of service when service by publication or posting is allowed.

A plaintiff seeking authorization for substituted service by publication or posting must request that the court determine whether service of the order for publication must also be made by registered mail. Under MCR 2.106(D)(2), (E)(2), a plaintiff who makes service by publication and posting must also send the defendant a copy of the order allowing such service, by registered mail, return receipt requested, if the plaintiff knows the present or last known address of the defendant. The court will decide whether mailing is required in its ruling on a motion for service by publication or posting. If service by registered mail is required by the court, such service must be made before the date of the last publication or before the last week of posting. MCR 2.106(B).

The court rules specify the contents of an order for publication or posting: (1)The order directing that notice be given to a defendant under this rule must include: (a) the name of the court, (b) the names of the parties, (c) a statement describing the nature of the proceedings, (d) directions about where and when to answer or take other action permitted by law or court rule, and (e) a statement as to the effect of failure to answer or take other action. (2)If the names of some or all defendants are unknown, the order must describe the relationship of the unknown defendants to the matter to be litigated in the best way possible, as, for example, unknown claimants, unknown owners, or unknown heirs, devisees, or assignees of a named person.MCR 2.106(C). See for an order for service of process by publication. See also SCAO MC 307, Order for Service by Publication/Posting and Notice of Action.

Service by publication is made by publishing a copy of the order allowing such service once each week for three consecutive weeks (or longer if the court requires), in a newspaper in the county where the defendant resides, if known, or in the county where the action is pending. MCR 2.106(D)(1). The term newspaper is defined in MCR 2.106(F).

Service by posting is made by posting a copy of the order in the courthouse and in two or more other public places as the court may direct for three continuous weeks, or longer if the court so orders. MCR 2.106(E)(1). The order must designate who is to post the notice and file proof of posting. Persons who may be designated to post the notice are listed in MCR 2.103(B): a sheriff or deputy sheriff, a bailiff, a court officer appointed by the court for the purpose of service, a Michigan State Police officer in an action in which the state is a party, or a police officer in an action in which the police officer's city or village is a party. MCR 2.106(E).

Proof of service for service of process made by publication or posting is controlled by the court rules: (1) Publication must be proven by an affidavit of the publisher or the publisher's agent (a) stating facts establishing the qualification of the newspaper in which the order was published, (b) setting out a copy of the published order, and (c) stating the dates on which it was published. (2) Posting must be proven by an affidavit of the person designated in the order under subrule (E) attesting that a copy of the order was posted for the required time in the courthouse in a conspicuous place open to the public and in the other places as ordered by the court. (3)Mailing must be proven by affidavit. The affiant must attach a copy of the order as mailed, and a return receipt.MCR 2.106(G). See also MCR 2.106(D)(2) and (E)(2), which provide that the moving party is responsible for mailing and proof of mailing.

## 7. Service Outside the State

The limits to which Michigan courts may exercise jurisdiction over defendants is governed by the U.S. Constitution and the Michigan Constitution, as defined in RJA chapter 7. (1) Provisions for service of process contained in these rules are intended to satisfy the due process requirement that a defendant be informed of an action by the best means available under the circumstances. These rules are not intended to limit or expand the jurisdiction given the Michigan courts over a defendant. The jurisdiction of a court over a defendant is governed by the United States Constitution and the constitution and laws of the State of Michigan. See MCL 600.701 et seq. (2) There is no territorial limitation on the range of process issued by a Michigan court. (3) An action shall not be dismissed for improper service of process unless the service failed to inform the defendant of the action within the time provided in these rules for service. MCR 2.105(J).

The issue of when Michigan courts may exercise personal jurisdiction over nonresidents is discussed in—. Essentially, service of process can be made once the nonresident is determined to have any of the contacts, ties, or relations with the state of Michigan which, under the RJA, enable Michigan courts to exercise general or limited personal jurisdiction over the nonresident. In other words, statutes that provide bases for exercising personal jurisdiction in effect provide bases for service of process on a nonresident to affect such jurisdiction. Once those jurisdictional requirements have been met, service of process may be made in any manner provided by the court rules. See— for a discussion of the methods of service of process permitted by the court rules.

