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A Story of Limits

Whether American-born or foreign-born, Asian Americans have enjoyed a much-envied reputation for achievement in education and technology. However, the modern tale of Asian success in American society obscures the fact that Asians have found it difficult to reach the highest levels of leadership in government, education, and business. For large companies in the Bay Area, this can become a business-impacting issue in the next 5 years as Asians continue to be the fastest growing segment of the Bay Area workforce, especially as technology and knowledge workers. This paper will provide a quantitative review of the slow progress made by the Asian executives in the largest Bay Area corporations and begin a qualitative discussion on underlying factors behind this phenomenon.

A Story of Success

Statistics abound to document the impact of Asians in America. In the past three decades, the Asian American population has simply exploded—from 1.5 Million in 1970 to over 13 Million by 2004. The Asian American median 2004 household income of $56K is well above than the U.S. median of $44K [2]. 44% of Asian Americans hold college degrees vs. 24% for the general population [3]. In the Bay Area, people see visible evidence of Asian overachievement success simply by wandering around any local college campus such as UC/Berkeley, where Asian Americans were 41% of Cal’s 2003 undergraduate population.

We celebrate the technology-fueled growth and success of Bay Area companies and, often, of Asian business leaders. Since the early days of Silicon Valley, we have heard stories of high profile entrepreneurs such as Jerry Yang (Yahoo! co-founder), Vinod Khosla (Sun Microsystems co-founder), or Chong-Moon Lee (Diamond Multimedia founder & philanthropist).

And balanced against the national picture, Bay Area companies have a very positive story to tell about Asian presence in the highest executive levels. For instance, 13 of the 100 largest companies in the Bay Area have an Asian CEO [4] in 2008, significantly higher than the national Asian population of 5%. Asians comprised 5% of the board for the 25 largest Bay Area companies in 2008 [5], also much improved over the 1% of all Fortune 500 corporate board seats [6].

But such a positive interpretation is wrong.
A Constricted Executive Pipeline

This phenomenon is not new.

In one 1993 study of Asian attitudes in Silicon Valley, 80% of those surveyed thought that Asians were underrepresented in the upper management [7]. Another author recounts an even earlier incident at an aerospace company [8]:

"Complaints about the glass ceiling formally surfaced in the 1970s... According to one person, studies of their managerial representation were initiated at the request of the center director, whose explicit aim was to show that Asian professionals at the center were a "model" for other minorities, who were more vocal... [but] the statistical findings proved surprising. Instead of being a model minority, Asian American employees were one grade lower than expected, and the critical comparison group was not the other minorities, but white males."

A recent research report from the Corporate Executive Initiative, “A Bay Area Corporate Census: Asian American Executives”, shows that the Asian population continues to be statistically underrepresented through the highest Bay Area executive levels and especially in Silicon Valley [5]. Data from that report is summarized in Table 1 and shows that only 5% of Bay Area board members are Asians, although Asians represent 21% of the local population.

The table highlights the fallout at each level of the executive pipeline and illustrates the constricted flow of Asian executives into the highest corporate positions. It is also noteworthy that this data shows that Pacific Rim Asians are not as successful as South Asians in reaching vice presidential levels commensurate to their percentage of the general workforce.

Although the Asian perception of an Asian glass ceiling is not new, it remains an unfamiliar concept outside the Asian community.

A Story of Failure

In 2008, a Bay Area Fortune 500 company used a well-known consulting organization to conduct a study of its U.S. pool of Asian employees. Among other results, the consultants concluded that the company was doing a satisfactory job at recruiting and developing management talent, based upon their observation that the proportion of Asian employees and managers were higher than those at similar companies they had benchmarked nationally and much higher than the 5% Asian percentage of the overall U.S. population.

But these consultants failed to recognize that Bay Area demographics are unique and were blinded by their preconceptions of Asian success. They did not break down Asian participation through the management grade levels. More importantly, their analysis failed to grasp that “Asian” as a demographic category does not work as a useful operational model for assessing corporate managerial development. Quite simply, they “just didn’t get it.”

To begin with the obvious, the Bay Area has the highest percentage of Asian population of any metropolitan area in the continental U.S. A more thoughtful analysis of employment and management talent for Bay Area companies must consider the fact that Asians represent 23% of the overall Bay Area population and over 30% in San Francisco and Silicon Valley.

For instance, another examination of the 13 Asian CEOs cited as a Bay Area success story above yields an altogether different conclusion. Yes, there were 13 Asian CEOs among the largest 100 Bay Area companies, but a closer look finds that six were CEO/founders and one was a Japanese CEO of a bank owned by the Bank of Tokyo. Given that Asians are 23% of the Bay Area employee pool, the remaining figure of 6% as an Asian CEO population and 5% board population means that Asians have not been successful at the highest rungs of the corporate ladder.

