The term acute coronary syndrome (ACS) refers to any group of clinical symptoms compatible with acute myocardial ischemia and includes unstable angina (UA), non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), and ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). These high-risk manifestations of coronary atherosclerosis are important causes of the use of emergency medical care and hospitalization in the United States. A quick but thorough assessment of the patient’s history and findings on physical examination, electrocardiography, radiologic studies, and cardiac biomarker tests permit accurate diagnosis and aid in early risk stratification, which is essential for guiding treatment. High-risk patients with UA/NSTEMI are often treated with an early invasive strategy involving cardiac catheterization and prompt revascularization coupled with aggressive medical therapy that includes anti-ischemic, antiplatelet, anticoagulant, and lipid-lowering drugs. Evidence-based guidelines provide recommendations for the management of ACS; however, therapeutic approaches to the management of ACS continue to evolve at a rapid pace driven by a multitude of large-scale randomized controlled trials. Thus, clinicians are frequently faced with the problem of determining which drug or therapeutic strategy will achieve the best results. This article summarizes the evidence and provides the clinician with the latest information about the pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and risk stratification of ACS and the management of UA/NSTEMI.


The term acute coronary syndrome (ACS) refers to any group of clinical symptoms compatible with acute myocardial ischemia and covers the spectrum of clinical conditions ranging from unstable angina (UA) to non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) to ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Unstable angina and NSTEMI are closely related conditions: their pathophysiologic origins and clinical presentations are similar, but they differ in severity. A diagnosis of NSTEMI can be made when the ischemia is sufficiently severe to cause myocardial damage that results in the release of a biomarker of myocardial necrosis into the circulation (cardiac-specific troponins T or I, or muscle and brain fraction of creatine kinase [CK-MB]). In contrast, the patient is considered to have experienced UA if no such biomarker can be detected in the bloodstream hours after the initial onset of ischemic chest pain. Unstable angina exhibits 1 or more of 3 principal presentations: (1) rest angina (usually lasting 20 minutes), (2) new-onset (<2 months previously) severe angina, and (3) a crescendo pattern of occurrence (increasing in intensity, duration, frequency, or any combination of these factors). Each year in the United States, approximately 1.36 million hospitalizations are required for ACS (listed either as a primary or a secondary discharge diagnosis), of which 0.81 million are for myocardial infarction (MI) and the remainder are for UA. Roughly two-thirds of patients with MI have NSTEMI; the rest have STEMI.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF ACS

Initiation of Atherosclerosis: Role of the Endothelium
Atherosclerosis is the ongoing process of plaque formation that involves primarily the intima of large- and me-
The stability of atherosclerotic plaques varies. Characteristics of so-called high-risk or vulnerable plaques include a large lipid core, thin fibrous caps, a high density of macrophages and T lymphocytes,11 a relative paucity of smooth muscle cells, and increased expression of matrix metalloproteinases that degrade collagen.12,13 eccentric outward remodeling,14,15 and increased in plaque neovascularity and intraplaque hemorrhage.16 The composition of human atherosclerotic plaques is strikingly heterogeneous, even within the same person.17 Inflammation, a particularly important determinant of the “vulnerability” of plaques,9,18 is related to an increase in the activity of macrophages at the site of plaque; this increased activity leads to an enlargement of the lipid core and a thinning of the plaque cap, characteristics that render the plaque more vulnerable to rupture. Elevated levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) have been found to correlate positively with the number of plaque ruptures19 and may reflect the activity of these macrophages.20

**PLAQUE DISRUPTION, THROMBOSIS, AND ACS**

The pathogenesis of ACS involves an intricate interplay among the endothelium, the inflammatory cells, and the thrombogenicity of the blood.21,22 Angiographically, non-critical coronary lesions (<50% stenosis in the diameter of the vessel) may be associated with abrupt progression to severe or total occlusion and may eventually account for as many as two-thirds of cases of ACS.23,24 Factors such as the lipid and tissue factor content of the plaque, the severity of the plaque rupture, the degree of inflammation at the site, the blood flow in the area, and the patient’s antithrombotic and prothrombotic balance are important in controlling the degree of thrombus formation and determining whether a given plaque rupture will result in ACS.25-27 Studies using intravascular ultrasonography have shown that at least 80% of patients with ACS exhibit multiple plaque ruptures distinct from the culprit lesion.28

Autopsy studies have shown that plaque rupture causes approximately 75% of fatal MIs, whereas superficial endothelial erosion accounts for the remaining 25%.29,30 After either plaque rupture or endothelial erosion, the sub-endothelial matrix (which is rich in tissue factor, a potent procoagulant) is exposed to the circulating blood; this exposure leads to platelet adhesion followed by platelet activation and aggregation and the subsequent formation of a thrombus. Two types of thrombi can form: a platelet-rich clot (referred to as a *white clot*) that forms in areas of high shear stress and only partially occludes the artery, or a fibrin-rich clot (referred to as a *red clot*) that is the result of an activated coagulation cascade and decreased flow in the artery. Red clots are frequently superimposed on white clots, and this characteristic causes total occlusion. Several lines of evidence support the central role of thrombosis in the pathogenesis of ACS.30-32

**THERAPEUTIC GOALS AND APPROACHES FOR ACS**

The severity of findings on coronary angiography and angiography parallels the clinical severity of ACS. Although only white clots are found in patients with UA/NSTEMI,33 red clots form in patients with STEMI.34 The differences in the underlying pathophysiology of UA/NSTEMI and STEMI call for different therapeutic goals and approaches.
In UA/NSTEMI, the goal of antithrombotic therapy is to prevent further thrombosis and to allow endogenous fibrinolysis to dissolve the thrombus and reduce the degree of coronary stenosis; revascularization is frequently used to increase blood flow and prevent reocclusion or recurrent ischemia. In contrast, in STEMI, the infarct-related artery is usually totally occluded, and immediate pharmacological or catheter-based reperfusion is the initial approach, with the goal of obtaining normal coronary blood flow. Other therapies, such as anti-ischemic and lipid-lowering therapies, are used in all cases to stabilize plaques over the long term.

**EARLY ASSESSMENT**

The symptoms of UA/NSTEMI and STEMI are similar, and differentiating the two requires medical evaluation and 12-lead electrocardiography (ECG). The 2007 guidelines for managing UA/NSTEMI, released by the American College of Cardiology (ACC) and the American Heart Association (AHA), state that patients with symptoms suggestive of ACS should be instructed to call 9-1-1 and should be referred to a facility that has capabilities for 12-lead ECG recording, biomarker determination, and evaluation by a physician (eg, an emergency department [ED]). Patients who have previously been given a prescription for nitroglycerin should be instructed to promptly take 1 dose of nitroglycerin sublingually for chest discomfort or pain. If no relief occurs, or if symptoms worsen 5 minutes after 1 dose of nitroglycerin has been taken, the patient should immediately call 9-1-1. Patients at increased risk of ACS, such as those with known coronary artery disease (CAD), peripheral vascular disease, cerebral vascular disease, diabetes, or a 10-year Framingham risk of CAD of 20% or higher, should be targeted by health care professionals and should be educated about recognizing the symptoms of ACS and calling 9-1-1 promptly if such symptoms occur.