## 8. Proof of Service of Process

MCR 2.104 authorizes three alternative methods of acceptable proof of service of process:

1. written acknowledgment by the person served;
2. certificate of a sheriff, an officer, an appointed court officer, or an attorney for a party if service is made within Michigan; or
3. an affidavit of any other person making service, or of a person making service outside Michigan. The party requesting that the summons be issued is responsible for filing proof of service. See MCR 2.104(C); see also MCL 600.1910.

A certificate of service can be used only if the service was made in Michigan. A sheriff or officer can file a certificate of service only if he or she served process in the county or other jurisdiction in which the officer has authority. In other situations, an affidavit of service must be filed.

An acknowledgment of service must be dated and signed by the person to whom the service is directed or by a person authorized under the rules to receive the service of process. MCR 2.104(A)(1). See for an acknowledgment of service.

An affidavit of service made in another state must comply with MCL 600.2102.

MCR 2.104 requires that the method of service be stated specifically, including the manner, time, date, and place of service. The place of service must be described by giving the address where the service was made or by giving another description of the location where an address is not available. An affidavit of service must state the process server's official capacity, if any. MCR 2.104(A)(3).

Forms for proof of service and acknowledgment of service are on the back of SCAO form MC 01. Separate forms or documents prepared by plaintiff's counsel or the person making service will be required when proving service of process that was made by two different methods. For example, MCR 2.105(C)(2), governing service on a partnership, requires personal service on a person in charge of a business establishment and registered mail to the partnership's principal office.

Failure to make proof of service does not affect the validity of the service. MCR 2.104(B). However, if proof of service is not filed and the defendant does not file any pleadings or other documents within 91 days after the complaint was filed, the action will be dismissed automatically regarding any defendant for whom the file does not indicate that service of process has been made. MCR 2.102(E)(1), (2). The dismissal can be set aside only on stipulation of the parties or if all three of the following are true:

1. service of process was in fact timely made,
2. proof of service was filed or the failure to file is excused for good cause shown, and
3. the motion to set aside the dismissal was filed within 28 days after "notice of the order of dismissal was given, or, if notice of dismissal was not given," 28 days after plaintiff learned of the dismissal. MCR 2.102(F).

Defects in the return of service may be corrected by amendment, which is liberally allowed.

See regarding proof of service for service of process made by publication or posting.

## B. Service of Papers After Action Commenced

### 1. In General

MCR 2.107 contains the requirements for the service of written papers after an action is commenced. See also MCL 600.1965. Unless there is a different provision in the rules for a specific situation, any party who has filed a pleading, an appearance, or a motion is entitled to be served with every written paper filed thereafter. A nonparty who has filed a motion or appeared in response to a motion must be served with all papers that relate to that motion. MCR 2.107(A)(1), (4). This might occur, for example, when a nonparty files a motion to quash a subpoena or to prevent discovery.

After a default has been entered against a party, further service of papers need not be made on that party except in three instances:

1. The defaulted party must be served with documents relating to the default, including notice of the default pursuant to MCR 2.603(A)(2), and notice of the request for default judgment when required by MCR 2.603(B)(1)(a), as modified by subrule (B)(1)(d).
2. The defaulted party is entitled to service of all subsequent papers if the defaulted party has entered an appearance or a written demand for service of papers. MCR 2.107(A)(2).
3. Any pleading that states a new claim for relief against the defaulted party must be served on the defaulted party in the same manner provided for service of the summons and complaint, by personal service or by another method of service permitted under MCR 2.105. MCR 2.107(A)(2).

### 2. Manner of Service

Because there is no separate provision in the court rules governing service of pleadings asserting new and additional claims, except those asserted against defaulted parties (see), it would appear that such documents may be served in the same manner provided for all other subsequent papers. However, it is wise to serve all pleadings asserting new or additional claims in the same manner the original summons and complaint were served, to preclude the possibility of attack by a motion for summary disposition.

The rules for serving papers after the original service of the complaint and summons are specified in MCR 2.107(B)–(D). If an attorney has appeared for a party, subsequent papers must be served on the attorney. MCR 2.107(B)(1). There are three exceptions to that rule:

1. A notice of contempt proceedings must be personally delivered to the party unless the court orders otherwise. MCR 2.107(B)(1)(b).

2. After final judgment and after the time for appeal of right has passed, papers must be served on the party unless the rule governing the particular postjudgment procedure specifically allows service on an attorney. MCR 2.107(B)(1)(c).
3. The court may order service on the party. MCR 2.107(B)(1)(d).

Where two or more attorneys represent one party, service on one of the attorneys is sufficient. An attorney who represents more than one party is entitled to service of only one copy of a paper. MCR 2.107(B)(2).

Service on an attorney is made by delivery or by mailing to the attorney's last known business address or, if none, to his or her last known residence address. MCR 2.107(C). First-class mail is sufficient, and service is complete upon mailing. MCR 2.107(C)(3); *Wilson v General Motors Corp*, 183 Mich App 21, 454 NW2d 405 (1990).

When a party has no attorney, service may be made by delivering or mailing a copy of subsequent pleadings to the party. MCR 2.107(C). Delivery to a party means handing it to the party personally or leaving it at the party's usual residence with some person of suitable age and discretion residing there. MCR 2.107(C)(2). Mailing is by first-class mail. MCR 2.107(C)(3).

In circumstances where service cannot be made on the party or the party's attorney, a party may file an ex parte motion seeking an alternate method of service. MCR 2.107(E); see also MCL 600.1972.

Time for service of papers varies with the nature of the paper served and the type of service used (personal or mail). See for a table of times when pleadings and motions must be filed. See MCR 2.108 (time for service and filing of various pleadings and other papers); MCR 2.119(C) (motions); MCR 2.602(B), (D) (orders and judgments).

### 3. Numerous Parties

MCR 2.107(F) establishes a procedure to relax the service requirement in cases where there are multiple parties on whom papers must be served. It authorizes the court to dispense with service of papers between parties on the same side and to permit responses to pleadings to be served only on the pleading party and indicates the effect of such an order on specific documents. The court on its own initiative, or a party by motion, may invoke the rule. See also MCL 600.1973.

In an action in which there is an unusually large number of parties on the same side, the court on motion or on its own initiative may order that: (1) they need not serve their papers on each other; (2) responses to their pleadings need only be served on the party to whose pleading the response is made; (3) a cross-claim, counterclaim, or allegation in an answer demanding a reply is deemed denied by the parties not served; and (4) the filing of a pleading and service on an adverse party constitutes notice of it to all parties.

A copy of the order must be served on all parties in the manner the court directs. MCR 2.107(F). See for a motion to alter service of papers between defendants and for an order altering requirements of service of papers.

### 4. Proof of Service

Proof of service of papers subsequent to the initial pleadings may be by a written acknowledgment of service, an affidavit of the person making the service, a statement regarding the service verified under MCR 2.114(A), or other proof satisfactory to the court. MCR 2.107(D). Such proof may be included at the end of the document served rather than in a separate document. Proof of service must be filed promptly, at least at or before a related hearing.

## 5. Effect of Failure to Serve

Failure to serve papers on a party entitled to service may adversely affect the finality of an order or judgment. In *Petrosian v Frizell*, 25 Mich App 141, 181 NW2d 10 (1970), the court held that in spite of settlements and stipulations between original parties to the litigation, including the employer of the injured employee and the third party, the worker's compensation insurer retained its cause of action where the insurer had intervened in the litigation between the original parties but had never been given notice of the settlement and stipulations.

Additionally, sanctions exist for filing improper documents, such as proof of service that was not in fact made. See MCR 2.114(E) (sanctions for signing pleading in violation of court rule that indicates import of attorney's signature on papers filed in actions).