Looking even closer and identifying the six remaining Asian CEOs as either “South Asian” or “Pacific Rim Asian”, we find another insight. This paper uses the term “South Asian” to include Asians from India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. The term “Pacific Rim Asian” means all other Asians, principally from China, Japan, the Philippines, Korea, and Vietnam.

All six were located in Silicon Valley, with three CEOs being South Asian, and other three Pacific Rim Asian. Because the overall Silicon Valley population is 5% South Asian and 25% Pacific Rim Asian, it appears that both South Asians and Pacific Rim Asians are not being successful in attaining CEO positions, but that Pacific Rim Asians are even more unsuccessful.

For instance, another examination of the 13 Asian CEOs cited as a Bay Area success story above yields an altogether different conclusion. Yes, there were 13 Asian CEOs among the largest 100 Bay Area companies, but a closer look finds that six were CEO/founders and one was a Japanese CEO of a bank owned by the Bank of Tokyo. Given that Asians are 23% of the Bay Area employee pool, the remaining figure of 6% as an Asian CEO population and 5% board population means that Asians have not been successful at the highest rungs of the corporate ladder.

Looking even closer and identifying the six remaining Asian CEOs as either “South Asian” or “Pacific Rim Asian”, we find another insight. This paper uses the term “South Asian” to include Asians from India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh. The term “Pacific Rim Asian” means all other Asians, principally from China, Japan, the Philippines, Korea, and Vietnam.

All six were located in Silicon Valley, with three CEOs being South Asian, and other three Pacific Rim Asian. Because the overall Silicon Valley population is 5% South Asian and 25% Pacific Rim Asian, it appears that both South Asians and Pacific Rim Asians are not being successful in attaining CEO positions, but that Pacific Rim Asians are even more unsuccessful.

Although the Asian perception of an Asian glass ceiling is not new, it remains an unfamiliar concept outside the Asian community.

A recent research report from the Corporate Executive Initiative, “A Bay Area Corporate Census: Asian American Executives”, shows that the Asian population continues to be statistically underrepresented through the highest Bay Area executive levels and especially in Silicon Valley [5]. Data from that report is summarized in Table 1 and shows that only 5% of Bay Area board members are Asians, although Asians represent 21% of the local population.

The table highlights the fallout at each level of the executive pipeline and illustrates the constricted flow of Asian executives into the highest corporate positions. It is also noteworthy that this data shows that Pacific Rim Asians are not as successful as South Asians in reaching vice presidential levels commensurate to their percentage of the general workforce.

Table 1: Bay Area–Asian Executive Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Asians</th>
<th>South Asian</th>
<th>Pacific Rim Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area Board (%)</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area Corp Officers (%)</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>6.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-based Bay Area VPs (%)</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>8.2%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area Population (%)</td>
<td>21.1%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>18.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An additional Table 2 is also included to examine the situation in high-tech Bay Area companies only. What is surprising in this second table is that there is little difference at the highest levels between the Table 2 pipeline in high-tech companies (e.g. HP) and Table 1 pipeline for all, including companies such as Wells Fargo and Safeway. This outcome is notable since the Asian population is much higher in Silicon Valley than the overall Bay Area and Asian contributions in engineering and technology are more visible.

Table 2: High-Tech--Asian Executive Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All Asians</th>
<th>South Asian</th>
<th>Pacific Rim Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-tech Board (%)</td>
<td>6.4%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-tech Corp Off (%)</td>
<td>9.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-based High-tech VPs (%)</td>
<td>14.4%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay Area Population (%)</td>
<td>30.2%</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>24.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional research from the CEI report indicates that South Asians appear to be successfully reaching the vice president levels and are, in the past decade, beginning to make progress into the higher executive ranks. These gains at the executive level should be expected because the South Asian population in the U.S., especially in Silicon Valley, has experienced explosive growth. Table 2 demonstrates, however, that South Asians have not made similar gains as corporate officers and board members. In fact, since 1999, South Asian Bay Area board membership has only grown from 0.9% to 1.6% and South Asian corporate officers have grown from 0.7% to 2.9%.

The Asian Executive Failure: Discussion

This paper has clearly established, using publicly available corporate data, that there is massive fallout of Asian employees in Bay Area corporations through a tightly constricted management pipeline. However, it is not clear how to prove root cause and identify corrective actions. Each company characterizes its own set of leadership skills for a profile for a successful executive; and their executives, whether Asian or not, must individually decide if that skill set can be developed within the content of their character.

