

# International Federation of Fertility Societies

## Global Standards of Infertility Care

### Standard 15

#### Blastocyst Culture and Transfer

|                              |   |
|------------------------------|---|
| <b>Name</b>                  | <b>Blastocyst culture and Transfer</b>                                |
| <b>Version Number</b>        | <b>IFFS/Standards</b>   |
| <b>Author</b>                | <b>Standards and Practice Committee -<br/>Marc Fritz, Lead Author</b> |
| <b>Date approved by IFFS</b> | 13 <sup>th</sup> October 2013   |
| <b>Date of review</b>        | October 2016  |

#### **Introduction:**

The goal of IFFS Practice Standards are to provide policy and decision-makers and the clinical and scientific community with a set of recommendations that can be used as a basis for developing or revising institutional or national guidelines on selected practice recommendations for infertility practice.

The document addresses minimal standards of practice but does not provide rigid guidelines but rather gives recommendations that provide the basis for rationalizing the provision of infertility services in view of the most up-to-date information available.

The intent of IFFS practice standards is to help improve access to, quality of, and safety of infertility and assisted conception services. These improvements must be made within the context of users' informed choice and medical safety. Because national situations and programme environments vary so greatly, it is inappropriate to set firm international guidelines on infertility practice. However, it is expected that institutional and national programmes will use these clinical standards documents for updating or developing their own infertility guidelines in the light of their national health policies, regulatory framework, needs, priorities and resources. Adaptation is not always an easy task and is best done by those well-acquainted with prevailing health conditions, behaviors, and cultures.

#### **Rationale**

Although the first human birth after in vitro fertilization resulted from transfer of a blastocyst, most transfers in the years since have involved cleavage-stage embryos (day 2 or 3 after fertilization), primarily because existing cell culture systems could not reliably support later stages of embryo growth and development. Advances in our understanding of the physiology of early human embryos spurred the development of modern culture systems that can reliably and consistently generate viable blastocysts, and their commercial availability allows any treatment center to incorporate "extended culture" into its practice.(1-5)

Blastocyst culture and transfer offer a number of potential advantages over transfer of cleavage-stage embryos, including: 1) improved ability to assess viability; 2) improved

synchronization between embryo and endometrial development; 3) improved implantation rates, allowing transfer of fewer embryos and thereby decreasing the risk for multiple pregnancy; and 4) the opportunity to perform pre-implantation genetic testing, when it is indicated.(1,6,7) Extended culture improves the ability to assess embryo viability and developmental potential because only a few embryonic genes are transcribed before the 8-cell stage.(8-10) Post-compaction embryos can better regulate their internal physiology and are better equipped to adapt to their environment.(11-14) Extended culture also may help to mitigate any adverse effects of the abnormal endocrine milieu on uterine receptivity that result from ovarian stimulation or on transferred embryos themselves.(15-17).

## **Definition**

Blastocyst is the stage of embryonic pre-implantation development defined by the appearance of an inner cell mass and a blastocoel cavity surrounded by a layer of cytotrophoblast cells. Typically the blastocyst stage is reached by the fifth day post fertilization.

## **Evidence for blastocyst transfer**

Trials evaluating the effectiveness of blastocyst culture and transfer have varied in patient populations, culture systems, and the numbers of embryos transferred. Whereas those conducted in unselected populations have yielded mixed results, trials in “good prognosis” populations (based on age, number of previous failed cycles, oocyte yield, and/or the number and quality of embryos) have consistently observed higher implantation rates (fetal heart/embryo transferred) after blastocyst transfer, compared with cleavage-stage embryo transfer.(18-20)

A 2008 systematic review included 18 randomized controlled trials (9 in unselected patients, 9 in good prognosis populations) involving a total of 2616 couples, with 1321 having a cleavage-stage embryo transfer (day 2-3 after fertilization) and 1295 having a blastocyst transfer (day 5-7).(21) In 17 trials, the clinical pregnancy rate was significantly higher among those having a blastocyst transfer (40.0% vs. 36.0%; OR 1.17; 95% CI 1.00-1.38). In 9 trials, the live birth rate also was significantly higher (36.0% vs. 29.4%; OR 1.35; 95% CI 1.05-1.74), but only when patients were randomized on day 2-3 or when an equal number of embryos was transferred. Overall, the multiple pregnancy rate (14 trials; OR 0.94, 95% CI 0.72-1.23) and miscarriage rate (12 trials; OR 1.21, 95% CI 0.88-1.66) after cleavage stage and blastocyst transfer were not significantly different.(21)

Among good prognosis patients having 1-3 embryos transferred, clinical pregnancy rates after cleavage-stage and blastocyst transfer were not significantly different (1315 patients; OR 1.21, 95% CI 0.96-1.51), but the live birth rate was significantly higher after blastocyst transfer (760 patients; OR 1.49, 95% CI 1.10-2.03).(21)

Among unselected populations and those having one or more previous failed cycles, pregnancy and live-birth rates after blastocyst or cleavage-stage embryo transfer were not different.(21) In one randomized trial involving 54 patients with a poor prognosis (three or more previous failed cycles after transfer of 2-3 cleavage-stage embryos), the clinical pregnancy rate was higher after blastocyst transfer than after cleavage-stage embryo transfer (21.7% vs. 12.9%), but the difference was not significant. The implantation rate was higher after blastocyst transfer (21.2% vs. 6%), but the live birth rate per retrieval was not different

(13% vs. 10.3%), because some patients randomized to blastocyst transfer had no morula or blastocyst available for transfer.(22)

In sum, the data from randomized trials indicate that blastocyst transfer yields a significantly higher live birth rate after fresh embryo transfer in good prognosis patients when the commitment to extended culture occurs on day 2-3 after fertilization, but blastocyst transfer does not improve outcomes in unselected and poor prognosis patient populations.

### **Risks of blastocyst transfer**

Whereas blastocyst culture and transfer offer significant potential benefits, at least for good prognosis patients, they also have some potential risks, including an increased risk that no embryos may be available for transfer, a higher risk for multiple pregnancy when more than one blastocyst is transferred, an increased risk for monozygotic twinning, an increased incidence of male births, a decreased number of embryos available for cryopreservation, and a higher incidence of adverse neonatal outcomes.

In the absence of any established methods for predicting blastocyst development, there is a risk that extended culture will yield no blastocysts suitable for transfer. Whereas the probability of blastocyst formation relates to the number of blastomeres and the degree of embryo fragmentation observed on day 3 after fertilization,(23-27) blastocyst development is not certain and results vary widely among patients.(28) The incidence of cancelled transfers is significantly higher in unselected patients randomized to extended culture or cleavage-stage transfer (16 trials; 8.9% vs. 2.8%, OR 2.85, 95% CI 1.97-4.11), but similar in good prognosis patient populations (9 trials; OR 1.50, 95% CI 0.79-2.84).(29) A number of clinical (age, parity) and cycle parameters (antral follicle count, fertilization method, number and quality of embryos) have been associated with successful blastocyst development,(30,31) but trials testing their utility have not yet been performed.

Not surprisingly, given the higher implantation rate of blastocysts, compared to cleavage-stage embryos, the risk for multiple pregnancy also is increased when more than one blastocyst is transferred. Retrospective non-randomized studies of outcomes observed in good prognosis patients after transfer of one or two blastocysts suggest strongly that elective single blastocyst transfer can markedly reduce the incidence of twinning (1-2% vs. 25-44%) without decreasing clinical pregnancy rates (63-65% vs. 61-63%).(32,33) In one study of outcomes in oocyte donation cycles, the incidence of twinning after transfer of one blastocyst was much lower than after transfer of two blastocysts (2% vs. 54%), although the clinical pregnancy rate also was slightly lower (63% vs. 74%).(32)

Results from a number of studies have suggested that blastocyst transfer is associated with a 2 to 5-fold increased risk for monozygotic twinning, compared with cleavage-stage embryo transfer.(34,35) Whereas one examining outcomes after single blastocyst transfer found no difference in risk,(36) another identified blastocyst transfer as an independent predictor for monozygotic twinning (OR 2.48, 95% CI 1.62-3.80).(37)

Blastocyst transfer may alter the sex ratio of children resulting from treatment. A majority, but not all,(38) studies have observed an increased incidence of male births after blastocyst transfer, compared with cleavage-stage embryo transfer(39-42) or naturally conceived pregnancies.(43) The observation may relate to evidence from animal studies indicating that male embryos develop more quickly, because embryologists generally select the most

advanced blastocysts for transfer.(44) A meta-analysis of data from four trials including 2587 births observed an increased male:female ratio after blastocyst transfer, compared with cleavage-stage embryo transfer (56.8% vs. 50.9%; OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.10-1.51).(35) A study of 5773 births recorded in the U.S. Society for Assisted Reproductive Technologies national database yielded conflicting results; the incidence of male births was significantly increased when outcomes of all transfers on or after day 3 were compared (49.5% vs. 54.9%), but significantly decreased when the blastocysts transferred resulted from intracytoplasmic sperm injection, compared with conventional fertilization (OR 0.81, 95% CI 0.71-0.92).(45)

A 2006 meta-analysis of 7 trials comparing cryopreservation rates after transfer of equivalent numbers of cleavage-stage embryos and blastocysts found that patients receiving a blastocyst transfer were less likely to have embryos available for cryopreservation (OR 0.28, 95% CI 0.14-0.55).(20) Outcomes may vary with the method used for cryopreservation of blastocysts, with evidence suggesting that outcomes achieved with vitrification may be superior to those achieved with conventional slow-freezing methods.(46) Additional studies comparing results using different cryopreservation methods are needed to better define the cumulative delivery rates (after transfers of all fresh and frozen embryos derived from a single cycle) resulting from blastocyst transfer, compared with those achieved with cleavage-stage embryo transfer.

A number of studies have suggested that longer durations of embryo culture may increase the risk for epigenetic mutations in children conceived via assisted reproductive technologies,(47-51) although others have found no such associations.(52,53) Data from animal studies indicate that developmental programming during early embryo development can be influenced by culture conditions.(54,55) Certain components of culture media, such as methionine, have been implicated,(56) but the issue is difficult to assess because the specific formulations of commercially available media are not known. Whereas the risk for other adverse neonatal outcomes is higher for children conceived via assisted reproductive technologies, compared with children conceived naturally, evidence suggests the risk may be higher after blastocyst transfer (OR 1.53, 95% CI 1.23-1.90) than after cleavage-stage embryo transfer (OR 1.11, 95% CI 1.02-1.21).(57)

### **Other considerations**

Blastocyst culture and transfer have specific requirements that merit careful consideration, including the capacity for culturing embryos for longer durations and a greater number of laboratory personnel to perform changes in culture media. Specialized equipment also may be required, as evidence indicates that blastocyst development rates, the numbers of cryopreserved embryos, and clinical pregnancy rates are greatest when embryos are cultured in a lower oxygen environment (5%).(58,59)

### **Recommendations for practice**

- 1. In “good prognosis” patient populations, blastocyst transfer results in increased live birth rates, compared to cleavage-stage embryo transfer, when an equivalent number of embryos is transferred, but transfer of more than one blastocyst markedly increases the risk for multiple pregnancy.**
- 2. In unselected and “poor prognosis” patient populations, live birth rates after blastocyst transfer are not significantly greater than those resulting from cleavage-stage embryo transfer.**

- 3. Blastocyst culture and transfer increases the risks for having no embryos available for transfer and reduces the number of embryos available for cryopreservation.**
- 4. Blastocyst culture and transfer may increase the risk for monozygotic twinning and other adverse neonatal outcomes, compared with cleavage-stage embryo transfer.**

## References:

1. Gardner DK, Lane M. Culture and selection of viable human blastocysts: a feasible proposition for human IVF? *Hum Reprod Update* 1997;3:367–82.
2. Gardner DK, Vella P, Lane M, Wagley L, Schlenker T, Schoolcraft WB. Culture and transfer of human blastocysts increases implantation rates and reduces the need for multiple embryo transfers. *Fertil Steril* 1998;69:84–8.
3. Jones GM, Trounson AO, Gardner DK, Kausche A, Lolatgis N, Wood C. Evolution of a protocol for successful blastocyst development and pregnancy. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:169–77.
4. Macklon NS, Pieters MH, Hassan MA, Jeucken PH, Eijkemans MJ, Fauser BC. A prospective randomized comparison of sequential versus monoculture systems for in-vitro human blastocyst development. *Hum Reprod* 2002;17:2700–5.
5. Biggers JD, Racowsky C. The development of fertilized human ova to the blastocyst stage in KSOM(AA) medium: is a two-step protocol necessary? *Reprod Biomed Online* 2002;5:133–40.
6. Gardner DK, Schoolcraft WB. No longer neglected: the human blastocyst. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:3289–92.
7. Menezo YJ, Hamamah S, Hazout A, Dale B. Time to switch from coculture to sequential defined media for transfer at the blastocyst stage. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:2043–4.
8. Braude P, Bolton V, Moore S. Human gene expression first occurs between the four- and eight-cell stages of preimplantation development. *Nature* 1988;332:459–
9. Taylor DM, Ray PF, Ao A, Winston RM, Handyside AH. Paternal transcripts for glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase and adenosine deaminase are first detectable in the human preimplantation embryo at the three- to four-cell stage. *Mol Reprod Dev* 1997;48:442–
10. Miller JE, Smith TT. The effect of intracytoplasmic sperm injection and semen parameters on blastocyst development in vitro. *Hum Reprod* 2001;16:918–
11. Edwards LJ, Williams DA, Gardner DK. Intracellular pH of the mouse preimplantation embryo: amino acids act as buffers of intracellular pH. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:3441–
12. Lane M. Mechanisms for managing cellular and homeostatic stress in vitro. *Theriogenology* 2001;55:225–
13. Hammer MA, Kolajova M, Leveille M, Claman P, Baltz JM. Glycine transport by single human and mouse embryos. *Hum Reprod* 2000;15:419–
14. Lane M, Gardner DK. Amino acids and vitamins prevent culture-induced metabolic perturbations and associated loss of viability of mouse blastocysts. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:991–
15. Pellicer A, Valbuena D, Cano F, Remohi J, Simon C. Lower implantation rates in high responders: evidence for an altered endocrine milieu during the preimplantation period. *Fertil Steril* 1996;65:1190–
16. Simon C, Garcia Velasco JJ, Valbuena D, Peinado JA, Moreno C, Remohi J, Pellicer A. Increasing uterine receptivity by decreasing estradiol levels during the preimplantation period in high responders with the use of a follicle-stimulating hormone step-down regimen. *Fertil Steril* 1998;70:234–
17. