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The editing of the Latin poetry of the Middle Ages has proceeded very slowly; it has fallen somewhere between the classicists and the modern philologists. So it has happened that the works of many of the abler mediaeval poets have not received much critical attention. The success of Master Henry of Avranches as a poet in his day and the abundance of the evidence about his life make him an instructive and interesting subject for study. This edition of the shorter poems relating to England is the continuation of the attention which one of us (Mr Russell) gave to the poet while a graduate student at Harvard studying with Professor Haskins. In 1927-28 we studied the poet's grammatical works and found them unexpectedly interesting. In recent years a Bollandist, Father Grosjean, has transcribed the long saints' lives of the poet and will edit them in the Analecta Bollandiana; the Life of St Francis has appeared already. He has also written an interesting article upon the poet. The long diatribe by Michael of Cornwall against Master Henry has been edited by Professor A. Hilka.

Our present object is twofold: (1) to present a general introduction to the study of Master Henry of


3. XLIII (1925), 95 ff. The Franciscans of Quaracchi are preparing an edition of this poem based on all the known manuscripts.

4. 'Magister Henricus de Abrincis,' Dublin Studies, (1928), 295-308.

Avranches, and (2) to edit the shorter Latin poems relating to England. The general introduction includes an account of the sources from which our knowledge of Master Henry's poetry is derived, a short history of his reputation, and a sketch of his life, together with some observations upon the significance of his career. In the edition of his poems we have followed a chronological arrangement as far as possible. Such an arrangement is chosen in the hope that it will aid in following the career and development of the poet. The disadvantage of the arrangement is that chronological evidence in the poems is so uneven; some poems are clearly written within a few days or weeks of an event, others may have been written at any time in the poet's life. Poems apparently belonging to a limited period in the author's life are grouped by patrons or types of patronage: courtier poems in and about 1221-1222, for instance. This makes more evident the effect of patronage upon Master Henry's poetry. In our collaboration Mr Heironimus has been primarily responsible for the Latin text and Mr Russell for the other part, but we have constantly shared our perplexities with each other.1

For courtesies received in the preparation of this edition we are indebted to the Cambridge University Library, the British Museum, the Bodleian Library, the Harvard College Library, the Guggenheim Foundation, and the American Council of Learned Societies. Permission granted by President Mierow of Colorado College to republish material which appeared in the Colorado College Publication, December, 1927, is hereby gratefully acknowledged. The following individuals have given advice and helpful suggestions: Mr G. W. Robinson, Professor G. R. Coffman of the University of North Carolina, Father Paul Grosjean, S. J., Professor J. F. Willard of the University of Colorado, and Dean Elbert Russell of Duke University. We are under special obligation to Professor Charles Homer Haskins of Harvard University, under whose direction Mr Russell began his study of Master Henry, and whose advice and encouragement have been given generously at all stages of our work, and also to Mr W. B. Sedgwick of Leicester, England, who has read our work in manuscript and suggested many improvements in the Latin text. The text of the poems likewise owes much to the advice of the Mediaeval Academy's reader, to whom are due in particular the emendations in Nos. 6, 1.34; 35, 1.30; 93, 1.4; 127, 11.63 and 90.

1. Since most of the important references to men and events appear in the rather detailed table of contents, it has not been thought necessary to provide an index.
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CATALOGUE OF THE POEMS ATTRIBUTED TO MASTER HENRY OF AVRANCHES

1. LIFE OF ST THOMAS A BECKET. A, fol. 1r-25r. 'Virtutis sermo, sanctorum magnificentum / hic semel, hic semper cru(c)i)atur mentis agone.' To be edited by Paul Grosjean in the Analecta Bollandiana. See p. 69 for edition of introduction.

2. TRANSLATION OF ST THOMAS À BECKET. A, fol. 25r-29r. 'Sub modio lumen, census sub clave sepultus / et circumcisa fructum faciente loquela.' Edited on p. 71.

3. VERSIFIED RULE OF GRAMMAR. A, fol. 29r. 'Nominis omnis in o.'

4. TO ROBERT. A, fol. 29v. 'Tu bene Robertus, quasi robur, thus, bene robur / temperies, veris gratia, thuris odor.'

5. RULE OF GRAMMAR IN PROSE. A, fol. 29v. 'Ista duo verba,' etc.

6. REVELATIONS AFTER THE MARTYRDOM OF ST THOMAS À BECKET. A, fol. 30r-31r. 'Ecclesie matris in planctum vertitur omnis / ille statim secli non redivus ait.' Edited on p. 44.

7. OLD DEAN HAMO. A, fol. 31. 'Olim piscator hominum quasi piscis ab hamo / interitum solis ausa videro dies.' Edited on p. 62; also in Camden, Remaines of a Greater Worke (London, 1605), Poetry, p. 41.

8. A DISPUTED ELECTION. A, fol. 31v. 'Labitur ex facili quicquid natura sophia / fons inter latices, sapidum far inter avenas.' Edited on p. 83.

9. TO STEPHEN LANGTON AGAINST THE PRIOR OF CANTERBURY. A, fol. 31v-32r. 'Sepe quiescentem iuvat meminisse laborum / Stephane tocius iubar admirabile secli.' Edited on p. 92; edited also by E. Winkelmann, 'Vier Gedichte des dreizehnten Jahrhunderts,' Monatsschrift für die Geschichte Westdeutschlands, IV (1878), 339.

10. TO FREDERICK II. A, fol. 32r-v. 'Coram principibus nisi multis ceca favorem / fluminis et lucem solis iuvo luce lucerne.' Published by Winkelmann in Forschungen zur deutschen Geschichte, XVIII (1878), 484-492.

11. TO FREDERICK II. A, fol. 32v-35v. 'Principis ut summí sinat excellentia dicam / aut tua me tanquam socium'
decorabit honestas! Published with No. 10.

12. TO FREDERICK II. A, fol. 33v. 'Ne quando tua gesta vacent, o maxime rerum / et per secla tibi dabit indelebile nomen.' Published with No. 10.


15. LES IX JOIES NOSTRE DAME. A, foll. 45r-46v. 'Reine de pietie Marie / Dolent il en cele haute iglise.' Possibly by Rutebeuf; A. Kressner, Rustebeufs Gedichte (Wolfenbuttel, 1885), 201-206.

16. SERMON OF ST ANDREW. A, foll. 47r-50v. 'Humane menti cum naturaliter insit / fecit et architipo tribuit quod sensilis esset.'

17. PROPHECY OF ST HILDEGARD CONCERNING THE NEW PRIORS. A, foll. 51r-57v. 'Ecclesie dicit pastoribus is qui erat et qui est / cismatis hanc medio subtraxi tempore.'

18. DONATUS VERSIFIED. A, foll. 58r-60v. 'Integra conficitur oratio partibus octo / ut pape, tristis ut heu, seu quod conforme sit istic.' Published by Heironimus and Russell in Colorado College Publication (February, 1929).


20. TRANSLATION OF SALISBURY CATHEDRAL. A, foll. 92v-96r; D, foll. 157r-162r. 'Ecclesiam cur transtulerit Salisberiensem / presulis affectus, artificumque fides.' Published below, p. 110.


25. HYMN TO ST EDMUND. A, foll. 137r and 193v; Oxford, Trinity College, MS 74, fol. 210 (XIII cent.). 'Stupet caro, stupet mundus / assequamur premia.' Published by Hervey, op. cit. p. 221.

26. HYMN TO ST EDMUND. A, foll. 137r and 194r; Brit. Mus. Add. MS 25588 (XV cent.); Brit. Mus. MS Harley 3866 (XV cent.); Cambridge, Clare College, MS 17. Published by Hervey, op. cit. p. 222; also in all five versions in Analecta Hymnica Medii Aevi, XL (1902), 172.

27. IN HONOR OF ST THOMAS À BECKET. A, foll. 137v-142v; Cambridge, Corpus Christi College, MS 459, fol. 136ff. 'Archilevita Thomas et cancellarius Anglis / est laus, est virtus, est sine fine decus. Amen.' Edited below, p. 37.

28. CRISPIN AND CRISPINIAN. A, foll. 142v-148v. 'Imperii sceptrum consortae Diocliciano / ascendunt anime, corpore truncu iacent.'

29. HYMN TO MARY. A, fol. 148v; Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Rawlinson C 510, fol. 26. 'Anna partu solvitur / Amen dicant omnia.' Published in Analecta Hymnica Medii Aevi, XL (1902), 114.

30. MAXIMS. A, fol. 149r. 'Tutus erit quicumque,' etc.

31. FABLES OF ANTI-AVIANUS. A, foll. 149v-152r. 'Iurat anus flenti puero ni supprimat iram / vite presentis forma sequentis hiem.' Published by L. Hervieux, Les fabulistes latins (Paris, 1894), III, 468-474.

32. EPIPHANY. A, fol. 153 and foll. 171v-172r. 'Sidereus splendor illuminat aera cuius / rex populi rector nardus odore vigens.'

33. HENRY AND PETER AT THE PAPAL CURIA. A, fol. 154r. 'In mota lite michi det procedere rite / sufficiat Petro secum contendere metro.'
34. TO RICHARD MARSH, BISHOP OF DURHAM. A, foll. 154v-155r. 'Omnis adulator michi displicet, at tamen ipse / res me parva iuvat, spes michi magna nocet.' Edited below, p. 93.

35. GENERATION AND CORRUPTION. A, foll. 156r-165r. 'O clara cleri concio / in cura quod erit sed differet et modus ipse.' Prologue edited below, p. 102.

36. TO ROBERT PASSELEWE. A, fol. 165. 'Unica tres titulos ne tollat littera, sicut / est magis intensum procul in te quam sit in ipsa.' Edited below, p. 95.

37. TO JOHN. A, fol. 165v. 'Nomen habes non inmerito divina Iohannes / enfatice gratis munus habebo tuum.' Edited below, p. 33.

38. TO EUSTACE FALCONBERG, BISHOP OF LONDON. A, foll. 165v-166r. 'Eustachii, nuper bene stabas, nunc bene stabis / ebraicum didicit ebraicumque libri.' Edited below, p. 84.

39. TO RALPH NEVILLE, BISHOP OF CHICHESTER. A, fol. 166r. 'Successu Nova Villa sui iuvenescit alumnii / gratia quod minor est laus mea dote tua.' Edited below, p. 94.

40. TO RALPH NEVILLE, BISHOP OF CHICHESTER. A, fol. 166. 'Iam tu flos es Anglicorum / <medium> quin iuves ante peream.' Edited below, p. 94.


42. TO GEOFFREY DE BOCLAND. A, fol. 169. 'Liberat a viciis liber omnes, liber es ergo / et merear precio nominis esse tui.' Edited below, p. 54.

43. FEAST OF ALL SAINTS. A, foll. 169v-171r; also formerly in Brit. Mus. MS Cotton Vitellius D VIII, now destroyed; see p. 11. 'Ecce dies toti mundo celeberimus, ecce / sit laus et virtus et honor per secula cuncta. Amen.' Edited below, p. 48.

44. TO STEPHEN LANGTON. A, fol. 171. 'Stephane, te sublimat sic honor te sanctificans ut / ut tibi conformer exterio precor.' Edited below, p. 54.

45. TO ENGELBERT, ARCHBISHOP OF COLOGNE. A, foll. 172r-173v. 'Engelberte, viri gladio fungentis utroque / exprimo vado pedes et nudus gratia cleri.'

46. TO ENGELBERT, ARCHBISHOP OF COLOGNE. A, foll. 173v-174r. 'Barbarus v variat in b. Nos ergo Latini / scis dare ius, debes, et potes, ergo dabis.'
47. TO EUSTACE FALCONBERG, BISHOP OF LONDON. A, fol. 174. 'Eustachio bona scit stacio qui firma columna / invidiosus enim multis non invidus ulli.' Edited below, p. 85.

48. LIFE OF ST OSWALD. A, fol. 175r-187r; Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Bodley 40, fol. 57v-69r. 'In nova fert animus antiquas vertere prosas / et virtus et nunc et semper et omne per evum. Amen.' Prologue edited below, p. 119.


62. HENRY III TO THE SURGEONS ATTENDING JOHN MANSEL. A, fol. 187r. 'Cum sis Romanus, Cincy, tuus est mihi vanus / ni facias recte, per regem lex ligat hec te.' Edited below, p. 157. Probably by Michael of Cornwall.

63. FRAGMENT. A, fol. 188r. 'Carbones, charbuns,' etc.

64. TO ST MARY. A, fol. 188v; Paris, Bibl. Nat. MS lat. 11867 (here it follows prose of Neckam and is attributed to him); Oxford, MS Digby 2, anonymous. For edition of B.N. lat. 11867 see Analecta Hymnica Medii Aevi, XLVIII, 269; of Oxford Digby 2 see ibid., XX, 140.

65-67. FRAGMENTS. A, fol. 189r.

68. TO SIMON DE SULLY, ARCHBISHOP OF BOURGES. A, fol. 180v-190r. 'Advocatus iustitie / honorum privilegia.'

69. TO MILO DE NANTEUIL, BISHOP OF BEAUVAIS. A, fol. 190.

70. JOYS OF THE VIRGIN. A, fol. 190v. For other versions, all later than the thirteenth century, see Analecta Hymnica Medii Aevi, XV, 96, 97; XXXI, 180, 197; XLII, 83. 'Gaude virgo, mater Christi / in terra viventium. Amen.'

71. TO HENRY OF MOLENARK, ARCHBISHOP OF COLOGNE. A, fol. 191. 'Presul Agrippine, vir magne, vir inclite, qui ne / rerum servator pocius quam dilapidator.' Published with No. 9.

72. TO MILO DE NANTEUIL, BISHOP OF BEAUVAIS. A, fol. 191v-192r. 'Summa Deus natura boni cur deseris orbem / enervatus honor precipitatus apex.'

73. TO MILO DE NANTEUIL, BISHOP OF BEAUVAIS. A, fol. 192r-193r. 'Hactenus invidie te, Milo, lima momordit / obfuscabit enim par habitudo tui.'

74. RULE OF LOGIC. A, fol. 193r. 'Omnibus in rebus sunt,' etc.

75. ON SALOME. A, fol. 183v. 'Nil pretendat mundo triste / mente nos confedera. Amen.' Published in Analecta Hymnica Medii Aevi, XL, 283.
76. A KIND OF APOCALYPSE. A, fol. 194r. 'Fratris (utcumque Cinthia) / dedit in exterminium.'

77. TO ROBERT PASSELEWE. A, fol. 194. 'Summum conscendens apicem / noster defectus sequitur.' Edited below, p. 96.

78. TO MILO DE NANTEUIL, BISHOP OF BEAUVAIN. A, fol. 194v-195r. 'Quo, quali, quanto, possum te carmine tanto / hic segetas letas spargis ibique metas.'

79. INHOSPITABLE GERMANY. A, fol. 195v. 'Miramur de Germania / quasi vermium aciem.' Published with No. 9.

80-87. FRAGMENTS. A, fol. 196r-199r.


89. THE LIFE OF ST FRANCIS. A, fol. 200r-227v; Assisi, MS 338, fol. 54r-71v; Versailles, MS 8, fol. 73-99. Cambridge, Pembroke College, MS 280, fol. 151r-167v. For edition of all MSS see new edition by the Franciscans of Quaracchi. For previous editions see Speculum, III, 59.

90. AGAINST LAMBEKINUS, A, fol. 238. 'Cur, Lambekine, longo tegis ulcera crine / vel te festine confundet pena ruine.' Edited below, p. 28; edited also by Winkelmann, Monatschrift fur die Geschichte Westdeutschlands, IV (1878), 342.

91. AGAINST LAMBERT. A, fol. 238. 'Non tibi, Lamberte, parcam. Si carmina per te / hec condi certe dicas, male dicis aperte.' Edited with No. 90.

91A. THE POET'S RECEPTION IN ENGLAND. A, fol. 238. 'Me quern Theutonica regio produxit ad ortum / spurius a Claris cognoscitur, hircus ab agnis.' Edited with No. 90.

92. TO ST ALBAN. A, fol. 238. 'Do grates, Albane, tibi, qui Pantaleonis / agnosca! fator michi te, venerande, patro-num.' Edited with No. 90.

93. DEBATE BETWEEN CONRADULUS AND AN ENGLISHMAN. A, fol. 238. 'Non valet audire mala plus Conradulus ire / Anglis Theutonica nec non Francisc inimice.' Edited with No. 90.

94. TO WILLIAM OF TRUMPINGTON, ABBOT OF ST ALBANS. Brit. Mus. MS Cotton Nero D I, fol. 145. 'Ostendam sermonem brevi quis et unde sit abbass / de rebus voces cedere rebus habent.' Published in Matthew Paris, Chronica Maiora, ed. Luard, Rolls Series (London, 1882), VI, 62; also below, p. 100.

96. BEER. A part of No. 23. Appeared also in Cambridge University Library, MS Ll i 15, fol. 23, with the colophon, 'Henricus Abrincensis tempore Henrici 3.' For its history see p. 15.

97. ALTERCATIO INTER MAGISTRUM HENRICUM DE ALBRINCIS ET LEONIUM THEUTONICUM. See pp. 5, n.1, 11, 27.

98. CERTAMEN INTER REGEM IOHANNEM ET BARONES. See p. 30.

99. EPITAPH OF WILLIAM MARSHALL. See pp. 6 f., 52 f.

100. DE QUODAM LOCO UBI PROPOSUIT STUDERE. See p. 10.

101. QUEDAM SEQUENTIA DE BEATA VIRGINE. See p. 10.

102. HYMN TO THE VIRGIN. Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Digby 172, fol. 123v (Colophon: 'M. Henricus versificator magnus de Beata Virgene'); MS Rawlinson C 510, fol. 1 (XIII cent.); Brit. Mus. MS Royal 7 A VI, fol. 98 (XIV cent.). Published in Analecta Hymnica Medii Aevi, XX, 144-147.


104. STEPHEN AND SAUL. D, fol. 151r. 'Solus et sapientia / nullus curat attendere.'

105. THE CITY. D, fol. 151. 'Intrarit clausam quicumque paludibus urbem / nam quod clausa manent interiora luunt.'

106. TO ALDRICH (BISHOP OF TRENT?). D, fol. 151v. 'Qui sic Aldrice propellere te voluerunt / hoste triumphato plus metuendus eris.'

107. FEAST OF ST NICHOLAS. D, foll. 151v-152r. 'Festa subalternant: Nicholaos ille vocavit / nos qui convive sumus huius, simus et eius,' etc.

108. TO HUGH DE LA TOUR, BISHOP OF CLERMONT. D, fol. 152. 'Roma tuum nomen exaltat, episcopie Clari / et res est voci consona voxque rei.'

109. TO A JUDGE. D, fol. 152v. 'Sume librum iuris doctor verrique relator / introeas quando plus villicus esse nequi-bis.'

110. TO BERTHOLD. D, foll. 152v-153r. 'Multos tu multus facis hic, Bertholde, tumultus / seu bene sive male sit michi, vive vale.'

111. TO NICHOLAS OF PIACENZA, BISHOP OF SPOLITO AND PATRIARCH-ELECT OF CONSTANTINOPLE. D, fol. 153r. 'Te, Nicholae, decus quod promovet amovet et qui / teque docente regat unus utrosque Deus.'
112. TO GREGORY IX UPON THE POET'S DIFFICULTIES AT MAASTRICHT. D, foll. 153r-154r. 'Sancte Pater, si queque tuos inuriam sensus / dampnet et indempnem tua me precor ultio reddat.'

113. TO NICHOLAS OF PIACENZA. D, fol. 154r. 'Pontificem placidum genuit Placentia, qui dum / laude coronentur tua qui vexilla sequuntur.'

114. TO GREGORY IX UPON THE POET'S DIFFICULTIES IN GERMANY. D, fol. 154. 'Sancte Pater, cuius regit excellentia mundum / inferres oculusque tuus non parceret ulli.'

115. TO A JUDGE. D, fol. 154v. 'Ardinis ut video sacri reverende professor / et tunc liberius potero decernere verum.'

116. TO GREGORY IX UPON THE POET'S DIFFICULTIES IN GERMANY. D, fol. 155r. 'Sancte Pater, cause brevis est tenor. Ambo potentem / ferre potentatu cuiuslibet arma tyranni.'

117. THE SAME. D, foll. 155r-156r. 'Stat sublime secus et inexpugnabile castrum / prelia predati bona sunt, nichil iste reliquit.'

118. TO SIEGFRIED III OF EPPENSTEIN, ARCHBISHOP OF MAYENCE. D, fol. 156v. 'Aptatos, Sifride, diu bene veneris omnes / si quis erardinos spoliaret iure veterno.'

119. TO THE SAME. D, foll. 156v-157r. 'Neve susurronum predictis curia vellet / nec livor tibi detrhaeret nisi maxime esses.'

120. TO THE SAME. D, fol. 157. 'Hec sunt que de te vulgaris opinio ponit / confugiens supplex reliquos mea musa relinquat.'

121. TO EGBERT. D, fol. 157v. 'Vir dilecte Deo, magnatum flos Egeberte / liber captivi, patruus miserere nepotis.'

122. TO GREGORY IX. D, foll. 157v-158r. 'Sancte Pater, cuius dispositione omne manu ius / mitentum peteris huius nec plura peteris.'

123. TO SIEGFRIED III OF EPPENSTEIN. D, fol. 158r. 'Si Statensisis honos relevans de pulvere pronos / et cum vestes tum bene scis tu quid sit honestum.'

124. THE CARNIVAL. D, fol. 158v-159r. 'Festa celebrando Carniprivalia quando / tot quia prebentur fastidia longa sequuntur.'

125. ON ODO. D, fol. 158r. 'Spera figurarum cum sis pulchererrima, finis / extremo medium se dare dicet Odo.'

126. DEATH OF MILO OF NANTEUIL. D, fol. 158v. 'O mors digna mori clarum clerique Milonem / tale mort sit ei vita, perire salus.'

127. TO GREGORY IX IN FAVOR OF JOHN BLUND. D, foll. 162r-166r.
'Sancte Pater, cuius discretio cismata mundi / immo repulsabis ficte convicia labis.' Published below, p. 129.

128. THE BOURGES-BORDEAUX CONTROVERSY. D, foll. 166r-168r. 'Pressos erumnis relevans tibi quinque columnnis / digne-is musas et eis attendere plus has.'

129. BORDO POEMS. (1) D, fol. 168v. 'Petre Siler qui petra sile iam noster Homere / set meus irasci tibi vix dignatur Apollo.'

130. BORDO. (2) D, foll. 168v-169r. 'Ad nova qui nichil es, Petre, versibus in veteranis / et tibi dicetur "Lazare, vade foras."

131. TO MICHAEL OF VILLOISEAU, BISHOP OF ANGERS. D, fol. 169. 'Discusser veri, decus admirable cleri / musa subire rudis pro verbis verbera crudis.'

132. BORDO. (3) D, fol. 169v. 'Est attendenda tibi lis, tum de profitenda / ferre tuum presto qui iustus es arbiter esto.'

133. BORDO. (4) D, foll. 169v-170v. 'Nuper in Andegavi que nosco docere putavi / incurvavit honos, ideo sic ambulo pronus.'

134. BORDO. (5) D, fol. 170v. 'Fingunt fraude pari quod sit michi mos imitari / ergo fidem deme mentitis turpia de me.'

135. BORDO. (6) D, foll. 170v-171r. 'Improerant quia sum quasi cecus, quem mihi casum / imperat huic Phebo morientur quando iubebo.'

136. BORDO. (7) D, fol. 171. 'Solivagum minime socialem Bordo tibi me / passa Milonis equum modo vult contendere mecum.'

137. BORDO. (8) D, foll. 171v-172r. 'Basochie misere ve vobis quot periere / dente caballino furiis agitatus ut Yno.'

138. BORDO. (9) D, fol. 172. 'Bordo ferocis equi soboles nequissima me qui / arte salutari Bordonis posse iuvari.'

139. BORDO. (10) D, foll. 172v-173r. 'Quid loquar? Erravi quando te, Bordo, vocavi / semper eris Bordo, sic fati permanet ordo.'

140. BORDO. (11) D, foll. 173v-174r. 'Petre Siler, socie Bordonis, predo sophie / motus enim iuste calcarem sub pedibus te.'

141. BORDO. (12) D, fol. 174. 'Te pater ut nosti presente pepercimus hosti / debeat Abrincas reliquis exempla reliquias.'

142. BORDO. (13) D, foll. 174v-175r. 'Hactenus autere se Naiades opposuere / quem veniam petere video lacrimisque madere.'
143. BORDO. (14) D, fol. 175. 'De Petro Silere volui quasi petra silere / et contraxere quod contrahis ex muliere.'

144. BORDO. (15) D, foll. 175v-176r. 'Res ita venere, deberes ergo latere / quin meus atterere velit impetus ora chimere.'

145. AGAINST WILLIAM OF COULAINES. D, foll. 176v-177r. 'Nost tua barbaries dampnat, Willelme, Latinos / stercora qui metricis dicendo te mihi dicis.'

146. TO FULK BASSET, BISHOP OF LONDON. D, foll. 177v-178r. 'Quod michi Fulconis de nobilitate videtur / est hec urbs, ergo maximus iste pater.' Published below, p. 144.

147. TO THEODORIC OF WIED, ARCHBISHOP OF TREVES. D, fol. 178. 'Predita reliquis patrum Trevir inclita sedes / precipuas in eo tot reperire potes.'

148. DEATH OF ROBERT PASSELEWE. D, fol. 179r. '(C)antatus michi tociens / nominis et cogn(ominis).' Published below, p. 146.

149. DEATH OF ROBERT PASSELEWE. D, fol. 179. 'Nullus aque, nullus peccati terminus in se est / carmi qua quas hodie multisonora canunt.' Published below, p. 147.

150. TO A BISHOP, ASKING FOR A VACATION. D, fol. 179v. 'In adventu Redemptoris / libertatem petimus.'

151. TO A MASTER, ASKING FOR A VACATION. D, fol. 180r. 'Oppressum morbis consolaturus amicum / fortiter ilia premit, et tu preme fortius illam.'

152. TO CONRAD OF HEIMBACH. D, foll. 180v-181r. 'Presul Wintonie, cleri Wi(ll)e (rme) lucerna / percipienda prius patris est benedictio tanti.' Published below, p. 145.

153. TO WILLIAM OF RAILEIGH, BISHOP OF WINCHESTER. D, fol. 181. 'Te, Willelme, quidem, qui flos et fructus es idem / sed michi stat misere, qui semper cogor egere.' Published below, p. 146.

154. TO WILLIAM OF YORK, BISHOP OF SALISBURY. D, foll. 181v-182r. 'O Petre de Saxis, qui cleri summus es ac sis / premia magna feres sua, si mea non retineres.' Published below, p. 125.

155. TO PETER DES ROCHES, BISHOP OF WINCHESTER. D, fol. 182. 'Precursor Domini pre iudice missus in urbem / preter quam humus umbra fumusque sumus.'

156. THE TRINITY. D, fol. 183. 'Dum volo presentis epitheta retexere festi / sufficit in vobis forte probabo teman.'

157. ST JOHN THE BAPTIST. D, fol. 184r. 'Precursor Domini pre iudice missus in urbem / preter quam humus umbra fumusque sumus.'

158. THE CENSORS AND THE LAWYERS. D, fol. 184v. 'O censores delegati / turbat iura, perdit forum.'
159. TRACTATUS HENRICI GRAMMATICI. Brit. Mus. Add. MS 23892, fols. 84v-87v. "In mediis ditonas has, lector, percipe partes." Discussed by Heironimus and Russell in Philological Quarterly, VIII, 33-34.


161. (?) EQUIVOCA. Cf. ibid., 34-35.


163. VITA BEATI GEORGII. See above under No. 162.

164. VERSUS DE DECRETIS. VERSUS DE DECRETALIBUS. VERSUS DE DECRETIS CUM SENTENTIIS EORUNDEM. See below, p. 6. Probably in MS Bodley 40 between Nos. 23 and 48.

165. ALTERCATIO INTER MAG. HENR. DE HAMRINCHAM ET MAG. MICHAELM VERSIFICE. See below, pp. 6, 149.

166. BENEDICTION. Calendar of Close Rolls, 1242-1247, p. 270. "Mandatum est eidem quod in manu brachii illius, quod rex fieri precepit in honore Beati Thome apostoli, apponi faciat anulum quendam aureum competentem, quem fieri faciet fasticiun cum pulchro saphirole, et in eodem anulo inscribatur versum quendam quem faciet Magister Henricus versificator talem continentem sentenciam, "Is bene benedictionem dare debet qui omnibus benedictionem adquisivit, dum ei dicebatur, beati qui non viderunt," etc.
INTRODUCTION
Unlike our modern writers, the mediaeval authors were seldom fortunate enough to have their biographies written by their contemporaries. The exceptions were those who acquired a reputation for sanctity. Almost as fortunate were the writers who, as bishops or abbots, had their acts recorded in chronicles or in royal and diocesan records. By far the greater number of the writers of thirteenth-century England did not belong to either of these classes; they were secular or regular churchmen, canons, professors, or monks. Their activities have usually left few traces in contemporary records. Among these belonged Master Henry of Avranches. Although a clerk he was no saint, and he never received high ecclesiastical preferment in England. Yet a notable list of items from the Public Record Office is the chief source of the later years of his life.1

Furthermore, the problem of the authorship of mediaeval writings is complicated by difficulties unknown today. While some authors gave their names in acrostics or even in definite statements, most of them failed to take even the most elementary precautions for making their authorship known to future readers. We have thus to rely upon colophons written by scribes or owners, or upon chance attributions by other and often later writers. Under these conditions a large part of mediaeval literature remains, if not anonymous, at least of uncertain authorship. Our poet has been somewhat more fortunate than many of his contemporary writers, yet the loss of a single volume, as we shall see, would have made the reconstruction of his bibliography very difficult.

In the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries bibliographers of England became interested in mediaeval writers. To them we are deeply indebted for preserving information which otherwise might have disappeared. But this gratitude ought not to blind us to some faults in their methods. They conjectured easily without indicating that they did so, and later bibliographers copied them, creating an imposing but often unreliable

1. Published in Speculum, III (1928), 55-58.
tradition. In this tradition Master Henry of Avranches occupies an interesting if insignificant place: curious conjectures have assigned the authorship of many of his poems to other writers and very few to him.

Such is the background for the study of the sources of the poetry of Master Henry of Avranches and for the history of his reputation, which we shall take up in detail. The life of the poet presents somewhat different problems. His life was spent largely at the courts, although there is much evidence that he frequently enjoyed the hospitality of the monasteries. It was to his contact with the monasteries that we owe to a great extent the existing knowledge of his poetry.
Our knowledge of the writings of Master Henry of Avranches comes largely from two English monasteries, St Albans and Peterborough, and probably from one monk in each house, Matthew Paris of St Albans and Simon the Sacristan of Peterborough. Other sources attribute to Master Henry by his full name only a group of four lines on beer and a lost controversy with an unidentified opponent.\footnote{Cambridge University Library, MS Li 1 15, fol. 23', 'Henricus Abrincensis tempore Henrici 3,' for the verses on beer which are actually part of No. 23. London, British Museum, MS Cotton Vitellius D VIII, burned in the Cottonian fire of 1731, contained 'Altercatio inter Magistrum Henricum de Abrincensis et Leonium Teutonicum cum aliis eiusmodi'; see Thomas Smith, Catalogus Librorum Manuscriptorum Bibliothecae Cottonianae (Oxford, 1696), p. 92. This poem is listed as No. 97 in our Catalogue.}

The poet tells us that Simon the Sacristan praised his poems and bound them in volumes. Simon was probably responsible for the titles upon them which appear with some fullness in the early catalogue of Peterborough books. But Simon's handwriting was also probably poor and led the cataloguer, who apparently did not know Henry of Avranches, into using some peculiar forms of the name of the Norman place.\footnote{The catalogue has recently been edited by M. R. James, Lists of Manuscripts formerly in Peterborough Abbey Library (Oxford, 1926). For the poet's relations with Peterborough see under the Life of St Oswald, p. 117.}

The following items seem to refer to poems by Master Henry:

- Certamen inter Regem I. et Barones versifice per Mag. H. de Hariench (p. 44 [102]). No. 98.


Versus magistri Henrici de vita S. Oswaldi et aliorum in uno quaterno (p. 61 [218]). No. 48.

Another reference appears in a Peterborough chronicle: 3


With the break-up of the Peterborough library after the dissolution of the monasteries these writings disappeared; none of the extant manuscripts containing poems by Master Henry has been identified as a Peterborough volume.

No early catalogue of the library of the monastery of St Albans has survived, but many of their books have been preserved, especially the invaluable manuscripts for which Matthew Paris was responsible. In one of these a poem addressed to the abbot, William of Trumpington, is given with the author's name, 'Magister Henricus de Abrincis.' 4 Upon the margin of one of the manuscripts of the Chronica Majora of Matthew Paris an early hand, probably of the thirteenth century, has written: 5

Plura habentur epitaphia scripta de eo (William Marshall) in libro M. Pariensis quem habet de versibus Henrici de Abrincis.

This early evidence tells us that Matthew Paris possessed a book of the poems of Henry of Avranches in which there were epitaphs of William Marshall.

The manuscript which we designate as A (Cambridge University Library, MS Dd 11 78) is probably the volume to which reference is made. On its last folio is a notation

4. No. 94.
'fr. M.' and on the front flyleaf a notation stating that the
book has been given by Friar Matthew to God and St Albans. The 'Ma-
of 'Matheus' has been partially cut off, but what re-
 mains fits the letters and the notation is the same as another
about which Matthew's ownership is not doubted. There are no
epitaphs of William Marshall in the volume now, but an item in
the ancient index on the flyleaf shows that epitaphs upon him
were in it at one time. At the top of the first folio is the
note 'Versus magistri H.' which presumably signifies author-
ship.

From the handwriting it is clear that the manu-
script is a product of the St Albans scriptorium of the mid-
dle of the thirteenth century. Thus the contemporary attribu-
tions of authorship are probably to be received with respect.

Versus magistri H. Abrincensis de corona spinea,
de cruce, et ferro lancee quibus rex Lodowicus Franc-
ciam insignivit (fol. 38r). Expliciunt versus magis-
stri H. Abrincensis de nobilibus reliquis a Deo datis
Francie (fol. 44v). No. 14.

Inciplt liber de generatione et corruptione
metrice compositus a magistro H. Abrincensi poeta
(fol. 156v, in margin). No. 35.

Magistri H. de Abrincis altercatio militis et
clerici (fol. 167v, in margin). No. 41.

Super vita beati Francisci versus magistri Hen-
rici Abrincensis ad Gregorium Papam Nonum (fol. 200r).
No. 89.

The author of two poems, Nos. 11 and 33, gives in the verse it-
self his own name, Henry. Again we find the Life of St Guth-
lac (No. 19), the Life of St Oswald (No. 48), and the Life
of St. Birin (No. 23), of which the well-known four lines on
beer are a part.

Nine of the longer pieces in A, mostly saints' lives, have remarkably similar endings. At first sight they
might seem to be conventional endings. This hypothesis may be
tested by examining the last lines of the mediaeval saints'

Quem qui/ae abstulerit anathema sit. Amen.'
7. For the notation referred to and others slightly different see T. D.
Hardy, Descriptive Catalogue, etc. (London, 1871, Rolls Series), III,
x.
8. 'De epitaphio comitis Marescalli.'
9. The Versailles MS of this poem gives 'Magister Henricus' as the author;
see E. d'Alençon, 'Il più antico poema della vita di S. Francesco,' Mis-
cellanea Francescana, etc., IV (1889), 33.
lives as they are given in the Bibliographia Hagiographica Latina. Such a test turned up only one other ending of close similarity, that of a Life of St. Hugh. Since such a title is credited to Master Henry by the Peterborough catalogue, there is strong evidence for including No. 95 among the poet's works. In one source or another six of the ten poems with similar conclusions are thus specifically attributed to our poet; there is thus little doubt but that he wrote the other four. A long grammatical poem (No. 103) is also to be included since it has concluding lines of great similarity. The concluding lines may have some chronological significance; this is discussed in Appendix B.

The evidence then indicates that A is the volume designated as the book of the poems of Henry of Avranches which Matthew Paris possessed. What poems were in the volume during its possession by the chronicler? The ancient index on the flyleaf of A seems to offer an answer. The anathema on the flyleaf was probably written, if not during the lifetime of Matthew Paris, soon after his death in 1259; at its latest it is of the thirteenth century. The anathema, however, is later than the ancient index, as its position shows. Thus the original index probably gives the list of poems in the volume when Matthew Paris had it, and this group, we are told, was of the poems of Henry of Avranches. If we work from the index, four later stages in the history of the manuscript become clear: (1) additions noted at the bottom of the recto of the flyleaf; (2) additions noted at the top of both sides of the flyleaf; (3) a rebinding, or rebindings, in which one quire whose contents are not mentioned in the ancient index was added and some poems mentioned in the index were removed; (4) additions of poems not mentioned in the index upon blank pages of quires whose contents are mentioned.

The contents of the volume at the time of the original index can be identified with some certainty. They included the poems numbered 1 to 64 with a few exceptions. The fragments, Nos. 3 and 5, are not mentioned; either they were too insignificant, or they were not yet written in. In either case they are of small importance. The quire containing Nos. 14 and 15 was apparently not yet in the manuscript. The small pieces, 49-61 and 63, are naturally not mentioned. Four titles seem to represent Nos. 76-79 on fols. 194r-195v. After a blank in the index are references to the Lives of SS Birin, Edmund, and Francis. The first two, probably duplicates of
Nos. 23 and 24, are no longer in the manuscript; the Life of St. Francis begins on fol. 200. The poems include two pieces which are rather interesting, although not by Master Henry: the first (No. 13) consists of two long excerpts from the Doctrinale of Alexander of Ville-Dieu and the second (No. 62), noted in the index as 'De crure I. Mansel curando Mich.', is probably by Michael of Cornwall. The poems cover events of the years 1215-35. There is little reason to doubt Master Henry's authorship of the others included in the ancient index.

Even at this date the manuscript had had a history. It had not been planned apparently for a single volume and seems rather to have been made by binding together three books. Thus foll. 58-178 are numbered by quires from I to XI and foll. 200-238 from I to IV. The handwriting is instructive but difficult to distinguish because of the uniformity of the St. Albans scriptorium. A single hand wrote the first 29 folios, followed by a smaller hand writing double columns from fol. 29 to fol. 34. Separate hands wrote the next three poems, stopping at foll. 37V, 44V, and 50V. The writer of foll. 51-57 may have been the same as the one who wrote the first 29 folios. Foll. 58-60 were written by an apparently unique hand, and then a very clear and even hand presents an almost monotonous regularity until fol. 149V. Two hands each write a poem. Beginning with fol. 156F a very straight and rigid hand crowds the letters together and dots the ends of the lines heavily until fol. 184V, where the handwriting changes and the dots cease in the middle of a page and poem. Another hand interrupts on foll. 175-176; the same hand relieves the rigid hand again and continues until fol. 195V, skipping two pages (187V and 188V), which are filled with odds and ends of poetry and prose in various hands. Nos. 90-93 are written in a different hand from that of the Life of St. Francis.

The handwriting adds an interesting point. Nos. 65-75, which are not listed in the ancient index, are written by the same two hands which wrote several of the poems actually listed in the ancient index. Possibly the indexer missed

10. The ancient index lists the Lives of St. Birin and St. Edmund twice; the first time the notation 'Vita S. Edmundai' evidently includes Nos. 24, 25, and 26, all referring to the saint. The second reference to St. Edmund in the index may have done the same; Nos. 25 and 26 do appear a second time on fol. 193V and fol. 194F. Probably Nos. 23 and 24 originally appeared in the manuscript just ahead of these.

11. I at 69V, II at 81V, III at 91V, IIII at 101V, V at 109V, VI at 119V, VII at 129V, VIII at 139V, (number omitted at 150V), IX at 156V, X at 166V, XI at 178V. The second set has I at 209V, II at 219V, III at 229V, IV at 237V.
them. These poems may be referred to in the first set of additions by the phrase, 'Interpretationes nominum quorumdam amicorum cum quibus (sic) aliis interpositis.' The other addition, 'Quedam rithmice composita de Sancto Georgio per Paulinum Piper,' is no longer in the volume. Matthew Paris probably had these poems before him in the volume.

But when did he have the book? He never quotes from any poems in A, although they might have been used appropriately in connection with events of 1215-1235 in his chronicles. Since he frequently quotes poetry, the inference is that the book was not in his possession until after he completed the portion of his chronicle dealing with those years. Probably he had it only late in life. He does quote two lines on the building of Salisbury Cathedral (No. 20) but in another version; the lines of the version in his chronicle are written on the margin of A. The curious excerpts from the Doctrinale, which are about meter and the quantity of syllables, contain just the type of information that a poet might keep in his notebook; their inclusion suggests that the scribes were copying from the poet's notebook.

The second set of additions to the ancient index, those at the top of both pages of the flyleaf, may be located with some certainty. 'Quedam altercatio et de beata virgine' evidently refers to the verse upon the last folio as it now exists; only part of the 'altercatio' remains (Nos. 90-93). The other items probably followed: 'Quedam sequentia de beata Virgine,' 'De quodam loco ubi proposuit studere,' and 'De epitaphio comitis Marescalli.' These additions must have been present at an early date, since the note on the margin of Paris' chronicle mentioned above refers to the last item.

At least one rebinding and possibly more took place. A quire (foll. 38-46) was added which had evidently been intended to commence a volume, so elaborate is its initial letter. The poem by Paulin Piper and the duplicate Lives of St Birin and St Edmund were removed. Probably an effort was made to simplify the volume by removing duplicates and poems by other authors.

Lastly there are some apparently later additions. No. 15, a French poem, has been attributed to Rutebeuf.12 The very popular poem on the heart and the eye (No. 88) probably belongs to Philip de Grève.13 The various items on

12. In all MSS it is anonymous; see Speculum III (1928), 47.
foli. 187v and 188v are in the same class.

The second great collection of the poems of Master Henry of Avranches forms the fourth part of the Cottonian manuscript Vespasian D. V, which we call D, of the thirteenth century. It is a miscellany of poems written for patrons in Germany, England, France, and Italy, between 1227 and 1251. A modern hand, probably that of Cotton himself, has written at the top of the first poem, 'Michael of Cornwall,' but the evidence points to his enemy as the author. In No. 123 the writer calls himself Henry, and in No. 112 he describes himself as dean of Maastricht in a poem of about 1238; one, and possibly two documents, confirm the existence of a Master Henry as dean of Maastricht in 1237.14 The author speaks in No. 155 of having presented a Life of St. Birin to Peter des Roches, Bishop of Winchester, which is certainly No. 23. Other patrons of poems in A appear again as patrons—Gregory IX, Milo de Nanteuil, and Robert Passelewe, while an entire poem, No. 20, appears in both manuscripts. There seems no reason to doubt Henry's authorship of any of the poems in this collection.

The early catalogue of the Cottonian library has the following entry about MS Vitellius D. VIII, which perished in the great Cottonian fire:15

Versus Gualteri Mapes de Clericis et Laicis, de S. Edmundo, de S. Maria Virgine, de festivitate Omnium Sanctorum: altercatio inter Magistrum Henricum de Albrincis et Leonium Teutonicum cum aliis ejusmodi.

The attribution to Walter Map is probably a late guess: his contemporaries wrote his name Map. The titles are very much like some of the titles of Master Henry's poems. The 'De Clericis et Laicis' might be No. 41, 'De S. Edmundo' might be No. 25 or No. 26. 'De festivitate Omnium Sanctorum' is the title for No. 43. Master Henry wrote several which might be called 'De S. Maria Virgine.' The 'altercatio' might be a verbal contest between Henry and Germans in England such as we have in Nos. 90-93. Probably this was a group of the poet's works; the rest of the manuscript's contents seems to have little relationship to it.

14. Compte-Rendu des Séances de la Commission Royale d' Histoire, C, IX (1867), 43; Ch. Piot, Cartulaire de l'abbaye de Saint Trond (Brussels, 1870), I, 196; A. Wauters, Table chronologique des chartes et diplomes imprimes (Brussels, 1874), IV, 265.
The sources of the knowledge of Master Henry's poetry are singularly reassuring. A is very probably the book of the poems of Henry of Avranches which Matthew Paris had. Of its contents only Nos. 13, 15, 62, and 88 among the more important poems are apparently of other authorship. D is probably an unadulterated collection of Henry's poems. A scattering of other poems is added: of these only No. 101, as we shall see, is by another writer. The result of the examination gives us a great body of poems whose authorship is quite certain; upon these a substantial study of the poet may be based.

Of Henry of Avranches it might be said that his light was hidden not under one but under several bushels. His poems have been attributed to a supposititious 'William of Ramsey,' and to his enemy, Michael of Cornwall, as well as to various Master Henries. If this were not enough, much of the accurate information about him has been hidden in little known publications. To follow in detail the devious paths of each of the names under which Master Henry's poems have gone would require a monograph in itself; only a sketch is given here.

Like most of his contemporaries, Master Henry probably made little effort to preserve evidence of his authorship. Only at Peterborough and at St Albans, apparently, were his works correctly labeled: at Peterborough the titles survived only in the library catalogue, while the St Albans volumes were scattered. Although in his name occurs several times, it had severe competition here from 'William of Ramsey.'

To John Leland (1506-1552) belongs the distinction of creating 'William of Ramsey' as a literary character. Leland was an industrious antiquarian who collected in his extensive tours of England a great body of miscellaneous information, subsequently published as his Itinerary and Collectanea. Largely from these he compiled his Commentarii de Scriptoribus Britannicis, which is almost the fountainhead of English bibliography. By comparing these sources for items about 'William of Ramsey' we find that Leland conjectured the following to have been identical: (1) a William who wrote a metrical epitaph of Waltheof, a saint of Croyland; (2) the anonymous author of the metrical Life of St Guthlac (No. 19), another saint of Croyland, and of the Lives of St Birin (No. 23) and St Edmund (No. 24) which regularly accompany it in the manuscripts; and (3) a 'William of Ramsey' said to have been a

1. The Itinerary of John Leland or the Antiquary (Oxford, 1745); The Itinerary of John Leland in or about the Years 1555-45, ed. L. T. Smith (London, 1907 ff.); Antiquarii de Rebus Britannicis Collectanea, with notes of T. Hearne (London, 1770).
2. Published at Oxford, 1709, but seen in manuscript by early writers.
commentator upon some of Bede's works on chronology. While the first two might be identified as a poet writing for the abbot of Croyland, Henry Longchamp, there is no demonstrable connection with the 'William' of Ramsey. However, this character maintained a place in the bibliographies in spite of his genesis.

In A the Lives of Guthlac, Birin, and Edmund are anonymous; these poems have been attributed to 'William of Ramsey' by a sixteenth-century hand in accordance with the bibliographical tradition. These notes obscured from the less critical readers the real unity of the authorship of the poetry in A. In 1877 F. Liebermann examined the manuscript, identified it as the book of the poems of Master Henry of Avranches which belonged to Matthew Paris, and stated that most of the poems were by Henry; this information was not widely circulated in England. Yet some years later, apparently forgetting or mistrusting his earlier article, he accepted the attribution to 'William of Ramsey' upon the advice of someone not so critical; this article was widely circulated. Recently Father Grosjean examined the manuscript and saw at once the flimsiness of the attributions to 'William of Ramsey.'

The second of the large collections of Master Henry's poems, D, was in Robert Cotton's library, and the attribution of them to 'Michael of Cornwall' is probably in his

4. Itinerary (1907), II, 150-152; ibid., II, 125, where, however the author is said to be Felix; Itinerary (1745), IX, 62; Collectanea IV (Tome III), 25 (even this William may be a mistake for Bright-ferth of Ramsey).


6. As, for instance, in A Catalogue of the Manuscripts Preserved in the Library of the University of Cambridge (Cambridge, 1856), I, 469-476.

7. 'Bericht ueber Arbeiten in England wahrend des Sommers 1877,' Neues Archiv, IV (1879), 25 (we have never found any reference to this article and stumbled across it by chance).

8. 'Ueber Ostenglische Geschichtsquellen, etc.' Neues Archiv, XVIII (1895), 227 (this has apparently been circulated as a reprint).

9. See the preface.
own hand. This conjecture was a natural one to make after a superficial examination. The poet was evidently writing in England about the middle of the thirteenth century; of that period Michael was the best known poet. Cotton's conjecture caused Michael to be called dean of Maastricht and author of the Life of St Birin. Here his reputation came into conflict with that of 'William of Ramsey,' whose authorship had, however, the stronger tradition.

The items in the ancient catalogue of Peterborough library fared little better, even though they were printed early by Gunton and were seen by Thomas Tanner, neither recognized the words for Avranches. In Tanner's Bibliotheca there are notices of 'Davench (Henry de),' and 'Hamrincham (Henry de),' while the Master Henry who wrote the 'Vita S. Oswaldii' is conjectured to have been Henry of Huntingdon.

Such publicity as Henry of Avranches received from the early bibliographers was hardly preferable to anonymity. It was derived from four lines on beer culled from the Life of St Birin (No. 23), to which his name was attached early and correctly, and from the long and well-known poem of Michael of Cornwall of which Master Henry was the subject. The lines on beer were copied by Camden for his very popular Britannia, by Du Cange for his very learned Glossarium, and were finally incorporated by Burton, as Father Grosjean discovered, in his Anatomy of Melancholy. A curious history! In Michael's very hostile poem a rather frightful caricature of Henry early attracted the eye, and apparently corroborated the unfavorable impression which association with Michael had produced. Nevertheless the Cornishman did his antagonist one favor by calling him 'archipoeta.'

13. Tanner, Bibliotheca, pp. 219, 576, and 598 respectively.
This 'archipoeta' was interpreted to mean chief poet of the king as early as Fuller. He was, however, apparently out of the main current of bibliographical tradition. Warton also read of the archpoet: he noticed two items recording payments by Henry III to this poet in Madox's History and Antiquities of the Exchequer. He saw in Henry of Avranches a forerunner of the poets laureate of England; in this even the latest historian of the laureateship has agreed. Shortly after the time of Madox and Warton a Norman antiquarian, Baron de Perche, examined some of the records at London for items about Master Henry and apparently found many of those relating to the payments in the later years of the poet. His dates are not very accurate but he had the essential facts. His account of the poet included information about several of the poet's works; indeed it was by far the best account of the poet then extant, but it was practically buried in a local Norman publication.

Over the authorship of the most famous work of our poet, his Life of St Francis, a long and interesting controversy raged. The poet's usual ill luck was probably responsible for there being any controversy at all: by an omission no reference to this Life in A (the only manuscript which gave the author's complete name) appeared in the index of the Cambridge University Library catalogue. An anonymous copy had been in the library at Assisi for centuries; its publication in 1882 opened the question of authorship. Certain passages led to the belief that the author was English; 'John of Kent' was suggested. Some still held that its author might be Italian, possibly 'Guiliano da Spira.' In 1889 a second copy at Versailles was edited; its author was definitely a Master Henry. Various Henries were considered, the most acceptable being Henry of Pisa and Henry of Burford. Only Franciscans were considered. Finally A. G. Little gave the real author, but his information was in the addenda and corrigenda of a book, a rather obscure place. As late as 1925 the author was still regarded as a Franciscan.
Liebermann sent transcripts of several of the poems in A to Winkelmann, who was interested in the Holy Roman Empire of the time of Henry of Avranches. The latter published two sets of them in 1878 in German periodicals. J. Wood Brown and C. H. Haskins quote from the latter to date the death of Michael Scot, the astrologer of Frederick II. The poet's Knight and Clerk has been published in a recent study of the streitgedicht in the Middle Ages, which also discusses his Rome and Innocent III.

This includes most of the principal developments in the history of the reputation of Master Henry of Avranches, although the account is not exhaustive. Should the story appear ludicrous, if not actually absurd, it may be said in extenuation that few other instances of mix-ups upon so grand a scale are known. The account illustrates the hazards through which the reputations of mediaeval writers have passed, and, perhaps even more, the danger of accepting without careful criticism the attributions of bibliographers. But making all due allowances it does seem as if the reputation of Master Henry of Avranches has been subject to a very long run of ill luck.

(Footnote continued) (1884), 102-8; R. Bonghi in Nuova Antologia, XIX (1882), 659; E. D'Alençon in Miscellanea Francescana, IV (1889), 53; F. Novati in ibid., V (1890), 4; I. Dalla Giovanna in Giornale Storico della Letteratura Italiana, XXV (1892), 3; A. Bollandiana, XIII (1894), 67; XV (1895), 228; XXI (1902), 150; Archivum Franciscanum Historicum, I (1908), 211; T. Domenichelli, La Leggenda di San Francesco (Roma, 1898), p. lvii; Sbaralea, Supplementum, etc., (Rome, 1908), p. 555; B. Bugatti in Archivum Franciscanum Historicum, V (1912), 624; ibid., XV (1922), 180; P. V. Facchinetti, San Francesco D'Assisi (Milano, 1921), p. xix, n. 1; A. G. Little, De Adventu Fratrum Minorum in Angliae of Thomas of Eccleston (Paris, 1909), p. 227; R. P. Martín de Barcelona, La Orden Franciscana, etc., (Barcelona, 1921), pp. 62-5; Paul Grosjean, in Analecta Bollandiana, XLIII (1925), 96-114.

20. Nos. 10-12 in Forschungen zur deutschen Geschichte, XVIII (1879), 484-492; Nos. 9, 71, 73, 90-93 in Monatsschrift fuer die Geschichte Westdeutschlands, IV (1878), 558-44.


25. For another very interesting mix-up see F. M. Powicke, 'Master Alexander of St Albans, a Literary Muddle,' Essays in History Presented to Reginald Lane Poole (Oxford, 1927), pp. 246-260.
The career of Master Henry of Avranches is as interesting a subject as the critical study of the knowledge of his poetry or the history of his reputation. The sources for his life, as measured by those for other thirteenth-century writers, are abundant and good; they are his poetry, the verses of Michael of Cornwall, and a series of English exchequer items. From them emerges the life of a wandering poet during the first half of the thirteenth century. This career, if not typical, is illustrative of the literary environment created by mediaeval civilization. He and his poetry are, however, typical products of the patronage of mediaeval courts; in this his significance is greatest.

From the available sources it is impossible to write a day-by-day or even month-by-month account of the poet's activities. Indeed, about all that many poems reveal is that within a fairly definite period of time the poet wrote for certain patrons upon particular topics. The English exchequer items are convincing evidence that the poet received real rewards, which eliminates the possibility that his verse was merely the poetical exercise of an imaginative mind. Even if we did not have these records the poetry is sufficiently realistic and too closely related to actuality for us to doubt his career. We can infer from the invectives of Michael of Cornwall that Master Henry of Avranches could be a very provocative person upon occasion.

The poet's name tells us only that he or his family came from Avranches. Michael avers that Henry's name was Troteman, which is not of much help. In the records he is sometimes called Master Henry the Versifier. Such variation is to be expected in mediaeval names. Only the Christian name was permanent; with it might go a family name, the name of his birthplace, the name of his profession, or his father's name. It is not always easy to tell which the cognomen is intended to be.

The poet's early associations seem to be German rather than English or Norman; they are possibly with Cologne.
The marks of his education are stamped strongly upon his poetry, but of what school he was a 'magister' it is not known. Michael says that Henry tried to teach at both Paris and Oxford, but failed at both. If this is to be taken with caution, it is clear that he sought the courts rather than the schools as a constant source of livelihood.

He was probably at the court of King John of England in 1215; a year later he was writing for John's nephew, the Emperor Otto IV. Within two or at most three years the poet had returned to England and its court. There he wrote for several courtiers, usually bishops. He may have been a tutor of the young princes, Henry and Richard; to them he seems to have dedicated a very long grammatical poem. This patronage at the court evoked a series of short poems enlarging upon the virtues of the patrons and the needs of the author.

Along with this rather ephemeral matter went many pieces of more permanent worth, such as the debate upon the knight and the clerk, some fables, and various religious pieces. These items, appearing for the most part in A, are probably of the early part of the poet's life, to which nearly all of this collection apparently belongs. It is difficult to know whether they were written in Germany or England. He did try, probably about 1220 and later, to versify long saints' lives for English abbots and bishops. These included the Life of St Guthlac for the abbot of Croyland, the Life of St Oswald for the monastery of Peterborough, and the Life of St Birin for Bishop Peter des Roches of Winchester, who was one of his greatest patrons. The poet complained to Archbishop Stephen Langton that he failed to receive anything from the prior of Canterbury for a week's efforts upon the miracles of St Thomas.

In 1227 Bishop Peter fell from power in England and left for a crusade to Palestine. The poet also left England, appearing in Germany, Italy, and France during the next decade and a half. It is not easy to fix accurate dates for his activities upon the Continent; it seems certain that he was in Germany before 1232, in 1235, and again in 1238; that he was at the Papal Curia in 1222, in 1234, and after 1238; and that he was in France in 1241. Quite a wandering career!

It was also a career of some success, even though Michael did twit him upon his relations with king and emperor. For Pope Gregory IX he wrote the first metrical Life of St Francis. At the Curia also he wrote a series of arguments in legal cases concerning himself and his patrons, French, German, and English bishops. While in Italy he was also at the
imperial court. About 1235 he wrote lines upon the death of the great astrologer, Michael Scot. In 1238 as dean of Maastricht he was caught in a violent struggle in the diocese of Liege; this he related later to Gregory IX. In another poem he tells of being despoiled of a castle in Germany. The deanship and the castle may have been gifts from the emperor. The cause of his trouble is fairly clear: he was caught between the partisans of the pope and the emperor in the unfortunate contest which weakened the power of both forces. To avoid the troubled zone, which included much of Germany, he naturally turned to France, writing for Louis IX upon the translation of the relics of the Crucifixion to Paris in 1241. A few years later he returned to England and his old patron, Henry III.

From about 1244 until 1262 he probably spent most of his time in England, although there are suspicious gaps in the evidence. Not much poetry of his later years has survived. It is unfortunate, because some of the little that is left is rather better than his earlier verse. Michael's poem, written after 1250, has much to say of his opponent's failing eyesight and other impairments of age, and also of the unflagging and constant vigor of his wanderlust.

Such is the remarkable career of Master Henry of Avranches. For its background one need only turn to Haskins' Renaissance of the Twelfth Century. There we find described a vast Latin civilization, based primarily upon the church, which had absorbed much from classical tradition and culture. Haskins discusses the solid study of Latin which maintained a marked uniformity of language throughout Europe. However, the interest in the classics was declining before the newer (and more profitable) 'ars dictaminis,' business correspondence. There was great interest also in the revival of law, of science, and philosophy; the last two were to be seriously affected by translations from the Greek and Arabic. We learn of the great centers of learning: 'monasteries, cathedrals, courts, towns, and universities.'

Master Henry of Avranches comes at the end of the period, but the book is almost an introduction to his life, as his life might be used as an illustration of the period.

How could he have wandered over much of western Europe, appealing for patronage with apparent success, if there had been any marked difficulties in language? His poetry, if not particularly inspiring, conforms fairly well to classical standards; such orthodox orthography and versification is obviously the product of a standardized and efficient education. Master Henry makes no marked distinction between
the writers before and since Boethius; they all share in the same great tradition.

In spite of Master Henry's classical allusions he had an eye for the practical; Michael alleges that he offered to teach the English the 'ars dictaminis,' and he frequently attempted to turn his knowledge of law to account. The new translations from the Arabic interested Master Henry; he tried to versify the De Generatione et Corruptione of Aristotle. His poems, especially the earlier ones, make frequent use of terms coming from the schools.

Of the thirteenth-century centers of learning three, the monastery, the school, and the court, left a particular impress upon their devotees. Matthew Paris is an illustrious example of the monk, and Stephen Langton of the professor. Of the courtier, or at least of one type of courtier, we find few better examples than our poet.

The court had a large amount of business, mostly of legal nature, to be transacted by literate officials. Thus the king, or the bishop and sometimes even the earl, had need of numbers of clerks. These persons were rewarded partly by support in the court and eventually by ecclesiastical preferment. The court thus opened attractive possibilities for young and ambitious clerks. These men, as far as their activities can be easily traced, do not seem to have had very permanent connections with their patrons.

We may doubt that Master Henry shared in the transcription of documents and other such clerical work, but it is not at all impossible. However, he tried to take part in legal business: he tells of speaking for Bishop Richard Marsh of Durham in one poem, and several others are addressed to Pope Gregory IX in favor of various patrons. He was obviously an unusual lawyer. Unfortunately we have little evidence which would show whether he was successful. Such legal poetry constituted only a small part of his work.

The poet's constant problem was to arouse the interest of his patrons: his forte was pleasure rather than law. And in this he seems to have attained a certain amount of proficiency, which, if chronological hints do not deceive us, was gradually attained by pushing himself into the background and his patron into the foreground. This was a highly personal problem and varied from patron to patron. He did not write in the same strain to the famous theologian and archbishop, Stephen Langton, as to the strenuous, saint-loving abbot of Croyland, Henry Longchamp; or to Pope Gregory IX as to the pope's enemy, Emperor Frederick II. So the subject matter varied from
center to center, usually reflecting the interests of the patrons. Sometimes it was a great achievement, sometimes religious or hagiographical interests, and often an appeal to very human vanity.

By necessity the poet was drawn into court life and thus into sympathy with royalty and with the bishops who were frequently his patrons even at the royal courts. In England in the struggle between the king and other groups the poet was a royalist; this was unfortunate for him since modern interest has largely been concentrated upon the anti-royalists.

Dependence upon courts inevitably results in more shallow poetry than that produced in monastery or university. It reflects the interests and tastes of the patron as well as the thoughts and ideas of the poet. While we may deplore this situation, it has its advantages. It enables us to appraise the taste and ambitions of the patrons in admirable fashion.

Since the poetry is inspired quite as much by the generosity of the patron as by the genius of the poet, the poet's style varies as widely as the subject matter. Each group of poems written for a particular patron or type of patron has characteristics of its own, often quite different from poems by the same poet influenced by another environment. In order to make this as clear as possible we have ventured to arrange our poems in groups rather than singly, and to give a general introduction to each group rather than a special one to each poem. We hope that this arrangement will illustrate better the peculiar significance of Master Henry of Avranches as a court poet.
TEXT OF POEMS
POEMS OF AN ANGLO-GERMAN GROUP

NO. 90 AGAINST LAMBEKIN
NO. 91 AGAINST LAMBERT
NO. 91a THE POET’S RECEPTION IN ENGLAND
NO. 92 TO ST. ALBAN
NO. 93 DEBATE BETWEEN CONRADULUS AND AN ENGLISHMAN
   Possibly about 1214-1215
NO. 97 ALTERCATIO INTER MAGISTRUM HENRICUM DE AL-BRINCIS ET LEONIUM TEUTONICUM

At the very end of A there stands a group of curious poems distinguished by a highly polemical atmosphere and by several names markedly Teutonic, but probably connected with England. These five poems thus appear in an unusual milieu and offer certain attractive possibilities for conjecture. The attribution of these poems to Henry of Avranches is not beyond doubt, and the time and place of composition are open to question.

As we have stated, the personal names are distinctly German: Lambekin, Lambert, and Conradulus. The last named person was engaged in a debate with an Englishman. One poem is addressed to St. Alban, the patron saint of a great English monastery and protomartyr of England. In this poem the author calls himself a 'servus Pantaleonis,' a phrase which will require explanation. Elsewhere he states that he was born in Germany. With German personal names and English background, we may well look for a German group in England.

English documents of the early part of the thirteenth century present interesting possibilities. A Lambekin of Cologne holds a fief in England in 1205, is granted certain liberties along with a seneschal of the emperor, Otto IV, in 1208, and as a messenger of the same emperor receives a payment from the English king in 1213.1 In 1224 a Lambekin appears in England as the messenger of Archbishop Engelbert of Cologne.2 As Lambekin is a rare name, the first three references to Lambekin of Cologne are probably to the same person,

and the last items may refer to him also. In 1199 a 'Lambertus Teutonicus' holds a fief in England and makes a grant in the reign of Richard I. This latter document suggests a personal interest in the king, a fact easily explained if we assume that the king had given him the land originally. This seems to be probable, since the king granted it again to another in 1216, apparently upon Lambert's death. Lambert was a fairly common name, but there are very few men of German associations upon the English rolls of the time.

A Conrad de Wilre, the seneschal of Otto IV who was associated with Lambekin of Cologne in the mission of 1213, holds fiefs of like tenure in Lincolnshire with a 'Terri Teutonicus.' A Master Henry of Cologne appears as a messenger also of Otto IV in 1214.

As to the 'servus Pantaleonis,' one might expect that the author had some connection with a church dedicated to St Pantaleon. The outstanding church dedicated to him was a church in Cologne. But why should a reference to this saint appear in a poem to St Alban? It seems that the church of St Pantaleon of Cologne possessed relics of St Alban and even had a fraternity of that saint in the cathedral, although the latter may be of a later date than the poems. Pretty clearly the author had some connection with Cologne.

Who was the author? Winkelmann, noticing that a note, 'fr M, appears at the top of a folio near the end of the volume above this verse, conjectured that Matthew Paris might be the author. However, that chronicler probably was not born in Germany, and his associations in England are not known to have been with Germans. Very probably that notation simply recorded his ownership of the volume. One might conjecture that since the poems in this manuscript are almost entirely by Master Henry of Avranches he might be the author of this verse also. Could he be that Master Henry of Cologne who appeared in England in November of 1214 as a representative of Otto IV?

What were the connections of Master Henry of Avranches with Germany? In No. 9 the poet expresses the wish

---

4. Rot. Litt. Claus., I, 277. The index of this volume gives a reference to Lambert of Cologne on p. 246b which is apparently a mistake.
that England might return him to Germany. Why Germany, if he had no close connections there? The only friend whom he mentions that was not clearly a patron is Conrad of Heimbach, a town near Cologne. The poet had two archbishops of Cologne as patrons and spent a part of his maturity in Germany. The fact that he probably wrote for King John in 1214-1215 and certainly for Otto IV in 1216 rather suggests such acquaintance with the two monarchs as envoys might have. There is little reason to believe that these poems could not have been written by Master Henry of Avranches.

But was the author the envoy Master Henry of Cologne? Such an identification would certainly explain the author's writing for King John and Otto IV in successive years. It might explain another interesting coincidence. It would probably explain why a Master 'Heinricus Coloniensis' was transcribing a translation by Michael Scot from the exemplar of Emperor Frederick II, completing it at Melfi on 9 August 1232. At just this time Master Henry of Avranches, who had Frederick II as a patron and was interested in Michael Scot, was in Italy. As can be seen from No. 127, he was at the papal Curia only a few weeks later. The hypothesis that Henry was called 'of Cologne' early in life is attractive because it would explain so much. Other men of German connections became prominent in England at the time. One has only to examine the career of Arnald Fitzthedmar for an instance of a German who rose to high position in London in the thirteenth century.

One further conjecture fits in with the hypothesis that these poems were composed in England by Henry of Avranches late in the reign of King John. No. 91a, The Poet's Reception in England, rather suggests that the poet had only recently arrived in England. He was evidently defending this reception against the aspersions of his fellow countrymen in that country. Now the earliest evidence of the poet's presence in England comes from the reign of King John, and probably near the end of that reign. If these conjectures are correct, the poems tend to show that upon his arrival in England the poet, although naturally associating with Germans there, used his connection with St Pantaleon to approach the monastery of St Albans and probably used his position as envoy to invoke the patronage of the English nobility. A very interesting construction; would that the evidence were less shaky!

No. 97, the controversy between Henry and a 'Leonius Teutonicus,' has been described as probably a

8. A. M. Bandini, Catalogus Codicum Latinorum Bibliothecae Mediceae Lauren- tianae, etc., IV, 109.
composition of Master Henry. It is included in this group because the atmosphere seems German, but not of Germany, since Henry's opponent is called 'Teutonicus,' which would have no point in Germany itself. Leonius seems unknown. It is barely possible that 'Leonius' is a paleographical mistake for Ledulphus. A Ledulphus Teutonicus turns up in a document of 1245 in England.

DE LAMBEKINO THEUTONICO. ALTERCATIO INTER
.....CONRADULO

No. 90

Cur, Lambekine, longo tegis ulcera crine?
Non hec verba mine vel probra; scies bene fine
non potes esse sine pinguedine. Scito quoquine

tonderique sine quasi vellus pellis ovine.
Ista Salernine documenta tene medecine,
vel te festine confundet pena ruine.

No. 91

Non tibi, Lamberte, parcam. Si carmina per te
hec condi certe dicas, male dicis aperte.

No. 91a

Me quem Theutonica regio produxit ad ortum
infestant Britones quibus est ignobile scortum
mater, preclari me sanguine sed venerantur
et blando sermone michi civilia fantur.

Hiis argumentis igitur me iudice magnis
spurius a claris cognoscitur, hircus ab agnis.

No. 92

Do grates, Albane, tibi, qui Pantaleonis
me servum gratis aluisti, dux bone, donis.
Anglorum prothomartir, ave! Tu me tibi pronum
agnoscas! Fateor michi te, venerande, patronum.

9. See above, p. 11. 10. P. R. O., Liberate Roll 21, m 1.
Non valet audire mala plus Conradulus ire
iurgia cum sannis a servis dicta Britannis,
qui me quando vident nec non mea carmina rident.
<İra> consimili vulgo do iurgia vili:

5 "Angli caudati, qui sunt ad pocula nati,
cum sunt imbuti, tunc sunt de semine Bruti;
prelia tunc tractant, quod sunt gens inclita iactant,
dant omnes leto, ructantes ventre repleto,
cum sint imbelles textores vel paripelles.

10 Credite, trutanni non sunt tales Alemanni:
gens sunt regalis non, sed gens imperialis,
et gens pomposa, gens fortis et impetuosa,
flava pulchra coma, famulans solum tibi, Roma;
omnes proceri, non segnes iura tueri.

15 Sed vos, O miser Britones, ad prelia seri,
est venter quorum deus atque vorago ciborum,
vos fece cervisie pleni vacuique sophie
precolitis Bacum suberit cum tempus opacum;
tunc Venus obscena subit apponendo venes<İ>;

20 hic deus hecque dea non sunt Anglis pharisea.
Vestrum vos aliqui semper vexant ut iniqui;
militie clerus est adversando severus;
plebs habet exosos generaliter religiosos,
federe dissuto plebs conculcata tributo

crebris et bullis privat quam papa medullis,
vel rex: ergo sile, vigus per secula lie.

Anglus respondet, probrs probrs reddere spondet:
"Tu nos vinosos reprobas et desidiosos.
Non sumus ignari dandis escis vel avari;

25 advena partitur, potans a paupere scitur,
Theuto cani similis, mundi per climata v<İ>is>.
Iurgia que multa sunt non transibis inulta.
Nescius in caudis recolis preconia laudis,
Scocia namque tegit, regio quam nostra subegit,
huius non lora regni, sed posteriora.
Sic caudagit Britonum gens et redimitur,
Anglis Theutonice nec non Francis inimice."
Two poems, at least, seem to have been written by Henry of Avranches for King John. Unfortunately one has not survived, and the evidence that the king was the patron of the other is not beyond doubt. An entry in a list of manuscripts formerly possessed by Peterborough Abbey reads, 'Certamen inter regem I. et barones versifice per Mag. H. de Hariench.'\(^1\) This monastery possessed a number of manuscripts of the poet, and he seems to have been well received there.\(^2\) This poem was probably written during the conflict between King John and the barons in 1214-1215 and before the poet went to the court of Otto IV some time in 1216. To have had the story of Magna Carta from the royalist point of view would have been very valuable. While many of the poems of Master Henry are either short pieces of flattery intended to attract largess or wordy versifications of old prose, upon occasion he could produce literature of importance for the subject itself.\(^3\)

No. 37 is a short begging poem addressed to a patron named John. Since the poems following this one are about Eustace Falconberg and Ralph Neville, courtiers of King John, and since the poet is not known to have had another patron of that name, the immediate presumption is in favor of the king as the patron. The statement that John as a word means 'by divine grace' might simply refer to the meaning of the word itself. Or, if the patron were the king, it might refer to the current theory of divine sanction for kingship, as expressed on one side of the royal seal, 'Johannes, Dei gratia, Rex

---

2. See pp. 117 f.
3. It is barely possible that No. 98 is the anonymous poem called the 'Taking of Lincoln,' published by T. Wright, *The Political Songs of England from the Reign of John to That of Edward II* (London, 1839), p. 19, called in MS 'Versus de guerra regis Johannis.'
Anglie, Dominus Hibernie.\(^4\) The copyist evidently found an epigram, probably by Master Henry, upon the seal of John, and quoted it. Henry wrote one upon the seal of the abbot of Ramsey. Both the poem and the epigram, which perhaps should be considered as a separate poem, emphasize royal generosity. This does seem to have been one of John's good points.\(^5\)

Of the centers of literary patronage in England the royal court might be expected to be among the greatest.\(^6\) The king usually had more means to reward favorites than any noble, lay or clerical. If he himself were not generous, at least there congregated at his court large numbers of the more important people of the realm. However, all the contemporary kings were patrons to some extent, and as such have received attention from scholars. For John and Henry III the instance of Henry of Avranches is the most conspicuous example of patronage. The most detailed study of the intellectual relations of the court of King John is in need of some revision and is published in a relatively inaccessible place.\(^7\)

Besides the two poems mentioned above, which were probably written for the king, the only other direct evidence of patronage is a dedication to John of the Conquest of Ireland by Gerald of Wales.\(^8\) Gerald even suggested that his treatise be translated into the vernacular, so that 'I might reap the fruits of my toil, which hitherto, under illiterate princes, have been lost because there were few who could understand my works.'\(^9\) Evidently Gerald thought that this was a compliment. There is other evidence of the king's interest in books. Bishop Stubbs observed that 'he once borrowed a book from St Albans.'\(^10\) To this Miss Bateson added the following:\(^11\)

\(^4\) W. de G. Birch, Catalogue of Seals in the Department of Manuscripts of the British Museum (London, 1887), I, 15.
\(^5\) For this see Hilda Johnstone, 'Poor-Relief in the Royal Households of Thirteenth-Century England,' Speculum, IV (1929), 155.
\(^6\) K. J. Holzknecht, Literary Patronage in the Middle Ages (Philadelphia, 1925), devotes a chapter to English literary patrons of the Middle Ages, in which royal patronage bulks large.
\(^8\) Holzknecht, Literary Patronage, p. 221.
\(^10\) Seventeen Lectures, p. 125.
\(^11\) Mediaeval England, p. 156.
John has hardly had justice done to him as a book lender, and therefore possibly a book lover. The extracts from the close-rolls\textsuperscript{12} have long been in print which show him ordering Reginald of Cornhill to send him at once a copy of the 'Romance of English History.' To the Abbot of Reading he acknowledges the receipt of six books, the Old and New Testament, the works of Hugh of St. Victor, the 'Sentences' of Peter Lombard, Augustine's 'De Civitate,' and his letters, 'Valerianus De Moribus,' Origen on the Old Testament, no doubt the Latin homilies, 'Candidus Arianus' 'De generatione divina ad Marium,' and so acquits the abbot and sacrist of responsibility for the same. On another occasion the king discharged the same abbot from responsibility for the Pliny which had been lent to him. That such were not John's daily reading we may well believe, but the records show the nature of the court library and the orderly arrangements for the loan and return of books.

The other evidence of the king's patronage of letters is, at best, indirect. An anonymous writer or writers favored the king against the exiled bishops during the time of the interdict and even against Pope Innocent III.\textsuperscript{13} A portion of Geoffrey de Vinsauf's Poetria Nova, Bishop Stubbs suggested was a petition to the pope to be reconciled to King John.\textsuperscript{14} The king was also defended by a Master Alexander 'Cementarius' of St. Albans, more probably in oral fashion than in writing. He was also on friendly terms with a second Master Alexander of St. Albans, Alexander Neckam, whom he may have helped make abbot of Cirencester.\textsuperscript{15} He had as chaplain early in his reign Abbot John of Ford, a theologian who has left some writings.\textsuperscript{16} Many of the courtiers of the king appear as patrons of Master Henry, as we shall see. These include Geoffrey of Bocland, Eustace de Falkenberg, Richard Marsh, Ralph Neville, Stephen Langton, and Peter des Roches. While most of the poems for these people seem to be later than the end of

\textsuperscript{12} Rot. Litt. Claus., I, 108.
\textsuperscript{14} Memorials of Richard I (London, 1864), I, xlix.
\textsuperscript{15} These two have been sometimes confused with each other (as in Russell's 'Three Short Studies,' Colorado College Publication (December, 1927), pp. 62-66) and even with other men, as Powicke shows in his 'Master Alexander of St. Albans, a Literary Muddle,' Essays in History Presented to Reginald Lane Poole (Oxford, 1927), pp. 246-260. Russell's 'Alexander Neckam in England,' English Historical Review, XLVII (1932), pp. 260-268, the most recent biography of Neckam, also adds items about the other Alexander.
\textsuperscript{16} Tanner, p. 435; Rot. Litt. Claus., I, 5, 8, 18, 25, 81b, 82; Rot. Chart. p. 184.
John's reign, No. 91a, which may be earlier than this, mentions the author's favorable reception by Englishmen of high birth. The record of the reign of King John is not high with regard to patronage of letters. We should expect this both from the troubulous character of the times and the none too promising character of the man. However, he did borrow and lend books, at least one book and probably several poems were addressed directly to him, and about him were men who either wrote or appreciated literature.

No. 37

DE HOC NOMINE IOHANNES

Nomen habes non inmerito divina, Iohannes,
    gratia, voce sue conveniente rei.
Ergo vel gratus summo vel gratia summi
    es: pro parte mea casus uterque facit.
5 Si summo gratus, ergo pietatis alumnus;
    ergo pauperibus ferre teneris opem:
    ergo michi, cum sim pauper. Si gratia summi,
    ergo dans quod habes omnibus—ergo michi.
Ergo seu proprie dicaris gratia, sive
10  enfatice, gratis munus habebo tuum.

57 MS A  4 utrique A  7 sim] nos fuì A  9 seu...sive] nos siu
....sui A sùmi a (marg. sinist.) 10 enfatice A fateor (i.e., en, fateor)
a In marg. dext. (vv. 8-10) A: In sigillo Iohannis: Summe Deus, da
n° tua gratia dicar inane.
EARLY RELIGIOUS POEMS

NO. 27 IN HONOR OF ST THOMAS À BECKET
Probably before 1220

NO. 6 REVELATIONS AFTER THE MARTYRDOM OF ST THOMAS À BECKET
Probably before No. 9 (1221) and possibly before No. 7 (1220)

NO. 43 THE FEAST OF ALL SAINTS
About 1 November, possibly of 1219

NO. 22 THE LIFE OF ST FREMUND
Possibly 1219-1220

Like many of the other poems of Master Henry of Avranches, the chronological indications for these four pieces are rather tenuous, but such as they are they point to the years before 1220. Nos. 27, 43, and 22 are the first three among the poems with similar conclusions. Thus they were probably composed before 1220, if we admit the chronological implications of the data.¹ Their lack of either introduction or epilogue also suggests an early date. Neither of the poems upon St Thomas à Becket mentions the translation of that saint in 1220, which, as we shall see, was a ceremony of such splendor that we might have expected it to be mentioned, especially in No. 27. On the other hand, interest in St Thomas, probably eclipsed in large part by the civil wars of 1214-1217, was accelerated by the approach of the translation. The poet was apparently in Germany in 1215 and possibly for some time thereafter; it seems fairly safe to suggest the two years before 1220 as the most probable date of composition for these two poems, at least.

The story of St Thomas à Becket, the greatest saint of England, is too familiar to students of English civilization to need repetition here. In No. 27 the poet is already using phrases which were to become common in his hagiographical verse. The martyr fought successfully against his ever present enemies, the world, the flesh, and the devil.²

¹. See Appendix B.
². L. 20.
Among the achievements of this mighty soul was the conquest of himself. Occasionally the poet offers items which may be valuable for information about contemporary life and thought. Did Master Henry really believe that Ceres was the mother of Diana? What kinds of tablecloths were used at that time? Were all of the musical instruments mentioned by the poet played then? No. 6 is possibly the Sancti scripta Thome miracula which according to No. 9 the poet wrote for the prior of Canterbury. If it is that poem, it was probably written shortly before No. 9, possibly in 1221. Its position in A rather suggests an earlier date. Of the several pieces about St Thomas No. 6 fits the title most aptly and is in the same MS group as No. 9. The poet states that he wrote the Sancti scripta Thome miracula in a week. But since the poet says also that he destroyed this piece in an outburst of disappointment, we have to assume that he later thought better of his work and rewrote it, all of which reduces the identification to a bare conjecture.

In the absence of definite information such a piece as No. 22 may be presumed to have been prepared for those to whom St Fremund was of most interest—those in whose monastic or cathedral church his relics lay. In the thirteenth century the body of St Fremund was at Dunstable; earlier than this it had been at Dorchester. Dunstable was a monastic dependency of St Albans. We have seen that the poet had some connections, probably even very close ones, with that great house. From the position of the conclusion of this poem in the list of similar conclusions, the years 1219-1220 are suggested as the probable period of composition. The poem is organized very simply, with neither prologue or epilogue. The saint's feast was May 11.

The feast of All Saints was probably a popular festival in mediaeval England. An authority upon church dedications in that country has the following to say about dedications to 'All Saints':

4. Ll. 155-156.
7. See Appendix B.
10. See Appendix B.
The immense number of English churches dedicated to the honour of no one Apostle or Martyr by name, but to 'All Saints,' is some measure of the hold which this most catholic of festivals has taken upon the hearts of Englishmen. Dedications in this name mount up to more than twelve hundred, and in point of number rank second only to the churches dedicated in honour of the Blessed Virgin. The dedication is one which is borne by churches belonging to all periods of English ecclesiastical history; it is one which was as much in favor after the Reformation as before, and which has never incurred the danger of being condemned as superstitious.

For this reason it seems probable that No. 43 was written for English patronage. Two other facts add weight to this assumption. In A it appears between poems to English patrons. From the position of the concluding lines among poems with similar conclusions it would appear to have been written about 1219, when the poet is known to have been in England. This is, we should notice, three years earlier than the Synod of Oxford, which, as has been suggested, preserves the earliest known mention of All Saints' Day.

The saints were not venerated, officially at least, in a haphazard fashion. The ordinates were ranked as follows: the Blessed Virgin Mary, Seraphim, Cherubim, dominions, thrones, powers, principalities, virtues, archangels, and angels. The saints were the patriarchs, prophets, apostles, evangelists, martyrs, confessors, and virgins. From the poet's description it is not clear whether widows were included. The supernatural world was peopled with an orderly host.

This emphasis upon order is interesting. A hierarchy of celestial beings, the citizens of Jerusalem on High, paralleled the hierarchy of the Church on earth. The idea of social order in mediaeval society went farther until every person might be presumed to have a definite place. It appeared in attention to dress, to precedence in seating arrangements, and in other human contacts. Even within the same rank, and not very high rank at that, individuals were placed. It is difficult to comprehend mediaeval social thought unless this pervading attention to orderliness and social status is understood.

12. See p. 52.
15. H. Bradshaw and C. Wordsworth, Statutes of Lincoln Cathedral (Cambridge, 1897), II, 635.
No. 27

Incipit

VERSUS DE SANCTO THOMA ARCHIEPISCOPO

Archilevita Thomas et cancellarius Anglis regia divino iura tenore dabat.
Eius in arbitrio nil egit culpa vel error, nil spes sive timor, nil odium vel amor.

Flexilis et rigidi fuit indurata rigore
flexilitas, fractus flexilitate rigor.
Dispensativum ius, dispensatio iusta,
lege rigens pietas, lex pietate tepens.
Sic igitur satagens tractare negocia regni
plebis in ore fuit dulcor, in aure stupor.

Hilis argumentis perpendens Cancia quantus esset, eum petit optimuitque patrem.
Fit presul plus propter onus quam propter honorem,
plus quia prodesse quam quia preesse volens.

In grege commisso vigilans, in rebus agendis strenuus, in cuncta religione sacer,
inque virum versus alium, quasi mente Maria et quasi Martha manu carpit utramque viam.

Durus uterque tamen ascensus, quem tria monstra prepediunt, hostis, mundus, et ipsa caro.

Sed ratio, plus spiritui contermina, carnem compedit et sensus unica quinque domat.

Ecce pugil validus vincit se, vincitur a se, ipse sui domitor, ne domet hostis eum.

Ipse suam carnem falerat foris, atterit intus, murice formoso cilicioque rudi,
et pulchre mendax, monachum sub presule gestat, exterius felix, interiusque miser.

O mirum genus hypocrisis! Ius poscere iudex
ipsa cupit virtus iudiciumque timet.

Arida refloret sub eo, respirat hanelus cleris libertas ecclesiisque status.
Qui Domini pastor in caulibus, cultor in agro, custos in vite, queque decenter agit.

Lac, vinum, fruges per eum profert saciatus
grex, custodita vinea, cultus ager.
Hiis tribus insidians studiis vigil <ut canis, acer> 
ut leo, continuus est quasi fluxus aque.

Contra ius cleri pro consuetudine regni

erx agit Henricus ecclesiisque premit,
conciliumque vocans edicto precipit usus
regni conscriptos in generale legi,
appone Thome subietorurnque sigilla;
imperat: ille vetat; postulat: ille negat.

Proh scelus! ecclesie protector, dignus honore,
munere, blandicia, fert probra, damna, minas.
Nec satis est probra, damna, minas inferre, sed ipsa
immerite mortis pena paratur ei.

Ut fornax aurum, temptat tribulatio sanctos,

sed nichil huic vel eis deperit hic vel ibi.

Hoc probat ipse Thomas, quem nulla pericula terrent,
nnullus turbo quatit, nulla flagella domant.

Exul Alexandro papa duce Senonis urbe
inrat, honorifico more receptus ibi;

Inde petit Potiniacum, penamque resarcit
exilii fratrum norma locique decor.

Celeps conventus, celeber locus, hospite tanto
gaudet, et in titulis crescit uterque suis.

Ipsiis exilii pacem rex invidet illi,
vultque virum stabilem mente movere loco.

Cuius et hospitibus velud hostibus arma minatur,
damnaque mollitur insidiasque struit.

Abbates Grisei sinodo quos Anglia misit
accusant regem sollicitantque Thomam.

Regis enim perhibent Potiniacensibus iram
inflatasque minas propositumque scelus.

Ergo vale dicto spontaneus inde recedit
dampni causa timens fratribus esse Thomas,
ostensoque sibi divinitus ante recessum

signo, proventus providet ipse suos.

Prescit enim quod honorifice sit susciendiud
presul in urbe sua, martir in urbe sua.
Hinc se Parisius transfert, ubi sedulitate
excipit humana rex Ludovicus eum.
Rex tamen Henricus Ludovicum pulsat, asilum
eve velit ulterior exul esse sui.
Instat ad hoc scelus, immo furor, patiturque repulsam
a pietate scelus, a ratione furor,
sevaque sedulitas in sicco plantat, in aura
verberat, in sterili semina spargit humo.
Intumet hoc ipso violenta tyrannis, iniquus
livor, inhumanum crimen, avara lues,
absentisque Thome reditus confiscat, honores
deterit, usurpat predia, sorbet opes.
Sic totum rapit ambitio, sic ambitione
deterior feritas deteriora patrat.
Ipsa Thome consanguineos proscriptit, et uno
exilio dampnat quos nulla premit.
Non mulier praegnans, iuvenisve reliquit eger,
non lactans infans, decrepitusve senex.
In partu mulier, in cuniculis exulat infans,
in feretro languens, in gravitate senex,
et sine delectu fortune, conditionis,
sexus, etatis, exulat omne genus.
Sic proscriptuntur omnes, iurantque coacti
presentare Thome seque suumque statum,
ut quem <passio> non frangit, compassio stringat,
damnavque contristent plus aliena suis.
Ipse manum mittens ad forcia, damnav parentum
et sua non damnav estimavit, immo lucris.
Dissimulat gemitus et gaudia fingit honeste;
nubila mens intus fronsque serena foris,
exilaratque suos leti solamine vultus,
quos sperare iubet de meliori statu,
et perhibens illis exempla preambula verbi,
omne solum patriam fortibus esse docet.
Acrior incumbit rabies transgressaque totum
fas odii multos in sua vota rapit.
Rex iubet edici, petit indicet quasi legem
et quasi decretum quod vetat hec et ea,
ecclesiamque ferox gladio compellit utroque
ne precibus pugilem roboret illa suum.
O speculum sceleris! et pro gentilibus et pro
Iudeis et pro sontibus illa rogat;
cuus enim votis hominum genus omne iuvatur
pro tutore tamen nil vovet ipsa suo.
Continuis igitur sex annis exulat ille ecclesie clipeus, ille rigoris apex.
Sic lapis ille Syon pulsatur verbere multo, pressuris multis efficiturque quadrus,
sed domus illa supra petram solidissima nullo incursu, nullo turbine pulsa ruit.
Scilicet unde magis hostili ceditur iictu, inde minus cedit hec domus, ille lapis.

Remensis Archiepiscopus pro decidenda lite Romam adit.
Vult Deus hiis tandem penis imponere finem
athleteque suo ferre benignus opem.
Romam Remensis adit archiepiscopus, ipsi indignans regi compacienisque Thome;
instinctu culus dirimendi Papa furoris prefigit tempus constituitque locum.

Causa reformande pacis tractatur; ad unum flectere se medium nescit uterque rigor.
Nam rex ecclesie ius usurpare, rigorem emollire, statum debilitare studet;
pacem vero Thomas non admittit nisi salvo ipsius ecclesie iure, rigore, statu.

Discordes abeunt actor, reus ad peregrinos . . . . . . . . . . . .

De diligencia Pape ut pax reformetur adhibita.
Ecclesie tantos miseratur Papa lahores,
undantis fluxum vult cohibere mali.
Forcia premittit flectendo iussa tyranno et faciles monitus dulciflusque preces.
Precipit, ortatur, rogat ipsum cedere, sed nec iussu nec monitu nec prece flectit eum.
Pretendens in fine minas anathematis enim exerit, et validos incutit inde metus.
Sic igitur cogit cessare tyrannidis iram plus pene terror quam pietatis amor,
proscriptusque Thomas gaudente repatriat orbe.

Occurrit domino Cancia leta suo.
Eius in occursum proceres civesque profecti certatim celeres experientur equos.
Disposita serie castigatoque tumultu

119 pusatur A 138 versus unus aut plures exciderunt 140 choibere A
146 exerit A
Mensas accelerant mappis vestire clientes
et convivarium perstrepit aula sonis.
Mater Achillis ibi servit materque Diane,
cristalli Tethis, lactis ymago Ceres.
Exilarat mensas sapidus Bachi liquor, alba
mensalis facies, regia pompa dapum.
Argumenta sonant animi felicia leti;
certatim capitur ore mel, aure melos.
Oblonge vidule, curvo symphonia tractu,
mobile psalterium, fistula dulce sonans,
tibia fraxinea, tuba cuprea, timpana rauca,
cimbala clara sonant somnifereque lire.
Quid loquor? omnis habet sua delectamina sensus,
totaque letatur presule terra suo.
O falsi versus fortune! quam male ponunt
mesticiam longam leticiamque brevem!
O mundi mendax ingrataque gratia! quicquid
dextra brevis donat, longa sinistra rapit.
Qui modo sex annos sine pace peregerat, ecce
vix totidem peragit absque labore dies.
Omni deterior est hoste domesticus hostis,
oni plus odio pax simulata nocet.
Seviciam regis vox detractoris acerbat,
fellis adhuc modicas suscitat ira faces.
Edictum generale Thomam specialiter artat
ne claustri fines exeat ipse sui.
Unius editi duplex iniuria: claustrum
fit carcer, presul exul in urbe sua.
Quisquis adheret ei censetur publicus hostis,
quosquis honorat eum prorsus honore caret.
Non tamen hec animum possunt cohibere virilem
ut de proposito deviet ipse suo,
quin illi ius ecclesie defendere totum
sit studium, tota gloria, totus amor.

Incipit de passione Beati Thome.
Denique respirant mala tot conclusa sub uno,
et varias mortes mors facit una mori.
Post Domini natale die nequissima quinto
incumbit feritas horribilisque furor.
Nobilitate quidem preclaros sed malefactis
infames inflat quattuor ira viros.

persterpit A  159 menses A  corr. a  162 carpitur A  corr. a
vidule] a fide A  166 sopnifereque A  134 infames] nos et fames A
qui sanctum santonis attemptant ledere verbis, infestare probris, sollicitare minis. Sed non est eius constancia concita verbis, non offensa probris, non pavefacta minis. Ipse satellitisbibus Satane sine melle modestus et sine felle ferus sic mediocris ait: "Quam ius ecclesie vestros minuat in usus nec volo nec possum dissimulare magis." Prudentis verbum stultorum verberat aures; mentes Thesifone pulsat, Erinis agit.

Egressi tectum sese simul egrediunt, armaque corripiunt in furiale nephas. Quis furor, O stolidi, que vos amentia pulsat patrius ut vestro profluat ense crur? Non pudet armari multos adversus inermem unum cui titulus cedere, vita mori? Qui nullum perimit, non ius est ut perimatur; qui nullum cedit, qua ratione cadat? Sed non attendit quid iuris, quid rationis intersit ferri copia, cedis amor.


235 Querentes illos ubi proditor, hos ubi presul, 
certificat presul proditor ipse sui.
"Eum" ait "en assum, presul non proditor, et pro
ecclesia Christi presto subire necem.
Sed vos adiuro per Eum, si seviat in me
vestra manus, saltem parcat ut illa meis."

240 Vix bene desierat cum sacri cesa corona
verticis et cerebri sparsa medulla iacet.
Sic a prole parens, materna cesus in alvo
Thoma malam mortem quo bene vivat habet.

245 Vite farra metens de mortis semine, quamquam
dormiat et iacet, stat vigilatque tamen.
Stat vigilans, dormitque iacens, nam Patris in arce
stat vigilans, dormit matris in ede iacens.
Dormit et exurget, vigilat nec dormiet unquam
hospes qui iacet hic, incola qui stat ibi.

250 O mors vitalis, letus dolor, utile damnum!
Virtutum culmen inde stat unde ruit.
Vincitur ut vincat, corrumpitur ut generetur;
vincit enim mundum gignitur atque Deo.

255 O vere pastorque bonus presulque benignus,
cuius in ore fuit ultimus iste sonus:
"Occumbens commendo Deo sancteque Marie
et sancto Stephano meque meumque gregem."
Malens ergo mori liber quam vivere servus
ecclesie pacem merce cruoris emit.

260 Pastoris grex ipse sui venerabile corpus
devote tollit et reverenter humat.
Dat, petit, indicit languoribus inde medelam,
peccatis veniam, demoniisque fugam.

265 Egros huic tumulo variis languoribus actos
provolvit flexo poplite certa fides.
Hunc surdi, claudi, ceci, mutique frequentant,
quos audire facit, ire, videre, loqui,
ad laudem Christi, Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque
est laus, est virtus, est sine fine decus. Amen.
DE QUIBUSDAM REVELACIONIBUS POST MARTIRIUM BEATI THOME M.

Multa futurorum populus prenostica vidit;
40 spem diversa dedit visio, spesque fidem.
Paulo post subeunt miracula: convenientes
egros languoris deserit omne genus,
ut pote pruritus lepre, succensio febris,
paralesisque tremor, ydropisisque tumor.
45 Pontificum cessat timor et regis tumor: illos
non iuvisse Syon, hunc nocuisse piget.
Expirat iuxta tumulum quater, et quater ignis
celitus immissi luce lucerna micat.
Quin et inauditum per secula contigit: unus
cucus et eunuchus martiris orat opem,
50 huicque novos oculos, nova dat genitalia martir
non generata prius, immo creata modo.
Questio de membris est istis. Cum generata
non sint, corrumpi qua ratione queant?
Nam Deus eternus quod fecit non mediante
natura, stabit; quod mediante, cadet.
Sed predicta quidem fecit Deus haut mediante
natura, verum vix adhibente fidem.
Ergo nature non est dissolvere massas
55 quas compegit ea non mediante Deus.
Questio rursus utrum consistant ex elementis:
nam si sic, non sunt ergo creata modo,
et si non, ergo non dissolverent in illa;
qualia sunt igitur talia semper erunt.
Sic falsum casus concludit et unus et alter;
miratur ratio nil utrobique videns,
60 nec solum ratio sed et intellectus ad ista
deficit in neutro stans, in utroque fluens.
Nec solum post interitum sed tempore longo
ante revelavit pluribus ista Deus.
Nam Ierosolimis rediens peregrinus ad Anglos
multa sibi monachum precinuisse refert;
seu situs astrorum seu spiritus ipse docebat,
illi fatorum nota mathesis erat;
65 perque propheciam didicit peregrinus ab illis
quo sudore Thomas glorificandus erat.
"O mundi felix" ait "angulus Anglia, felix
Cancia, que culmen condet in astra suum;
post lacrimas ridens, post planctum Cancia cantans
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sacra reservabit presulis arma sui.
Hinc erit ut peregrae proficiscens vertice prono
et genibus flexis mundus adoret ibi."
Ista peregrinus hic rettulit ordine nobis
annis pene decem martiris ante necem.

Cuncta laborantium Deus acta remunerat eque;
res est mercedis exigitiva labor.
Et per multa Suos docet argumenta fideles
quam dilectus Ei sit Suus ille pugil,
quam multi vidisse ferunt in ymagine somni

cum sensus acies exterioris ebet.
Corporeis equidem sopitis sensibus, ipsa
mens oculo simplex liberiore videt.
Hac ratione Thomam sompno videre gravati,
quorum mens ipsum docta videre fuit.

Sacrata sibi nocte Thomas e fratribus uni
comparet, cultu nobilis, ore decens,
et mitra mordente comas astare videtur
altari, tamquam si celebrare velit.

In monacho pugnat amor et reverencia, per quem
multa libet, per quam querere paucâ licet.
Usus et ausus idem est: qui sepe timet, semel audet;
omnia nota magis sunt metuenda minus.
Iam per tres iterata vices abit umbra timoris
et prius ille timens sic animatus ait:

"Pace tua, pater alme, loquar tibi: nonne fuisti
occisus ferro?" Cui pater: "Immo fui:
ecce, resurrexi." Monachus: "Si martir es" inquit
"cur non dilatas nomen in orbe tuum?"
Sanctus ait: "Lumen porto quod nubilus aer
humanis oculis irrutilare vetat."

Laternamque levans intus rutilante lucerna
ut monachus videat, precipit; ille videt.
Pene tamen laterna latet; lucere lucernam
ipsam caligo vix nebulosa sinit.

Allegoria subest; nebulis subducitur atris
lumen, id est regni cismate signa Thome.
Deinde Thomas ab eo transire videtur ad aram
et celebraturi signa modumque gerit.
Introitum "Letare Syon" chorus inchoat, addens
"auditam facite, qui celebratis eam."

Dum chorus hec modulis psallit sollemnibus, illi

92 lib'ore A 94 docma A 100 per quam multa libet A 106 sero A
107 resurrexi A
innuit ut sileat voce manuque Thomas.
Ipse canit carmen meroris, voce repressa,
labris vix motis, nec canit, immo legit:

"Surge Deus, quare dormis? exurge, nec usque
in finem populi vota repelle tui.
Cur non advertis, cur te tribulacio nostra
non movet, et venter noster adhesit humo?
Nos, Deus, et salves et solvas, quos inimici
exposuere malis, supposuere iugo."

Interea monachi sopor evanescit, at ipse
sub perpendiculo singula queque regit,
et sanctum dixisse stupet, quia mortuus, ecce
surrexit; nec enim pondere verba carent;

constat enim quia mortuus est ex debilitate
carnis, sed vivit ex bonitate Dei.

lucta quod vidit et episcopus Exoniensis
Bartholomeus eum sic cecidisse gemens,
qui dum cepisset dormire, vir affuit illi
querens, "Unde doles?" "De nece patris," ait.
Cui vir subiunxit, "Est mortuus ille?" scierent.
"at sua morte carent brachia, morte manus.
Vivit, et hic in eo vivit. Expressio quedam
brachia vindicte sunt, operumque manus."

Accidit et cuidam quod sic in ymagine somnii
vox ascendentis horrida dixit ei:

"Ad Dominum non sanguis Abel ab origine mundi
effusus clamat sicut et ecce meus?"

Posterum lux oritur; memorat sua somnia multis
ille; quid insinuunt dicere nemo potest.

Dum sic ambigerent, accurrens nuntiat unus
ensibus exercit occubuisset Thomam.
Inde notant de quo vox sanguine dixerat illa,
et constat quantum visio vera fuit.

Petrus adhuc dignusque fide nec fallere doctus
se vidisset refert somnia vera puer.

Vidit in ecclesia sexus utriusque fideles
multos; adventus causa videre Thomam.

Exanimisque super ingens altare videtur

indutus serica veste iacere Thomas.
Pulvinar sericum caput eius vellere molli
sustinet, et monachus fulcit utraque manu.
Ecce, repente duas veluti de stipite solo
producit virgas eius utrumque latus,
et motu perceptibili crescendo minantur
ecclesie culmen iam penetrare suo.
Omnes inde stupent; monachus predictus ad ipsos
conversus, "Fratres, unde stupetis?" ait.
"Laus et fama Thome virgis pretenditur istis;
utraque de terris surgit ad astra poli."

Cuidam Leucensi monacho comparuit unus
in sompnis frater mortuus ante diu,
a quo de multis quesitus deque Beati
sorte Thome, "Martir est venerandus" ait.
"Nam cum martiribus et confessorisbus alma
mater, apostolicus ordo receptit eum.
A quibus ante thronum cum presentatus adset
assurgens Sponsus oscula iunxit ei:
quin et apostolice sortitur sedis honorem;
cunctis martiribus maior habetur ibi."
Hec et in extremis Anglorum finibus unus
longo predixit tempore visa sibi.
Scilicet educatus a corpore, deinde reductus
ad corpus, nobis abdita vidit ibi;
summos intuitus sanctos sedemque vacantem
a duce perquirit cui locus ille vacet.
Dux suus "Ex sanctis tibi dico quod ordo supremus
est et apostolicus iste senatus," ait.
"Unum scis, reliquum perpende: Britannia mittet
flava sacerdotem cui locus ille vacat."
Talibus in seculo dictis presente futuri
ille statum seclii non rediturus ait.

No. 43

DE FESTO OMNIUM SANCTORUM

Ecce dies toti mundo celeberrimus, ecce
festum milicie celestis, et omnia festa
complectens, sanctos omnes veneratur ut unum.
Quantum devote dulcedinis omnia nobis
festa sigillatim, tantum semel attulit istud,
et quod defecit in eis suppletur in isto. 
Digne sunt sancti venerandi: sancta Maria 
precipue, mater pietatis, virgo beata, 
sancta Dei genetrix, fons virginitatis, oliva 
pacis, stella maris, paradisi porta, salutis 
portus, flos spine, pigmeni cellula, nardus 
virtutum, vellus Gedeonis, virgula Iesse. 
Arida rorifluum producit virgula florem, 
distillat vellus rorem, dat nardus odorem, 
cellula pigmentum, flos fructum, portus honorem, 
porta domum, stella mare placat, reddit oliva 
pacem, diffundit mundo fons virginitatis 
rivos leticie, genetrix enixa salutem, 
virgo Deum; mireque modo, Quem claudere mundus 
non potuit, claudit uterus, clauso meatu 
egreditur factus in tempore Qui fuit ante 
tempora, de stella sol, de nata Pater ortus, 
de muliere Deus, de plasmate Factor; et ipsum 
celestem panem tellus mortalibus edit. 
O mire genitus puer! O generacio mira, 
quam non precessit carnis corruptio vane! 
Mirari satis angelicus non sufficit ordo: 
quomodo sufficiam? Mea parva scientia tante 
materie non sufficeret superaddere formam. 
Et dum tantillus preco preconia tanta 
attempto, lucem solis iuvo luce lucerne. 
Post ipsam seraphin contemptant immediate 
divinam faciem, que contemplacio summum est 
eternumque bonum, status omnis honoris in uno. 
Mox sequitur cherubin ordo, qui primus ab isto 
ardet et eterni solis fulgore coruscat. 
Post cherubin sequitur dominacio, tertius ordo, 
subiectis qui spiritibus preest et dominatur. 
Quartus vero throni quibus insidet Ipse Creator 
judicumque Suum studio disponit eorum. 
Suntque potestates quintus, quibus ampla potestas, 
per quam spiritibus alius preposse videntur. 
Est ordo sextus princeps quia principis instar 
subjectos alios disponit et imperat ipsis. 
Post hunc intitulat virtutes septimus ordo, 
officio quarum Dominus miracula patrat.
Est archangelicus octavus in ordine cetus, agminis angelici princeps. Communia tractat ille, sed hic debet ad summa negocia mitti.

Post hunc angelicus est nonus et ultimus ordo, indicio quorum placido nutuque benigno mens homini divina patet, ventura revelat, mercedem iustis, penam pretendit iniquis. Ecce, beatorum breviter describitur ordo.

Spirituum primi post ipsos sunt patriararche, gens sacra, gens cuius supplex devocio, simplex religio, cuius large domus hospita largum suscepisse Deum meruit. Nunc est Deus eius hospes, et eterno splendore remunerat ipsam.

Deinde prophetarum sacer innocuusque senatus, qui populo mandata Dei Christumque futurum dixit, et humane tractanda negocia cause. Cetus apostolicus huius successor et heres pura mente Deum coluit, mundumque relinquens et mundana, sequi studuit vestigia Christi.

Post ipsos, et pene pares, euvangeliorum scriptores Christi purissima verba perenni inscripsere libro. Nam celitus et velud uno ore loquebantur, toto licet orbe remoti, diversisque fuit sententia vocibus una; sed nil est intertextum de stamine falsi. Post inscribuntur sancti quos palma coronat martirii, qui nec cogente tirannide regum nec mortis terrente metu coluere doulas, sed Christum confessi voce suprema ut sequentur Eum proprium fudere cruorem. Mox confessores, qui sponte subire parati martirii penam si forte tirannide ira exigeret, quamquam non sint in corpore cesi, non minus idcirco palme meruere coronam. Sanctis virginibus finalis laurea cessit, non equidem meriti sed sexus imparitate. Cum index igitur summus non intueatur sexum sed sensum, patet has non esse minores in celo sanctis aliiis quos pretulit ordo. Nam quod martirium gravius quam dura modestie frena pudicicie viridi tolerare iuventa?
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Virginibus vero viduas postponit et ordo
et meritum; viduabus enim rupisse pudoris
clastra licet liceat, melius tamen est cohibere
carnem, si fieri possit. Postponimus ergo
virginibus viduas, conubia non reprobantes,
se pred conubio laudantes integritatem.
Hec tamen est virtus viduarum maxima, quod post
fata maritorum, sociali federe rupto,
et nupsisse viris piget et violasse pudorem.
Turturis instar habent, vitaeque superstites horrent
crimen et imponunt omnino silencia carni.
Hic est celestis exercitus, ecce superne
cives Ierusalem, quibus et nos annumerare
dignetur Christus, Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque
sit laus et virtus et honor per secula cuncta. Amen.
Before 1220 Master Henry seems to have been at the court of Henry III, but with the present data the length of his stay can hardly be determined accurately. Three poems were probably written there at this time. The lost epitaph upon William Marshall was almost certainly written at the time of his death on 14 May 1219, or immediately thereafter. For while it is possible that it was written later, that occasion would have been the most appropriate, and court poetry to be effective must be timely.

Both the other poems occur in a MS group the arrangement of which seems to have some chronological significance.¹ No. 43, which lies between the two in the MS, also belongs to the group of poems with similar conclusions for which the evidence points to a date of composition before 1220.² A reading of the two poems reveals a marked similarity between them and suggests that they were written at about the same time. Since Stephen Langton was out of England or at odds with the poet's patron, King John, until about 1218, it hardly seems probable that the poem to him was written earlier. On the other hand the poet fails to mention the translation of St. Thomas, although he specifically stresses Langton's position as his successor, even punning upon the point that 'not an atom distinguishes you from Thomas (a Thoma).'</p>

The translation of St Thomas, as we shall see, was probably the most brilliant event of Langton's eventful life.

¹ See Appendix B.
² Ibid.
had a notable career as a professor at the University of Paris before he became archbishop of Canterbury and a cardinal. It is not surprising to find the poet emphasizing the renown of his patron as a philosopher, using the phraseology of the schools, and finally classing himself among the philosophers. Upon such grounds the poet might reasonably hope to appeal to the archbishop, whose vast resources of patronage must have been singularly attractive to the poet.

The poem to Geoffrey de Bocland was for a patron of a different type. Bocland was a courtier and judge whose career commenced at least as early as the reign of Richard (1189-1199) and ended in the autumn of 1225. He was a man of some wealth, a holder of several livings, and what was more important to a young clerk, the patron of at least one. In the poem to Geoffrey, Master Henry uses three English words, 'bocland' (bookland), 'fri' (free), and 'friman' (freeman). It would be interesting to know how much farther his knowledge of English extended.

No. 99, Epitaph of William Marshall, does not seem to be extant, but both an early note in the margin of a MS of the Chronica Majora of Matthew Paris and the old index of A refer to the presence at an early date of the epitaphs of William Marshall in that MS. They were probably lost in rebinding. The Marshall family was apparently an exception to the rule that English families were seldom patrons of letters. For this family the life of William Marshall was written in a famous Anglo-Norman poem by a certain John. Besides this lost epitaph by Henry of Avranches another was written by Master Gervase of Melcheley, according to Matthew Paris. Marshall had an amazing career which ended as regent of England and Earl of Pembroke.

3. Dictionary of National Biography (1st ed.), V, 289. He was alive until 21 July, 1225 and possibly until 31 August (Calendar of Close Rolls, 1224-1227, p. 80), but dead by 14 September (Calendar of Patent Rolls, 1216-1225, p. 550).
4. F. N. Davis, Rotuli Hugonis de Welle (Lincoln, 1912), I, 66.
5. See pp. 6 and 7.
8. We have not seen the doctoral dissertation of Sidney Painter upon the life of Marshall (Yale, 1950).
No. 44

AD STEPHANUM

Stephane, te sublimat honor sic sanctificans ut
te non a Thoma separat ulla athomos.

Nam quia sis ipsum subiectum philosophie
ipsa tibi per me philosophia probat.

Hec tria, natura, ratio, mos, philosophiam
in se dividere sufficienter habent.

Effectus ratio nature, mos rationis,
et moris bonitas, et bonitatis honor.

Sic honor ultimus est effectus philosophie;
effectu vero causa manente manet.

Sed tibi summus honor: ergo tibi philosophia.
Cur tamen hec tanquam non manifesta probem?

Est a natura ratio, mos a ratione,
virtus a more, cuius amore sapis.

Sum quoque philosophus, mea portans omnia mecum,
si sine materia distribuisse sinar.

Sic tu sic et ego, sic philosophi sumus ambo,
maxima si parvis assimulare licet.

Sic nos interior habitus confederat, unde
ut tibi conformer exterioere precor.

No. 42

AD GALFRIDUM DE BOCLANDIA

Liberat a viciis liber omnes, liber es ergo,
cum sis de "Bocland," de regione libri.

A viciis igitur liber, gaudere teneris:
ex hoc Gaufridi nomen et omen habes.

Precedat medium, coeant extrema: notamen
ordine diversum, materia fit 'dem.

O Gaufride, quasi "fri," gaude, seu quasi liber
gaude: nam quid "fri man" nisi liber homo?

Gaufridus bene "fri" gaudes: tibi gaudia causat
eloquium, causat copia, causat honor.

te siquidem dotat, te ditat, te levat aurum
eloquii, rerum fluxus, honoris apex.
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Tu liber, gaudens, excellens sanguine, vultu, moribus; et tripedis hec tria pondus habent.

15 Liber es, ecce prior pes; gaudens, ecce secundus; excellens, ecce tercius: ecce tripes.
Inde fit ut triplici sic sustentere columna ut numquam labi sive labare queas.
Sic tuus ergo status michi condescendat, ut astem et merear preco nominis esse tui.
This long grammatical poem of approximately 2200 lines was first considered as possibly the work of Henry of Avranches because of its concluding lines. The clue was confirmed by an examination of rotographs of the piece, which occupies the whole of MS Rawlinson G 50 of the Bodleian Library. The poem has several lines in common with another grammatical poem whose opening lines name a Henry as the author. This is not conclusive evidence of Henry's authorship, since No. 103 is a compilation embodying many lines verbatim from earlier works. The poem is organized somewhat like the Life of St Thomas a Becket, No. 1, and its conclusion has the same Virgilian expression of the difficulty of ascent and ease of descent that appears in No. 8. The poem is interesting not only for its information about grammar but also for the probable circumstances of its composition.

The preface of the poem states that it was written to spare some boys the difficulty of studying the multitude of contemporary books on grammar. In the conclusion the patron, rather than patrons, is described. He is of high birth, 'apex generis,' and of pleasing appearance. He is fortunate in his parents; death has separated them, but they will be reunited in Heaven. The badly mutilated preface contains an invocation of the Virgin, and ends with some statement about the name of the boys for whom the poem is written. This statement,

2. Much of this introduction has appeared in our 'The Grammatical Works of Master Henry of Avranches,' Philological Quarterly, VIII (1929), 25-33, but the article discusses the grammar in more detail. The rotographs of this manuscript are deposited in the Harvard University Library.
which would doubtless establish their identity, unfortunately remains indecipherable. If the poem is by Henry of Avranches, and if the order of similar conclusions of his poems has chronological significance, this poem was probably written in 1220 or earlier.\(^4\)

The poet was in England in 1219 and 1220. The 'apex generis,' suggesting a king, would then be Henry III. Death had separated his parents in 1216; the hope that they would be reunited in Heaven must have been written before May 1220, when John's widow made such a suggestion tactless by marrying again. The patrons of the preface, Henry and his brother Richard, were of proper age (9-13, 7-11) from 1216 to 1220 to learn their grammar, but their ages suggest the time toward the end of the four years.

Upon the history of England the advisers of Henry III had a remarkable influence, largely because he followed the advice of others so readily. Thus new evidence in regard to these advisers and especially in regard to the childhood of the king is welcome. The close relationship of the poet to Peter des Roches is evident in several poems.\(^5\) This bishop was in charge of the king from the death of William Marshall, Earl of Pembroke, in 1219 until the king came of age, in rather summary fashion Henry dismissed the bishop and his associates in 1227. Roger of Wendover wrote of it as follows:\(^6\)

\[\text{Eodem tempore rex Anglorum, mense Februario apud Oxoniam concilio congregato, denuntiavit coram omni-bus se legitime esse etatis, et de cetero solutus a custodia regia notitia ipse principaliter ordinaret; et sic qui prius tutorem habuit et rectorem Willelmum Marschalam dum viveret, et postmodum Petrum Wintoniensem episcopum, excussit se per consilium Huberti de Burgo, Justiciarii regni, de concilio et gubernatione dicti episcopi et suorum qui regi fuerant prius quasi pedagogi, ita quod omnes illos a curia sua et cohabitatione removit.}\]

In view of this long grammatical treatise and of the poet's relationship to the bishop, it is not difficult to conjecture that Master Henry was one of the pedagogues of the king and taught him his grammar.

The poem itself, although it was probably dedicated to a king, never attained popularity. It was written

---

4. That is, before No. 95, which is clearly of that year.
5. See especially p. 123.
much after the style of the Doctrinale of Alexander of Ville-
dieu (of the year 1199) and the Grecismus (of 1212), from both
of which Master Henry appropriated much. However, our poet's
work is arranged differently and probably better than those of
his predecessors whose poems were to remain famous for centu-
ries. The piece follows to a certain extent the order of
Priscian's Institutes, the great grammar of the time. It is
not so easy to trace the indebtedness of the poet to other
grammarians whose works have not yet been printed. The com-
prehensiveness of the long grammatical treatise by Master Henry
makes it an excellent text for use in the study of Latin gram-
mar about 1216-1220.

Master Henry also versified the short, elemen-
tary Ars Minor, a popular grammatical treatise of Donatus. It
was probably an early effort of the poet.7

No. 103

(Prologue)

Comoda gramatice propono....metro
que pueris......................
et breviter, nam sunt confuse tradita libris
practica gramatice.................librorum

O Christi mater sine qua nichil ordiar umquam

complosis manibus tibi supplico, poplite prono,
hec abstracta....................favore
hec ut precipue pueris collectio prosit
quorum cognomen.............tollat..............

(Speculative Grammar, fol. 32r)

Sed dicunt multi, duplex est dictio siquis,
sunt infinite quoque magnó iudice dicte.
Alfa notatur et o de virgine matre Redemptor;
hic est principium sine principio, sine fine,

hic est perfectum verbum cum nomine iunctum,
assumens servi formam de virgine sacra;
que consignificant quasi partes dicimur esse,
dum nexum fidei verbis factisque tenemus.

7. Described in Philological Quarterly, VIII (1929), 22-25; edited by us in

(Epilogue)

O sine patre, Patri de virgine nate, Redemptor, qui truce morte tua mortale genus relevali, ad te conversos nos respice, dirige, salva. Nam terris dolor est, facilis descensus Averni; nos noxa premimus, gravis est ascensus ad astra. Idcirco rogo te pro quo liber editur iste, addiscas, dum tempus habes, quia funebre bellum corporis ac anime parit ignorantia veri. Nascitur hinc heresis. Impende libenter aures philosophis veris, nam fundamenta sophie heu prope iam pereunt. Nec delectare magistri nec prodesse volunt, sed lucrum lingere gaudent. te sublimat apex generis, te gratia forme: tu patre, tu matre felix es. Dissociavit hos fera mors, sed eos Deus associabit. Vivens et discens, ponas contraria, vivens te non victurum, discens te non moriturum. Non levitas animi, non te suggestio prava abstrahat incepto nitido vel flama iuvente. Hoc opus abstractum quisquis legat, audiat, illi prospera procedant; cum Christo sit sine fine, hoc tribuente Ihesu, Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque sit laus et virtus et honor per secula cuncta. Amen.
Upon the leap day of a leap year Dean Hamo, according to the poem, passed away.\(^1\) Too good for an ordinary day, his departure had been reserved by the calendar for a special day. The poet seized the opportunity of describing with apt phrase each month of the year, producing a set of pictures which Camden used as an illustration of mediaeval verse.\(^2\) Such a piece would probably have been read to the chapter of which Hamo was dean and might have been read at the time of his funeral. This, of course, assumes that the person designated in the poem was a real person. Fortunately the poem gives sufficient information about Dean Hamo so that he may be identified in the documents of the time. According to the verse Dean Hamo had in the course of his long career served as canon, precentor, then archdeacon and 'custos' (that is treasurer)\(^3\) at the same time.

Le Neve's Fasti offer only two choices of a 'Dean Hamo' in this period: a dean of Lincoln who died in 1195 and is thus ruled out, and a dean of York, the date of whose death is not given.\(^4\) The career of the latter, however, may be traced in some detail over a period of more than thirty years, since the affairs of the York chapter are relatively well known. Hamo was a canon of York before 1186.\(^5\)

---

1. We are indebted to Paul Grosjean, S. J., for pointing out that the mediaeval leap day was 'bisexstyllis' of the Calends of March or 25 February.
2. Camden, Remaines of a Greater Worke, etc., (London, 1605), Poetry, p. 41. These pictures of the months are parallel to pictures of the characteristic features of each month in mediaeval books of hours. See Professor Willard's article upon some of these in the Bodleian Quarterly Record, VII (1932), 53-59.
3. Ll. 14-17. For the identification of 'custos' with treasurer see Du Cange under 'thesaurarius.'
4. J. Le Neve, Fasti Ecclesiae Anglicanae, ed., T. Hardy (London, 1854); see index under Hamo.
5. The Priory of Hexham, etc., (Durham, 1865, Surtees Soc.), II, 86: Hamo appears as a charter witness along with Prior John (elected about 1160, see ibid., p. cliii) and Dean Robert, who died in 1186; W. Stubbs, Gesta Regis Henrici Secundi Benedicti Abbatis (London, 1867, R. S.), I, 360.
precentor in that year, he was one of five candidates presented by the York chapter for the vacant archbishopric. Henry II refused to accept any of them. His activity as precentor can be followed in the years 1189-90 and 1192-95 as a member of the rather boisterous York chapter.

In 1199 or earlier he was promoted to the office of treasurer and appears as such in 1206, 1213, and 1214. In this year Hamo probably became dean, although an enrolled letter patent of that year conferred the office upon a certain William Testard, archdeacon of Nottingham. The latter never appears as dean in the many documents of the period and was still archdeacon while Hamo was dean according to the witness lists of two charters. On the other hand, Hamo as dean attests many documents, mostly undated. However, he does appear in two documents of 1217 and in a third in the time of Walter, archbishop of York and Richard, bishop of Durham.

The Magnum Registrum Album of York Cathedral, fol. 34r, contains two charters, one of Archbishop Walter and the other of Dean Hamo and the Chapter of York, stating that the offices of treasurer and the archdeaconry of the East Riding of Yorkshire, which had been held by one person, were now to be separated. This confirms the statement of Master Henry (line 17) that no one after Hamo combined these offices.

6. Ibid., p. 352.
9. Pat. 16 Joh. p. 123. This led Raine, The Register of Rolls of Walter Gray (Durham, 1872, Surtees Soc.), p. 2, to say that William was dean from 1214 to 1220.
10. Ibid., pp. 128, 133.
12. The Register, etc., p. 132: British Museum MS Cotton, Vesp. E XIII, fol. 46v; Hamo appointed papal delegate 16 Dec. 2 Hon. III: MS Cotton, Vesp. A IV, fol. 5v. In one document, The Register, etc., p. 133 is mentioned the 'area juxta domum nostram in qua Hamo decanus habitavit antiquum esset thesaurarius.' See also Historians of York (London, 1857, R. S.), III, 77, 92, 105.
Roger of Insula, who attested three charters as dean in 1220, probably succeeded Hamo in that office. The earliest of the charters was executed on 24 June, and the other two in September.\textsuperscript{13}

Dean Hamo thus fulfils the conditions mentioned in the poem. He had been canon, precentor, and treasurer. Since he is said by the poet to have been archdeacon and treasurer at the same time, he would probably not be given the lesser title of archdeacon in the documents. A successor appears in the deanship within a few months of the leap day of the leap year, 1220. That the poet was in northern England at Durham at some time in this general period is clear from No. 34. It thus appears that this curious poem concerns Dean Hamo of York and may have been read before the York chapter sometime after the dean's death in 1220.

No. 7

DE QUODAM HAMONE

Olim piscator hominum, quasi piscis ab hamo mortis captus Hamo, celebrat convivia vite. Est eius iam mortua mors, et conscia mortis non tuit hoc impune dies, quia nullius anni vel mensis patrocinio permittitur uti, et non est in cathalogo conscripta dierum, exillique ream suus exhereditat annus. Annus enim solis in mortem non fuit ausus conspirare sui, menses conscire verentur tanti fata patris, tam lamentabile dampnum. Nec mirum, soli fuit illi philosophia consors, natura coniunx, fortuna (quæ) constans. Philosophia dedit mores, natura decorem, et fortuna decus, ascendendoque gradatim ad summos apices meruit pertingere, primo canonicus, deinde precentor, deinde statutus archilevita simul et custos; nullus ibidem post ipsum, quis enim vel sufficienter utrumque ferret onus vel utroque foret condignus honore? Quare vero dies tanti sibi conscia dampn

\textsuperscript{15} The Register, etc., p. 256, \textit{circa festum S. Johannis Baptistae}; p. 157, \textit{acta tertio nonas Septembris}, etc.; p. 159, on the nones of the same month.
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sit dampnata, subest ratio: nec enim sine sole
annus vel mensis; sed mundi sol fuit iste;
non igitur mensis potuit conscire vel annus
ipsius occasum; conscire nequiverat annus,
nam sine sole perit; menses conscire nequibant,
participabat enim dotes cuiuslibet Hamo.
Circumspectus erat, ut Ianus; crimina purgans,
ut Februus; veterana novans, ut Marcius; ipsa
semina producens, ut Aprilis; flore choruscans
ut Maius; facie splendens, ut Iunius; intus
fervens, ut Iulius; frugis maturus adulte
messor, ut Augustus; fecundans horrea, more
Septembris; replens vino cellaria, more
Octobris; pastor pecudis, sed spiritualis,
more Novembris erat; epulator dapsilis, instar
omne Decembris habens, hiemali peste quiescens.
Nulla dies igitur nisi bissextilis et anni
arbitrio dampnata sui, nec subdita mensi,
sed noctis lux instar habens, lux nescia lucis,
et lux existens inter luces quasi bubo
inter aves, huius poterat concludere vitam
solis, et humanum genus hac privare lucerna.
Hamo decane, iaces; toto fugit exul ab anno
interitum solis ausa videre dies.
Written in an even and beautiful hand, the Life of St Thomas à Becket (followed by the Translation of St Thomas à Becket) occupies the first place and the position of honor in A. The choice was justified by the preëminence of that saint. The close relationship of the two poems, which might even be regarded as a single entity, indicates they were composed at the same time and probably for the occasion which is the subject of the Translation. The ceremony of translation took place on 7 July 1220.

The Life itself need not detain us long. It seems to be the versification of an older prose work, the earlier Quadrilogus. This collection of the biographies of the saint was compiled at Croyland Abbey in 1199, revised in 1213, and presented to Archbishop Stephen Langton by the abbot of Croyland, Henry Longchamp, in 1220.² These poems may also have been presented to Langton by Master Henry, but for this there is no direct evidence. The poet had not as yet developed the habit of naming his patron in his prologue. The archbishop was the obvious patron for such a work.

A considerable number of accounts of the ceremony of translation in 1220 are extant, but for the most part they are short and lacking in much detail.² That it was a magnificent occasion the almost unanimous use of superlatives indicates at once. From the chroniclers and other writers some conception of the event may be drawn. The translation was in the hands of Stephen Langton, Archbishop of Canterbury, a very capable prelate. He had taken care of the matter from the initial act of securing the benediction of the pope to the conclusion of the ceremony, upon 7 July 1220. This date, as the

---

1. See below under No. 19 for the poet's relations with Abbot Henry Longchamp, pp. 105-108.
chroniclers noted, was the anniversary of the death of the
saint's great antagonist, Henry II.

An early account gives the story of the inter-
esting pretranslation ceremony. In the evening of 27June a
group of men gathered in the crypt of the cathedral of Can-
terbury. It included Archbishop Langton, Bishop Richard of Salis-
bury, and the prior and monks of Canterbury. After praying
for a time they removed the cover from the sepulchre and gazed
upon the remains of the saint. Some of the monks then removed
the body from the marble coffin and placed it in a 'capsa'
where Langton arranged it for entombment. Langton retained a
few small bones to distribute to great men and churches for
the honor of the saint. Then the coffin was nailed and placed
in safekeeping until the day of the translation.

While this was taking place the pilgrims to
the shrine were gathering from all sides. For such an occa-
sion many indulgences had been announced. According to one
chronicler:

The Lord Pope had granted an indulgence of forty
days to all who on this day of the translation of the
blessed Thomas the Martyr should come to Canterbury
for reverence's sake, or within fifteen days there-
after. Likewise the Legate granted forty days; each
of the three archbishops granted forty days also and
each of the bishops (17) granted twenty days. Count-
ing up all of the days they were found to be 540.

At Canterbury a cordial reception was awaiting
the pilgrims. The same chronicler adds:

However, concerning the liberality and sumptuous
bounty with which the aforesaid Stephen, archbishop
of Canterbury, was eager to offer to all of those who
were coming devoutly to the translation of the martyr
both rich and poor, foreigners and citizens, it is
superfluous to narrate in detail.

The chronicler of Waverley also comments upon the splendid ar-
rangements made by the archbishop to care for the crowd. A
third chronicler relates that Langton provided fodder and food
for everyone in need of either all the way from London to

3. J. C. Robertson, ed., Materials,...for the History of Thomas Becket
4. W. Stubbs, Memorale Fratris Walteri de Conventria (London, 1875), II,
   246.
5. Annales Monastici (Rolls Series), II, 293.
Canterbury. On the date of the translation he provided wine in various parts of the city. The debt incurred for this occasion had hardly been paid off by the time of Archbishop Boniface, the fourth archbishop after Langton. Obviously this account had been written late enough for the glamor of the occasion to have given place to the hard facts of finance. But even then the chronicler knew of the lasting if probably exaggerated fame of the occasion when food and drink had been so plentiful.

The crowd which poured into Canterbury was innumerable, according to the testimony of several sources. The annal of Waverley says that there had never been such a crowd in one place in England. If the tremendous numbers were impressive, so also were the numbers of great men who were present. King Henry III attended, as well as a large group of laymen. However, the chroniclers do not mention them by name. One does say the throng could hardly be held by Canterbury and the neighboring towns. The higher churchmen present can be identified somewhat more easily. The account in 'Walter of Coventry' (which was evidently written almost at the time, since it calls the king Henry IV) says that besides Pandulph, the papal legate, there were three archbishops there, of Reims, of Canterbury, and one from Hungary. Besides these there were seventeen bishops present and, of course, a vast throng of other churchmen.

The new shrine of Becket, behind the great altar, had been designed and made by two incomparable artists, Master Walter of Colchester, sacristan of St Albans, and Master Elias of Dereham, canon of Salisbury. The shrine and the other arrangements were 'irreprehensibly prepared' according to Matthew Paris. Of these two artists the first is not well known, but the latter in a long and rather amazing life was responsible for Salisbury Cathedral and probably many another architectural achievement of the time. Two representations

9. II, 145; Robertson, op. cit., also mentions Reims. It might be added that Henry III was called Henry IV for a number of years after his coronation by those who considered the son of Henry II as Henry III.
of the shrine are extant, one in a thirteenth-century window on the north side of Trinity Chapel, and the other in a manuscript, which was partially destroyed by fire in 1731. These, in addition to the descriptions left by Erasmus and others, leave little doubt as to its appearance.12

At the hour of Terce the bells pealed forth as the procession passed along the nave. It was headed by the young King Henry III, who, on account of his tender years, was not allowed to assist in carrying the feretory. Then followed Pandulf, the Legate, Archbishop Langton and the Primate of France; four of the highest nobles of the realm bore on their shoulders the reliquary containing the martyr's bones, and on either side prelates carried tapers.....During Mass the feretory rested beneath a canopy of cloth of gold, before an altar erected for the occasion in front of the choir screen, in sight of all the people; and it was afterwards deposited in the shrine prepared for it.13

Behind the meager details preserved in the chronicles we can imagine the tremendous throng of pilgrims and the solemn ceremonies. In reading through the accounts it is obvious that the writers were impressed by different phases of the occasion. Even the one adverse criticism--too much expense--serves to heighten the picture of careful arrangement, of magnificence, and of splendor. Such an achievement was what might be expected of Archbishop Stephen Langton. He was doubtless proud of his translation of his predecessor. His discourse upon the occasion fortunately remains with us, but tells nothing of the details.14

The poem of Master Henry, No. 2, adds another and apparently independent account of the ceremonies of translation. But the poet's point of view is quite dissimilar from the others, emphasizing the social side very heavily. In fact, he is the only source for a knowledge of two banquets during the festivities; the second of these actually receives more attention than any other event. Only in the latter part of the poem, where the feast is described in fond detail, does Henry show his usual eloquence.

12. J. C. Wall, Shrines of British Saints, p. 159; the MS is Cotton, Tib. E VIII, fol. 269, given by Wall on p. 163; the window is reproduced on p. 157.
13. Wall, op. cit., p. 159. The source of some of this is unknown to us.
From two remarks in the poem it would seem that some time, probably a few weeks at least, had elapsed before Henry had composed his work. In the first he states that perhaps great men have already written of the event. Then he mentions the explanation which a divine vision had given to a pious countess for the secret raising of the body of St. Thomas from the old tomb. Neither remark seems to signify a long passage of time since the translation.

The ceremonies, according to Master Henry, began on the fourth day before the actual translation with a great banquet attended by 33000 persons. This is truly a mediaeval estimate, since they are all alleged to have been fed in one hall. This probably took place on Saturday, 4 July. Then on the evening of the next day took place the raising of the body of St Thomas from his tomb in the crypt and its placement in a secret and safe place until the actual translation. The date is considerably at variance with the other account, which gives 27 June as the day when this occurred. However, several factors make the account of Master Henry seem the more probable. 27 June is somewhat early for the event. The words, fifth Kalends of July, can be easily emended to the fifth of July.

On 7 July the great multitude assembled in and near the church. At daybreak many had congregated near the old tomb to see the removal of the remains of St Thomas from it and were very much disappointed. The poet's account of the most important part of the ceremony is treated in relatively few lines. He does mention that the Archbishop of Reims officiated at the high altar, assisted by the other prelates present.

Although the poet gives the impression that all the visitors were well cared for, he actually focuses his attention upon two tables in the large hall. At one sat the king and his guests; at another the greater prelates according to rank. The description of the banquet thus is a description of the service and food given the highest ranks of guests. It can hardly be assumed that the other guests of lower classes were furnished the same fare. For, to say the least, the food was amazing. The menu below is arranged according to the order of serving suggested by the poet. It ran largely to meat, wine, and spices, with little to suggest attention to fruits,

15. Ll. 51-54.
16. Ll. 116-123.
17. Ll. 112-123.
nuts, or vegetables, but perhaps they were there also. Master Henry's conclusion is quite suggestive; the banquet passed without any untoward incident due either to wine or the devil.

**MENU**

- **Bread**
- **White wine**
- **Red wine**
- **Light red wine**

**Boar**

Venison, boiled, baked, fricassee with peppers, and in pie

Bear and other wild flesh

**Cold Meats**

- Turbot
- Mullet
- Salmon
- Other fish
- Duck

**No. 1**

**DE VITA ET PASSIONE BEATI THOME CANTUARIENSIS ARCHIEPISCOPI**

**Proemium**

Virtutis sermo, sanctorum magnificentum inclita fama cito cum tempore transit in auras, ni quocumque modo sit vulgi sepius ore. Omne loqui nimium nimiumque silere timendum, cum non impune transibit luce tremendae; et iustus iudex. Ne dicam voce prophete "Ve michi, quod tacui!" celeberrima gesta virorum qui pro lege Dei servanda se posuerunt Jerusalem murum contra Babilonis almepnos, ut michi posse datur, in lucem promere conor.

Christicolas acies trepidus sub simplice lauro qui cecini, tutus sub palma tripli, tristes et letos Thome casus, probra, dampna, triumphos, ecclesie Christi magnalia iure nocentis,
antifrasis cuius dat nomen varia canta, 
(desursum datus est Pandulpho pro pietae 
ecclesie ductus, tociens qui transiit Alpes 
propicio quod ei Summo Dominante receptus 
clavigeri cell rex est in pace Iohannes)

egregii Stephani preconia Langtoniensis 
et comitum fasces auro dignissima scribi, 
scribere temptabo. Zacharie vocis in usum 
os Qui solvisti, Quem vatum maximus alvo 
matris adoravit, presentibus annue ceptis.

Cui quercus Basan, Libani cui frangere cedros, 
naves conterere Tharsis cui desuper esse 
collatum perhibent miracula, surge triumphis 
plena novis, felix Dorobernia! Cancia, canta, 
nominis anthifrasim perpessa diucius, at nunc 
ad nomen regresa tuum (non sanguinis imbre, 
sicut sperabat ventosa superbia mundi, 
celorum sed rore pio, qui corda potentum 
ut libet irrorat), post longa silentia, canta! 
Ecce remissivi lux optima temporis, annus 

ecce preoptatus in quo se nulla rapine 
lux dabit, inferni quo semina paucia patebunt; 
nam tibi ros missus a Roma tot generabit 
virtutum flores vicii radicibus omne 
quod toilet remanere suum. Convictur esse 
livor ob hoc donum mendax et perfidus augur. 
Non est Roma manus quia rodit, sed quia roris 
est mater, quo crisma sacrum documentaque sancte 
quo fidei veniunt, oleum quos quos quid in usus 
mittitur. Affectum donantis res data pandit 
donandique modus, dans utile, gratus habunde. 
Quid melius Stephano potuisti mittere, Roma, 
Anglorum...? Quid quod magis ambitionis 
sufficiat delere notas et crimen avare; 
quid tibi commodius? Quod Petri clarius ede 
splendidiusque fuit, placuit tibi mittere nate, 
cuius proventus, cuius magnalia, cuius 
consortes, Christi causa, quam sepe Damasci 
in faciem quasi porta Syon firmata fuerunt! 
Predictos Thome casus celebremque triumphum 
eecclesie quocumque stilo properancius edam.
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(Conclusion)

Surge, triumphatrix regum Dorobernia, surge; 
indue leticie vestes, suppone coronas 
mitrato capiti roseas; victoria Sancti 
ad tumulum Thome lacrimas effundere fecit 
inflexis genibus patriam, veniamque precari. 
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No. 2

DE TRANSLATIONE BEATI THOME MARTIRIS

Sub modio lumen, census sub clave sepultus 
non multum prodest; lumen dum lucet in alto 
dat iubar utilius; morum lucre lucerna 
a puero Stephani que cepit, sedis adulta 

5

martiris ad culmen virtutum semina factis 
et verbis aperit, verum summumque secuta 
pastorem, facere qui cepit postque docere; 
mane dies qualis sit post ventura docetur; 
ecclesie regni quam comoda cura futura 

10

sit Stephani primis in factis monstrat aperte, 
in quibus est constans hunc hec patrace per Illum 
per Quem propositum iusti procedit in actum. 
Ne leta sub matre diu sit filia tristis
et sine consilio, regis clerique favore

ecclesiis patres properat prebere duabus.
Non super expectat expectat qui sapienter.
O felix Roja, felix Cicestria, quantas
quaque die Domino debetis reddere laudes!
Non est metropolis mundo tam fausta, duorum
ob quemvis que non esset sublimior horum
atque magis felix, regimen si forte daretur.
Pontificum Domini quicquid perfeccio poscit,
gratia celestis largitur utrique duorum.
Ecclesiis Domini tales preferre tenetur

Anglis quem Roma providit propter id ipsum;
qui licet officio Marthe vigilancius instet,
non minus inde gerit celesti mente Mariam.
Quis plus mente polo, quis plus vitare caduca
et studet atque docet et plus bona vera mereri?
Officio Marthe quis plus est pervigil isto?
Sedula pauperibus manus est, mens integra celo.

Maxima cum dandis discrecio debeat esse,
praesertim cunctis que Christi nomine dantur,
quis quam discrete, quam sancte, quam sapienter
providet ecclesiis regimen, virtutibus ut det
calcar et opprobrium viciis? Cum sorde iacere
et quasi despectum videat se torpor inermis,
ne totum perdat, a se procul ocia pellit,
et virtus studio semper maiore calens fit.

Nullos esse facit rectores ecclesiaram
regno qui non sint ardens lucensque lucerna
virtutum radiis dandique calore beato.
Ecclesi patet in domibus cuiusque quis illam
contulit, et qualem se rector debet habere.

Non abit exclusus Domini qui poscit amore,
Qui pluit et manna deserto, cum pluit illis
tot bona, quod quanto plus dant et plus dare possunt.
Ommia gesta viri captarem perpetuare
si possem, sed nunc michi scribere sufficit istud
quod Thome corpus in gemmas mittit et aurum.

Martiris egregii quamvis translatio Thome
magnorum sit forte metris tractata virorum,
quaescumque tamen hos versus addere nitor.
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Post celebres actus animum maiora patrandi
et vim summa suis auget provisio semper.
Quem Deus exaltat magnalibus et manifestis
exaltare volens Stephani mens dedita Christo,
ut Thome corpus exaltet multa †tenetur.
Cuius devotis precibus bullata per orbem
schedula fert Thome tempusque diemque levatus,
et pape veniam. Sexburge crastina festi
lux est, per lucem Martis, quia Martis erat lux
occubuit quando celebri discrimine Thomas
ecclesie Christi benedicti passus ad aram.

Orthodoxorum mentes rumore secundo
tocius Europe per regna moventur, et ire
plures permittunt quam vix homo credere posset
post oculo manlfesta fides nisi sepe probasset.
Tocius esse memor quod lux tam magna requirit
mens hominis summo cum non qucat absque magistro
dispensatoris Dominus pro velle ministrat
venturi festi quicquid debetur honorii.
Nomine qui Domini rem vult bene primiciari
observet quod Ei detur Sua porcio primum.

In Derobernensi mirabilis aula stat urbe
non habitura parem, veteri vicinior aule,
cuius primicias Stephani devocio Sancto
assignans Thome pre festo lumine quarto
fratrum triginta tria milia pascit eadem.

Hinc constat Sancti Thome quod dicitur aula,
et multis aliis que sunt maiora futura.
Secreto, magnum cum sit dubitamen in actu,
tocius est semper populi vitare tumultum.
In dubiis Stephanus vir circumspectus agendis
assumptis secum personis religiosis
cum facie plena lacrimis, cum corde tremente
nocte sequente iubet tumulus quod fiat apertus
Thome, quod tegimen barris sic surgat in altum
corpus ut inde foras sumatur; mox retromissum
marmor cum tumulo tunc cementetur ut ante.
O circumstantes quantis sua fletibus ora
irrottant, quantos singultus anxia corda
emittunt, quanta suspiria corpora vexant,
Thome depositum cum se conspectibus offert
et memorant eius penas causamque triumphi.
Pontificum manibus sumptum de marmore corpus
bisso multiplici sepetitur; serica tela
involvit bissum; post quercus vase coequo
mensure valde spisso solenniter albo
clauditur, atque veru ferri quoque verbere multo
circumvallatur; post sursum furtur et alte
secreto tutoque loco deponitur, usque
quod primi Martis lux fiat fiat proxima mundo.

Are vicina sculptode marmore surgit
machina, marmoreis sursum portata columnnis,
in cuius medio tumulus de marmore factus
est adeo fortis quod furti conficiendi
materies et opus spem tollent tempus in omne,
pre missis barris ferri, pre marmore lignum
quod tegit; egregia velatur capside totum,
qua manus artificium gemmis precellit et auro.
Dispersis noctis sub priiao sole tenebris,
advena gens plausus sibi cum perpendit ademptos,
in lamenta ruit, lacrimosis plena querelis,
gaudia peccatis metuens subracta fuisse.

Sed Cui nuda patet humane mentis abissus,
corda nefanda videns, voluit rem tuius ire,
in quo grande nephas scelerum preclusit alumpnis,
ut post scire dedit Sua vox sancte mulieris,
anicile Domini, precellenti comitisse,
que mavult iuxta protectum martiris esse
pauper, et includi modice sub paupere tecto,
extra diviciis quam mundi deliciari.
Nulli deest Dominus nisi desit primitus Illi.
Servicio quicumque Deo servire libenter
affectant homines, Sua gratia presto fit illis.
Remensi mitre maiorem qual dat honorem
quam dat ei mitra, Willermus, vir venerandus,
are maiori missam cantare rogatus
atque chori dominus ac summus presbiter esse
est ab eo, virtus quem celica magnificare
vult toto mundo festi presentis honore.
Quos cum presulibus et conventus ope sacri
vestibus angelicis Thome celebrente triumphant
ad laudem Domini, nec poscere velle sebulchrum
martiris aspiciens, gens advena candet in ira. Planctu pars alia tantoque dolore movetur carnifices Sathane veluti spectare daretur in Thomam rursus nudatis ensibus ire
eius et a cesa cerebrum removere corona calcandum pedibus et spargere per loca sancta. Cetera pars cantu tanta pietate laborat ad celos ut si ducendus cantibus esset martir et a superis iterum "fore" accipiendus.

Ore manu colere Dominum superosque tenetur quantumcumque potest hominis devocio tota. Iustorum nullam paciuntur vota repulsam, quod patet hiis Thomam qui vero corde precantur, summa quibus pietas tantum demonstrat honorem quod tanto populo, tanto discrimine vocum, tanta pressura, non est discordia mota, sed lupus est mitis agno, sed paupere lana calcari patitur se dives purpura, vultus monstrat inoffensos, et mentis mella benignae, presertim resonante tuba quam spiritus implet docmate celesti sic sanctus quod videatur mortali non ore loqui; nam semine verbi siccatur penitus vis seminis hostis iniqui. Introrsum celos Stephanus quasi viderit alter, pandit iter, parat ingressum, docet intus et ire hic urbem sanctam, sanctis cum civibus esse. Pace loquar primi: celos si vidit apertos, hic videt, hic aperit, quociens docet ire vocatos. Sanctus cui Stephanus mirandus habetur, et ille in sanctis est, qui mirabilis hic et ubique. Servicio tanti festi solenniter acto ut par est, mille recipit mirabilis aula convivas Thome, Stephani quam propter id ipsum regia mens fecit magno miroque labore. Ardua res nimis est describere divitis aule mirandam speciem, qua non est clarior orbe nec mirabilior. Precioso marmore quamvis surgat, materia succumbit materiato. Tam felix adeo cui cor dedit incipiendi posseque complendi, cui tam mirabile Thome.
... egregium gemmis mittendi corpus et auro, mundi magnates tot in unum velle vocare; tot varias gentes tam large pascere posse cor dedit, exsuperans cunctorum pene virorum corda, datum quibus est attollere nomen ad astra doctrine titulis et largi cordis honore. Hospitibus Thome non sufficit amplior aula, nec domus ecclesie Christi quecumque tenetur infra circuitum, nec claustrum nec locus aptus. In Dorobernensi tota non est domus urbe conveniens, Sancti qua non sit copia Thome hospitibus rerum cunctarum quas petit usus; hospiciu letum facit illis gratia Christi, que Stephano pro velle suo prelarga ministrat.

Regia stans aule capiti dominatur utrique mensa; sedent cum rege viri quos invocat una; mensa sunt alia cum summo presule regni pontifices clerisque suus sicut iubet ordo; officio fungens legati, notus honore oris oliviferi, Pandulphus presidet, ut res postulat officii, sancte reverencia Rome, ecclesie virtusque viri, preconia regi que fuit et regno formande pacis amore.

Que vincunt candore nivem miranda labore artificum miro decorant mensalia mensas; propter idem facti succedunt cum sale disci, cum quibus adveniunt cultelli, copia quorum raro tanta simul et tam preciosa videtur. Apponunt panem mensis <quos> deputat isti servicio iuvenum species, prudencia maior. De vino servire datur quos inclita vestis prefert, quos hilaris vultus, quos sermo benignus. Ingrediens aulam vitis generosa propago argenti pateris gemmisque superbit et auro. Non dispar vultu, non dispar veste videtur est licitum cuicumque dapes afferre culine. Aprorum capita primo tot tanta feruntur ut si quodque nemus assit regionis habundans. Sic apris alia ne desit queque ferina,
post vehitur pinguis venacio, sumpta recenter, 
quae fertur mensis cum tanta fertilitate 
de variis terris quod ibi videatur aperte 
Anglica terra quod est carnis regina ferine. 
Messis Amazonie comes est cui copia tanta 
sicut pro velle civili cresceret urbe. 
Nunc elixa venit, nunc est assata ferina, 
nunc est cum pipere croceo decisa minutim, 
panis aromaticis nunc fertur cocta sepulchris. 
Mens humana modos varios numerare ferine, 
diversos genera, cum magna laude paratos, 
non plene memorare potest presentibus horis. 
Cum soleant carnes ursorum rarius esse, 
illic tanta patet illarum copia quanta 
est ibi quo glacie stringit septentrio pontum. 
Fertilitas preciosa dapum messisque Sabee 
optate species quibus est sapor ingeminatus 
invitant manuum motus ad pocula sepe. 
Albior hiis vitis liquor est acceptior et qui 
vix patet argento, nam blandior et citus intrat; 
plus placet hiis rubeus quia forcior, os magis implens, 
evigilans cicius torpencia frigore membra; 
permodicum rubeus et clarus gracior uve 
hiis cruar est, sursum saliens radensque palatum, 
vim digestivam recreans revocansque colorem; 
est potus nimius nisi sumitur et medicina. 
Comodius calidis succedunt frigida; pisces 
post carnes acuunt gustum renovantque saporem. 
Nullus adest piscis penitus nisi sit salis expers. 
Est prior hiis rumbus quia piscis regius; inde 
pertumidus capite mulus; pro ventre superbus 
salmo; vix species est piscis nominis alti 
in toto regno pro tempore congrua que sit 
cuius sufficiens non fiat porcio mensis. 
Non ultra vino dives Campania, pisse 
ingenuo nec Burdegalis se iactet opima, 
Appulie nec terra dapum predivite fluxu; 
Cancia cantatrix, nunc cantatissima, Sancti 
dicitor hiis tribus est in Thome facta levatu. 
Quis tot tam pingues credat quod Iulius aucas 
una luce simul dederit, tot milia gentes 
que numero stringi vix possunt, unde replentur
deliciis mense, precio, bonitate saporis?
Res miranda magis et digna laude canenda,
inter tot gentes, variis de partibus orbis,
quod vis non fecit vini nec demonis astus
pravum quid facere rude nec producere verbum.
O presul dilecte Deo, quem magnificare
muneribus tantis dignatur, tempore nostro
quod prius Henricum quartum diademate ditas
et sceptro, Thome quod tanto gentis honore
corpus in argentum cum gemmis mittis et auro.
Istius ut fieret iubileus nocior annus
invidiam faciens series iubilea dierum
divino nutu festum contingit utrumque.

Corde ferens memori vir rectus Honorius ista,
papatus qui plus multo dat honoris honori
quam det honor, Stephano dat quod petit, ecclesiamque
pluribus atque novis optatis dotibus auget
qua corpus Thome requiescit, ob eius amorem;
et successorem quod plus extollere quantum
possit sollicito conatur pectore, tandem
luce pedes Dominus qua lavit discipulorum
in medium vite predicere verba perorans,
imperii presente viro cui nuper honorem
supremum dederat, coram magnatibus orbis
urbe sacra Rome, cum quiddam grande rogavit,
insinuavit eum tribus istis pretitulatum,
celesti vita, doctrina spirituali,
et circumcisa fructum faciente loquela.

258 notad' a (marg. sinist.) 261 quid pravum A transp. Sedg. | facile A
265 ceptro A 267 Istis A corr. a 270 Item de Sancto Thoma, quare post
creetur numquam archilevita a (marg. dext., quasi rubrica) 275 quo A
corr. Sedg. 276 culpatur A 278 perorat A corr. Sedg. 281 quid
tam A
St Hugh of Avalon, Bishop of Lincoln (1186-1200), was an extremely popular and vigorous character. Following his death, evidences of his sanctity accumulated rapidly and with them a growing demand for his canonization. A preliminary hearing was granted in 1219 and the inquisition was made by Archbishop Langton and the Abbot of Fountains. The bull of canonization, dated 17 February at Viterbo, ordered that his feast be celebrated upon the day of his death. This was on 17 November. The story of the canonization of St Hugh at Rome incorporated in 'Walter of Coventry' states that the account of St Hugh's sanctity was so astonishing that 'idem papa omnibus in curia Romana existentibus inhibuit, ne quis eorum pro hoc negotio exsequendo aliquod munusculum presumeret accipere.'

The authoritative biography of St Hugh was written by Adam of Eynsham about 1212. Master Henry used this prose work as the basis for his versification, but he carried the account on through the first celebration of the canonization in the autumn of 1220. Although he probably wrote to take advantage of the enthusiasm engendered by the event, he gives a very meager account of it. Almost no details are given by the many chroniclers who mention the event; one states that the archbishop, Stephen Langton, was present. Of course the Bishop of Lincoln, Hugh II, of Welles, was also present and in charge of the ceremonies. The poet compares the bishop...
with his predecessor, St Hugh, as he had done earlier with Langton and Becket.

Si quorum vero perfectio restat, Hugonis perficietur opus pri mi sub Hugone secundo.

We suspect that the poet hoped for and possibly secured the Bishop of Lincoln for a patron of this work.

No. 95

Prologus in

VITAM SANCTI HUGONIS

Arma virumque cano, quo iudice nec caro cara nec mundus mundus fuit; abscissisque duabus alis, non potuit antiquus serpere serpens. Audax tiro Dei, validus virtutis alumnus, omnibus haud metuens viciis indicere bellum, carnis equo proprie frenum dedit: arma fuerunt scutum iusticie, calcar crucis, ocrea legis, hasta spei, cassis fidei, mucro religionis, et quicquid potuit viciis opponere virtus.

Invocatio

Hugo, tue vite seriem describere tentans plus volo quam valeo: mea parva sciencia tante materie vix sufficiet superaddere formam. Suppleat ergo fides, ubi deficit ipsa facultas; et tua, sancte, meos dignatio prosperet actus.

O clarum cleri speculum, robusta columna, egregium sidus, scio quod non congruit ut tu iustus ab iust, locuples a paupere, purus a fedo, sanctus a peccatore canaris. In primis igitur me iustus iustificare, me locuples locuplare velis, contagia purus a me proscribas, sanctus peccata remittas.

7. J. F. Dimock, Metrical Life of St. Hugh, Bishop of Lincoln (Lincoln, 1860), p. 57. Dimock did not know the author of this poem. The poem has a half-line in common with No. 24 and one and a half with No. 43; cf. No. 95, Ll. 11-12, with No. 24, L. 1, and No. 45, Ll. 28-29.

95 MSS B R 1 cf. Verg. A. 1, 1 4 audax] R fortis B
UPON THE ELECTION OF THE BISHOP OF LONDON, 1221

NO. 8 A DISPUTED ELECTION
Between 26 January and 25 February 1221

NO. 38 TO EUSTACE FALCONBERG, BISHOP OF LONDON
Probably soon after 25 February 1221

NO. 47 TO THE SAME
Probably soon after 26 April 1221

In the early months of 1221 there occurred a series of events in the bishopric of London which are the subjects of a trilogy of Master Henry's verse. At the beginning of the year the bishop was William de Sainte-Mère-Eglise, then growing old and feeble. On 26 January in the presence of the papal legate and of other prelates he resigned his bishopric. Ample provision was made for his life, which lasted only two more years. Several candidates seem to have been nominated for William's place. The subsequent election by the canons of St Paul's was hotly contested and lasted several weeks. No. 8 was written before the choice was finally made. In it the poet eulogizes one as the outstanding candidate. He may well have been Eustace Falconberg, the ultimate choice of the canons, but the description is so vague that it may have been appropriate to almost any of them.

Eustace, a canon of St Paul's, was a royal clerk and archdeacon, and an important character at the court of King John and of the young Henry III. He was one of the men to whom faithful service to the king brought ecclesiastical promotion.

There is no ambiguity about the patron of No. 38, in which Eustace is addressed in the first line. This piece was probably written soon after the election of 25 February.

2. Calendar of Patent Rolls, 1216-1225, 279, of 22 January, calls William 'quondam episcopus Londoniensis,' but this is probably an error of the copyist enrolling the writ later. Other writs are of 27 January, ibid., p. 280, and of 1 February, Rot. Litt. Claus. I, 447b.
The poet launches upon a fervent laudation of his patron, etymologizing a hybrid derivation of his name. The flattery is not very subtle. At the end the poet angles for patronage upon the curious ground that he is a reformed character, and so worthy of preferment.

The third poem, No. 47, written after the consecration of Eustace on the second Sunday after Easter, 26 April, at Westminster, throws some interesting light upon the feeling caused by the ceremony. The poet contrasts the love-liness of spring outside with the moroseness of the assembly in which his patron was consecrated. He justifies his mention of what was an unfortunate feature of the occasion by explaining that only the great arouse hostility.

A curious charter drawn up by the Bishop of Rochester explains the dispute which arose on the occasion of the consecration. The right to consecrate belonged to the senior bishop of the province of Canterbury, normally the Archbishop of Canterbury. After him ranked the Bishops of London and Winchester; but the former had resigned, and the latter was, like Stephen Langton, the Archbishop of Canterbury, away from England. The usual course would be for the first bishop in point of seniority to consecrate. Since the archbishop was away, the dean and chapter of St Paul's had applied to the papal delegate Pandulf for permission to consecrate and it had been given. But when the group gathered in St. Katherine's Chapel, Westminster, a dispute at once arose. The Bishop of Bath claimed the right to consecrate as the first in point of seniority of consecration. Then the Bishop of Salisbury claimed that as the Bishops of London and Winchester were regarded as dean and subdean, so he was regarded as a precentor in the province of Canterbury, and thus outranked the others. To complete the confusion the Bishop of Rochester insisted that he was really bishop-coadjutor, and thus entitled to act in place of the Archbishop of Canterbury. The dean and chapter of St Paul's decided in favor of letting the Bishop of Rochester act, but without prejudicing the claims of the other two. The witness list of the charter gives us the names of the principal men. They were, besides the contending churchmen, the Bishops of Coventry, Chichester, Ely, and the former Bishop of London, the Archdeacons of Essex and Colchester, the Chancellor and Treasurer of London, and several of the bishops'

5. Walter of Coventry (Rolls Series), II, 249, gives the Bishops of Bath, Salisbury, and Rochester as those who consecrated Eustace.
clerks. The 'et aliis' at the end probably included our poet.

A number of ideas and expressions in these poems reappear in others. In No. 8 are 'levis est descensus Averni,' 'oneris-honoris,' and 'clarum-clerum.' In both this poem and No. 38 occurs a series of metaphors in which the patron is contrasted with his rivals, a device which the poet liked well. Six lines in No. 47 are also in No. 9.

No. 8

DE ELECTIONE CUIUSDAM DE QUA IN FINE DEFUIT CONSENSUS

Labitur ex facill quicquid natura, sophia
aut fortuna dedit homini. Sis, corpore fortis
et pulcher, mente prudens et cautus, honore
altus et elatus: ambo bona corporis una
febre cadunt: forma tua marcet, vis tua languet.
Ambas virtutes mente temptacio sola
expirare facit; tua fit prudencia stulta
et tua fit cautela rudis. Sed cetera multo
forcius evacuat fortune prospera casus
estque velut Protheus, quem nullo fune tenebis.
Mundus et humanas variet cum tempore sortes.
Attamen in rebus differt quod quando moventur
infima vix sursum, leviter movet alta deorsum
deterior fortuna. Color niger alterat album,
non albus nigrum. Levis est descensus Averni,
sed gravis ascensus Paradisi. Quilibet ergo
hoc animadvertat prelatus, quod status eius
expectat casum. Qui stare perhenniter optat,
non centro terre, non rebus inhereat orbis,
sed spere cuius centrum consistit ubique,
extremum nusquam, cuius mutare quietem
eternam nec motus habet nec tempus habebit.
Presul in exemplo Willermus, qui, licet esset
sufficiens oneri cuius possedit honorem,
non cumulum veritus oneris sed culmen honoris,
ambo resignavit, ne deliraret in uno.
Queritur interea quis ei succedere dignus
possit et in tanto sit prestituendus honore,
qui verbo pollens, exemplo clarus, utrumque
proferat in lucem, neutrum sine pondere; doctor
et ductor populi, sibi credita quinque talenta
multiplicet, solvens solvenda ligansque liganda,
discernatque greges, et ab hdeo segreget agnum,
neutrius attendens lanas, utriusque salutem.

Omnis ut unus ad hoc prelatus convenit, omnis
filius ecclesie tanto pastore vacantis,
et sua vix capiunt clarum capitolia clerum.
In dubio pendet electio, quam seniorum
turba diu nutare facit, multisque favendo
vix alicui perplexa favet, nunc approbat istum,
nunc illum, trutinaque sagax examinat omnes.
IlLivs arbitrium quadruplex discussio munit,
de studii fervore prior, de conditionis
libertate sequens, de morum nobilitate
tercia, de mundi contemptu quaLta. Quis istis
quattuor tatollat titulis est questio. Multi
excellunt, unus precellit; hic est mel, hic est flos,
hic est fons, hic est far, illi sunt quasi cere,
gramina vel latices vel avenae; dulce mel inter
ceras, formosus flos inter gramina, clarus
fons inter latices, sapidum far inter avenas.

DE HOC NOMINE, EUSTACHIIUS

Eustachii, nuper bene stabas, nunc bene stabis;
ille status valuit, prevaelet iste tamen.
Stabas, ecce volas, capiebas, ecce minstras;
nuper eras membrum, Syon es ecce caput.
Te decus irradiat, dotat, levat; irradiaris
lumen, dotaris gemma, levaris apex.
Nutavitque diu dubiiis electio pennis
quem fratrum pocius hic honeraret honor.
Omnis sunt digni, tu dignior omnibus. Omnes
hic plene saperent; plenius ipse sapis.

57 vox A 58 dubium A corr. A
a eris A 7 penus A corr. A 8 fcm A fcm a
Gramine, cristallo, vel aqua reliquos noto; tu flos, 
tu iaspis, tu fons diceris inter eos. 
Tu flos vernas inter gramina, gemma choruscas 
inter cristallos, fons sapis inter aquas. 

Inde preelectionis in eis quasi Phoebus in astris 
in populo rector, in grege pastor eris. 
Te sibi preficient veluti divinitus, uno 
ore, pari voto, consimilique fide. 
Absque dolo sic elegeris, nec sorte sed arte, 
et quia prodesse, non quia preese cupis. 

Si de diviciis agitur, tu desinis esse 
dives, ut incipias utilis esse gregi. 
Nullus opum cupidus hunc suscepisset honorem, 
nam tibi crescit honor, sed minuntur opes. 

Attamen es dives, sed dicior ante fuisti, 
liberior mundo, commodiorque tibi. 
Sed melior tibi diviciis est pontificatus, 
libertate iugum, commoditate labor. 
Te dotat, salvat et pascit pontificatum 
edita gemma, iugo vita, labore seges. 

Me tua promoveat promocio, me tuus opto 
immotet motus assimuletque tibi. 
Ebriacum legi, nunc Ebraicum lego; copa 
ebraicum didicit, Ebraicumque libri. 

No. 47 

AD EUSTACHIUM

Eustachio bona sit stacio, qui firma columna 
ecclesie, cleri speculum, rationis alumnus, 
justitie clipeus, morum via, pacis oliva, 
virtutum laurus, doctrine gemma, honorum 
summa, Petri consors, Christique vicarius. Amen. 
Quid moror, attemptans preco preconia tanta 
tantillus? lucem solis iuvo luce lucerne. 
Forsan iperbolice tot ei dare nomina, more 
credar adulantis, sed summum quis neget esse 
in quem conspirant morsus livoris edaces? 
Summa petit livor, designatusque iacentes
molitur pravis titulos, summisque ruinam. 
Rebus in Eustachio patuit quod persono verbis, 
etas extrema violarum, prima rosarum, 
lascivire iubens pecedes, cantare volucres, 
desevire feras, vegetari semina, nasci 
gramina, crisspari silvas, revirescere prata, 
mentes iocundas faciens, sensusque iocosos, 
cum sibi Londonie pastorem more moroso 
sacravere sacrum cui cleri clara subesest 
concio, cui populus serviret poplite flexo. 
Indoluit livor Zabuli, nigrisque cucullis 
nigras immisit furias, Allecto, Megeram, 
Thesiphonen. Allecto ferens oblivia Lethes 
quod nequit auferre, temptat differre. Megera 
ex Stige fert odii fermentum, per quod honoris 
vult et honestatis indissociabile fedus 
rumpere. Tesiphone liventem fert Acherontis 
tristiciam, causamque dolet non esse doloris. 
Una tribus mens est, unus furor, una libido, 
processum turbare boni, pretendere fraudes, 
allegare dolos cur dilatoria dentur, 
ne sponsus regat ecclesiam vel pastor ovile, 
Ut suus in populo nitor occultetur, ut ignis 
in petra, favus in cera, flos in saliunca. 
Sed tria monstra triplex excludit gratia cleri, 
desidiam fervor, odium dilectio, planctum 
plausus, et electo datur infula pontificalis 
sacraturque sacer, dotatur gemma, levatur 
culmen, habetque foris quicquid prius intus habebat. 
Cuius cautela prudens, prudencia cauta, 
pontificis studio pontes facit; ut mare mundi 
migret, et humanas levat in celestia mentes. 
Hic tuus, Eustachii, status est, hoc robore fulcis 
ecclesiam, nam si caderes, heu quomodo staret? 
Ut paries non absque basi, sic nec status eius 
absque tuo; perpes autem status eius habetur, 
perpes et ergo tuus, quem nec Iovis ira nec ignis 
nec poterunt anni nec edax subvertere livor. 
Impetrata fuit tua consecratio contra 
dicto iudicio; tanto magis ergo tenebit.
Quid te deiceret, qui stas pede firmus utroque? 
Pes tuus est dexter ratio sensusque sinister. 

55 Sic sensu ratio, sensus ratione iuvatur, 
        ut sensu referas Martham, ratione Mariam. 
        Celum strata pedis est dextri, terra sinister; 
        rex igitur celi thesauros spirituales, 
        rex tibi terrenus commisit materiales, 

60 iuraque das divina Deo, regalia regi. 
        Salvo sanctorum titulo, que tali, tanta, 
        cui tali, tanto potuit prestare creator? 
        Et si tanta dedit tali, vel tali tanto, 
        donum mundanum seu donum spirituale 

65 maius vel melius maior vel melior, 
        largiri potuit semper sed noluit umquam. 
        (M)ateriam fert invidie quam non facit, immo 
        quam patitur, non unde premat, quin unde prematur; 
        invidiosus enim multis, non invidus ulli. 

Explicit.
COURTIER POEMS IN AND ABOUT 1221-1222

NO. 9 TO STEPHEN LANGTON AGAINST THE PRIOR OF CANTERBURY
Possibly about August 1221

NO. 34 TO RICHARD MARSH, BISHOP OF DURHAM
1217-1226, possibly 1221

NOS. 39, 40 TO RALPH NEVILLE, BISHOP OF CHICHESTER
About 1 November 1222

NOS. 36, 77 TO ROBERT PASSELEWE
Possibly about 1219-1224

NO. 49 TO PANDULF, PAPAL LEGATE AND BISHOP OF NORWICH

Most of the patrons of letters at the English court were bishops. This group of poems written in and about 1221-1222 was addressed to five men, four of whom had attained to episcopal office by this time. The fifth, Robert Passelewe, although elected as the successor of Neville years later, saw his election quashed by bishops who proved him a not very learned clerk. Yet not erudition but association with the royal court was the distinguishing feature of the careers of these men. With them the poet was on various terms of intimacy and appealed to each in a different fashion. However, we find him using in No. 9 a block of six lines which he had previously employed in No. 47 and the curious phrase 'inangulor apud Anglos' turns up in both No. 9 and No. 34. Such repetition seems an indication of nearness in time of composition and thus helps in dating the poems. Aside from these repetitions the poems preserve individuality more than might have been expected.

The more formal pieces are the two written for Ralph Neville, No. 36 for Robert Passelewe, and the three lines to Pandulf. All play upon the name of the patron in a manner employed by contemporaries, who were constantly looking for signs and portents. No. 40 has an odd poetical device, repetition of the last sound in the line as the first in the next line. The two poems to Neville were written upon the occasion

1. Master Henry used it in another poem, No. 76, while Michael of Cornwall used it in his long poem. See pp. 149 f.
of his election as Bishop of Chichester on 1 November 1222. Neville was probably a member of one of the greater baronial families and had been a courtier and judge. He was to succeed Richard Marsh as chancellor of England and to remain popular with the mass of English people, well liked for both his episcopal and political activity.

Like Neville, Richard Marsh was among the churchmen who were rewarded for diligent service to King John by ecclesiastical promotion. He became Bishop of Durham in 1217, holding a majestic see which combined heavy episcopal responsibilities with the duties of a marcher lord in the county palatine of Durham. But Bishop Richard was apparently a better servant than master. He immediately fell into great difficulties with the dean and chapter at Durham and plunged the bishopric into heavy debt. The quarrel with his chapter at Durham took him to Rome in 1221.

In his poem to the bishop Master Henry recalls his services in the bishop's behalf at Durham, where he says he spoke against the bishop's enemies. The poem may have been written just before the bishop's journey to Rome in 1221. As stated above, this piece has a phrase in common with No. 9, probably of the same year. The date of Master Henry's speech at Durham is not known, but the poet was probably in the north of England at York soon after 25 February 1220. He may have been at that time in company with Peter des Roches, Bishop of Winchester, who is described in the poem as the judge in the case between Bishop Marsh and the Durham chapter.

The poet's problem was as usual to make a successful appeal to his patron's interests. The bishop was a master, like the poet. Upon occasion he could appeal to the classics: in a complaint to Ralph Neville that the latter had neglected to call him chancellor of England, a title of which he was proud, he misquoted Cicero. To a renowned scholar, Adam Marsh, probably a relative, he left his biblioteca, Bible or library. The poet did not appeal to his patron's erudition as he had done in the case of Stephen Langton. Nor for obvious reasons did the poet celebrate the capacities for which the age thought Marsh most remarkable: his eating and drinking. A contemporary epitaph celebrated his mighty drinking, and he died after a heavy meal. If these achievements were hardly the proper ones to elaborate upon, at least they offered signs

2. See introduction to No. 7, pp. 60-62.
of hope to a hungry and thirsty seeker of patronage. After adopting the stern attitude of an impartial observer, scorning to flatter, the poet proceeds with encomiums upon the virtues of the bishop—wealth and generosity.

The last ten lines are in different meter from the rest and might have been a part of any begging poem. The poet may have used them before in similar circumstances.

To one accustomed to enjoy the largess of monasteries the great house of Christchurch, Canterbury would hardly be overlooked as a source of patronage. No. 9 is, in part, the story of an attempt to secure such patronage. The poet had spent a week writing a piece to present to the prior of Canterbury, choosing to glorify the patron saint of the house, St Thomas à Becket. The story has not a happy ending. The prior had refused to reward the poet for his 'miracula scripta sancti Thome,' and the latter in rage seems to have destroyed his week's work. For consolation apparently he addressed this piece (No. 9) to Archbishop Stephen Langton, the patron of other poems (Nos. 44 and possibly 1 and 2). Master Henry is in a very gloomy mood, a wandering poet, an exile, in debt, and a fameless and unappreciated author. The rebuff at Canterbury made bad matters worse. He desires to return, not to Normandy as we might expect, but to Germany.

Conjecture upon the date of composition depends largely upon the references to Langton's career. The poet stresses Langton's journeys to Rome to protect the liberty of the Church and speaks of the 'avarum regnum' of the Apostolic See. Do these refer to Langton's trip of 1217-18 or of 1220-21? On first thought the former seems preferable; upon that occasion the archbishop had fought for the sake of English liberty against King John's views and had even incurred the displeasure of the pope. Yet the poet himself was probably quite in harmony with that king, who had been his patron, and in 1218 Langton had returned from Italy with papal blessing and permission to translate St Thomas à Becket, neither of which is mentioned in the poem. In 1220-21 Langton had secured from Pope Honorius III the privilege of not having a papal legate in England. This may have been the achievement to which the poet refers. The 'miracula scripta sancti Thome' mentioned in the poem offer little help in dating the poem.6

6. It has been suggested that this work was No. 1 by Winkelmans, Monatschrift fuer die Geschichte Westdeutschlands, IV (1878), 559, but No. 6 would be more accurately described by the expression. Even this is hardly possible, since the poet says that he destroyed his writing. See under No. 6, p. 55.
The career of Passelewe was that of a royal clerk, a follower first of the notorious Falkes de Breauté and later of Peter des Roches, Bishop of Winchester. His connection with these men was never forgotten, and the chroniclers, who were mostly hostile to them, had few good words for him. In his funeral eulogy Master Henry stated that he had sung of Passelewe many times. Of these pieces No. 36 with its etymologizing is hard to date. It appears in manuscript among early poems of Master Henry; upon such evidence it is included here rather than later. The other poem for Passelewe, No. 77, presents an interesting problem. The expression 'ascending the height of honor and burden,' a phrase used elsewhere to designate becoming a bishop, might seem to refer to the election of Passelewe as Bishop of Chichester in 1244. The acts of examination by the bishops, of their quashing of his election, and of later acquiescence by the king, may be considered as evidence of ingratitude, but the other items do not tally with the known facts of Passelewe's life.

Passelewe is not known to have made any successful trip to the Papal Curia at any date which might be referred to in such a manner by the poet in 1244. Moreover, if this poem were written then it is later than any of the other poems in MS A, most of which were written in England before 1230. It seems likely that this poem refers to earlier events in the life of Passelewe. A papal mandate of 15 May 1219 to Pandulf states that Passelewe had boldly opposed the king's enemies. Speaking in 1225 of earlier events, the author of the collection incorporated in the Memoriale Fratris Walteri de Coventria tells that Robert Passelewe and Robert de Cantia, sent by the Earl of Chester and others to the Papal Curia, had been severely questioned before leaving and forced to swear that they would do the kingdom no harm. Upon returning they were charged with treason and forced into exile. At the instance of the earl and of Archbishop Langton, Robert was allowed to return to England, but remained under royal displeasure until 1227. It seems probable that this poem was written upon the return of Passelewe from his successful trip to Rome

7. For a brief sketch of his life see T. F. Tout, Chapters in the Administrative History of Mediaeval England (Manchester, 1920), I, 220-231.
at the time that he was being charged with treason, sometime between 1219 and 1225.\textsuperscript{12}

No. 9

QUERIMONIA DE PRIORE CANTUARIENSI, EO QUOD NON FAVORABLEM SE PREBUIT CARMINI SUO

Sepe quiescentem iuvit meminisse laborum; te quoque forte iuvat, tocius Stephane regni primas, quo nullum maiorem vel meliorem excepto Thoma flavi genuere Britannii.

Scilicet ecclesie pro libertate tuenda, que tam grande iugum tulerat tantumque tributum solverat, exponens in mile pericula corpus summos expendens sumptus summosque labores sedis apostolice regnum moderaris avarum.

At quoniam mediante scola te gratia summa pretulit ecclesie, tua non modo sollicitudo ecclesias iuvat, immo scolas; nam solvis eundo ecclesias, redeundo scolas, recreasque manendo ambas, quin eciam regni moderaris habenas.

Salvo sanctorum titulo, que talia, tanta, cui, tali, tanto, potuit prestare Creator? Et si tanta dedit tali, vel talia tanto, donum mundanum seu donum spirituale malus vel melius maiori vel meliori, largiri potuit semper, sed noluit umquam. At michi, ve miseroi nec spiritualia dona nec mundana dedit, exulque perambulo mundum et per barbarias inglorius erro poeta et pedes et nudus, nullo miserante potentum,
nec via lata patet, sed inangulor hic apud Anglos ingratosque meis prelatos sencio donis. Quid michi profecit vestro donasse priori Sancti scripta Thome miracula? Dumque rogarem ut dignaretur admittere, litus aravi.

Ve donatori qui supplicat. Hoc ego de me cum miser adverti, gravis indignacio mentem movit, et ebdomade brevis abstulit hora laborem. Sic facio versus quos nemo remunerat. 0 si

\textsuperscript{12} Calendar of Patent Rolls, 1216-1225, p. 446; 1225-1232, p. 157.
\textsuperscript{9} MS A 6 grave A corr. Sedg. I tolerat A 15-20 = 47, vv. 61-66
\textsuperscript{16} paire A
Anglia Theutonie me saltem redderet album!

Sed cum me teneant captivum debita, non est qui redimat nec qui salvum faciat; tibi soli hoc opus incumbit, ad te iam fessus hanelo, Stephane, tocius iubari admirabile secli!

No. 34

DOMINO EPISCOPO DUNELMENSI, RICARDO DE MARISCO

Omnis adulator michi displicet, at tamen ipse non michi displiceo, nec enim magnatibus ipsis dignor adulari; multos tamen arguo, multos commendmo, sed non nisi vere, non nisi coram pluribus, et sicut communis opinio ponit. Ergo michi liceat non dicere sed recitare, ut quosdam venerans, quosdam, Ricarde, persus; sic vexas hostes et sic veneraris amicos, quod nec dissimulas odium nec fingis amorem.

Unde michi restat studio maiore canendum. Sed prius est perhibenda tue perfeccio mentis: dives es et parcus et largus et altus, habesque mite cor et rigidum, prudens simplexque; sed hec sunt singula que miror: tu dives, non viciosus; parcus, non cupidus; largus, non prodigus; altus, non elatus; habes cor inexpugnable, sed non cismaticum, mite sed non mutabile, prudens, sed non versutum, simplex, sed non rude. Tantas in te virtutes admirans gratulor. Olim tanti posse viri non promeruisse favorem! Allegavit enim pro te mea musa Donelmi coram iudicibus, inimiciciasque prioris conventusque sui propter <te> sustinet, immo propter iusticiam; quod coram Wintoniensi presule tractatum linguas armavit eorum contra me, nocuitque michi nec profuit illis.

Inde queri teneor quod inangulor hic apud Anglos, nec melior portus est michi terra mari. Nam quod me ditat, hoc me depauperat. Istri pontifices michi dant omnia, nulla tamen.
Omnia dant in spe, nichil in re, nec michi prodest
spes aliter sine re quam domus absque basi.
Nam domus absque basi quos continet obruit; et sic
spes sine re quorum firmat inanit opem.

35 Spem michi dent alii magnam, rem tu michi parvam;
res me parva iuvat, spes michi magna nocet.

No. 39

RADULPHO DE NOVILLA VEL NOVA VILLA, EPISCEO CYCESTRENSI

Successu Nova Villa sui iuvenescit alumi,
Radulfi ratio docmate fulta viget.
Hec, Radulfe, tua ratio discernere verum
a falsa, facinus a pietate solet.

5 Theoric iuris facis instrumenta secundum
ipsam; carnifices cetera iura colunt.
Forsan iperbolice laudum preconia, more
credar adulantis attitulare tibi.

Sed ius, sed pietas peribet, sed cetera morum

10 gratia, quod minor est laus mea dote tua.

No. 40

DE EODEM

O qui flos es Anglicorum,
quorum sicut sedas placita
ita tibi regis et illorum
lorum regendum subigitur.

5 Igitur mea fer obsequia
quia nomen in perpetuum
tuum mea canent studia.

Tuis enim cum collegis
legis ut statuta commemores

10 memores; et ut fias pastor gregis
regis favor, prout competit,
petit; iam vocis egencium

59 MS A 2 domate A corr. Sedg. | falca A 5 tui A
gencium ut fias episcopus
opus est et necessarium.

15 Iuver ergo, vir beate,
a te liberaliter;
aliter paupertatis protelete
late nullum finem video.
Ideo cum spem falli timeam
20 mean, nullum est remedium
medium quin iuves ante peream.

No. 36

DE HOC NOMINE, ROBERTUS PASSELEVE

Unica tres titulos ne tollat littera. Sicut
sumitur ex 'boo' 'vox', sic ex hac voce 'Robertus'
hec vox 'Rovertus'; corruptaque sillaba prima
integretur in s: sic ros, ver, thusque vocabris.

Tu bene ros, dulcore fluens; ver, flore choruscans;
thus, miro more redolens: ro sine fluendo,
ver pulchre florendo, thus sapide redolendo.
Robertus, titulo dotaris triplice: roris
temperie, veris dulcedine, thuris odore.

Dicto de proprio Roberti nomine, restat
ut subiungamus quod ei cognacio nomen
cognicioque dedit, et qua ratione vocetur
Robertus transgressor aque. Nec enim quia transit
sed precellit aquam cognomine credo notari.

Est aqua levis et est aqua dulcis et est aqua clara,
mulcens, albificans, emundans omnia, levis
tangent, dulcis gustanti, clara videnti.
Tu precellis aquam, nam levi levior es tu,
dulci dulcior es tu, clara clarior es tu,

20 mente quidem levis, re dulcis, sanguine clarus.
In tribus hiis excellis aquam, nam murmure levis
est aqua, tu mente, gustu dulciflua, tu re,
flegmate preclare, tu sanguine. Quodlibet horum
est magis intensum procul in te quam sit in ipsa.
DE ROBERTO PASSELEWE

Summum conscendens apicem
et honoris et oneris,
Robertus migrans laticem
ventis horrentem asperis
in impetrandis litteris
apud summum pontificem
profectum egit triplicem
numquam indultum ceteris.

Opus in primis regium
multi procurans munere,
cleri mox privilegium
quod expirabat misere
reintegravit libere,
tandem ius querens proprium
triplex egit negocium
tam propere quam prospere.

Talem tantamque gratiam
fecit homo trans hominem,
qui nescientis etiam
cleri promovit ordinem
preter consuetudinem;
ad hanc beneficiantiam
regis et cleri nimiam
miror ingravitudinem.

De Romanorum manibus
nemo dives regreditur.
Iste consumptis omnibus
pauper reverti cogitetur.
Hoc est quod nimis igitur
nobis obest pauperibus;
nam ex eius defectibus
noster defectus sequitur.
No. 49

ALLUDITUR HUIC NOMINI, PANDULPHUS

Te totum dulcor perfundit et inde vocaris
Pandulphus; quid pan nisi totum, dul nisi dulcor,
phus nisi fusus? Id est, totus dulcedine fusus.
SOME MONASTIC PATRONS

NO. 24 LIFE OF ST EDMUND
Probably about 1220-1227

NOS. 25, 26 HYMNS TO ST EDMUND

NO. 53 THE ABBOT OF RAMSEY'S SEAL

NO. 94 TO WILLIAM OF TRUMPINGTON, ABBOT OF ST ALBANS
1214-1235, possibly 1220-1225

To a seeker of largess such as our wandering poet the wealthy monasteries of England had a natural attraction. For the three houses of Ramsey, St Albans, and St Edmundsbury the evidence of a desire for patronage or the receipt of it is not so clear as it is with Croyland and Peterborough. For Ramsey there is a line upon the seal of the abbey and a suggestion in an ancient library catalogue that the monastery once possessed some of the poet's works.¹ Ramsey, however, was hardly in the same class as an intellectual center with the other two, and it is not surprising to find such little evidence of patronage.

Bury St Edmunds probably was the greatest monastic center of learning at the time, although some might feel that St Albans, with its chroniclers Roger of Wendover and Matthew Paris, had that distinction. Against these St Edmundsbury could oppose the amazingly intimate chronicler, Joscelin of Brakelonde, and the more formal John of Taxster and John of Everisden. There also an astronomer and translator from the Arabic, Roger Infans of Hereford, compiled his Expositiones Vocabulorum que sunt in Biblia.² Vernacular writers such as Simon of Walsingham, Everard of Gately, and Denis Pictamus wrote there. Add to this array of writers the house's magnificent library, and the splendid position of the monastery in the field of letters is clear. In spite of the fact that the poems about St Edmund name no patron, the monastery dedicated to this saint was the obvious patron. The date of composition of No. 24 is conjectured to be 1220-27 upon the position of the

². For him see Russell's 'Hereford and Arabic Science in England about 1175-1200,' Isis, XVIII (1932), 14-18.
last lines among the poems with similar conclusions.\(^3\)

For our poet, St Albans seems to have been a hospitable place. To this house he addressed several lines, No. 92. The eminent chronicler, Matthew Paris, possessed the largest collection of his poems, \(A\), and quoted occasionally from his verse. Indeed No. 94 itself was kept in one of the chronicler's volumes, his Liber Additamentorum. If Master Henry had been a member of the fraternity of St Albans at St Pantaleons of Cologne there would be greater reason for the monastery to cherish the poet.\(^4\) Doubtless there were other connections between the poet and St Albans which we are unable to trace now. It seems strange, for instance, that there is no long poem known to have been written for this monastery, when several exist for other houses. The poem itself is rather disappointing. The etymologizing proceeds as in many another of the author's pieces. Again he shows a knowledge of English, analyzing 'Willermus' into 'will' and 'arms.'

No. 24

**Incipit prologus in**

**VITAM SANCTI EDMUNDI**

Plus volo quam valeo regis memorando triumphos
Edmundi, dignos nobiliori stilo.
Nam licet attolat me magna professio, non est
tanta facultatis scribere gesta mee.

Spes hominum sanctus rex est; ego flebilis ipsum
et reus et cecus hec tria dona peto.
Flebilis hac peto spe reparer, reus hoc peto sancto
sanctificer, cecus hoc peto rege regar.

No. 53

**IN SIGILLO ABBATIS DE RAMESEIA, IN CUIUS MEDIO FIT ARIES**

Cuius scripta tego dux gregis est, et ego.

---

3. See Appendix B.
24 MS A 1 cf. 95, v. 11.
No. 94

Ostendam sermone brevi quis et unde sit abbas, ut placeat metrum, non ut adulter ei. Unde, quis, hic? Perhibent duo nomina, scilicet ista, quod sit Willermus, deque tonante tuba; et ratio manifesta subest, primordia cur hic non alius, cur hinc non aliunde, trahat. Mos est, quando tuba tonat, arma parantur; inermes velle facit galeam turbidus ille sonus. Ergo tonante tuba, galeae datur inde voluntas, hic est Willermus, sic duo prima patent. De re res ortum trahit, et de nomine nomen; has eius causas ordinis ordo dedit. Nominis eiusdem ratio diversa priori consonat, et penitus astruit illud idem. Nec tamen est eadem, Willermus enim quasi ville ermos, id est ville tutor, et ecce modus. Spiritualis habet tres hostes villa; draconis insidias, mundi frivola, carnis opus. Hic superat tria monstra: fide prosternitur hostis, contemptu mundus, sobrietate caro. Disserui de nominibus; modo disserat ipse de rebus; voces cedere rebus habent.
The Latin translation of Aristotle's treatise on generation and corruption came into circulation in western Europe at the end of the twelfth century along with translations of other Aristotelian and Arabic works dealing with natural science. Master Henry shows some interest in this general field. He mentions John Blund's enthusiasm for the new Arabic knowledge, and the death of Michael Scot, astrologer and Arabic translator. If the poet was Henry of Cologne, he copied Michael Scot's translation of a work on natural science in 1232. No. 35 is the strongest evidence of Master Henry's interest in natural science. Against these indications of his interest is the denial by Michael of Cornwall that Henry was learned in naturalia.

The theme of No. 35 is obviously suitable only for a university audience. The prologue corroborates this assumption, but fails to name the institution. Paris is excluded, since that university is said to be stupefied at the brilliance of the group which the poet is addressing. Henry's life suggests two places, Angers and Oxford. In one poem Henry speaks of intending to teach at Angers, and Michael says that Henry tried to teach at Oxford. If the poem was written for Angers it must be placed within the years 1229-34 when a temporary migration from Paris made Angers a distinguished center of learning. The structure of No. 35, however, suggests a date somewhat earlier than 1229. The well-developed prologue makes the poem seem as late as Nos. 1 and 95 of 1220, while the failure to be more explicit about the patrons would place it earlier than Nos. 19, 23 or 48. In this period the poet was apparently in England; in this country Oxford would be the only place at all comparable to Paris. This method of

1. No. 127, Ll. 77-84, p. 151.
2. See p. 27.
dating is very tentative, since the long poems written after 1232 by Master Henry were either few or mostly lost. Henry's poem was written in competition with an unnamed adversary. It must have been a curious kind of contest.

No. 35

DE GENERATIONE ET CORRUPTIONE

Prologus

O clara cleri concio,
lux cuius lucet clarius
ipso solari radio,
quo nichil radiosius,
cui se stupet Parisius
non esse parem studio,
parem non, sed inferius
in omni magisterio;
rogo supplex obnixius
ut tua iurisdictio,
qua nichil iuridicius,
vel amore vel odio
partis flectetur neutrius
sed consistat in medio.

Nichil <facit> iniquius
ulla mali suspicio
quam cum presumit ipsius
ausu quisquam nefario
presidere iudicio

cum sit et adversarius.

Presencio proemii

De tam gravi materia
carmen istud tam subitum
nec florens arte varia
nec morsu lime domitum

metris que patus divitum
sanctivit excellentia
ON GENERATION AND CORRUPTION

defert honorem debitum
quorum non equat meritum
sed adorat vestigia.

Ergo si non (ut) placitum
michi venerunt omnia,
non offendant sollicitum
paucam lectures vicia.
Siquid est male positum
non redumpdet in alia.
Qui committit illicitum
semel ex ignorancia
non meretur interitum.
Voluntas et propositum
distinguunt maleficia.

Excusacio politi operis
pro difficultate materie

Nulla polit formositas
nostrarum opus manuum,
materiique ruditas
laborem facit vacuum,
et iudicare fatuum
me potest universitas.
Non tamen est exiguum
quod presumit temeritas
opus istud tam arduum,
cuius pretendit vanitas
male versorum versuum
formas tam incompositas;
sed olim quando sensuum
militabat subtilitas
novas querebam semitas;
nunc sequor stratam curuum.

Non petit auctor premium alius
quam de adversario triumphare

Adversus adversarium
de meliori ludere
scirem, sed nil ingenuum
habet, inquam, sic agere.
Tali recusat opere
poliri marmor artium;
plus propere quam prospere
manavit istud studium;
65 plus liture quam littere
ferre pudet in medium.
Quis enim posset facere
de petra pingue prandium?
Ergo si loto latere
70 breve consumpsi spaciun,
ne cogar erubescere
in conspectu prudencium,
quedam velit ignoscere
vestrum michi iudicium.
75 Non erit necessarium
nodum in cirpo querere.
For Abbot Henry Longchamp of Croyland, Master Henry wrote a longer saint's life than for any other English patron. At the end of the piece in A the number of verses is said to be MDCLXVI, but the meaning of this curious number is not given. The monastery of Croyland was a wealthy house and one which seems to have been the center of considerable intellectual activity, especially in the time of Abbot Henry. Since much of the verse and prose composed there is dedicated to him, while little was produced at Croyland at other times, it seems fair to consider the abbot its source and inspiration. Two studies of this center have already been made, both of which are in need of some correction.1 The story of Croyland throws some light upon the motives of monastic patronage and presents more than its share of interesting critical problems.

At Croyland there were both local and cosmopolitan writers. Of the two the problems of the first are still somewhat uncertain and will be taken up first. The literary interests of both were largely hagiographical. This is apparently due to the abbot, whose devotion is attested by his translation of local saints: St Guthlac in 1195, St Neot in 1213, and St Waltheof in 1219.2 To Archbishop Langton in 1220 the abbot sent a compilation of the lives of Becket, now usually called the first Quadrilogus, probably as an earnest of his regret that he could not attend the translation exercises of Becket in that year.


The Quadrilologus preserves two prologues, both dedicated to the abbot and both by monks of Croyland. In 1199 Elias of Evesham completed a compilation of the four biographies of Becket written by John of Salisbury, Alan of Tewkesbury, William Fitzstephen, and Herbert Bosham. This compilation was revised by Roger of Croyland in 1213. The work was used by Master Henry apparently for the versification of the Life of St. Thomas, written in 1220 (No. 1). The poet may thus have been in touch with the abbey by that time. In Leland's Itinerary, among several pieces about St. Waltheof, appears an epitaph about the same saint, which was written by another poet, a certain William. This William, otherwise unknown, seems to have been the starting point for Leland's conjectures which produced a supposititious character, 'William of Ramsey.' How much of the literature about Waltheof (or about St. Neot) was produced at this time or by these monks is uncertain.

Another instance of local writing seems to appear in the alleged letter of the abbot to Peter of Blois. This raises the question of these letters, which have been unreservedly condemned by Liebermann as forgeries. However, Liebermann's view was influenced by the fact that he accepted the attribution of the metrical Life of St. Guthlac to 'William of Ramsey,' and knew that the continuation of the pseudo-Ingulph chronicle was not by Peter of Blois. He rejected the plain statement of a Peterborough chronicler that for Abbot Henry Longchamp both Peter and Henry had written biographies of St. Guthlac. As we have seen, the evidence that Master Henry wrote No. 19 is very strong. That Peter of Blois wrote such a life is also probable. Bale saw it in manuscript (possibly that copy now in the library of Trinity College, Dublin) with a dedication by Peter of Blois to the abbot.

4. J. J. Brial, 'Notice d'un Manuscrit latin de la Bibliothèque impériale, No. 5372,' Notices et Extraits des Manuscrits, etc., IX (1815), 2, 87; Migne, Pat. Lat. CXC, 255-257. For Elias' letter see also J. C. Robertson, Materials for the History of Thomas Becket (London, 1879), IV, 425.
5. Leland, loc. cit.
7. For St. Neot see John Whitaker, The Life of St. Neot, etc. (London, 1809), and C. C. Gorham, The History and Antiquities of Eynesbury and St. Neot's (London, 1820); Neues Archiv, XVIII (1895), 252.
8. Ingulph's Chronicle, p. 225: 'having ourselves contracted the lengthy and involved periods of Saint Felix and having laboured to reduce it to a style more concise and better suited to weak understandings.'
10. See p. 6; Neues Archiv, XVIII, 251.
11. See pp. 6, 8.
The exchange of letters between the abbot and Peter of Blois prefixed to the forged chronicle is left in a peculiar position. Both letters refer to the authentic Life of St Guthlac and to the forged chronicle. It will be readily granted that the parts of the letters in which Peter is requested to continue a fourteenth-century forgery are also forgeries. In both letters they form the latter part. The question is in regard to the first parts in which the abbot invites the writer to compose a Life of St Guthlac, and Peter accepts the invitation. In the first parts nothing but a biography is assumed; all the classical references are to biographers. This is in itself rather strange, if a chronicle had actually been the subject of correspondence. Then in Peter's answer there is a definite break between the two parts; the continuation has a transition based clearly upon the mistaken presumption that a history had already been mentioned. The authenticity of the first paragraphs of both letters may be considered a separate problem from that of the latter parts.

Within the first parts of the two letters the evidence of authenticity is satisfactory. The milieu, Croyland as a literary center and the abbot as a patron, seems accurate, as we have seen. The one statement which Liebermann thought an anachronism is one of the best bits of evidence for its authenticity. The abbot calls Peter vice-chancellor and protonotary, a very peculiar combination. Professor Tout has shown that these two offices were separated by the reign of John. An easy conjecture is that Peter himself brought that curious title, protonotary, from Sicily to designate himself as chief clerk of his friend, William Longchamp, a brother of Abbot Henry Longchamp. The time of the correspondence was probably before January 1196, the date of William Longchamp's death, when Peter probably lost his position. Another letter from Peter to the abbot remains in the collections of the former's letters; a reference in it would seem to show that it had been written about 1198, as the archdeacon was preparing, somewhat fearfully it seems, to cross the channel with Archbishop Hubert Walter.

In the letter to Peter the abbot gives as the reason for asking him to write the Life of St Guthlac that it

---
13. Neues Archiv, XVIII (1893), 262; Liebermann quotes Gneist to the effect that this title only appears after 1272.
15. J. A. Giles, Opera Omnia Petri Blesensis (Oxford, 1847), II, 182; the journey is mentioned by Roger Hoveden, IV, 61.
was the custom for great writers to give attention to the biographies of great men. Peter is included among the great authors, with men such as Suetonius, Valerius Maximus, Cicero, Sallust, Homer, Vergil, St Gregory, Sulpicius Severus, St Jerome, and St Bernard. Abbot Henry therefore paid Peter of Blois a high tribute by inviting him to write a biography of the patron saint of his house. We can assume also that it was a tribute to the reputation of Master Henry of Avranches that the abbot requested him to versify the biography of the same saint.

No. 19

Incipit proemium in

VITAM SANCTI GUTHLACI CONFESSORIS

Omnimodos quanta virtute subegerit hostes
Guthlaci robusta manus, quo Marte tirannos
expulerit, quorum fuerat Croylandia sedes,
Musa, refer, celebremque viri depinge vigorem.

Invocacio auctoris ad Sanctum Guthlacum

Maxime monstrorum domitor, qui laude suprema
dignus Alexandri fuscas et Cesaris actus,
et licite potes Herculeos ridere triumphos,
te, Guthlace, meo precor aspirare labori.

Invocacio auctoris ad Henricum de Longo Campo, abbatem Croilandi

At tu, quem Longus ad celsos Campus honores
protulit, abbatum rutilans, Henrice, lucerna,
dum me compellis presumere, dum michi stulto
imponis sapientis onus, presumpcio partim
est tua, presumptum partim dignare tueri.
Of the ancient and beautiful churches of England the cathedral of Salisbury is one of the most outstanding. About the great bishop who was responsible for its construction and the architect who built it, there is fortunately much information. Bishop Richard Poore (1217-1228) was a very able prelate and a very religious man, the best type of churchman. He was a constant attendant at the court, as is shown by the witness lists of the charters of Henry III from 1226 to 1234. His architect, Elias of Dereham, had a many-sided personality with interests of a very wide nature. This poem by Henry of Avranches enlarges upon the reasons why the church was translated from the height of Old Sarum to the new site of Salisbury. The building was not finished, and its completion must have been a long way off, since he says, 'Happy the man who will live to see the cathedral completed.' The building was well started, however. The old structure had already fallen in.

It would be interesting to know upon what occasion this poem was recited. The pope had given permission to build the cathedral in 1219, and work had been begun in the following year. The cornerstones were laid on 28 April. The first convocation in the new cathedral was held on 28 September 1225. A great multitude of people was present; it included a papal legate, the Archbishops of Canterbury and Dublin and a great number of magnates. Three altars were dedicated.

2. Ll. 203-204; cf. 1. 177.
4. Register of St Osmund, II, 7-12.
5. Ibid., pp. 57-40.
this the occasion for which the poem was written? There is little in the poem to suggest any particular occasion. Two hints exist. Services were evidently being held in the new building, since the fall of the old hall is mentioned. Moreover, the name of Bishop Richard is given but not the name of his successor. The date and even the occasion must remain uncertain for the present.

The purposes of the translation are clearly stated by the poet. Old Sarum had been a fort, possibly from a very ancient time. In 1075 the bishopric had been estab-

lished there within the fort. From the first there seem to have been difficulties because of the overlapping of jurisdiction. On top of the hill was only the great castle and its fortifications. In the contemporary description of the situation much is made of the miserable conditions within the fort:

the soldiers abused the hospitality of the cathedral and its chapter. Just as intolerable were the climatic conditions in Old Sarum. The hill was, according to the poet, bleak, windy, without water, difficult of ascent, and because of its chalki-

ness blinding to the eyes. To be contrasted with these hostile conditions were the very pleasant surroundings of the new site. Well wooded, well watered, and beautiful, the chosen situation was all that nature could give. The poet's encomiums upon the beauties of nature and of freedom are perhaps more the product of his life as a wandering poet than of his education. They may, of course, reflect the interests of his patron rather than his own feelings.

No. 20

DE TRANSLATIONE VETERIS ECCLESIE SARESBERIENSIS
ET CONSTRUCTIONE NOVE

Ecclesiam cur transtulerit Salisberiensem
presul Ricardus insinuare volo.
Mons Salisberie quasi Gelboe mons maledictus
est inter montes sicut et ille fuit.

Non pluvia vel rore madet, non flore vel herba
vernat, non forma vel bonitate viget.
Nil equidem preter absinthia gignit amara,

6. LI. 155-156.
7. English Historical Review, XXX (1915), 1.
quatinitus ex fructu se probet ipse suo.

Prebet ibi castrum solis obstaculm ventis,

materiam nullam qua tueatur habens.

Est ibi defectus limphe sed copia crete;

ventus ibi clamat, sed philemena silet.

Candor obest crete, sed plus caristia limphe:

disgregat hic oculos, aggravat illa sitim.

Pausando philemena nocet, plus aura furendo:

derogat hec ludis, obruit illa domos.

Hic locus et castrum fuit insignitus et urbe,

castri dignus ferre nec urbis opes.

In castro stabat urbs, castrum stabat in urbe:

sic erat utrumque maius, utrumque minus.

Nec respective dico maius, minus, immo

simpliciter maius simpliciterque minus.

Ulterius monstrum superest: hec stabat in illo,

illud in hac: igitur non duo prorsus erant;

donu prorsus erant, sed sicut non duo prorsus,

sic nec res prorsus una, sed una biceps.

Nam cum rex castri caput esset, episcopus urbis,

ius hic habebat ibi Cesaris, ille Dei.

Non ibi iura Deus temptavit Cesaris, immo

iura Dei Cesar appropriare sibi,

ecclesiamque iugo voluit supponere iugi,

a spoliis cleri non inhibendo suos.

Non invitatis invitus prandia clerus

armigeris castris militibusque dabat,

et, quod deterius, ne turpiter eiceretur,

hospicium profugus destituebat eis.

Quid Domini domus in castrlo nisi federis archa

in templo Baalim? Carcer uterque locus.

Sed Baalim nequirit retinere perenniter archam

federis; a simili dico nec illud eam.

In Iérico captiva Syon erat, in Babilone

Ierusalem--Iérico cum Babilone ruuit.

Inde Syon, cum Ierusalem, mutata videtur:

utraque mesta prius, utraque leta modo.

Presul enim zelo Domini meliore Ricardus

arsit, ut eximeret libera colla iugo.
A laicos equidem clericum dimovit, eorum vincula disrupiens, proiciensque iugum. Quid faceret clericus ubi visum candida creta disgregat, auditum densa procella premit, cor sitis atra cremat, gressum via longa fatigat, collum libertas evacuata gravat? Ardens pulmo sitim, levis auris iurgia, fessus pes iuga fastidit, libera colla iugum. Cur transferretur urbs causam sufficientem tot facturarum quelibet una dedit.


Marchio cervicis vultus et verticis, auris prominet, et conche tortilis instar habet. Hic viget auditus, capiens momenta sonorum, quem vox demulcet rara, gravatque frequent. Longos fastidit cantus, quanto magis autem perpes ei tonitus tedia summa parit! Inde patet quantum gravet aures impetus aure, a quibus et sensus cogit abesse suos.

Corpus precellit, anima precellitur, huius immense cor opes, huius amena domus. Inde quidem surgit vitalis hanelitus, inde...
compassiva fides, inde benignus amor.

Unde cor humectet quasi quedam spongia pulmo;
mille poris claudit aera, claudit aquam.
Naturalis enim convertitur ignis in ipsum,
cum sitiens aliud non habet, in quod agat.
Igne sitim passo, cum pulmo crematur, oportet
ut cor inardescens compaciatur ei.

Actio cordis ut est melior, sic passio peior;
humanum corpus hec alit, illa necat.

Inde patet quantum noceat defectus aquarum,
quo gravior nullus civibus esse potest.

Scilicet unda sitim levat et succendia, cymbas
evenit et naves, marmora fert et opes;
unda lavat maculas et sordes, educat herbas
et flores, generat pisciculos et aves.

Albus aque clarusque liquor, mollis placidusque,
contactus dulcis, nutribilisque sapor.

Unda, senes vetulasque novat, culpam viciumque
evacuat, pestem demoniumque fugat.

Quod per se possit hominem nutrire nec unum
est elementorum sumere preter aquam.

Si populis igitur elementum dans alimentum
urbi defuerit, quis status urbis erit?
Urbi nil gravius quam deficiens aqua: nam quod
plus prodest, ut adeat, plus et obest, ut abest.

Optima pars hominis libertas: sola solutam
reddit egestatem solaque dampnat opes;
nature munus generale, Dei generosum,
virtutum consors, nobilitate prior,
nec patitur nec agit nocumentum, sed rationem
dirigit, et mentes librat, et acta probat.

Inde patet quantum gravet amissae prioris
ius libertatis, vique subisse iugum.
Clerus presertim, qui nullum ferre molestum
aut inferre solet, quam male ferret onus!

Est gravius quicquid desuetius, et famulantem
cum iuga cuncta gravent, plus violenta gravant.
Mons ascendentis descendentisque per ipsum
limite declivi vexat utrumque gradum.
Lubricus et gravis est: descensu pronior, inde
lubricus; ascensu celsior, inde gravis.
Pectus in ascensu vix respirando faticiscit,
pes in descensu sepe labando cadit.
Inde quidem labor, inde tisis, pes namque vacillat:
cece labor; pulsus deficit: ecce tisis.
Inde patet quantum noceat situs ille locorum,
exsiccans pectus deliciensque gradum.
Omnis apex requiemque negat casumque minatur;
sollicitat stantem precipitatque statum.
Tucior est vallis, nec enim timet ille ruinam
qui nichil inferius quo moveatur habet.

Presul ob has causas Ricardus transtulit urbem
et providit ei de meliore loco.
Neve facultatem redeundi clerus haberet
posterus, ecclesie corruit aula vetus.
Sed periens, cum corrurerit, sed deficiens, cum
absit, salvatur, stat tamen, et fit, et est.
O rerum novitas: ut salvetur, perit; ut stet,
corruit; ut fiat, deficit; ut sit, abest.
Quis transponende locus esset idoneus urbi
querere cura fuit longa, laborque brevis.
Est in valle locus, nemorl venatibus apto
contiguus, celeber fructibus, uber aquis.
Silva frequenter eum iuvat arboribusque ferisque,
fertilis arboribus, fertiliorque feris.
Quelibet arbor ibi frondet, quevis fera gaudet;
arbor multa ferax, sed fera nulla ferox.
Non ibi dama timet ursum cervusve leonem,
non linx serpentem capreolusve lupum.
Illic et volucres vides contendere cantu
que frutices, silvas, flumina, prata colunt.
Cantus interdum philomena, frequenter alauda
exiguo promit gurrete grande melos.
Laudat alauda locum, philomenaque philos amenum
carmen, id est carmen promit amoris ibi.
Carior hoc solo, quod rarior est, philomene
cantus; alauda frequens tedia voce parit.
Adversus modulos ormelle fletus orloris
disputat: illa diem prevenit, ille necem.
Dulcis uterque sonus: vivens ormella propinat
ore melos; moriens fert olor ore liram.
Pompan precellit volucrum turbanque ferarum
et vulgus nemorum gleba feracis humi;
flavam terra crocum, candentia lilia profert,
liventes violas purpureasque rosas.
Fontes et fluvios dives producit abyssus;
pisces et volucres candida nutrit aqua.
Flores et fructus genialis parturit arbos,
herbas et segetes humida gignit humus.
Est ibi copia roris et unde, flor is et herbe;
ros tepet, unda madet, flos nitet, herba viret.
Tale Creatoris matri natura creata
hospici um toto quesit orbe diu.

Hic nova construitur operosi cella laboris
egregie forme precipuique status.
Summa sed ima prius, nunc altior inferiorque:
altior imperiiis, inferiorque loco.
Stat quasi pene iacens, quanto tamen inferiore
statura, tanto commodiore statu.
Hic opus extruitur de sub cuius pede vivus
fons emanat aque transgredientis aquas:
scintilla levior, cristallo clarior, auro
purior, ambrosia dulcior ille liquor.
Sic nova cella sedet ubi fluminis impetus urbem
letificat, frugum copia vulgus alit.
Regis silva domos prebet, florum decor egros
allevat, herbarum vis nocuentia premit.
Huc si venisset expulsus de Paradiso,
exilium patrie preposuisset Adam.
Nux utrobi qui gravat silvas, odor afficit auras,
carmine ludit avis, flore superbit humus.
Par hec nux huius nucis, hic odor huius odoris,
hec avis huius avis, hec humus huius humi.
Esto quod ille decor exuberat amplius, iste
sentitur melius: res habitudo probat. 
Res habitudo probat; quanto vicinior ergo 
exilio, tanto gratior iste locus. 
Delicias dulces facit experiencia pene, 
conditurque bonum cognitione mali. 
Felix qui vivet consummatamque videbit 
ecclesiam, circa quam tot amena nitent. 

205 Rex igitur det opes, presul det opem, lapicide 
dent operam: tribus hiis est opus ut stet opus. 
Regis enim virtus facto spectabitur isto, 
presulis affectus, artificumque fides. 
Explicit de ecclesia Saresberiens.
The introduction of the **Life of St Oswald** allows us a partial view of the recitation of the poem. The poet addresses not only the abbot but also the other higher officers in person and the convent as a group. He praises the sacristan for the care with which that official had bound his poems. He includes that touch of personality which is lacking in his other works written for monastic audiences. Such bits are very valuable; they give detail to our general knowledge that the patronage of monasteries was important for thirteenth-century literature.¹

The picture is one which Father Grosjean has already used effectively.² Probably the entire monastery is before the poet, possibly upon the saint's day, 5 August. The poet addresses the head of the monastery first. Abbot Martin is, according to the poet, the flower of the clergy and the first of the abbots; compared with the other abbots he is a sun among the stars, a flower in the grass, a Phoenix among the birds, laurel in bramble, wine alongside beer, and topaz in sand. He repeats these flattering comparisons: let none miss them! Then addressing himself to Roger the Prior and Simon the Sacristan he puns upon the former's name, 'rosam geris,' and upon the latter's title, 'sacris instans.' Next he speaks of Walter, who is to Simon as Solomon to David, Elisha to Elijah, or Joshua to Moses. Evidently Simon had recently been promoted to be sacristan from another office to which Walter was then elected. Following three higher officers of the monastery, Walter would probably be a fourth, almoner or cellarer, both of great significance to a poet for their control of gifts. Finally the poet appeals to the whole monastic group and ends by asking them not to be restrained in their applause.
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Of Simon the Sacristan we have already spoken in the introduction: the praise bestowed upon him was not unmerited. The early catalogue of the Peterborough library shows that that house had a considerable collection of the poet's works. Of these a majority has survived. They included the Life of St Hugh, No. 95, and the Certamen inter regem I et barones in one volume; both were written before 1227. Tropi de B. Virgine appear in two manuscripts; they might be No. 102 or other pieces and, of course, the time of composition is hard to discover. Then there is the 'altercatio' between Henry and Michael, which has not survived, but was written long after 1227. Simon can hardly be blamed for the terrible form, 'Hamrincham,' attached to the author's name of this poem, and of the verses on canon law. Another volume contained the 'Versus magistri Henrici de vita S. Oswaldi et aliorum,' No. 48, and others. Among the 'others' was probably the Life of St Guthlac, No. 19, which a later Peterborough chronicler attributes to 'Master Henry.' Altogether the monastery possessed a considerable collection of the poet's works.