All patients presenting to the ED with chest discomfort or other symptoms suggestive of ACS should be considered high-priority triage cases. Evaluation and treatment should follow a predetermined, institution-specific protocol for chest pain. If the initial diagnosis and treatment plan are unclear to the ED physician, immediate cardiology consultation is advisable. Each year in the United States, 6 to 7 million persons present to EDs with the symptom of chest pain or other symptoms suggestive of possible ACS; of these, approximately 20% to 25% receive a final diagnosis of UA or MI. The differential diagnosis of patients with chest pain is shown in Table 1.

The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines for managing UA/NSTEMI state that the first step in assessing patients with chest discomfort or other symptoms suggestive of ACS is determining the likelihood that the symptoms and signs represent ACS secondary to obstructive CAD (Table 2). The second step is determining the risk of an adverse clinical outcome for those patients with an intermediate or high likelihood of ACS (ie, risk stratification; Table 2). Early risk assessment is based on initial findings from the history and physical examination and the results of ECG and cardiac biomarker measurements.

**CLINICAL PRESENTATION**

**History and Physical Examination Findings**

Careful and focused history taking and physical examination are essential both to assessing the likelihood that the presenting illness is ACS and to determining the risk of an adverse outcome. Although patients typically describe stable angina as deep, poorly localized chest or arm discomfort that is exacerbated by activity or emotional stress and relieved by rest, nitroglycerin, or both, the discomfort associated with UA is more severe, occurs at rest, and is usually described as frank pain. Often located in the substernal region (sometimes the epigastric area), the pain or pressure frequently radiates to the neck, jaw, left shoulder, and left arm. Some patients may present with symptoms other than chest discomfort; such “anginal equivalent” symptoms include:

- Shortness of breath
- Lightheadedness
- Nausea
- Vomiting
- Gastrointestinal distress
- Fatigue
- Dizziness
- Palpitations
- Syncope

**TABLE 1. Differential Diagnosis of Patients With Chest Pain**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonischemic cardiovascular</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic dissection</td>
<td>Potentially life-threatening conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myocarditis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericarditis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stress cardiomyopathy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest wall/musculoskeletal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervical disk disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costochondritis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpes zoster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathic pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rib fracture</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary embolus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tension pneumothorax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurisy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholecystitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peptic ulcer disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonperforating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perforating</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastroesophageal reflux disease</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal spasm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boerhaave syndrome (esophageal rupture with mediastinitis)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreatitis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder/panic attack</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatization and psychogenic pain disorder</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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symptoms include dyspnea (most common), nausea and vomiting, diaphoresis, and unexplained fatigue. Atypical presentations are more common among women and elderly people. Rarely, syncope may be the presenting symptom of ACS. Pain that is sharp, stabbing or pleuritic, reproducible with palpation or with movement, or able to be localized at the tip of 1 finger is usually not ischemic. Chest pain that resolves with the administration of sublingual nitroglycerin in the ED setting is not predictive of ACS. The 5 most important history-related factors that help identify

### TABLE 2. Likelihood That Signs and Symptoms Indicate an ACS Secondary to CAD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Intermediate likelihood</th>
<th>Low likelihood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>Absence of high-likelihood features and presence of any of the following:</td>
<td>Absence of high- or intermediate-likelihood features but may have:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any of the following:</td>
<td>Age ≥70 y</td>
<td>Probable ischemic symptoms in absence of any of the intermediate-likelihood characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest or left arm pain or discomfort as chief symptom reproducing previously documented angina</td>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>Recent cocaine use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Known history of CAD, including MI</td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Examination</td>
<td>Extracardiac vascular disease</td>
<td>Chest discomfort reproduced by palpation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient MR murmur, hypotension, diaphoresis, pulmonary edema, or rales</td>
<td>Fixed Q waves</td>
<td>ST-segment deviation (≥1 mm) or T-wave inversion in multiple precordial leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>ST depression of 0.5-1.0 mm or T-wave inversion &gt;1.0 mm</td>
<td>T-wave flattening or inversion &lt;1 mm in leads with dominant R waves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New, or presumably new, transient ST-segment deviation (≥1 mm) or T-wave inversion in multiple precordial leads</td>
<td>Normal ECG tracing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac markers</td>
<td>Elevated cardiac TnI, TnT, or CK-MB levels</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS = acute coronary syndrome; CAD = coronary artery disease; CK-MB = muscle and brain fraction of creatine kinase; ECG = electrocardiography; MI = myocardial infarction; MR = mitral regurgitation; TnI = troponin I; TnT = troponin T.

Adapted from Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Clinical Practice Guidelines No. 10.45

### TABLE 3. Short-term Risk of Death or Nonfatal MI in Patients with UA/NSTEMI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>High risk</th>
<th>Intermediate risk</th>
<th>Low risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>History</td>
<td>At least 1 of the following features must be present:</td>
<td>No high-risk feature, but must have 1 of the following:</td>
<td>No high- or intermediate-risk feature but may have any of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating tempo of ischemic symptoms in preceding 48 h</td>
<td>Previous MI, peripheral or cerebrovascular disease, or CABG; previous aspirin use</td>
<td>Increased angina frequency, severity, or duration of pain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prolonged ongoing (&gt;20 min) resting pain</td>
<td>Prolonged (&gt;20 min) rest angina, now resolved, with moderate or high likelihood of CAD</td>
<td>Angina provoked at a lower threshold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary edema, most likely because of ischemia</td>
<td>Rest angina (&gt;20 min) or relieved with rest or sublingual nitroglycerin</td>
<td>New-onset angina with onset 2 wk to 2 mo before presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New or worsening MR murmur S_3 or new/worsening rales</td>
<td>Nocturnal angina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension, bradycardia, tachycardia</td>
<td>New-onset or progressive CCS class III or IV angina in the past 2 wk without prolonged (&gt;20 min) rest pain but with intermediate or high likelihood of CAD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥75 y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECG</td>
<td>T-wave changes</td>
<td>Normal or unchanged findings on ECG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angina at rest with transient ST-segment changes &gt;0.5 mm</td>
<td>Pathologic Q waves or resting ST-depression (≥1 mm in multiple lead groups (anterior, inferior, lateral))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bundle branch block, new or presumed new</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustained ventricular tachycardia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac markers</td>
<td>Elevated cardiac TnI, TnT, or CK-MB (eg, TnT or TnI &gt;0.1 ng/mL)</td>
<td>Slightly elevated cardiac TnI, TnT, or CK-MB (eg, TnT &gt;0.01 but &lt;0.1 ng/mL)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACS = acute coronary syndrome; CAD = coronary artery disease; CCS = Canadian Cardiovascular Society; CK-MB = muscle and brain fraction of creatine kinase; ECG = electrocardiography; MI = myocardial infarction; MR = mitral regurgitation; NSTEMI = non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction; TnI = troponin I; TnT = troponin T; UA = unstable angina.

Adapted from Agency for Health Care Policy and Research Clinical Practice Guidelines No. 10.45
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ischemia due to CAD, ranked in order of importance, are the nature of the anginal symptoms (Table 2), a history of CAD, male sex, older age, and the number of traditional risk factors present.47,48 Traditional cardiac risk factors (eg, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, cigarette smoking, diabetes, and family history of premature CAD) have actually been found to be weak predictors of the likelihood of acute ischemia,49 although their presence relates to poor outcomes for patients with established ACS.

The primary goals of the physical examination are to identify any precipitating causes of myocardial ischemia and to assess the hemodynamic consequences of the acute ischemic event. Physical examination findings that indicate a large area of ischemia and high risk include diaphoresis; pale, cool skin; sinus tachycardia; a third or fourth heart sound; basilar rales; and hypotension. The physical examination may also provide clues that can help in determining the differential diagnosis. For example, unequal pulses or a murmur of aortic regurgitation indicates possible aortic dissection, whereas a pericardial friction rub suggests acute pericarditis.

Electrocardiography
The ACC/AHA guidelines state that an experienced emergency physician should review the results of 12-lead ECG within no more than 10 minutes after the arrival in the ED of a patient with chest discomfort or other symptoms suggestive of ACS.42 The value of the ECG is 2-fold: to support a clinical diagnosis of ACS and to aid in risk stratification. Electrocardiography, however, has several limitations. For example, it does not adequately represent the posterior, lateral, and apical walls of the left ventricle. Additionally, normal findings do not exclude the possibility of ACS.

Findings on ECG associated with UA include ST-segment depression, transient ST-segment elevation, T-wave inversion, or some combination of these factors; depending on the severity of the clinical presentation, these findings are present in 30% to 50% of patients.39,50,51 New ST-segment deviation, even of only 0.05 mV, is an important and specific measure of ischemia and prognosis.50-52 T-wave inversion is sensitive for ischemia but is less specific, unless it is marked (≥0.3 mV).39 An ST-segment elevation of 0.1 mV or more, if present in at least 2 contiguous leads, indicates acute MI in 90% of patients, as confirmed by serial measurements of cardiac biomarkers.53 It is important to compare current and previous findings on ECG because studies suggest that patients with no ECG changes are at a lower risk of complications than those with ECG changes.54

Because the process of myocardial ischemia is quite dynamic and a single 12-lead ECG provides only a snapshot view of this process, the ACC/AHA guidelines recommend that patients hospitalized for UA/NSTEMI undergo serial ECG tracings or continuous ST-segment monitoring.42,55,56

Cardiac Biomarkers of Necrosis
Cardiac biomarkers should be measured for all patients who present with chest discomfort or other symptoms suggestive of ACS. Measurements of the cardiac-specific troponins T and I allow for highly accurate, sensitive, and specific determination of myocardial injury in the context of ischemic symptoms; these troponins have replaced CK-MB as the preferred marker for the detection of myocardial necrosis. However, troponin measurements have some drawbacks. Troponin levels usually do not increase until at least 6 hours after the onset of symptoms; therefore, a negative result obtained within this period should prompt a repetition of the assay 8 to 12 hours after the onset of symptoms. Because troponin levels remain elevated for a prolonged period (5 to 14 days) after myocardial necrosis, their usefulness in detecting recurrent myocardial damage is limited. However, they are helpful in detecting myocardial damage in a patient who presents for assessment several days after the onset of symptoms. Because of the shorter half-life of CK-MB, the levels of this isoenzyme are useful for diagnosing infarct extension (reinfarction) and periprocedural MI. Point-of-care assays for bedside detection of biomarkers are being developed so that the time delay can be minimized and treatment decisions can be made quickly, but the use of such assays is currently limited.57 The advantages and disadvantages of the various biomarkers are shown in Table 4, and the timing of their release after acute MI is shown in Figure 1.58

Other Laboratory Tests
A chest radiograph is usually obtained at the time of admission so that the patient can be evaluated for other causes of chest pain and screened for pulmonary congestion, which implies an adverse prognosis.59 A full lipid profile should be obtained within 24 hours of the onset of ACS, as recommended by the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III60 and by the 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines.42 Selected patients should be assessed for secondary causes of ACS: for example, thyroid function should be evaluated when a patient presents with symptoms of ACS and has persistent tachycardia. Measurement of other circulating markers of increased risk may also be considered (see Troponins and Other Markers).

Diagnostic Pathways in the ED
The current ED pathways for assessing and managing patients who may have ACS rely on 4 main diagnostic tools: clinical history, ECG results, levels of cardiac markers, and the results of stress testing. On the basis of the initial information, patients are assigned to one of 4 categories: a noncardiac diagnosis, chronic stable angina, possible ACS, or definite ACS.42 The pathway proposed by the ACC/AHA guidelines is shown in Figure 2.
Patients with definite ACS are admitted to the hospital for further treatment. Admission to the critical care unit is recommended if there is evidence of active, ongoing ischemia or injury or of hemodynamic or electrical instability; otherwise, placing patients in a telemetry step-down unit is reasonable. Patients with persistent ST-segment elevation should be assessed for immediate reperfusion therapy. Patients with clearly atypical chest pain and evidence of a noncardiac diagnosis (eg, gastrointestinal or musculoskeletal disorders) can be discharged home and instructed to follow up with their primary physician (chronic stable angina may also be diagnosed in this setting). The remaining patients, those with possible ACS, should be observed in a facility with cardiac monitoring capabilities (eg, a chest pain unit, an ED, or a hospital telemetry ward), and ECG (or continuous 12-lead ECG monitoring) and cardiac biomarker measurements should be repeated at predetermined, specified time intervals. If new ST-segment abnormalities or elevations in the levels of cardiac markers are noted, the diagnosis of ACS is considered highly likely, and the patient is taken off the pathway and admitted to the hospital. If the patient remains pain-free and the results of ECG and cardiac marker tests are negative, an early stress test should be performed either before discharge or on an outpatient basis within 72 hours. Patients with negative results from diagnostic testing can be discharged with specific instructions for activity, medications, and additional testing. Patients with evidence on stress testing of ischemia or left ventricular (LV) dysfunction should be admitted to the hospital and managed according to an acute ischemia pathway.

**RISK STRATIFICATION**

The ACC/AHA guidelines state that risk stratification is an integral prerequisite to decision-making. The outcomes of patients with ACS span the entire risk spectrum: data from a global registry indicate that the 30-day mortality rate ranges from 1.7% for patients with UA to 7.4% for patients with NSTEMI to 11.1% for those with STEMI. Early risk stratification is useful for selecting the site of care (coronary care unit or monitored step-down unit), selecting therapy (such as glycoprotein [GP] IIb/IIIa inhibitors and early invasive strategy), and estimating prognosis.
**High-risk Clinical Subgroups**

Certain clinical characteristics are associated with a substantial increase in adverse outcomes for patients with ACS: older age, diabetes (diabetic patients with UA/NSTEMI are at an approximately 50% higher risk of adverse outcomes than nondiabetic patients), extracardiac vascular disease, evidence of congestive heart failure (CHF; Killip class II or higher), and presentation with ACS despite long-term aspirin therapy.

**Electrocardiography**

The admission ECG is a strong predictor of both early and long-term prognosis. In the Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) III Registry of patients with UA/NSTEMI, an ST deviation of as little as 0.05 mV increased the risk of death or MI by approximately 2-fold both at 30 days and at 1 year. Another study found that ST depression of 0.05 mV or more on the admission ECG was related to 4-year mortality rates; the risk of death increased as ST depression increased. In contrast, T-wave inversion of 0.1 mV or more was associated with only a modest increase or no increase at all in the subsequent risk of death or MI.

The number of leads demonstrating ST elevation has been a useful risk marker for patients with STEMI.

**Troponins and Other Markers**

Troponin is a powerful instrument for risk stratification across the spectrum of patients presenting with symptoms of acute cardiac ischemia. Even a minor elevation of troponin signifies an adverse prognosis and permits the determination of high-risk patients who will benefit from specific therapies, such as GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors, an early invasive strategy, or both. In addition, a quantitative relationship exists between the degree of elevation of troponin levels and the risk of death.

The past decade has seen an increasing recognition of the central role of inflammatory mechanisms in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis and its complications. Recently, attention has focused on the potential role of plasma markers of inflammation as risk predictors for patients with ACS; of these markers, CRP has been the most extensively studied. Elevated CRP levels detected by a high-sensitivity CRP test relate to an increased risk of mortality. C-reactive protein levels allowed a differentiation between high-risk
and low-risk groups among patients with normal troponin levels, for whom the overall 14-day mortality rate was only 1.5%. When these patients had an elevated CRP level, the mortality rate increased to 5.8%, whereas when they had a normal CRP level, the mortality rate was only 0.4%.73 Of note, the cutoff point for the CRP level in the ACS setting is nearly 5 times higher (>15 mg/L) (to convert to nmol/L, multiply by 9.524) than that in the stable CAD setting (>3 mg/L). The white blood cell count is another simple marker of inflammation: for patients with UA/NSTEMI, an
elevated count was associated with higher mortality rates and recurrent MI.\textsuperscript{74,75} One study involving 1090 patients with ACS found that myeloperoxidase was an independent prognostic factor for death or recurrent MI at 6 months.\textsuperscript{76}

B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) provides powerful prognostic information across the entire spectrum of patients with ACS. The GUSTO-IV (Global Utilization of Strategies To Open Occluded Arteries IV) trial, which involved 6809 patients with UA/NSTEMI, found that the risk of short-term and long-term mortality increased proportionately with rising levels of N-terminal proBNP.\textsuperscript{77} The OPUS-TIMI 16 (Orbofibin in Patients with Unstable Coronary Syndromes–Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 16) trial found that the risk of death at 10 months was 2-fold to 3-fold higher for patients with ACS who had elevated levels of BNP (>80 pg/mL) (to convert to ng/L, multiply by 1.0) than for those with normal levels.\textsuperscript{78} Elevated levels of BNP were associated with a higher short-term risk of mortality for patients with STEMI.\textsuperscript{79} The peak level of BNP has been found to increase proportionately with the size of the myocardial infarct.\textsuperscript{80}

A multimarker approach using several biomarkers has been advocated for improving risk stratification and enhancing patient outcomes. One study used a combination of troponin I, CRP, and BNP to assess risk and found that each marker was an independent predictor of the composite of death, MI, or heart failure. Notably, the mortality risk nearly doubled as the number of elevated markers increased.\textsuperscript{81}

**Multivariable Risk-Assessment Scores**

Several groups have developed an integrated approach that combines many predictor variables to arrive at a multivariable risk model that provides a comprehensive assessment of risk and an accurate method of prognostication for patients with ACS. The TIMI risk score combines 7 independent risk factors: age of 65 years or older, at least 3 risk factors for CAD, documented CAD at catheterization, ST deviation of 0.5 mm or more, at least 2 episodes of angina in the previous 24 hours, aspirin taken within the previous week, and elevated levels of cardiac markers. When this scoring system was used, patients could be stratified across a 10-fold gradient of risk ranging from 4.7% to 40.9% (P<.001).\textsuperscript{82} Thus, the TIMI risk score enables identification of risk scores to predict the likelihood of mortality for patients with STEMI.\textsuperscript{87,88}

**Table 5. Class I Recommendations for Anti-Ischemic Therapy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nonpharmacological care</th>
<th>Pharmacological care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bed rest for all patients</td>
<td>Nitroglycerin, sublingual tablet or spray, followed by intravenous administration for all patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous electrocardiographic monitoring for patients with ongoing chest pain at rest</td>
<td>Morphine sulfate, intravenously for patients who have symptoms that are not immediately relieved with nitroglycerin or who have acute pulmonary congestion, severe agitation, or both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental oxygen for patients with cyanosis or respiratory distress</td>
<td>β-Blocker, intravenous, followed by oral administration (if not contraindicated) for patients with ongoing chest pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finger pulse oximetry or arterial blood gas measurement for patients with hypoxemia determination to confirm adequate arterial oxygen saturation</td>
<td>Nondihydropyridine CCB as initial therapy in the absence of severe LV dysfunction or other contraindications for patients with continuing or frequently recurring ischemia when β-blockers are contraindicated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitor for patients with hypertension despite treatment with nitroglycerin and a β-blocker and with LV systolic dysfunction or CHF; patients with diabetes</td>
<td>ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; CCB = calcium channel blocker; CHF = congestive heart failure; LV = left ventricular</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UNSTABLE ANGINA/NSTEMI**

The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines state that the goal of immediate treatment of patients with UA/NSTEMI is to provide relief of ischemia and to prevent the recurrence of adverse ischemic events.\textsuperscript{42} Treatment with anti-ischemic, antiplatelet, and anticoagulant agents is fundamental to achieving this goal. In addition to aggressive medical therapy, 2 treatment pathways have emerged for treating UA/NSTEMI patients: an early invasive strategy and an initial conservative strategy. Risk stratification helps to determine how aggressive we should be with respect to both medical therapy (Table 5) and treatment strategy.

**Early Invasive Strategy or Initial Conservative Strategy**

An early invasive strategy involves routine cardiac catheterization, generally within 4 to 24 hours after admission, followed by revascularization with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), as appropriate, depending on the coronary anatomy. A conservative strategy, in contrast, consists of initial medical management, followed by catheterization and revascularization only if ischemia recurs despite vigorous medical therapy, either when the patient is at rest or during a noninvasive stress test. The 2007 ACC/
AHA guidelines have given the early invasive strategy a class I, level of evidence A recommendation for patients with UA/NSTEMI who are at high risk (Table 6).42 The guidelines recommend either a conservative or an invasive strategy for low-risk patients because the outcomes achieved by these approaches are similar for these patients. However, the guidelines give the conservative strategy a class I recommendation for women with low-risk characteristics.

To date, 10 randomized trials have assessed these 2 general strategies. Although the first 3 trials and the most recent trial found no substantial differences between the strategies in outcomes, the remaining 6 trials have shown that an early invasive strategy provides substantial benefits.

The FRISC II (Framingham and Fast Revascularization During Instability in Coronary Artery Disease II) trial, which involved 2457 patients with UA/NSTEMI, found that the early invasive strategy achieved a significantly lower rate of the primary end point of death or MI at 6 months (9.4%) than did the conservative strategy (12.1%; \( P=.031 \)).89 The TACTICS-TIMI 18 (Treat Angina With Aggrastat and Determine Cost of Therapy With an Invasive or Conservative Strategy—Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 18) trial randomly assigned 2200 patients, who were treated with aspirin, heparin, and tirofiban, to an early invasive or a conservative strategy.40 At 6 months, the rate of the primary end point of death, MI, or rehospitalization for ACS was 19.4% for the conservative strategy group and 15.9% for the early invasive group (odds ratio, 0.78; \( P=.025 \)).40 Patients with elevated troponin concentrations, ST-segment changes, and a high TIMI risk score (≥3) derived the most benefit from the early invasive strategy. The most recent trial, the ICTUS (Invasive Versus Conservative Treatment in Unstable Coronary Syndromes), randomly assigned 1200 patients with ACS to an early invasive strategy or a conservative strategy and found no significant differences between the groups at 1 year\(^90\) and at 3 years\(^91\) in the rate of the primary end point of death, MI, or rehospitalization for angina.

A meta-analysis of contemporary randomized trials of treatments for NSTEMI found that the early invasive strategy was associated with a statistically significant 25% lower incidence of all-cause mortality than was the conservative strategy \((P=.001)\).92 Another meta-analysis of 8 randomized trials comparing invasive and conservative strategies for women and men with non–ST-segment elevation ACS found that an early invasive strategy was equally beneficial for men and for women who were considered to have high-risk disease on the basis of elevated levels of biomarkers of necrosis.\(^93\)

A recent randomized trial, the TIMACS (Timing of Intervention in Patients With Acute Coronary Syndromes), compared the outcomes achieved by an early invasive strategy (intervention within 24 hours of presentation) and a delayed invasive strategy (intervention at any time >36 hours after presentation) for 3031 high-risk patients with UA/NSTEMI.\(^94\) The early invasive strategy was not superior to the delayed invasive strategy in reducing the primary end point of death, MI, or stroke at 6 months (9.6% vs 11.3%; hazard ratio [HR], 0.85; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.68-1.06; \( P=.15 \)), except for high-risk patients with a GRACE risk score higher than 140 (13.9% vs 21.0%; HR, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.48-0.89; \( P=.006 \)).

### ANTI-ISCHEMIC THERAPY

The ACC/AHA class I recommendations for anti-ischemic therapy are listed in Table 5 and include both nonpharmacological and pharmacological measures.

**Nitroglycerin**

Nitroglycerin is a vasodilator that reduces myocardial oxygen demand by decreasing ventricular preload via venodilation; it enhances myocardial oxygen delivery by dilating large coronary arteries and improving collateral flow to ischemic areas. Nitroglycerin should initially be given sublingually or by buccal spray (0.3-0.6 mg) every 5 minutes for a total of 3 doses. If pain persists, the administration of intravenous (IV) nitroglycerin should be initiated (initial rate of 5-10 µg/min with increases of 10 µg/min every 3 to 5 minutes until symptoms are relieved or if systolic blood pressure falls below 100 mm Hg). Topical or oral nitrates can be used if the episode of pain has resolved, and they may replace IV nitroglycerin if the patient has been pain-

### TABLE 6. Selection of Initial Treatment Strategy: Invasive vs Conservative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategy</th>
<th>Invasive</th>
<th>Conservative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td>Recurrent angina or ischemia at rest or with low-level activities despite intensive medical therapy</td>
<td>Low risk score (eg, TIMI, GRACE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elevated cardiac biomarkers (TnI or TnT)</td>
<td>Patient or physician preference in the absence of high-risk features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New or presumably new ST-segment depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Signs or symptoms of HF or new or worsening mitral regurgitation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High-risk findings from noninvasive testing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hemodynamic instability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sustained ventricular tachycardia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PCI within 6 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prior CABG</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High risk score (eg, TIMI, GRACE)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reduced left ventricular function (LVEF &lt;40%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting; GRACE = Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; HF = heart failure; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention; TIMI = Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction; TnI = troponin I; TnT = troponin T. From J Am Coll Cardiol;\(^42\) with permission from Elsevier.
free for 12 to 24 hours. Absolute contraindications to the use of nitroglycerin are hypotension or the use of sildenafil within the previous 24 hours or of tadalafil within the previous 48 hours.95

**Morphine and Other Analgesics**

Morphine is recommended when ischemia-related symptoms are unrelieved after 3 doses of nitroglycerin or when such symptoms recur during treatment. In such cases, 1 to 5 mg of morphine sulfate can be administered intravenously every 5 to 30 minutes as needed, with careful monitoring of blood pressure and respiratory rate. Morphine acts as a potent analgesic and anxiolytic; in addition, its hemodynamic effects may be beneficial in treating UA/NSTEMI. The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines downgraded the recommendation for the use of morphine for uncontrolled ischemic discomfort from class I to class IIa because data from a large observational registry, although subject to uncontrolled selection biases, suggested that the adjusted likelihood of death was higher when morphine was used.96

The ACC/AHA guidelines state that the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, both nonselective agents and cyclooxygenase-2 selective agents (except for aspirin), should be discontinued when a patient presents with UA/NSTEMI because of the known cardiovascular risks associated with these agents,97 and also because the EXTRACT-TIMI 25 (Enoxaparin and Thrombolysis Reperfusion for Acute Myocardial Infarction Treatment–Thrombolysis In Myocardial Infarction 25) trial found that these agents were associated with an increased risk of adverse cardiovascular events.98

**β-Blockers**

β-Blockers inhibit β-1 adrenergic receptors in the myocardium and decrease myocardial contractility and heart rate, thereby reducing myocardial oxygen demand. The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines state that, in the absence of contraindications, therapy with oral β-blockers should be initiated within the first 24 hours after onset of ACS (class I recommendation).42 For all patients, the oral dose should be adjusted to achieve a target resting heart rate of 50 to 60 beats/min. It is reasonable to administer IV β-blockers to patients who are hypertensive at the time of presentation specifically for administration to patients with ACS, but trials involving normotensive patients with CAD109 or hypertensive patients with cardiovascular risk factors110 have demonstrated that these agents provide significant benefits.

**Calcium Channel Blockers**

Calcium channel blockers inhibit the contraction of both the myocardium (thereby reducing myocardial oxygen demand) and the vascular smooth muscle (thereby causing coronary vasodilatation and improving myocardial blood flow). The ACC/AHA guidelines recommend these agents for patients with persistent or recurrent symptoms after treatment with full-dose nitrates and β-blockers, for patients with contraindications to β-blockade, and for patients with Prinzmetal variant angina.42 For such patients, calcium channel blockers that slow the heart rate (eg, diltiazem or verapamil) are recommended. These agents should not be administered to patients with severe LV dysfunction or pulmonary edema.104 DAVIT (Danish Verapamil Infarction Trial) is the largest randomized trial to date to have evaluated the efficacy of a calcium channel blocker for patients with ACS. The results showed a trend toward lower rates of death or MI when verapamil was administered to patients with suspected ACS.105,106 Similar decreases in the rates of MI and refractory angina have been found with diltiazem.107 Nifedipine, which does not reduce the heart rate, has been shown to be harmful to patients with acute MI when it is administered without the simultaneous administration of a β-blocker.108 The newer dihydropyridine calcium antagonists amiodipine and felodipine have not been evaluated specifically for administration to patients with ACS, but trials involving normotensive patients with CAD109 or hypertensive patients with cardiovascular risk factors110 have demonstrated that these agents provide significant benefits.

**Inhibitors of the Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System**

The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines recommend that, in the absence of hypotension or other known contraindications, an angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitor (or an angiotensin II receptor blocker for patients who cannot tolerate ACE inhibitors) should be administered orally within the first 24 hours to patients with pulmonary congestion or an LV ejection fraction of 40% or lower (class I recommendation) and should be considered for administration to patients without these features (class IIa recommendation).42 The recommendation for ACE inhibitor therapy is based on the results of several large trials showing that mortality rates were substantially reduced when ACE inhibitors were initiated within 24 hours of MI.111,112 The angiotensin II receptor

---

For personal use. Mass reproduce only with permission from Mayo Clinic Proceedings.
blocker valsartan was found to be as effective as captopril for patients at high risk of cardiovascular events after MI; however, administering a combination of the 2 agents was found to be harmful.\textsuperscript{113} Long-term use of ACE inhibitors is indicated for many patients with high-risk chronic CAD.\textsuperscript{114,115}

EPHESUS (Eplerenone Post-Acute Myocardial Infarction Heart Failure Efficacy and Survival Study) found that the selective aldosterone receptor blocker eplerenone reduced morbidity and mortality rates for patients with MI complicated by LV dysfunction and either CHF or diabetes mellitus.\textsuperscript{116} Long-term administration of eplerenone is indicated for such patients in the absence of severe renal dysfunction or hyperkalemia.\textsuperscript{42}

\textbf{OTHER ANTI-ISCHEMIC THERAPIES}

Ranolazine is a recently approved anti-ischemic agent that is indicated for use alone or in combination with nitrates, \(\beta\)-blockers, or amiodipine for the treatment of chronic refractory angina. The MERLIN-TIMI 36 (Metabolic Efficiency with Ranolazine for Less Ischemia in Non–ST-Elevation Acute Coronary Syndromes–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 36) trial demonstrated that ranolazine had a benefit over placebo in reducing the incidence of recurrent ischemia (HR, 0.87; 95\% CI, 0.76–0.99; \(P=0.03\)) when administered within 48 hours of the onset of UA/NSTEMI. However, ranolazine had no effect on the composite end point of cardiovascular death, MI, or recurrent ischemia (HR, 0.92; 95\% CI, 0.83–1.02; \(P=0.11\)).\textsuperscript{117,118}

\textbf{ANTITHROMBOTIC THERAPY}

Antithrombotic therapy is the cornerstone of treatment for patients with UA/NSTEMI. It has 2 components: (1) antiplatelet therapy, which reduces platelet activation and aggregation, integral steps in the formation of a thrombus after plaque disruption, and (2) anticoagulant therapy, which targets the clotting cascade to prevent the deposition of fibrin strands in the clot. The ACC/AHA guidelines recommend tailoring the specific antithrombotic agents to the treatment strategy selected. Figure 3 shows the algorithm for choosing agents for patients managed with an invasive strategy, and Figure 4 shows the algorithm for patients managed with a conservative strategy.

\textbf{ANTIPATELET THERAPY}

\textbf{Aspirin.} Aspirin blocks the synthesis of thromboxane A2 by irreversibly inhibiting cyclooxygenase 1, thereby diminishing platelet aggregation. Four randomized trials have each demonstrated that, compared with placebo, aspirin reduces the risk of death or MI by more than 50\% for patients presenting with UA/NSTEMI.\textsuperscript{35,36,119,120} The ACC/AHA guidelines recommend an initial daily dose of 162 to 325 mg, followed by a daily dose of 75 to 162 mg for long-term secondary prevention.\textsuperscript{42} Absolute contraindications to aspirin therapy include documented aspirin allergy (eg, asthma or anaphylaxis), active bleeding, or a known platelet disorder. Clopidogrel is a recommended alternative for patients who cannot tolerate aspirin.\textsuperscript{42}

\textbf{Clopidogrel.} Clopidogrel is a thienopyridine derivative that blocks the P2Y12 adenosine diphosphate (ADP) receptor on platelets. This action decreases platelet activation and aggregation, increases bleeding time, and reduces blood viscosity. Therapy with clopidogrel and aspirin is recommended for essentially all patients with UA/NSTEMI.

The CURE (Clopidogrel in Unstable Angina to Prevent Recurrent Events) trial randomly assigned 12,562 patients to receive either aspirin alone (75–325 mg/d) or aspirin plus clopidogrel (300-mg loading dose, then 75 mg/d).\textsuperscript{121} The incidence of the primary end point of cardiovascular death, MI, or stroke was 20\% lower for both low-risk and high-risk patients with UA/NSTEMI who received aspirin plus clopidogrel (11.4\%) than for those who received aspirin alone (9.3\%; \(P<0.001\)).\textsuperscript{128} Benefit was seen as early as 24 hours after the initiation of treatment (the Kaplan-Meier curves began diverging after just 2 hours) and continued throughout the trial’s 1-year treatment period. Clopidogrel was associated with substantially more instances of major bleeding but not with more instances of life-threatening bleeding. The prespecified subgroup analysis, PCI-CURE, found that treatment with clopidogrel before PCI was also associated with a substantial benefit: the reduction in cardiac events was 31\% at 30 days and at 1 year.\textsuperscript{122}

On the basis of the results of the PCI-CURE trial, the CREDO (Clopidogrel for the Reduction of Events During Observation) trial and the CLARITY-TIMI 28 (Clopidogrel as Adjunctive Reperfusion Therapy–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 28) trial, together with the results of a meta-analysis (which found that, in comparison with no pretreatment, clopidogrel pretreatment reduced the incidence of cardiovascular death, MI, or stroke from randomization through 30 days by 41\%; \(P=0.001\)), the 2005 guidelines from the ACC, the AHA, and the Society for Coronary Angiography and Interventions contain a class I, level of evidence A recommendation for clopidogrel pretreatment before PCI.\textsuperscript{124,125}

The risk of major bleeding was increased when patients had received clopidogrel within 5 days before undergoing CABG.\textsuperscript{38} Therefore, the ACC/AHA guidelines recommend discontinuing the administration of clopidogrel at least 5 days before surgery, if possible.\textsuperscript{52,126} The current practice in most hospitals is either to initiate clopidogrel administration at the time of admission (this action affords the benefits of reducing the incidence of early ischemic events and of pretreatment before PCI) or to delay treatment until after
For personal use. Mass reproduce only with permission from Mayo Clinic Proceedings.
FIGURE 4. Algorithm for patients with UA/NSTEMI managed by an initial conservative strategy. When multiple drugs are listed, they are in alphabetical order and not in order of preference. EF = ejection fraction; GP = glycoprotein; LOE = level of evidence; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction; NSTEMI = non–ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction; UA = unstable angina; UFH = unfractionated heparin.

a For full dosing information, see Table 13 in reference 42.

b For example, recurrent symptoms/ischemia, heart failure, or serious arrhythmia.

c For more details on management of patients with UA/NSTEMI after diagnostic angiography, see Figure 9 of reference 42.

d See recommendations in section 3.2.3 of reference 42.

From J Am Coll Cardiol, with permission from Elsevier.
bition than does daily clopidogrel dosing of 75 mg or even 150 mg.\textsuperscript{127} The TRITON-TIMI 38 (Trial to Assess Improvement in Therapeutic Outcomes by Optimizing Platelet Inhibition With Prasugrel–Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction 38) trial administered prasugrel (a 60-mg loading dose and a 10-mg daily maintenance dose) or clopidogrel to 13,608 high-risk patients with ACS who were scheduled for PCI.\textsuperscript{128} The incidence of the primary end point of cardiovascular death, MI, or stroke at 6 to 15 months was significantly lower in the prasugrel group (9.9\%) than in the clopidogrel group (12.1\%; \( P<.001 \)). The incidence of stent thrombosis was 52\% lower with prasugrel (1.1\%) than with clopidogrel (2.4\%; \( P<.001 \)). The risk of TIMI major bleeding, including the risk of fatal bleeding, was higher for the patients receiving prasugrel (2.4\%) than for those receiving clopidogrel (1.8\%; \( P=.03 \)).

Ticagrelor (AZD6140) is a reversible oral P2Y\textsubscript{12} receptor antagonist with a half-life of approximately 12 hours.\textsuperscript{132} The recently completed PLATO (Study of Platelet Inhibition and Patient Outcomes) randomized 18,624 patients with ACS to either ticagrelor (loading dose of 180 mg followed by 90 mg twice daily) or clopidogrel for up to 12 months.\textsuperscript{129} The primary end point of death from vascular causes, MI, or stroke occurred in 9.8\% of patients receiving ticagrelor vs 11.7\% of those receiving clopidogrel (HR, 0.84; 95\% CI, 0.77-0.92; \( P<.001 \)). The rate of death from any cause was also reduced with ticagrelor vs clopidogrel (4.5\% vs 5.9\%; \( P<.001 \)). The rates of major bleeding overall were similar between the ticagrelor and clopidogrel groups (11.6\% vs 11.2\%; \( P=.43 \)).

**GP IIb/IIIa Inhibitors.** The platelet GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors are potent and specific inhibitors of platelet aggregation. They act by interrupting the final common pathway of fibrinogen-mediated cross-linkage of platelets. Several large trials involving patients with UA/NSTEMI have shown that the GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors are of substantial benefit for patients at high risk, those undergoing PCI, or both.\textsuperscript{39,130} Three agents are currently available for use: abciximab, eptifibatide, and tirofiban. Abciximab is indicated only if angiography will not be appreciably delayed and PCI is likely to be performed; otherwise, IV eptifibatide or tirofiban is the preferred choice. The main risk associated with GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors is an increased rate of hemorrhage, usually at the site of vascular intervention. Therefore, patients should be monitored closely for bleeding, and complete blood cell counts should be determined regularly.

The benefit of GP IIb/IIIa inhibition appears to be greatest for patients at higher risk of complications, eg, those with elevated troponin concentrations,\textsuperscript{82,65} diabetes,\textsuperscript{56} ST-segment changes,\textsuperscript{59} recurrent angina,\textsuperscript{39,131} previous aspirin use,\textsuperscript{132} or a TIMI risk score of 4 or higher.\textsuperscript{83} The benefit of GP IIb/IIIa inhibition has been confirmed even for patients who have been pretreated with clopidogrel.\textsuperscript{133} The optimal timing for the initiation of GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors has been debated. The EARLY ACS (Early Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa Inhibition in Non-ST-Segment Elevation Acute Coronary Syndrome) trial involved 9492 patients who were randomly assigned either to early GP IIb/IIIa inhibition or to the provisional use of GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors after angiography. The results showed that early eptifibatide exerted no statistically significant benefit in reducing the composite end point of adverse cardiovascular events but was associated with a statistically significant increase in bleeding rates.\textsuperscript{134}

The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines recommend that, for patients with UA/NSTEMI who will be treated initially according to an invasive strategy, either an intravenous GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor or clopidogrel should be added to aspirin and anticoagulant therapy (upstream) before diagnostic angiography is performed (class I recommendation). They also state that adding both agents is reasonable (class IIa recommendation).\textsuperscript{42}

**Anticoagulant Therapy**

The 2007 ACC/AHA UA/NSTEMI guidelines recommend the initiation of anticoagulant therapy for all patients (without contraindications) as soon as possible after presentation (class I recommendation). The guidelines recommend 4 agents as options: unfractionated heparin (UFH), enoxaparin, fondaparinux, and bivalirudin (approved only for patients managed according to an invasive strategy).

**Unfractionated Heparin.** The results of several randomized trials suggest that UFH is associated with lower rates of death or MI than is aspirin alone.\textsuperscript{36,120,135} The anticoagulant effects of UFH are variable.\textsuperscript{136} The ACC/AHA guidelines recommend weight-adjusted dosing of UFH (60 U/kg bolus and 12 U/kg/hr infusion), frequent monitoring of activated partial thromboplastin time (every 6 hours until 2 consecutive values are within the target range, and every 24 hours thereafter), and titration of UFH according to a standardized nomogram with a target range of activated partial thromboplastin time between 1.5 and 2.0 times that of control, or approximately 50 to 70 seconds.\textsuperscript{42} Administration of UFH should continue for at least 48 hours after presentation with UA/NSTEMI.\textsuperscript{42}

Complete blood cell counts should be determined at least daily during therapy with UFH. Autoimmune heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in association with thrombosis is a rare but dangerous complication of UFH administration (incidence is <0.2\%).\textsuperscript{137} When clinical findings suggest that this complication has occurred, all heparin therapy should be immediately discontinued.

**Low–Molecular-Weight Heparin.** Because the rates of recurrence of ischemic events remain high even when UFH is administered, low–molecular-weight heparins (LMWHs)
was developed with the goal of providing improved anticoagu-
lation. They are active against both factor Xa and factor
IIa; therefore, they inhibit both the action and the generation
of thrombin. Their other advantages over UFH include a
lower rate of thrombocytopenia,\textsuperscript{138} more bioavailability, and
less binding to plasma proteins, a factor that renders moni-
toring the level of anticoagulation unnecessary.

Various LMWHs (dalteparin, enoxaparin, and na-
droparin) have been compared with UFH for the treatment
of UA/NSTEMI, but only enoxaparin has been found to
have a clear benefit. Early trials, such as ESSENCE (Efa-
cicacy and Safety of Subcutaneous Enoxaparin in Non-Q-
Wave Coronary Events) and the TIMI 11B, showed that,
compared with UFH, enoxaparin achieved a 20% reduction
in the incidence of death, MI, recurrent ischemia, or some
combination of these factors.\textsuperscript{139} The SYNERGY (Superior
Yield of the New Strategy of Enoxaparin, Revasculariza-
tion and Glycoprotein IIb/IIIa Inhibitors) trial found that
enoxaparin was not inferior to UFH in the setting of an early
invasive strategy.\textsuperscript{140} However, enoxaparin achieved a clear
benefit over UFH in the setting of a conservative strategy,
as shown by the older trials and the more recent A-to-Z (Aggra-
stat to Zocor) trial.\textsuperscript{141} The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines con-
tain a class IIa recommendation stating that enoxaparin or
fondaparinux (see Factor Xa Inhibitors) is preferable to UFH
as anticoagulant therapy for UA/NSTEMI patients who will
be treated conservatively, unless CABG is planned within
24 hours.\textsuperscript{41} The benefit of enoxaparin is greater for patients
at higher risk, such as those with ST-segment deviation,\textsuperscript{142}
elevated troponin concentrations,\textsuperscript{143} and high TIMI risk
scores.\textsuperscript{82} The rates of major bleeding associated with LM-
WHs have been found to be similar to those associated with
UFH, with 1 exception: the SYNERGY trial found a statisti-
cally significant increase in the incidence of major bleeding
in association with enoxaparin administration.\textsuperscript{140}

Direct Thrombin Inhibitors. Direct thrombin inhibitors
have several potential advantages over indirect thrombin in-
hibitors (such as UFH or LMWH): they do not require a co-
factor such as antithrombin for their action and can thus di-
rectly inhibit clot-bound thrombin; they do not interact with
plasma proteins; and they do not cause thrombocytopenia.