Nevertheless, this paper will present potential root cause factors as a starting point for further discussion. All of these are based upon on qualitative observations and frank conversations about cultural-based Asian behaviors that we have had with 50 different Asian and non-Asian executives in the Bay Area and New York in the past 24 months. None of these factors are new thoughts, and there is much literature on this topic. As one example, more perspectives and research data can be found in Breaking the Bamboo Ceiling [8].

Perception of the Asian Constituency:

Common misperception by corporate management that there is no Asian problem. There is a huge perception gap about career advancement between corporate management and ambitious Asians at the executive and pre-executive grades. The reaction of virtually all non-Asian executives engaged in this discussion has been “Gee, I didn’t know, and what should we do about it?” whereas the Asian reaction has been “I know that I am one of the few Asian execs here, but I am too busy to try to change the system.” Because there appears to be a profusion of successful mid-level Asian managers in the professional workplace in these companies, the dearth of Asian executives is really a problem hidden in plain view.

Numerous conversations with mid-level Asian managers in many companies have led us to conclude that the Asian employee population generally recognizes this as a common problem, and is unhappy with the fact that there is little or no progress. But it is no surprise that there has been little change in the number of Asian corporate officers and board members in the past 10 years. Corporate management does not see this problem. No one is making them aware of the Asian employee frustration. As a result, no corrective actions mean more of the same.

Limited/Indifferent Asian Executive Leadership:

Generally, the Asian executive community has shown little leadership to address an issue that is acknowledged as real, but is judged not important enough to fix. One factor of this diffidence is the view that Asian advocacy work would be seen to suggest that racial diversity is more important than results, merit and excellence—a concept that is heretical to traditional Asian culture and disdained by an executive who strongly believes that merit is rewarded. This view also reflects the misunderstanding of the value and priorities of corporate diversity.

Another factor is the real fear that an Asian executive raising these questions would be negatively perceived as an internal malcontent. Finally, it is always difficult for any executive to make time for such leadership because hours spent on these sorts of issues are usually irrelevant to his/her business assignment, typically unrecognized by corporate management, and unrewarded by the compensation system.

Lack of executive involvement limits the effective scope of corporate Employee Resource Networks (ERN) at different companies in the Bay Area. Those networks are comprised of low-to-mid level employees and, except for their executive sponsors, include no participation by Asian executives. Although ERNs are sometimes touted as the core of a successful corporate diversity program, lack of executive partnership means that an Asian ERN cannot impact the most significant Asian diversity problem in the Bay Area without the strong engagement and sponsorship of the Asian executive community.
A Cultural Divide - passive deference to hierarchical authority:

Cultural deference creates a number of career-limiting obstacles for Asian executives when they interact with their managers, peers, and direct reports. Managers view a pattern of deference in 1-to-1 discussions or project team interactions as failure of confidence, lack of knowledge, or obstructionist passive-aggressive behavior. More assertive peers can press arguments to create perceived authority and intimidate their Asian counterparts. Asian managers who try to use a hierarchical management approach will find it challenging to deal with subordinates who do not defer to their direct positional authority. If they cannot adapt from an Asian management philosophy to the Western model for corporate leadership, Asians will fail to be effective.

Even for many senior executives who “have made it”, the same issues exist. Although they reached the executive level, many Asians are reluctant to speak up, stand out and make waves among their peers and managers, limiting their own upward opportunities as corporate officers and board members.

A Communications Imperative:

The lack of good English language speaking skills creates multiple problems for any manager in a U.S. company, not just Asians. First, it diminishes the real effectiveness of a person with poor English skills in meetings, where most of the work of any manager is done. Over time, a small group can get used to dealing with someone with poor language skills. However, as a manager moves up in the organization, he/she interacts with more people but less frequently, so this impacts performance. Additionally, people with strong accents or limited vocabularies know that they have this problem and are more apt to be silent in larger meetings to avoid potential embarrassment.

Political Awareness:

Political/organizational awareness requires corporate networking and socialization skills. Asians do not invest much effort into peer networking activities. We do not see the lack of a good internal network as a strict impediment to leadership development, but, rather, see strong personal networks as a resource that a manager uses to increase organizational effectiveness in a complex corporate environment. A successful manager at all levels needs to understand how the large organization makes decisions, who makes those decisions, and what is needed to make those decisions across the company.

This is a special problem for Asian managers working for U.S. companies in Asia. Lack of organizational awareness and visibility to the behavioral dynamics will impair their ability to grow to be global leader and limit their assignments outside of Asia.