The names of the several officers of Peterborough enable one to fix the date of composition with some accuracy. Abbot Martin was elected at the end of 1226 (in the Octave of St Anthony) and died on 25 June 1233. Simon the Sacristan was succeeded by a certain William in 1229, which brings the date of composition within the years 1227-1229. The promotions of Simon to the sacristy and of Walter to the vacated office, if the poem really hints at such, may well have followed the election of Abbot Walter in 1227. The evidence of the similar conclusions, which would show that this poem was completed before No. 23, also points to the year 1227.

As in the preface to other long hagiographies, Master Henry likens his hero, Oswald, to ancient heroes, Hercules, Alexander, and Caesar. Rather a pagan group for a mediaeval saint to be compared with in the presence of a monastic audience! The deeds of these heroes were celebrated by great poets, Homer, Lucan, and Walter of Chatillon. Master

3. See p. 5.
5. Grosjean, op. cit., p. 509, 'It may well be that Simon (the sacristan) is the monk of that name who became Prior of Spalding in 1229. At any rate, William succeeded him at this date as sacristan of Peterborough.'
6. See Appendix B.
Henry modestly calls himself a dwarf in comparison with these giants. The juxtaposition of the three is rather striking, although it seems more natural if we remember that there were not many more centuries between Walter and Lucan than between the latter and Homer. Obviously the classical world lived for Master Henry and probably for the Abbey of Peterborough. If, he asserts, the ancient bards excelled him, the ancient philosophers also excelled the contemporary philosophers. In fact, one of the cleverest phases of the poem is the way in which he begins. Like a famous classical writer he is retelling old tales and versifying older prose; so his initial lines commence as do the Metamorphoses of Ovid.

No. 48

Incipit prologus in

VITAM ET PASSIONEM SANCTI OSWALDI, REGIS ET MARTYRIS

In nova fert animus antiquas vertere prosas
carmina, que numero, mensura, pondere firmet
immutabilibus librata proporcio causis.
Perpetuare volens mundum Deus in tribus istis
a primo stabilivit eum, causamque manendi
contulit una trium cunctis precisio rebus.
Quantum divine permittitur artis honorem
ars humana sequi, tantum pro posse sequetur
hunc in presentis operis mea musa tenere.

Que tamen istius nihil artis adinvenit; immo
sic apud antiquos erat assuetudo, virorum
scribere virtutes et perpetuare triumphos,
ut memorata magis virtus imitabilis esset.
Quoque superstitionibus animos exempla priorum
vivendi post fata darent, aliquando poete
intertextebant aliquid de stamine falsi,
augendo titulos et fictis facta iuvando.
Alcidem yperbolice commendat Homerus,
Gualterus pingit torvo Philippida vultu,

Cesareasque nimis laudes Lucanus adauget.
Tres illi famam meruerunt, tresque poetas
auctores habuere suos; multo magis autem
Oswaldi regis debent insignia scribi.
Quis fuit Alcides? quis Cesar Iulius? aut quis
magnus Alexander? Alcides se superasse
fertur, Alexander mundum, set Iulius hostem.
Se simul Oswaldus et mundum vicit et hostem.
Tres igitur reges quot de se magna poetis
divisere tribus magno dicenda paratu,
suscepit subito dicenda tot unus ab uno,
nec minor est moles que nanum sarcinat unum
quam fuit hec sub qua tres sudaverent gigantes.
Inde laborandum michi sollicitudine summa est,
ne nymia pressus oneris gravitate vacillem.

Regis enim tanti merus historiographus, alto
hunc tenere memorare stilo, meteque petende
liber inoffenso spatium percurrere gressu.

Invocatio ad Sanctum Oswaldum, regem et martyrem

Neve sibi tantum mea mens usurpet honorem,
Regis ego victoris opem suus invoco vates.
Ipse michi queso dignetur adesse, meisque
immarcessibilem ceptis apponere dextram.

Invocatio ad M., abbatem de Burgo

Tu quoque digeris, precor, aspirare labori,
flos cleri, Martine, meo, qui talis es inter
abbates, qualis est patronus tuus inter
pontifices, hic est primas; tu primus eorum;
istorum tu concilio collatus haberes
sol, illud stelle; flos, illud gramina; Phenix,
illud aves; laurus, illud dumeta; lieum,
illud cervisie; topazius, illud harene.

Talis enim viget inter eos tua gloria, qualis
sol inter stellas, flos inter gramina, Phenix
inter aves, laurus inter dumeta, lieum
inter cervisias, topazius inter harenas.
Sol igitur splendendo michi, flos fructificando,
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Utque facis, semper Oswaldi gesta gerende exemplar virtutis habe, nam quid sit agendum
nullus sanctorum perhibet manifestius isto
cuius dextra docet post fata quid egerit ante.
Nullo verme perit, nulla putredine tabet
dextra viri, nullo constringi frigore, nullo
dissolvi fervore potest, sed semper eodem
immutata statu, non ens est, mortua vivit.

Hoc suae donum, sua munificentia munus
illi promeruit, seseque quibuslibet idem
redderet effectus, eadem si causa subesset;
in te causa subest, quo munificentior alter
non conversatur sub sole; sed hoc, quia multis
iudicibus constat, precor ut me iudice constet.

Invocatio ad Priorem

Virque benigne, prior primis et prime priorum,
qui cleris, Rogere, rosam geris, annue vati.
Forsitan hoc nomen usurpo, meque moderni-
philosophi reputant indignum nomine vatis.

Sed quantum veteres me precessere poete,
tantum philosophi veteres vicere modernos.
Sed tu, cui soli licet utrorumque facultas,
da michi te placidum, dederisque in carmina vires.

Invocatio ad Sacristam

Tuque sacrista, sacris instans, qui iure vocaris
Simon, id est humilis, quo nemo benignius implet
abbatis precepta sui, velocius audit,
tardius obloquitur, qui tot mea carmina servas
scripta voluminibus, nec plura requirere cessas,
preteritos laudas, presentes dilige versus.

O rerum mutabilitas subitanea! nuper
tu michi Typhis eras in humo, Palinurus in undis;
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nunc alter Typhis, alter Palinurus habetur, hic est Gualterus. Quis tu? quis hic? ut tibi dicam,
tu David, hic Salomon, Helyas, hic Helyseus, Moyses, hic Iosue; tibi successisse videtur
qualiter aut Salomon David, aut Helyseus Helye, aut Iosue Moysi, quia scilicet est quasi prudens prudenti, sanctus sancto, fidusque fidelis.
Ambo favete michi queso, quia si michi vester
faverit applausus, Phebum dederitis in illo.

Invocatio ad conventum

Vos etiam, domini, quibus hunc ostendo libellum, quorum conventus alios superemines omnes, deprecor ut vestro clemencia vestra poete arriedere velit, nec enim me posse putarem aversos tolerare michi vos unicus omnes.
Tanta meis humeris imponam pondera? Nullo impellente labo, quanto magis ergo labarem, si me vestra manus digito quocumque moveret!
Corruit impulsu facili quem propria moles stare vetat, sed dedecus est impellere tales, quos proprium labefactat onus; prosterne nullus dignatur victor victum, vel honestus onustum. Ergo sonante metro sensus precludite vestros alternis, livoris namque maligni

detractiva lues, odique venefica pestis vult inferre nepsas, vult inspirare venenum ut suspensivos immurmuret egra susurros. Hiis super articulis obvate viriliter hosti antiquo, vatique novo prebete favorem.

Explicit prologus in vitam Sancti Oswaldii.
In the life of Master Henry of Avranches, Peter des Roches, Bishop of Winchester, seems to have been a very important factor. As regent for the young Henry III he was in possession of tremendous resources for patronage. How closely he was associated with the bishop is not entirely clear. In his poetry he mentions the patron several times. At one time he defended the Bishop of Durham, Richard Marsh, in the bishop's presence. In 1232 he is found defending des Roches' candidate for Archbishop of Canterbury. About the same time, in a poem to the emperor, Frederick II, he recalls a statement of the Bishop of Winchester, lauding the emperor. Bishop Peter fell from power in 1227 and left England on a crusade. The poet also seems to have left England about this time, not to return for many years. Thus it is possible to believe that the poet shared very largely in the fortunes of the bishop, even though an examination of the printed charters of the bishop reveals no Master Henry in his household.

These two poems are the best bits of direct evidence of patronage on the part of the bishop. The Life of St Birin, if we may believe the evidence of the similar conclusions, was the last of the long saints' lives written for English patrons which are now extant. The choice of St Birin as the subject rather than the saints Swithun, Adelwold, and Martin, all mentioned by the poet, may have been caused by the agitation over his place of burial at the time. Both Dorchester and Winchester claimed his remains, and the question was carried to Pope Honorius III, who decided in favor of Winchester in 1224-1225. The poem was probably written after this affair, since the concluding lines of the poem give Winchester as the burial place. If the poem was written after No. 48,
which at its earliest must have been written in 1227, No. 23 must have been composed in the first seven months of that year. For Bishop Peter had left on his crusade by the tenth of August. A few lines on beer from this poem have had a long and curious history. They appeared separately in another MS from the long poem but with the correct ascription of authorship.

No. 155 was written after No. 23, which it mentions. Evidently the bishop had not given the poet his reward for the writing of No. 23; Henry hints that he would appreciate receiving it. Among the compliments heaped upon the bishop is an unusual one: the walls of Jerusalem are said to rejoice in anticipation of Peter's arrival. The poem then was written in the spring or early summer of 1227, before his departure on crusade.

No. 23

Prologus in

VITAM SANCTI BIRINI, EPISCOPI ET CONFESSORIS

Et pudet et fateor quia turgeo magna professus,
Wintoniensis enim prothopresulis inclita gesta
aggredior rudiore stilo, possumque videri
fortunam Priami cantans et nobile bellum.

Ethnicus est equidem vir quem presumo canendum,
dignior attolli quam sit Tyrintius heros
vel sit Alexander Macedo. Tyrintius hostem
vicit, Alexander mundum, Birinus utrumque,
nek solum domuit mundum Birinus et hostem
sed sese, bello vincens et victus eodem.

De Sancto Birino. Invocatio ad Birinum

Alte parens, humilem non aspernere poetam
sed potius dignere, precor, Birine, labori
aspirare meo, nec enim fiducia muse
certa mee movet istud opus, sed iussio Petri

5. See p. 5.
me quasi compellens, causaque valentior omni
summa tue laudis totum cantanda per orbem.

Invocatio ad Petrum Wintoniensem episcopum

Tu quoque proposito faveas, Petre Wintoniensis
presul, Birini successor idonee, cima
ardua virtutum, iubar admirable cleri.

Grande patrocinium prebent tibi quatuor, unus
natalis patrie, tres pontificalis honoris.
Birinus, Suthinus, Adelwoldusque ducatum
pontifici dant Wintonie, Martinus alumpno
Turonije; quapropter ego de quatuor istis
proposui cantare tibi, Birinida scribens.

Ut quasi preludat aliis tribus, aptior ordo
constituit leviora prius, nam pectora lente
occupat et lente solet evanescere torpor.
Martini vero de quo me scribere primum
iussisti, quodam laus est adeunda volatu.

Ergo volare volens prius evacuabo gradatim
segniiciem; gradiar, curram, saltabo, volabo,
ut librem gradiens, currens, saliens, gradiendo
cursum, currendo saltum, saliendo volaturn.

Birinus siquidem mare metitur pedes: ecce
gressus; Suthinus Benedictum preterit: ecce
cursus; Adelwoldus de terris emicat: ecce
saltus; Martinus celum petit; ecce volatus.

Hos ego ductores certo sequar ordine, motu
unumqueque suo, quorum tibi carmina postquam
scripsero, plura libens scribam cum plura iubebis.

No. 155

(O) Petre de Saxis, qui cleri summus es ac sis,
cur petra dicare cur et de rupibus, a re
non a fortuna provenit. Pluribus una
sufficit istarum, non dico duri ariam;
hii sunt namque bases, sed tu super ecclesias es.
Es basis esque tholus et habes duo propria solus.
Res est firma basis, decet hoc ut in ecclesia sis,
nomen enim, Petre, tibi dat constania petre.
nec tempestate quatitur fundata supra te
sacra domus Domini, Petri domus et Suith(ini).
Mundus vero mare quo Petri cimba (n)at(are),
hoc est, ecclesia, legitur dictante sophia;
et tu Petrus ita bene Petrus, item petra trita.
Petra tenens puppes, talis petra quid nisi rupes?
Rupes multarum radix et origo petrarum,
non lesura pedem, tholus est petra que levat edem.
Petra nec ut petra, nam petra recedit ab ethra
et centrum sequitur, tholus autem non reperitur
contiguus terre, nec eni(m) contagia ferre
terrea dignatur, <sed> summus ad a(str)a levatur.
Te pate(t) esse p(etram), tam(en excels)am petis
ethram.
D(u)m (sur)sum ten(di)s c(.................h)abendis,
dum celum sequeris, q(uod) semper et usque sequeris,
ecclesia thol(us es) cum nec) basis esse (r)ecuses.
Dupliciter de(co)ras, (P)etre, (S)yon, eamque laboras
et suste(ntare) rupe(s et pe)tra levare.
M(au)r(l) Ierusalem gra(ta)ntur quod sibi talem
f(ata par)ent (lapi)dem, qui sit tholus et basis idem.
R(ebus sic e)que respo(nd)ent nomina, de(que)
r(upibus) O Petre, petra nomine (dice)ris et re.
Hec duo complete michi sis, precor, et quia de te
hoc omnes sapimus, tibi supplico, lubricus, imus.
(L)ubrica firmari per te decet, ima levari.
Cum petra sis cumque de rupibus, et sit utrumque
istorum penitus rigidus, lapicida peritus
ad predicta forem, removens ab utroque rigorem
quamlibet assuetum, si non michi (dee)sset acetum
et crur yrcinus, sed supplet utrumque Birinus,
cuius ego scripsi tibi gesta. Regratior ipsi
pronus et ipse tibi, quia contigit hec ita scribi;
premia magna feres sua, si mea non retineres.
TO GREGORY IX IN FAVOR OF JOHN BLUND, ARCHBISHOP-ELECT OF CANTERBURY

NO. 127 TO GREGORY IX IN FAVOR OF JOHN BLUND, ARCHBISHOP-ELECT OF CANTERBURY
Soon after 28 August 1232

In this long poem Master Henry supports before Pope Gregory IX the attempt of John Blund to secure papal confirmation of his election as Archbishop of Canterbury, in 1232. Since only Christian names are given, identification is necessary, but fortunately a few references make the situation clear. Addressed to a pope (L. 1) the poet pleads for a candidate named John (L. 5). Mention of the prior of Dover (L. 65) fixes the see as that of Canterbury. The previous incumbent was named Richard (L. 4); he must be the Richard, Archbishop of Canterbury, who died in 1229. John Blund was elected on 26 August 1232 and received royal permission to go to Rome three days later. The recitation probably occurred within the next few weeks. The poem gives information upon the question at issue and upon the rather brilliant career of Master John.

The election of the Archbishop of Canterbury had fallen afoul of politics in England. The struggle for political domination was between the adherents of Peter des Roches and Hubert de Burgh. Peter had lost out in 1227 and had chosen the occasion for a crusade to Palestine. Upon his return in 1231 he had regained his influence over the king. The struggle centered upon the appointment of the household officers and has recently been described by the late Professor Tout. Des Roches seems to have supported or perhaps even sponsored the candidacy of John Blund for the archbishopric. It was feared by many that the confirmation of Blund would place the Church in England as well as the state under Peter's control. The opposition at Rome was led by Simon Langton, whose
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career was marked by violent controversies. He was, at this
time, Archdeacon of Canterbury. The poet, who had enjoyed the
patronage of Bishop Peter des Roches, is found upon the side
of his patron's candidate, as is to be expected.

The charges laid against John Blund were two:
first, that the election itself was irregular (Ll. 65-72). The
opposition said that the prior of Dover had not been present.
There had been a controversy over this matter and it was not
yet settled, but the absence of the prior had never been al-
lowed to invalidate previous elections. In this case, more-
over, the poet says that Blund had a letter of approval of his
election from the prior and convent of Dover. The second
charge was that John Blund had held two benefices with cure of
souls after the Lateran Council of 1215 (Ll. 118-122), a charge
that the poet does not deny. He asserts that the invalidation
of Blund's election would not be the proper penalty in any
case (Ll. 133-147). For such an offense he should have lost
his right to the first church as soon as the second was secured.
But (Ll. 145-151) this was not necessary, since John had papal
permission to hold two churches, permission secured while he
was a professor at Oxford.

The only plausible objection left was that the
character of John Blund was unworthy of the great honor of the
archbishopric. The poet then lauds Blund's fine character,
thorough training, and high reputation (Ll. 77 ff.). The arch-
bishop-elect had devoted attention to the works of Aristotle
when they first appeared in western Europe (Ll. 77-84), being
among the first to read them both at Oxford and at Paris. Then
he turned to theology and became master of it after twelve
years (Ll. 85-86). Although his opponents alleged that he had
committed unworthy acts at Oxford, every element there testi-
fied to his honorable life (Ll. 87-94).

Some of the references to contemporary condi-
tions are rather interesting. The passage illustrating the
cosmopolitanism of the University of Paris is striking (Ll. 95-
105). The mention of 'nations' there is one of the earliest
known. The poet makes one particularly adroit move against
the opponents of Blund. He refers first to the old custom of
the Roman populace of sacking the apartments of deceased popes

5. The story of the claim of the prior of Dover is given in the Annals of
St Martin's of Dover, Dugdale, Monasticon Anglicanum, IV, 536.
6. For other early references see G. C. Boyce, The English-German Nation in
the University of Paris during the Middle Ages (Bruges, 1927), p. 26.
TO GREGORY IX IN FAVOR OF JOHN BLUND

and cardinals,7 a custom which must have been thoroughly distasteful to the pope. Then he compares it to the recent anti-foreign outbreak in England, where, after the death of the late archbishop, the lands of various foreign holders of benefices were pillaged.8 This outburst in England was thought to have been instigated by Hubert de Burgh, the chief enemy of Peter des Roches in English politics.9 The inference is that those who were opposing Blund were also implicated in this anti-foreign movement.

This poem was one of a rather large number composed by Master Henry for various patrons at the Papal Curia, most of whom were involved in legal difficulties. They show a certain amount of interest in canon law on the part of the poet. Indeed, a fuller interest is indicated by his versification of considerable portions of it.10 The poem also illustrates well the international character of mediaeval civilization. A poet bearing a French surname, but probably born and reared in Germany, pleads in Latin at the Papal Curia in behalf of an Englishman who was a famous professor at Paris and Oxford, probably at the instigation of a Poitevin who was Bishop of Winchester.

No. 127

Sancte pater, cuius discretio cismata mundi
solvit et humani generis moderatur habemam,
que soli subjecta Deo supereminent omnes
in terris apices, nostreque cacumina stirpis
quantalibet superans penetrat penetralia celi,
et quamvis simplex, operis intenta duabus
sudores vacuos Athlantis et Herculis implet,
imm, quod plus est, celum mundumque labantem
sustentat, celum dextra mundumque sinistra:

multi d iremisti magnarum iurgia rerum
que nulli potuere prius decidere patres;
lis nova nunc agitur, non est qui iudicet alter;
restat ut infixo rationis acumine cernas
utrius lancis gravitate statera trahatur.

7. Ll. 190-194; cf. Gregorovius, Rome in the Middle Ages (English trans.),
III, 208-209.
Haskins Anniversary Essays (Boston, 1950), pp. 183-204.
9. Ibid., p. 186.
10. No. 164.

7 aflantis D corr. d 8 labantem D corr. d 11 partes
D corr. d
Neve perorator videar conductus, egestas
quam patior prohibere potest; mediocriter essem
pauper vel summe dives, si metra placerent.
Set cui sive quibus non intellecta placebunt?
Preter me sunt prosaici quicumque perorant
continua serie. Si non intelligar ergo,
quomodo conducar? Non est mea tibia tanti;
nec color oblectat cecos, nec carmina surdos.
Inde satis constare potest quia si qua perorem
nullus ad hoc prece vel precio me compulit, immo
insitus humane rationi iura tuendi
naturalis amor compescendique fuorem
quo presumit homo divina retexere facta.
Ponere nec mirum sibi quisque silencia debet;
federa figmentis ne coniugialia solvat,
nullus adinvento contendat crimine; credi
vix etiam veris casu deberet in isto.
Si sacra coniugii lex eminet in Paradiso,
cum Deus omnipotens inter primordia mundi
tale sacramentum celebraverit, est ratione
Actoris, ratione loci, ratione tenendum
temporis, et gravibus vix extenuabile causis;
figmentis igitur quanto minus est violandum?
Quocirca, quia spiritus est plus quam caro, fedus
si nulli carnale licet dissolvere, quanto
ego probabilius nec spirituale licebit?

Res tibi nota satis quia decedente Ricardo
ecclesie titubavit apex, rectore carentis;
electo rectore novo, surgensne relabi
iudicio valeat an lapsa resurgere, litem
coram te subeunt ex una parte Iohannes,
ex alia Symon; et ego pro parte Iohannis
fundo pios gemitus exaudiblesque querelas
ne quid te moveat preter ius et rationem
discuciasque super causa, que vertitur inter
ipsos; sic ne pars succumbat dextra sinistre.

Nil equidem dubito quin sit persona Iohannis
digna favore tuo, cuuis prudencia simplex,
simplicitas prudens, nullis infecta venenis
invidie, nullis stimulata furoribus ire,
sustinet immerito ficte convicia labis.

Excipiens adversus eum deformia, sicut audisti, si pars adversa probaverit unum, restat ut electum quasses; hoc ipse fatetur. Quod si deficiat cuiusque probatio noxe,

restat ut electus habeatur ydoneus; et sic cum nichil omnino super electoribus obstet, nil super electo, cessante negotia quicquid extenuare potest, electio quam celebrarunt canonice qui debuerant procedere debet.

Quod Dovorensis ibi prior abfuit, hoc nichil obstat aut obstare potest, quia nec debebat adesse. Esto tamen quod debuerit: non obviat et non appellant pro iure suo, summoque favore approbat electum; quod si, quemcumque remotus non elegit, ei tamen est electio grata, est rata. Neve secus tibi res videantur, id eius conventusque sui testatur pagina presens.

Summa requiruntur tantis examina rebus; sedis apostolice medio censura feratur.

Electi tamen est maiori digna favore causa, malignari quia pars adversa videtur.

Adde quod a puero studiis electus inhesit, primus Aristotilis satagens perquirere libros, quando recente eos Arabes misere Latinis, quos numquam fertur legisse celebrius alter aut prius, ut perhibent Oxonia Parisiusque. Non tamen est contentus eo quasi fine, nec artis illi mundane suffecit adeptio, donec humanos regeret divina sciencia sensus, ad quam translatus lustrisque duobus et annis insudans totidem, rexit dominanter in ipsa.

(E)lecti meruere tuum bona tanta favorem, de quo si dubitas an perpetraverit ullam Oxonie crimen, ut pars adversa notavit, quos nisi conventus, nisi cives et nisi clerum tocius Oxonie poterit producere testes?
Nec modo conventus, cives clerusque, sed ipsi abbates, ipse prefectus, et ipse decanus attestantur ei quia vitam duxit honestam.

Sunt etiam plures, Galli, Saxones, et Angli, Romani, Siculi, Sclavi, Pannones, Achivi, Yspani, Gothi, Britones, sed ut omnia verbis conclam brevibus, quos omnis natio que sub celo consistit, poterat transmittere Romam, sunt, inquam, qui de diversis partibus orbis venerunt propter diversa negocia, qui sunt presentes, qui Parisius novero Ioannem et super electo rem testificantur eandem, diversis ydiomatibus iurare parati quod semper fuerit ibi conversatus honeste. Vis alios igitur pluresve requirere testes? Si iubeas, silices silvasque movebimus, immo ipsaque, si sit opus, super hoc elementa loquentur.

Ergo, cum semper sis pronior ad meliora, quod rex, quod proceres, quod cancellarius, et quod tocius Oxionie testatur clerus, et omnes regni pontifices, quod et ipsum vulgus et omnis natio Parisius in qualibet arte studentum, iudicione tuo reprehensor inaniet unus, cum vox unius vox sit nullius, et eius presentim qui nulla potest objecta probare? Neve quid electo iuste videatur obesse, objectu partis adverse dicitur idem ecclesias habuisse duas quibus est animarum annexum regimen, habuitque, sed ante statutum concilii. Quid obest igitur? Non debet haberi transgressor, nec enim prior est transgressio lege.

Nam quod Alexander super hoc decreverat, Angli prorsus omittebant, nec omittere Roma vetabat, unde videbatur dispensavisse silendo, quod satis exprimitur in Decretalibus istis in quibus ecclesias nulli prohibentur habere quas habuere prius. Prohibebitur ergo Ioannes?

Verum ponamus quod non intersit an ante an post concilium plures intendant habere
ecclesias aliquis: sequiturne quod infula numquam pontificalis eum vel mitra vel anulus ornet? Ecclesias si quis plures contendat habere, unica pena subest, et quam determinat actor, scilicet hec, ut prima vacet, subeunte secunda; et si rixari presumpserit, ut sua perstet utraque, perstanter doleat privatus utraque. Quod si preterea non sublimabilis esset, bis puniretur ob id ipsum; iudicis autem refert ut penas non amplitet, immo coartet. Hoc igitur non iudicio continget equo.

Ergo quid obicitur electo? Non suus error, immo communis, si consuetudo probata et prescripta diu censeri debet error.

Hoc eius pro parte facit specialiter, immo exemptum demonstrat eum, quod quando legebat Parisius, tua cavit ei clemencia ne quis infestaret eum, susceptisque tuendas ipsius ecclesias, et adhuc protectio durat, nec dici ratione potest quod possit habere contra ius ambas et tu de iure tueri.

O quam difficilis exceptio! quam violenta actio Symonis sic intentata Iohanni! Ecclesias habuisse duas ostendit ante concilium; bene debet eum reprehendere qui sex obtinuit post concilium. Sed suprimo vocem, forsan plus nutu quam voce movebere, forsan plura meis tua concipiet discretion verbis.

Deberentque tuum lamenta movere favorem pupille vidueque Syon. Pupilla requirit tutorem, vidua sponsum. Potes unus utrumque reddere quando voles: voluisse decenciess etet rupisse moram, mora namque pericula traxit, dumque vacat sedes, pertransit inutile tempus; usus deficiunt usuraque proficit illo. Dampna duo tempus facit, ecclesiæque vacantis demolitur opes, hinc usurarius, inde.
falsi tutores: domus ardet et intus et extra, ecclesieque statum duo detrimenta molestant,
quam mundana premit et spiritualis egestas.

Pastor sancte, gregem miserare, paterque pusillos. Insistit tibi causa duplex: grex pascua querit, et non est qui pascat eum; panemque pusilli, et non est qui frang(at e)is; hic et hii morientur tempestate famis, nisi sis memor huius et horum. Divinum non est qui verbum seminet, immo spiritualis agri loliurn tribulique fatigant triticeas messes, multisque laboribus olim extirpata serunt falsi zizania fratres.

Interea leo servat oves, gelidoque timore vita gregis trepidat commissa rapacibus ursis. Custodit populum populator, predia predo, et vastas vastator opes, operosus aperte fallere depositum, nec enim nisi perdita credit inconsumpta suo quecumque reliquerit usu. Inserpunt thalamis hedere, clausasque cicute obsedere fores, albescunt tecta ligustris, utque loquar breviter, tanto patrimonia Christi tempore fiscus habens iam prescripsisse videtur.

Ut pape morientis opes ubi Roma lacessit vixque fit ut tantos tantilla pecunia motus sedet, avaricie non sufficiente rapina, itur in omne nefas, dilatatoque furore res peregrinorum supplent quodcumque fatiscit, sic ubi pupille quibus est commissa facultas ecclesie reditus cumularunt, dona tulerunt, ligna ceciderunt, piscasque ferasque vorarunt, ambitio nondum tantis contenta quiescit quin locupletet eos misere substancia plebis.

Ruricole nullis intendunt usibus, ex quo amiser e boves, nec habent semencia terre. Non aratro renovatur humus, non semine pregnat set tribulos spinasque parit, durisque rubetis horret et esurie perit extenuatus arator.

Quid miri super ecclesia, si subdita clamat
ad Dominum, que presse solet? Sanctissime patrum, 
abitis ut ipsa super te clamet, sit procul a te 
ut lacrimas eius deducas, quas manifeste 
deducis, si dissimulas abstergere debeas.

Dicit namque super hoc Ecclesiasticus: "Omnem 
pupillum non despiciet, gemitusque loquelam 
fundentem viduam Deus exauditor. In eius 
maxillas eius lacrime volvuntur; in illum 
qui deducit eas clamor deducitur eius, 
meror ab ipsius maxillis tollitur usque 
ad celum; Dominus (<non>) delectatur in illo."

Oppressi sunt indigne quia vim patiuntur, 
pupilli, quia patre carent; viduamque sedere 
quis neget ecclesiam? Fac ergo, sacerrime, sicut 
dicit Ysayas: "Oppressis ferto iuvamen, 
pupillis da iudicium, viduamque tuere."

In preiudicium vidue nil crede superbis, 
seb pocius recolas inter Proverbia scriptum 
esse, "Superborum tumor occidet, et viduarum 
fines firmabit Dominus." Sic scire doceris 
quit Deus intendat. Sis ergo vicarius Eius, 
ut· fines vidue firmes, eiusque patronum 
confirmes, qui presto sequi vestigia Thome 
martyris: in nullo presit quin prosit, et altus 
set non elatus, oneri postponat honorem. 
Eius et ecclesie tua sollicitudo labores 
prosperet et properet: iubet hoc Deus, obsecrat orbis.

O pater ecclesie, scola iuris et archa sophie, 
qui debes, qui vis, qui scis ius reddere cuivis, 
electus te ius petit, adversarius eius 
nititur excipere; partes a(pee)nde statere, 
neu, pater, ignores, qu(ies!) osti cetera, mores 
quos multus annis multi novere Johannis; 
Iudicium plebis de moribus eius habebis, 
indicio cleri poterit manifestus haberii; 
regia maestas et pontificalis honestas 
et gravitas procerum satagunt ostendere verum. 
Hiis in communi vocine fidelius uni

210 cf. Eccles. 35, 17 214 deditur D 216 vox legi nequit 218 pu-
pillique D corr. d 219 sacerrime D 220 cf. Isa. 1, 17 224 cf. Pro-
verb. 15, 25 tumor] dom. D 229 cf. 54, v. 15 236-7 ltt. legi 
nequeunt 239 iudicio D || h'ebis D
sancte pater, credes? non sic a iure recedes,
245 testibus ut mille prejudicet unicus ille
qui male, qui temere presumptit cisma movere;
immo repulsabis ficte convicia labis.

247 cf. v. 55
King Henry III, who reigned in England for over half a century (1216-1272), is known to have had superior artistic taste. His court is also said to have been a literary center, but of this little evidence has been offered. The king was interested in the chronicles of Matthew Paris and honored him upon several occasions, but he can hardly be regarded as Paris' patron. This writer's volume, our manuscript A, contains items in its ancient index which seem to suggest that two poets, Michael of Cornwall and Paulin Piper, may have been in the royal literary circle which is already known to have included John of Hoveden and Henry of Avranches.

One of these items is 'De crure I. Mansel Curando Mich.' Of this phrase the meaning of all but the 'Mich' is clear: it refers to a poem purporting to be versification of a speech by King Henry III to two physicians attending the broken leg of John Mansel. 'Mich' is probably the abbreviation for Michael. The only poet of this time whose name was Michael was Henry's enemy, Michael of Cornwall. The poem resembles the verse of that poet, which is rather crude, more than the more polished verse of Master Henry; there are also two quite distinctive phrases in common. The date of the poem, 1243, is many years later than any other

2. K. J. Holzknecht, Literary Patronage in the Middle Ages (Philadelphia, 1925), p. 221.
4. Edited in Appendix A. Formerly listed as No. 62 in the catalogue of the poems of Master Henry, Speculum, III (1928), 60.
5. Compare 'Sit tibi cura' (L. 12) and 'fures similis tibi fers, quia fur es' (L. 14) with 'quod non sit tibi cura' (L. 1 of Michael's poem against Henry), 'quos reputas fures scriptorum, non quia fur es' (ibid., L. 22), and 'Cur etenim fures non dicis? Cur? Quia fur es!' (ibid., L. 531). For Michael and his poetry see below under No. 165, p. 149.
piece in A. Taken together, the evidence points to the authorship of Michael of Cornwall rather than that of Master Henry. It would show that Michael was at court at the time of writing.

Mansel possessed great wealth and was even reputed by contemporaries the richest clerk in England, with the magnificent income of four thousand marks a year. Much of his wealth he owed to the king, with whom he was a great favorite. About Mansel's intellectual interests little is known: he seems to have brought a book with him from Spain to England. He was apparently not a master, but he had a nephew of the same name who bore the title, and who was probably the D.D. of Paris lauded by John of Garland as a patron.

In the poem neither the time nor the place of the accident to Mansel is given. Fortunately we know from Matthew Paris that such an incident took place during the siege of Verines in the summer of 1243 in the course of Henry III's campaign against Louis IX. The king is lecturing two surgeons, Cincius Romanus and Roger, upon their fate if they should fail to cure the patient. Cincius was probably a canon of St. Paul's, and was among the Italians caught in the anti-foreign outbreak in England about 1231. Roger has not been identified. Although the records do not reveal the outcome of these surgeons, they do show that large credit was given to another surgeon, Peter de Montibus, for Mansel's recovery.