The administration of bivalirudin to patients with UA/
NSTEMI was recently studied in the ACUITY (Acute Cathe-
terization and Urgent Intervention Triage Strategy) trial,
which randomly assigned 13,819 patients with ACS
managed with an early invasive strategy to one of 3 anti-
 thrombotic regimens: UFH (or enoxaparin) plus a GP IIb/
IIIa inhibitor, bivalirudin plus a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor, or
bivalirudin alone.\textsuperscript{144} No differences in the rates of the pri-
mary end point (composite of death, MI, unplanned revas-
cularization for ischemia, and major bleeding at 30 days)
were observed between the group receiving UFH plus a
GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor and the group receiving bivalirudin
plus a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor. However, the 30-day net clini-
cal outcomes were significantly better for the group receiv-
ing bivalirudin alone than for the group receiving UFH plus
a GP IIb/IIIa inhibitor (rates of primary end point, 10.1%
vs 11.7%; \textit{P}=.015); this difference was due primarily to a
substantially reduced rate of major bleeding. The ACC/AHA
guidelines have given bivalirudin a class I recommenda-
tion for the treatment of patients with UA/NSTEMI selected for
an early invasive strategy. The guidelines further state that it
is reasonable to omit the administration of an intravenous GP
IIb/IIIa antagonist if a thienopyridine is administered simul-
taneously with bivalirudin (class IIa recommendation).\textsuperscript{42}

The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines recommend the use of
other direct thrombin inhibitors, such as lepirudin (re-
combinant hirudin) and argatroban, only for patients with
heparin-induced thrombocytopenia.\textsuperscript{42}

Factor Xa Inhibitors. Fondaparinux is a synthetic
pentasaccharide that is an indirect factor Xa inhibitor and
requires antithrombin for its action. The OASIS-5 (Fifth
Organization to Assess Strategies in Acute Ischemic Syn-
dromes) trial, which involved 20,078 patients with high-
risk UA/NSTEMI, compared subcutaneous fondaparinux
at a once-daily dose of 2.5 mg with standard-dose enox-
aparin.\textsuperscript{143} Fondaparinux was found to be not inferior to
enoxaparin in reducing the incidence of the primary out-
comes of death, MI, or refractory ischemia at 9 days. The
rate of major bleeding, however, was almost 50% lower in
the fondaparinux arm than in the enoxaparin arm, and anal-
yses using the composite variable of the primary outcome
and major bleeding at 9 days demonstrated an advantage of
fondaparinux over enoxaparin (incidence, 7.3% vs 9.0%;
HR, 0.81; \textit{P}<.001). Fondaparinux was also associated with a
statistically significant reduction in 30-day and 6-month
mortality rates. In the subset of patients undergoing PCI,
the risk of catheter-related thrombi was more than 3 times
higher in the fondaparinux arm than in the enoxaparin arm;
supplemental UFH at the time of catheterization appeared
to minimize the risk of this complication.

The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines contain a class I recom-
mandation for fondaparinux as treatment for patients with
UA/NSTEMI who will be managed by either a conservative
strategy or an early invasive strategy, unless CABG is planned
within 24 hours.\textsuperscript{43} They further state that fondaparinux is pre-
ferred over other anticoagulants for patients who are selected
for a conservative treatment strategy and who are at an in-
creased risk of bleeding (class I recommendation).

Oral Anticoagulation. Trials of oral anticoagulation
with warfarin after ACS have demonstrated the benefit
of the combination of warfarin plus aspirin over aspirin
alone, provided a sufficient degree of anticoagulation was
achieved.\textsuperscript{146–148} However, a similar degree of benefit is seen
with clopidogrel plus aspirin rather than with aspirin alone, without the drawback of monitoring the international normalized ratio, as is necessary with warfarin therapy. In addition, the use of clopidogrel is well established for patients with ACS who undergo PCI and stenting. Thus, the clinical use of aspirin plus warfarin is limited. Occasionally, an indication for warfarin, in addition to aspirin and clopidogrel, arises after UA/NSTEMI (eg, for patients with atrial fibrillation, a mechanical prosthetic valve, or LV thrombus).

**Discharge Antithrombotic Therapy.** The 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines provide clear recommendations for antithrombotic therapy at the time of discharge; these recommendations are based on the management strategy (Figure 5). The benefits and risks of triple antithrombotic therapy with aspirin, clopidogrel, and warfarin have not been clearly established. Such therapy should be selected only when clear indications are present and should be administered for the shortest possible time and at the lowest effective doses: aspirin, 81 mg; warfarin, titrated to the dosage necessary to sustain an international normalized ratio of 2.0 to 2.5 (Class IIb recommendation).42

**LIPID-LOWERING THERAPY**

In the absence of contraindications, lipid-lowering therapy with statins should be initiated for all patients with UA/NSTEMI, regardless of baseline LDL cholesterol levels. If the LDL cholesterol concentration is 100 mg/dL (to con-
vert to mmol/L, multiply by 0.0259) or higher, cholesterol-lowering therapy should be initiated or intensified with the goal of achieving an LDL cholesterol concentration lower than 100 mg/dL. An update to both the Adult Treatment Panel III guidelines and the 2007 ACC/AHA guidelines states that further titration to a dose necessary to sustain an LDL cholesterol concentration of 70 mg/dL or lower is reasonable (class IIa recommendation).

The LIPID (Long-Term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischaemic Disease) trial demonstrated that, compared with placebo, pravastatin achieved a 26% reduction in mortality rates ($P=.004$) for patients with UA, as well as statistically significant reductions in the incidence of subsequent MI, coronary revascularization, and stroke. The PROVE IT (Pravastatin or Atorvastatin Evaluation and Infection Therapy)-TIMI 22 trial found that, compared with moderate lipid lowering after ACS with standard-dose pravastatin (40 mg/d), intensive lipid lowering with high-dose atorvastatin (80 mg/d) achieved a 16% reduction in the primary composite end point of all-cause death, MI, UA requiring rehospitalization or revascularization, and stroke. The benefit was linked to statistically significant reductions in both LDL cholesterol and CRP concentrations.

CONCLUSION

During the past quarter of a century, huge advances have been made in our understanding of the pathophysiology of ACS, and these advances have been accompanied by important breakthroughs in the management of this condition. Accurate diagnosis of ACS has life-saving implications and requires a careful assessment of both the patient's history and the findings on physical examination, 12-lead ECG, and cardiac biomarker assays. The initial management of UA/NSTEMI involves both aggressive medical therapy and revascularization. Early risk stratification permits the identification of high-risk patients who stand to gain the most from potent therapies such as GP IIb/IIIa inhibitors and early invasive strategies. Platelets play a crucial role in ACS, and newer antiplatelet drugs continue to be developed with the goal of maximizing the reduction in atherothrombotic events while minimizing bleeding complications.
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