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One of the world’s largest defense companies

**BAE Systems plc**
- 107,000 employees
- 2009 sales of £22.4B ($35.1B**)
- 2009 order book of $73.4B**
- Second largest global defense company
- Top 10 U.S. prime contractor
- Seven home nations
- Presence in more than 100 nations

**BAE Systems, Inc.**
- 52,000 employees
  (44,000 in the U.S.)
- 2009 sales of £12.4B ($19.4B**)
- Major operations in 38 states, the UK, Sweden, Israel, Germany, Mexico, Switzerland, and South Africa
- A U.S. company chartered in Delaware
- Special security agreement with US Gov’t

**Defense News Top 100**
Based on 2008 Revenues ($B)

**BAE Systems Sales from 2002 – 2009**
(Figures in £000s)

**US$ equivalent calculated using the 2009 average exchange rate of $/£ = 1.566, denoting sales and orders throughout the year**
Products: Land and Armaments – Ground Combat Vehicles

Supporting the Warfighter – current to future

Core Competencies:
- Combat vehicle survivability
- Modeling and simulation
- Systems integration
- Rapid prototyping
- Reliability testing
- Lean manufacturing
- Field support

Current Force
- Amphibious Family of Vehicles
- Survivable Wheeled Vehicles

Future Force
- Self Propelled Artillery Family of Vehicles
- Personnel Carrier Family
- Future Combat Vehicle
- Advanced Tactical Vehicle
- High Energy Laser Technology Demonstrator
- Combat Systems
- Recovery Vehicle
# Properties of Steel, Aluminum, and Titanium Armor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RHA Steel</th>
<th>Aluminum 5083</th>
<th>Ti-6Al-4V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIL-DTL-12560</td>
<td>MIL-DTL-46027</td>
<td>MIL-DTL-46077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength (MPa)</td>
<td>1,170</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/cm³)</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Strength* (MPa-cm³/g)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mass Efficiency (Eₘ)**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0-1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Specific strength—tensile strength divided by density.

** Mass Efficiency (Eₘ)—the weight per unit area of RHA required to defeat a given ballistic threat divided by the weight per unit area of the subject material.
Tracked vehicles

Bradley Fighting Vehicle
CV90
Hercules
Warrior
AAV
Armored Medical Evacuation Vehicle
Challenger II
Bv206S
BvS10
Bulldog
M113 Family of Vehicles
ACV 300
Trojan
Terrier
Titan
FAASV
MLRS (CHASSIS)
M9 ACE
Artillery systems

- Paladin PIM
- Archer
- M777
- FH-77
- AS90
- Light Gun
- M109 Family of Vehicles
- HIMARS (CHASSIS)
Wheeled vehicles

- FMTV
- JLTV (GTS)
- JLTV (USCS)
- RG-35
- Armored Commercial Vehicles
- RG-33 4x4
- RG-33 6x6 MMPV
- Up-Armored Humvee
- Caiman MRAP
- RG-12
- Panther
- RG-31 Mk5
- RG-31 Mk6
- Tactica
- RG-31 Ambulance
- RG-32 M
Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicle

• Commander’s Hatch
  Development began in 1990 (over 20 years ago)
  - ~150 lb Ti-6Al-4V forging
  - Specification developed for optimizing ballistic properties
  - Ballistic testing required on every 50 hatches
  - Over 2000 produced, no failures
  - Single melt option recently tested and added to specification

• Roof armor
  - 5/8 inch plate, unwelded
  - Developed specification – not MIL-DTL-46077
  - Composition optional
  - O content <0.30
  - Ballistic qualification
M777 Lightweight Towed Howitzer

- BAE Systems UK Global Combat Systems
- All titanium (with primary exception of barrel)
- Welded Ti-6Al-4V sheet, and castings
- 777 systems delivered
  - In service in Afghanistan since 2006
- Replaces 17,000 pound M198
  - Less than 10,000 pounds
- Permits single rotor helo transport
R&D vehicles using titanium

- AGS-Light, Air Droppable Tank
  - Ti hatches, armor plate
- Crusader Self Propelled Howitzer
  - Gun mount
- Composite Armored Vehicle
  - Selective armored areas
- Pegasus 8x8 Wheeled Demonstrator
  - Primary hull structure
- NLOS Lightweight Self Propelled Howitzer
  - Several gun components
- ARES Space Frame Demonstrator
  - Lower hull, space frame

Never made it to production
Combat Vehicle Market - Forces

- Declining defense budget
- Increasing national deficit
- Affordability
  - See recent GCV competition
- Exit of Iraq, Afghanistan in 2012-13
- The asymmetrical threat is not going away fast enough
- Plenty of new programs on the drawing board

Each vehicle will require significant armor upgrades
Competition from other Advanced Materials

- Combat Vehicle materials are currently MIL-SPEC steel and aluminum armor materials
- Non-armor materials (suspension, powertrain, structure) are commercial steel or aluminum alloys
- Adopting new materials for military vehicle market follows development for aerospace or commercial sector
- Ceramics, organic composites, metal matrix composites, etc.
  - On paper, excellent performance with light weight
  - Often proprietary – desire multiple sources
  - Lack of industrial or military specification
  - Downstream processing not understood: cutting, machining, joining, coatings, etc.
  - Effort needed to develop the above is not insignificant

Titanium is already there!
Improved fuel economy

- Cost of fuel in theatre
  - $40.00 per gallon average
    (CNN report, August 17, 2011)

- 1 out of 8 casualties in Iraq were associated with Convoys
  - Not all convoys carried fuel exclusively

- Improved mileage due to reduced weight –BAE Systems Study
  - Roughly 1 MPG for tracked combat infantry fighting vehicle
  - Infantry Fighting Vehicle study indicated 1.7% of fuel savings for each 1000 pounds of weight reduction
  - Replacing majority of steel armor with Ti could readily achieve about 4000 pound weight reduction
    - 6.8% fuel economy improvement
  - $19,000 saved per vehicle lifetime in fuel costs
  - Reduced weight also significantly reduces wear on suspension components
Potential Future Ground Applications

- Ground Combat Vehicle
  - Delayed by protest

- Joint Light Tactical Vehicle
  - Still under competition for EMD phase

- Marine Personnel Carrier
  - In development

- Bradley Infantry Fighting Vehicle
  - Survivability upgrades hitting upper weight limit

- Armored Personnel Carrier Replacement

- Paladin Integrated Management
  - Self propelled next generation howitzer

- Expeditionary Fighting Vehicle
  - Marines seeking replacement

- Amphibious Assault Vehicle
  - Survivability upgrades planned

Many opportunities for titanium
New technologies discussed at ITA-2011

- Solid state powder metallurgy processes maturing for sheet and plate
  - Near Net Shape Processing
  - Roll compaction
  - HIP
  - PIF
- Non-Kroll manufacturing processes developing
  - Hunter
  - Hydrogenated Dehydrogenated Powder
  - FFC Cambridge
- Continuous cast billet
Opportunities and Challenges – Ground Combat Vehicles

- Despite declining defense budget, as many new vehicle programs or upgrades are on the horizon as ever
- Increased armor protection at lightest weight is a requirement across combat and tactical platforms
- Argument that titanium is ‘expensive’ compared to incumbent materials—needs to be evaluated relative to life cycle cost
  - Several $M vehicle could have as much as 10,000 pounds of Ti for armor or structure which represents less than 10% of the acquisition cost
  - Enables armor protection, mobility, fuel economy, reduced maintenance
- New technologies for lower cost production will help
U.S. Combat Systems Commitment

We Protect Those Who Protect Us