The other item in the index of A reads 'Quedam rithmice composita de Sancto Georgio per Paulinum Piper,' verse which has not yet been discovered. Paulin is described

12. F. Michel, Rôles Gascons, (Paris, 1885), I, 159, No. 1054. The king requested the Archbishop of York to reserve for Peter the first vacant benefice of his province. Peter was the physician of Peter of Savoy.
by Matthew Paris as a 'miles literatus sive clericus militaris' who died on 5 June 1251 after a rather interesting career at the royal court, where he rose from poverty to wealth through the favor of the king, who made him a steward and special counselor. A glance through the indexes of the printed collections of rolls confirms the statement about the king's interest in Piper and enables us to place the first appearance of Piper at court probably in the summer of 1238. If this item in the index of A indicates Piper as a poet, he was evidently one of the few non-ecclesiastical writers of the thirteenth century; unlike most of them he was married and a crusader. The choice of a subject is also of interest. Since Henry III paid Henry of Avranches for a Life of this same St. George, it would seem this saint was a favorite of the king.

John of Hoveden is known to have written a pious poem entitled Philomena for Queen Eleanor, wife of Henry III. In the manuscripts of this poem and in royal documents he is called her clerk. At his instance in 1268 and again the following year several men received pardons from the king. On 3 September 1275 he was granted a prebend in the church of Bruges. He is called master so seldom that it seems probable the contemporary astrologer, Master John of Hoveden, is another person. The poet had a weakness for numbers and fancy names in his titles: Philomena, Cythara, Viola, Quindecim Gaudia Virginis, Quinquaginta Salutationes beate Virginis.

In a fourteenth-century manuscript containing among other odds and ends a fragment of Michael's poem against
Master Henry, and a poem by 'Magister Henricus versificator magnus' (probably Henry of Avranches), there is a group of proverbs which begins 'Incipit inventum quod habet proverbia centum.' We should expect the king to be Henry III and the author to have been one of the poets at his court. Several of these might seem to have some claim as the author of the poems. In the manuscript mentioned above the Inventum followed the fragment of Michael's poem; Henry of Avranches is known to have been well patronized by the king; the use of an unusual name and number suggests John of Hoveden. Actually the poem was written by a certain Wipo two centuries earlier for a future emperor of the Holy Roman Empire while he was still king. It has been published several times. This illustrates the hazards of assigning authorship by circumstantial evidence.

In contrast to these meager items about the relationship to the court of Michael of Cornwall, Paulin Piper, and John of Hoveden are the very full Exchequer records showing the extensive rewards which Henry of Avranches received. He was granted twenty shillings a month from 20 October 1243 to 5 April 1244. On 7 March 1245 he was given ten marks for the Lives of St. Edward and St. George. For some years after this his name disappears from the rolls, to reappear in the summer of 1251. He had permission to collect the arrears of his salary, one hundred shillings. Since he is not known to have had a salary since 1243-44 the arrears may go back to that time. He was paid another hundred shillings the following year and probably received two gifts of ten pounds each. In 1256 he received odd gifts of sixty, twenty-five, and eleven shillings. For these irregular gifts the king substituted in 1255 and 1256 two pensions of three pence a day. The Tellers' Rolls show that the poet often collected his pension in small sums possibly at such short intervals as four and six days. He collected in full until Easter 1260. There are no payments in money after this, though rewards of other sorts continue until June 1262. Probably the triumph of the barons

20. Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Digby 172, fol. 84r (by Michael), fol. 84v (Inventum), fol. 122v (by Henry). The Inventum was included by Mr. Russell as No. 101.
21. By G. H. Pertz, M. G. H. SS, XI, 245; Migne, Pat. Lat., 142, col. 1259; Martene, Ampl. Collect. IX, col. 1095. Judging from the manuscripts the poem circulated in Germany; its presence in the Digby MS still leaves as questions to be solved, 'How did the proverbs get to England?' and 'Were they not read to Henry III?'
22. Rewritten from Speculum, III (1928), 50-51; the items are published on pp. 55-58 of the same volume.
in 1260 was responsible for the cessation of Exchequer payments. They reduced the revenues of aliens in England in all directions; our Henry probably suffered along with the rest.

In the summer of 1251 the first evidence of royal wine grants is recorded; by a letter close of 2 August Henry was given two jars of wine ("vini meri et optimi") and on the thirtieth of the same month he received another of wine described as "peroptimum." On 5 March 1255 and on 23 June 1256 he received other gifts of wine, always of the best. In 1257 by a letter patent he was to receive yearly for life a tun of vintage and a tun of rack, "que rex concessit per annum ad sustentationem suam." Apparently he might get them when he wished, since the writs show no regularity in time of collection. He received also special grants of good wine on 23 May 1259 and of the king's best on 25 July 1261.

Another form of gift was that of robes, which Michael of Cornwall said his opponent received from the queen, and which the close rolls show were granted to him on 20 May 1260, 13 October 1260, 14 December 1260, 5 January 1262, and 8 June 1262. The final item is the last reference to him in the records, showing him still alive in the summer of 1262.

Master Henry was evidently a well-paid courtier of the king. He was rewarded specifically for the versification of the lives of two royal saints. What else, if anything, did he do to earn these rewards? Probably he wrote other verse which has not survived. Of one such piece we know only the theme (No. 166), but the king thought so highly of it that he caused it to be borne by the monks of Westminster in a ceremony in honor of St. Thomas the Apostle. Some poems to the courtiers also remain. All in all, there is enough to discount the disparaging statements of Michael that Henry was merely a 'histrio regis' who never read divine stories and

27. LI. 571-6.
28. Sharpe's Index, P.R.O. 45, 46 Henry III.
29. Calendar of Close Rolls, 1242-1247, p. 270.
kept his readings in the court upon a very low plane.30

But if we are not to accept Michael's low estimate of Master Henry, are we to rank him as an unofficial poet laureate? Like most of them Henry had his enemies; like them he also received pensions and wine grants, but these might have been the lot of any favored courtier. That he was ever crowned with the laurel is improbable, although it was occasionally done in that century. In the records he is designated as 'versificator' or 'versifier,' but not even as 'archipoeta,' upon which fond hopes have been raised, apparently has another meaning.31 If by poet laureate is meant the poet consistently honored and rewarded beyond other poets by the king, probably our poet may be considered at least a precursor of the poets laureate of later English history.

Our knowledge of the patronage of King Henry is still very meager. We know of nothing dedicated specifically to him, and there may have been none. The writers whom we have identified as having some connection with the court were all poets and much of their writing was devotional or hagiographical. This is quite in keeping with the interests of king and queen. The poetry of John and Henry is fair poetry, that of Michael, poor. Probably many of the anonymous epigrams which appear in contemporary chronicles came from these and other poets of the court circle, but this is largely conjecture. To say the least, the study of the intellectual interests of Henry III and his court is an elusive one.

50. Ll. 275-6 and 1149.
51. See under No. 165, p. 155.
COURTIER POEMS AFTER 1243

NO. 146 TO FULK BASSET, BISHOP OF LONDON
16 December 1243–20 May 1259

NO. 153 TO WILLIAM DE RALEIGH, BISHOP OF WINCHESTER
Possibly 1244-45

NO. 154 TO WILLIAM OF YORK, BISHOP OF SALISBURY
1246–21 January 1256

NOS. 148, 149 UPON THE DEATH OF ROBERT PASSELEWE
6 June 1252

Of the poetical activity of Master Henry of Avranches during the years after he rejoined Henry III about 1243 little has survived except a series of courtier poems. In content and expression these do not differ greatly from his earlier ones. He still cannot resist the temptation to beg, although his income from the king alone was not a small sum. As before, he resorts frequently to etymologizing; for the names Fulk Basset and Robert Passelewe he uses French as well as Latin.¹ His patrons are still bishops.

Fulk Basset, Bishop of London, was held in high esteem by another poet, John of Garland, and was possibly his patron.² The circumstances of composition of the piece to Basset cannot be determined from the poem. No. 154, to William of York, Bishop of Salisbury, tells us that the patron was entertaining the king and his friends in a castle. Evidently this was not the first of such hospitable occasions, since the poet mentions entertainment of the king as a frequent occupation of the bishop (L. 23). Probably the poet was in the royal party at the time. The wandering poet's ideal of plenty of food and wine is clearly the basis for the assertion that the patron was 'ab omni parte beatus'; they were still as attractive to him as they were in the days of the translation of St Thomas à Becket. A somewhat sadder strain appears in the other poems; the events mentioned in them enable us to fix the dates of composition with some accuracy. For the rest the time of

¹ 'Haut' 'bas,' No. 146, L. 21; 'transgressors aque' for Passelewe in No. 149, Ll. 5-6.
² Notices et Extraits des Manuscrits, XXVII, 2, 4, 76.
the episcopate of the patron is the only chronological indication.

The Bishop of Winchester, William of Raleigh, had such difficulty with the king that he went into exile and did not return until 5 April 1244. The poem was written after that day, since the opening lines refer to the dispute. It was probably composed before the poet's apparent absence from England about 1244 or 1245 to 1250. The bishop's benediction solicited by the poet upon his departure may have been for a journey either from England or merely from the bishop's presence.

The death of Robert Passelewe on 6 June 1252 at Waltham removed from the court of Henry III not only a royal favorite and judge but a friend of the poet. One poem and probably both were written upon the day of the death or funeral of Passelewe. For the last time the poet played upon name of a friend for whom, he says, he had sung many times. Passelewe had been a friend of probably thirty years' standing; his passing must have awakened sad thoughts in the mind of the poet. The figure of a 'harbor of safety' was written with some feeling by Master Henry. He too was old and had spent his life traveling about the earth; probably by this time he was ready to make England his harbor for the remainder of his life. He wrote of Robert that he had been the strength of judgment, of law, and of warfare, and had become through his death the incense of sacrifice, of grace, and of holiness.

No. 146

Quod michi Fulconis de nobilitate videtur supprimo; nugatur qui manifesta docet. Procedo melius, nec enim communia pando sed mea nominibus scripta sigillo suis.

Nomina pontificis hodierni vocibus inter se distant, actu conveniunt in idem. Fulcit enim fulco, fulcit basis, officiique pontificalis onus nomen utrumque notat.

5. For the date of death, Luard, Chronica Maiora of Matthew Paris (London, 1870, Rolls Series), V, 229; Madden, ed., Historia Anglorum of Matthew Paris (London, 1869), III, 120. For Passelewe also see D.N.B.
Que supra petram non est sita, quomodo stabit fabrica, si vehemens impetus instet aque?

Queritur idcirco petra "bas" sita, sive "deorsum," cum stabilem volumus edificare domum.

Ergo petra fabricam qui fulcit, "bas" situs, hoc est "inferior," debet illius esse situs.

Sed fulco nomen trahit a "fulcimine"; nullus ergo fulco nisi "bas" situs esse potest.

Inde domum Domini cum Fulco labare videret, ut fulciret eam, "bas" situs esse solet.

O numquam virtutis opus vel gesta virorum inclita preteriens irretributa Deus,

"bas" situs ut fulco nunc "haut" situs est quasi falco, tunc ut fulco iacens, nunc quasi falco volans.

Utque notet seriem rerum metafora vocum, nox erat, et ruppes, pes erat atque basis;

tunc nox, ecce dies; tunc ruppes, ecce metallum; tunc pes, ecce capud; tunc basis, ecce tholus.

Ergo patrocinio tanti Londonia debet presulis ingenuum subdere prona capud.

Et Deus et mundus hunc tante preficit urbi, assensu mundus iudicioque Deus.

Ergo dignus ibi preest, ergo qualis ad urbes urbis, et ad patres est habitudo patris.

Haut sibi competerent alioquin; maxima vero est hec urbs, ergo maximus iste pater.

No. 153

Presul Wintonie, cleri Wi(ll)e(rme) lucerna, te probitas hodie gaudet superasse veterna.

Hanc in te si quis querat, reperire valebit; queren in reliquis, procul abfugisse stupebit.

Hoc nimis experior, michi nemine rem tribuente,
et sum pauperior Codro nil prorsus habente.

Non ars, non ratio, non me iuvat ipsa poesis,
et quod deficio mea dicitur esse frenesis.
Tu vero veterum specialis et unicus heres
non cumulis rerum sed Petri laudibus heres.
Hinc michi prosperius successit, it(us) que paranti
percipienda prius patris est benedictio tanti.

No. 154

Te, Willerme, quidem, qui flos et fructus es idem,
flos Eborae(censis) fructusque Sarisbiriensis,
flos fructusque, bene flos vernans, fructus amene,
qui regem reficis venientem cum tot amicis

c(astra)que replentem, castum notat et sapientem
p(ro)pietas eboris, eboris si propria (no)ris.
Est os eburn mundum, prius album, mox rubicundum;
indeque pontificum duo fers epytheta. Pudicum
te notat albedo, sapientem vero rubedo.

Hiis gaudere potes, sed magnificencia dotes
dotibus adiecit, quarum te gratia fecit
tantum pontificem. Quia vis thoraca trilicem,
vis galeam, more Willermi, cuncta labore
magnifico superas, calcaturusque chimeras

cum quasi campo stes, cedunt tibi quilibet hostes,
spiritus immundus, caro lubrica, pseudoque mundus.
Magnifice pugnas, nec tam tamen approbo pugnas
quam que magna facis studiumque decencia pacis,
cum belli curas abigis nec par cere curas

sumptibus innumeris, affectu quin venereris
regem quo debes, cui te simul et tua prebes.
Sicut aquas Histri vinum fudere ministri,
quo Deus emundat animos, om(nisque red)undat
deliciis venter. Et non semel, immo frequenter
fronte soles hylari cum principe sic e(pulari).
Est tuus iste status, es ab omni parte beatus;
ser michi stat misere, qui semper cogor egere.

No. 148

(c)antatus michi tociens
nunc quoque carmen exigit;

10 Petro D corr. d 11 litt. legi nequeunt
154 MS D Litt. complures aqua dilutae legi nequeunt 1 Willelme
D et 15 Willelmi sed cf. 94 vv. 2-10 9 patientem D sed cf. v. 5
25 cf. Hor. C. 2, 18, 27
148 MS D Litt. complures aqua dilutae legi nequeunt 1 vacant
spatia maiusculorum, suppl. d
nam thema dat sufficiens
qui terrena dum ambigit,
dum cor in Deum dirigat,
discretus vir et sapiens
Robertus aquas transiens
portum salutis attigit.

Robertus partes proprie
nominis decet propriis;
robur olim, thus hodie,
robur enim iudicii,
thus vero sacrificii;
robur legis, thus gracie;
robur erat milicie,
nunc est thus sanctimonii.

transit ad ripam fluminis,
Summi profess or Numinis),
novo Cuius officio
patet expressa ratio
nominis et cognominis.

No. 149

ullus aque, nullus peccati terminus in se est;
nam peccata fluunt lubraca sicut aque.
Hiis aliisque modis fit conveniencia per quam
peccatum tropice significatur aquis.
Harum Robertus semper transgressor aquarum
dictus, eas numquam transit usque modo.
Nunc transivit aquas, quia nunc peccata reliquit,
se Christo, ipsum sacrificando Patri.
Nunc, inquam, transivit aquas portumque salutis
carbasia iam ventis non sinuanda tenent.
Prosperitate freti nunc primum fretaque quiescit
proponitque ratum non remercere ratis.
Inde patet ratio cognominis, et rationem

9, 17 vacat spatia maiusculorum, suppl. d
14, 16 cf. 149 v. 18
19 cf. 149 v. 1
149 MS D 1 vacat spatium maiusculi, suppl. d
2 nam] d hic D
8 ipm D fort. Christum (xpm)
nominis acta satis hodierna docent.

15 Robertus—robur, thus—robur desinit esse
munc mundo, sed thus incipit esse Deo.
Et bene Robertus quasi robur thusque vocatur;
robur legis erat, thus pietatis erit.
Robur firmabat stata, thus spirabit odorem;
\( \text{Sic} \) subsunt res nominibus, mysteria rebus,
\( \text{carm} \)ina quas hodie multisonora canunt.
CONTROVERSY WITH MICHAEL OF CORNWALL

NO. 165 ALTERCATIO INTER MAGISTRUM HENRICUM DE HAMRINCHAM (sic) ET MAGISTRUM MICHAELUM VERSIFICE
Possibly about 1254

The ancient catalogue of Peterborough Abbey contained the title of an 'altercatio' between Master Henry and Master Michael which has probably not survived.1 At first thought this might seem to refer to a very long poem or series of poems, Michael's side of the controversy, which is extant.2 This assumes that the cataloguer had the title wrong. But since in another place he has given the title of the extant work correctly, this assumption does not seem probable.3 It is no matter for surprise that Peterborough, which had a good collection of Master Henry's work, should have possessed this poem. Nor should we be surprised that he participated in a controversy on such a distinctly low plane. Some of his other poems abound in vituperation.4

Very probably Master Henry's share in the controversy would have revealed much about the life of Michael of Cornwall. The loss is the greater, since so little of this poet's life is known. He has been called Michael Blaunpayn, but the evidence for it is not very impressive.5 There is a possibility that 'blaunpayn' was a nickname occasioned by the name, Michael, as it appears that the loaf of white bread given each day as a part of a corrody was called a 'mich.'6 Michael's

3. James, op. cit., p. 65.
4. Especially Nos. 129-140, with the exception of No. 151.
5. Hilka, op. cit., p. 125, found no early evidence for it.
6. 'Une corrodie, ceste a dire, apprendre chescun jour un blankpay(n), que home apele Mich,' un galon de cervayse conventuel, et un mees de potage,' W. Brown, ed., Cartularium Prioratus de Gyseburne (Durham, 1894, Surtees Soc.), p. xvii.
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surname may have been 'le Poter.' The Versus magistri Michaelis le Poter de Corn, in a Bodleian manuscript has the same curious form of verse as lines 1206 ff. of Michael's long tirade. It is possible but not probable that there were two Master Michaels, both of Cornwall and both writing such verse. Michael was a very uncommon name among contemporary writers.

A third piece possibly by Michael is the Lament upon the Death of Montfort, of about 1265. Written within fifteen years of the time of his long tirade against Henry of Avranches, the poem has some striking similarities to the other piece. Both include a curious use of single letters, of the names of towns, the use of dates at some length, the identical expression 'non sit tibi cura,' and the frequent use of 0 and cur. If this poem is by Michael, it shows that he was an anti-royalist and was still alive in 1265. If No. 62 is by him rather than by Henry his career began at least as early as 1243.

Michael's poem gives but little information about himself in comparison with the hints about his opponent. He was younger than Henry, and had probably studied rhetoric under him. He considered himself a philosopher with a better knowledge of logic than Henry, although he admits his adversary's superiority in verse. His vision was somewhat

7. MS Bodley 285 (S.C. 2188), fol. 107r, inc. 'Cur homo delinquis /linquis que domini tibi dira,' etc. It is also like Henry's No. 40.
9. Cf. Ll. 50, 547, 420, 821 with the Lament, Ll. 9 and 201.
10. Cf. L. 955 with Lament, Ll. 49 and 60.
11. Cf. Ll. 433 and 489 with Lament, Ll. 113 and 119.
13. Sum puer, ipse vir es..... 54
14. Artem rettoricam dicis te me docuisse, sed recte dicam tantum michi te nocuisse. 724
15. non es adeo tamen ad raciones promptus Aristotillis ut ego..... 39
16. Excellens metricos, superas bonitate metri quos, Cur culpere soles, me, sidus, qui quasi sol es artibus in metricis et solus cuncta metri scis? 8
tam magnum non memini me vatem vidisse nec tot iactasse metris se.
Si maior me sis quia sit magis ipsa poesis nota tibi,..... 58
nam quamvis te sim minor et non forte poesim noscam, quam noscis, tamen artis non methodos scis. 67
defective also, although he was still young at the time of the controversy.\textsuperscript{17} He had evidently been accused of plagiarism by Henry.\textsuperscript{18} His attitude of respect toward his opponent, apparent in the first hundred lines, changes suddenly to one of extreme disparagement.

What sort of a controversy was this in which Michael and Henry were engaged? It does not seem to have been based upon the versification of a particular topic, like Henry's Upon Generation and Corruption. Michael's verse is written for definite audiences, as was Master Henry's. This contest was apparently a test of poetic skill in several forms of verse.\textsuperscript{19} Lacking a central theme, the contest quickly turned to personalities and thus to savage comments upon each other. Between the necessities of meter and rhyme and the vigor of personal invectives the poetry becomes 'schwierig und dunkel,' to use Professor Hilka's apt expression. Thomas Fuller describes the verse as follows:\textsuperscript{20}

\begin{quote}
Henry....had traduced Cornwall as an inconsiderable country, cast out by nature in contempt into a corner of the land. Our Michael could not endure this affront, but full of Poeticall fury falls upon the Libeller; take a tast (little thereof will go far) of his strains.
\end{quote}

From the rubrics it is clear that Michael's poem was composed in three parts, ending at lines 368, 626, and 1276, respectively. Master Henry was present, ready to read his verse.\textsuperscript{21} The judges of the first part were the Abbot of Westminster and the Dean of St. Paul's, and the contest was held in the church of St. Mary of the Arches in London, on Wednesday after Purification.\textsuperscript{22} Apparently it was recited

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{ll}
17. \textit{virtus visiva} & \textit{tibi deficit amodo, nec non} \\
\textit{vis memorativa:} & \textit{michi debilis illa, sed hec non.} \\
\textit{Pauca licet videam} & \textit{foris, intus multa videns sum.} 257 \\
18. \textit{Improperas nobis} & \textit{et non semel, immo modo bis} \\
\textit{quod vatum versus} & \textit{furamur.} 97 \\
19. & \textit{Cum dicimur ambo poete,} \\
\textit{experiamur nos,} & \textit{versus faciendo diurnos.} 32 \\
21. Stans, precor, adversus \textit{recita mihi corde quieto} \\
\textit{viginti versus}, & \\textit{et Fillida solus habeto.}' 252 \\
22. Incipituri \textit{versus magistri Michaelis Cornubiensis contra magistrum Henricum Abrincensem coram domino abbae Westmonasterii et domino decano Pauli Londoniarum primis iudicibus et postea coram domino Elyensi}
\end{tabular}
\end{center}
again before Hugh, bishop of Ely, and the chancellor and university of Cambridge. Hugh Mortimer, official of the Archbishop of Canterbury, was the presiding officer of the contest for the second part, which was apparently held before Easter. Of the third part the Bishop-Elect of Winchester and the Bishop of Rochester were the judges. The year or years are unknown, but it was between 1250, when Aymer de Valence became Bishop-Elect of Winchester, and 1254, when Hugh, Bishop of Ely, died. Michael is quite proud of his efforts, asserting that Henry desired a truce after the first bout, which he won, and later claims three victories over his opponent, apparently for two recitations of the first part and one of the second. From the quality of the judges it would seem that these poetical contests were rather important in the eyes of contemporaries.

Michael alleges a great deal about Henry, most of which is probably exaggerated. According to him, Henry's father was a thief and his mother a prostitute; the poet himself has tended swine, hanged thieves, and cleaned cesspools.
The family was Norman, and the father's name was Troteman. It would be interesting if he were related to the distinguished Troteman family of Wells. King John promoted one member, Hugh, to be Bishop of Lincoln, and another, Joscelin, to be Bishop of Bath and Wells. To judge from Michael's lines, such a relationship was improbable. Henry was alleged to have married a cobbler's daughter: possibly the red-haired prostitute to whom he gave a garment presented to him by the queen. Henry was doubtless old by this time, almost blind, and with a poor memory. Michael's description of his opponent reaches a climax in the following lines (350-4); they have been widely quoted.

Est tibi gamba capri, crus passeris et latus apri,
os leporis, catuli nasus, dens et gena multi,
frons vetule, tauri caput et color undique Mauri.
Hiis argumentis, quibus est argucia mentis,
quod non a monstro differs, satis hoc tibi monstro.

While Michael charges his opponent with plagiarism, he adds the more serious charge that Henry with a low fellow named Nicholas or Colinus Suihud broke into his chest.

(Footnote Continued)

qui nutrire sues et porcos sepe solebas.
Parisius metuens me tu plus quam metuebas,
cuius latro pater, latrones suntque parentes
et meretrix mater.
29. e quibus est norman .r. dempto te voco no. man. 316
Cum sis Normannus seu mannus sive tyrannus 1049
nomen patris habe,
30. troteman sis dictus et a re

32. Filia sutoris tibi nupsit fetidioris,
Est tamen apta toris, si desit spes melioris. 892

Rustice stulte, sine sensu quid proposuisti
robas regine meretrici quando dedisti?
Buce, tuam bufam regine vestibus uti
an deceat rufam, sunt multi multa locuti:
sepe solet dici: non suppetit Henriloto
posse meretrici dare robas de cameloto.
35. See note 17, and
Vix tua scripta legis, nisi sunt loca lumine plena. 402

Si scribat 'carmen: leget hic pro carmine 'cramen,'
et si sit 'stamen, dicet pro stamine 'stramen.' 516
34. Ll. 351-4.
and stole both poems and money. If Michael's statement that Henry stole his savings of thirty years be true Michael can hardly be called a boy. This incident, which looks like a rather rough practical joke, possibly occurred between the readings of the first and second parts and may explain the change in attitude toward Master Henry by Michael. There are other things with which Michael taunts Henry: the latter's restless disposition, his association with the excommunicate emperor, Frederick II, his failure to find Louis IX a patron, his finding favor in England alone, his heavy drinking, and his interminable versifying of old prose. On the score of reciting only frivolous and obscene verse at court our edition acquits him, and in the light of this mistake we may seriously discount many other charges perhaps as ill founded.

In one respect the poetry of Michael gives valuable evidence about Henry. The title of Master borne by him evidently came from some university, but there is, in Henry's poetry, little evidence of specific academic connections. Michael states that his opponent tried to teach at both Oxford

35. Stigis intres, latro, cavernam,
    furta ferens ibi, que furatus es ut furibundus
    fur multis michique, quod totus scit bene mundus,
    Neve loqui videar instud sub enigmate, plures,
    ut verum fatear, consortes sunt tibi fures:
    e quibus est unus Nicholas Sulhud vocitatus,
    qui tecum funus est funis ferre paratus,
    cistam namque meam fregistis vos duo, petris
    qui replestis eam, raptis cum codice metris,
    et non hec sola, sed totas res rapuistis,
    triplce teste scola, res has ubi sepe tulistis
    furtim vendendas.
Repeated in lines 745-55 and 1181-5.

36. Itero per terras vagus erras, sic quod oberras.

Artibus in metricis nil metri scis, meretricis
filius, aut medicum; reliquum voco te Fredericum.

Concerning Louis IX, II. 982 ff.

Nil tua propria dat tibi patria dulce, Chymera,
Sola sed Anglia pocula, prandia, dona et era.

Fortia vina bibit, cuius stirps flumen adibat.

Quis tua fert opera per fines sive per horas,
in nova tam vetera metra dum transferre laboras?

37. In domini regis tua frivola cur legis aula,
cui rudis ipsa gregis satis esset ydonea caula?
and Paris. In another passage he mentions his teaching, in another, his school, and in a third his attempt to teach the English the *ars dictandi*. The *ars dictaminis*, business correspondence, was a very popular subject, regarded as highly practical, before which the study of the classics had been steadily declining. It was closely associated with rhetoric, in which, as we have seen, Michael had probably been a student of Henry.

Finally, a number of Michael's allusions to Henry help to explain each other and to clear up what is at first a rather perplexing situation. He calls Henry 'histrio regis' reciting 'gulias,' a mime, 'primas primus,' 'primatum primas,' and 'archipoeta.' Taken alone 'primas' and 'archipoeta' might be adduced as evidence that Henry occupied an especially distinguished position at court. 'Gulias' suggests that Michael had in mind the goliards, wandering scholars and poets reciting lively and often scandalous verse, of whom the most famous had been called Primas and Archipoeta. Or it may possibly be that among contemporary goliards Henry was honored by such names. More probably Michael is just being humorous at Henry's expense, using archpoet in a different and derogatory sense from that which his position as pensioner of the king might suggest. In any case 'primas' and 'archipoeta' are not to be considered lightly as synonyms for poet laureate.

---

38. Et procul et propius iam Francus et Anglicus eque morunt, Parisius quid feceris Oxonieque.
Nec proprie fateor, quid feceris, immo fatendum verius esse reor, quid finxeris ad faciendum.
Pactus eras multa te multos posse docere,
multimoda multa multari dignus, et e re tot promisisti, quot numquam promere quibus. 267
39. Ignorans artes, pueros elementa docebis declinans partes. 192

LL. 645 ff.

Artem dictandi vis Anglos discere de te,
et plus dictant hii quam tales mille poete,
et melius noscunt, que dicunt, arte probare. 271
41. LL. 1150; 145; 11; 59, 1115; and 1627 respectively.
42. For an account of goliardic poetry see Haskins, op. cit., pp. 177 ff., and bibliography at the end of the chapter.
APPENDIX A

No. 62

SERMO REGIS DUOBUS CYRURGICIS TEMERARIIS PRESUMENTIBUS CURARE CRUS CONFRACTUM IOHANNIS MANSEL

Cum sis Romanus, Cincy, tuus est michi vanus sermo, nisi sanus sit hic eger et in pede planus.
O doctor Cincy, medicorum qui quasi sol es, te solo vinci morbi solet anxia moles,
5 morbi cuiusque; non si cui tibia turget, sufficit hucusque nisi per te se bene purget;
et non purgetur per purgamenta nociva, sed sic curetur quod sit cruris caro viva,
hoc est, quod venet vel nervi non moriantur sed per utrosque bene virtus et vis orientur.

10 Et ne frangatur crus ipsum, neve trahatur tibia cum crure violenter sit tibi cure,
quod si non cures, rex dicet: "Dic, latro, cur es tam fallax? Fures similis tibi fers, quia fur es."

15 Et super hoc, sua lis si queras que, quot, qualis, credo quod talis sentencia sit capitalis,
hoc est, in capite faciet sic forte capi te quod suspensus eris immunes honoris et eris.
Quocirca caveas ne quicquam sic operere perdere quod paveas caput huius in hac opere re.

Istud idem dico, doctor Rogere, tibi, quo quamvis sis humilis, fies tocius humi lis,
ni facias recte, per regem lex ligat hec te.
APPENDIX B

CHRONOLOGICAL PROBLEMS

The data which bear upon the chronology of the poems of Henry of Avranches are of two kinds: (a) items peculiar to each poem, and (b) evidence of some significance for groups of poems. The first are taken up conveniently in the several introductions to poems or groups of poems; the second may more properly be discussed in one place. This evidence consists of certain similarities of expression, amounting to mannerisms, and of certain manuscript groupings which seem to have some chronological significance. Since the evidence is somewhat tenuous we have felt it best to place it in an appendix.

The most promising evidence seems to be offered by the arrangement (given below) of the eleven very similar conclusions. These have already been used as confirmatory evidence of the poet's authorship of these poems which are mostly long saints' lives. Only two conclusions are identical. As arranged below they present a continuous development of phraseology. It would seem that the poet had formed the habit of changing his concluding lines slightly each time. Since he did not attach such conclusions to all of his longer poems, he was probably not making any conscious effort to follow a definite plan. The conclusions are as follows:

No. 27 Ad laudem Christi Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque
   Est laus, est virtus, est sine fine decus. Amen.

No. 43 Dignetur Christus Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque
   Sit laus et virtus et honor per secula cuncta. Amen.

No. 22 Indulgente Jhesu Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque
   Sit laus et virtus et honor per secula cuncta. Amen.

No. 103 Hoc tribuente Ihesu Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque
   Sit laus et virtus et honor per secula cuncta. Amen.

No. 95 Regum
   Rex Jesus Christus Cui cum Patre Paraclitoque
   Sit laus et virtus et honor per secula cuncta. Amen.
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No. 24 Rex regum; cum Eo sit Patri Spirituique
Sancto nunc et per secula laus et honor. Amen.

No. 19, Rex regum cum Quo sit Patri Spirituique
No. 48 Sancto sicut erat in principio decus et laus
Et virtus et nunc et semper et omne per evum. Amen.

No. 23 Rex regum cum Quo sit Patri Spirituique
Sancto maiestas et gloria nunc et in evum. Amen.

No. 89 Cum Quo sit Patri Spirituique
Sancto maiestas et gloria nunc et in evum. Amen.

No. 14 Pater, Cum Quo sit Nato Spirituique
Sancto maiestas et gloria nunc et in evum. Amen.

The test of the value of the arrangement as chronological evidence must be based upon the corroboration of other evidence for individual poems. The indications about them are as follows:

No. 27 No other evidence.
No. 43 No other evidence.
No. 103 Probably October 1216-May 1220.
No. 22 No other evidence.
No. 95 About 17 November, 1220.
No. 24 No other evidence.

No. 19 1191-1237.
No. 48 1227-1233, probably 1227-1229.
No. 23 1204-1227, probably 1227.
No. 89 1228-1241.
No. 14 1241.

Of the group five are in proper order, Nos. 103, 95, 48 or 23, 89, and 14. If Nos. 48 and 23 are in proper order, both must have been written in the first eight months of 1227, a not impossible achievement. It will be noticed that the poems for which there is no other chronological evidence are the earlier ones. Three of these are poems which have no introductions (Nos. 27, 43, and 22); it is generally in the introductions that specific information about the making of the poems appears. Nos. 103, 95, and 24 have very simple prologues, while four (Nos. 19, 48, 23, and 89) have threefold introductions of some
length and very marked similarity.\(^1\)

Closely related to the similar conclusions are other cases of identical expressions in pairs of poems. It will be noticed that in this group of eleven No. 95 has peculiar expressions in common with both No. 43 and No. 24. Of other such pairs Nos. 43 and 9 seem to have been written in 1221, and Nos. 8 and 103 within a few years of that date, while the evidence for the other pairs, Nos. 4 and 46 and Nos. 9 and 34, does not fix their date so closely. The 'oneris-honoris' and 'clarus-clerus' combinations turn up so frequently that they seem to have no bearing upon date of composition.

Such evidence as exists seems to show poems with identical expressions were written near the same time. While the similar conclusions fall into the same class we have the additional fact that the arrangement also corresponds to structural changes in the introductions and conclusions of the poems.

Another chronological hint may be offered by the appearance of the terminology derived probably from study in the schools. Such terms appears in the following pieces.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Chronological Evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>No other chronological evidence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Probably before 19 October 1216.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>Possibly about 1214-1215.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>1216-1225, probably early.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Same.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1207-1228, possibly 1218-1220.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>About 1 November, possibly of 1219.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>Before 14 September 1225.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>No other evidence.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These poems with few exceptions lack absolute indications of date. Such as they are, they indicate an origin prior to 1220. This tendency toward logical terminology does not seem to occur in later poems. We should expect the poet to be under the influence of the study of logic earlier rather than later in life.

Quite a different type of evidence is that of manuscript grouping. This might have chronological significance if the poems had been copied from an archtype arranged

---

chronologically, as they might have been in a personal notebook. Two such groups in A may be arranged thus.

The three poems, Nos. 7, 8, and 9, occur apparently in such order. Since No. 6 seems to belong to the same manuscript group, its manuscript position might indicate that it was written before No. 7.

The other group consists of Nos. 46-39. The data for Nos. 46-41 have been given above; Nos. 40 and 39 were written about 1 November 1221. The evidence is very tentative but suggests that they might have been written in reverse order of time from their manuscript position. These indications fit well into the pattern provided by other chronological indications.