Closing Thoughts

There is a tightly constricted executive pipeline for Asian employees in the Bay Area—a large base of Asian employees but a small segment of executive management. This is a reality widely known by the Asian workforce, but hidden in plain sight to corporate management. This perception gap is the heart of a problem that has persisted for decades, and indicates a failure of leadership within the Bay Area executive community, especially within the Asian executive community.

Asians are already a highly visible portion of most Bay Area companies (over 30% of the employee base in many) and continue to be the fastest growing ethnic population and talent pool. It will be increasingly harder to deny the executive pipeline problem, especially in the face of new research data and increasing visibility within the Asian community. Growing businesses must recognize that it is in their best business interests to develop and retain their high potential Asian talent. The number of Asians who manage to reach the highest levels is as much dependent, however, upon their readiness to embrace and extend the U.S. corporate leadership model.

The inexorable rise of India and China is forcing the largest companies to rethink the role of their Asian operations and transforming their Asian presence from sales and low-cost manufacturing outposts into strategic parts of their corporate decision making. For example, in 2006 IBM moved its Chief Procurement Officer and CPO operations from its New York headquarters to Shenzhen, China. That same year, Cisco relocated its Chief Globalization Officer and a portion of the corporate staff from San Jose to a newly opened dual corporate headquarters in Bangalore, India.

Such moves create opportunities for global companies such as IBM and Cisco to finally attract and retain the very best Asian executive talent who aspire to high corporate roles. However, it also means that these potential in-place Asian executives in Asia will interact with the U.S. corporate organization as they deal with global strategy, and they will run into the same cultural obstacles that their counterparts already see in the U.S. In effect, the Asian pipeline problem is a serious challenge for any U.S. company that wants to position itself as a global company run by a global management team. Unless those companies recognize that problem, they will not be able to tap the potential of many of their best Asian employees, both in Asia and the U.S., to become corporate leaders.
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About Corporate Executive Initiative (CEI):
The Corporate Executive Initiative (CEI), a joint project of Ascend/Bay Area and the Asia Society/Northern California, is a volunteer-driven project to recruit and assemble a world class network of Asian American executives from large companies in the San Francisco Bay Area to actively assist leadership development of high potential Asian American employees. By leveraging the knowledge and expertise of its prominent, high-level members, the CEI Network will become a major resource for executive development for the Bay Area Business Community and a more visible leader for the Asian American Corporate Community.

About Asia Society/Northern California:
Asia Society is the leading global and Pan-Asian organization working to strengthen relationships and promote understanding among the people, leaders, and institutions of the United States and Asia. Asia Society seeks to increase knowledge and enhance dialogue, encourage creative expression, and generate new ideas across the fields of policy, business, education, arts, and culture. Asia Society was founded in 1956 by John D. Rockefeller 3rd. Initially established to promote greater knowledge of Asia in the U.S., the Society today is a global institution—with offices throughout the U.S. and Asia—that fulfills its educational mandate through a wide range of cross-disciplinary programming. As economies and cultures have become more interconnected, the Society’s programs have expanded to address Asian American issues, the effects of globalization, and pressing concerns in Asia including human rights, the status of women, and environmental and global health issues such as HIV/AIDS. Asia Society is a 501(c) non-profit organization.

About Ascend/Northern California:
Ascend’s mission is to enhance the presence and influence of current and future business leaders of Asian and Pacific Islander decent. The majority of our members are from fields where there are high concentrations of Asians including business, finance, accounting and technology. Membership is open to all individuals who share our mission regardless of ethnicity and professional background. Since the launch of the Metro New York chapter in June 2005, the national membership base has grown to chapters in Atlanta, Boston, Chicago, Houston, Los Angeles, New York, North Texas, Philadelphia, San Francisco Bay Area and Washington D.C with plans for new chapters in, Seattle, San Diego, Toronto and other major cities. Ascend is a 501(c) non-profit organization.
Notes and data sources:

[1] Terminology describing Asian ethnic groups are (using U.S. Census groupings):
(a) “Asians” include people of Asian descent, both American-born and foreign born, and includes people of more than one race; the terms “Asian” and “Asian American” is used as interchangeable terms in this report unless otherwise noted.
(b) “South Asian” includes Asians from India, Pakistan, and Bangladesh; and
(c) “Pacific Rim Asian” includes Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Korean, Vietnamese, and “Other.”


A joint project of Ascend and the Asia Society, February, 2009


[8] “Toward an Inclusive Framework for Envisioning Race, Gender, and Leadership”, Patricia S. Parker, Gender, Race, and Ethnicity in the Workplace, Margaret Foegen Karsten, 2006
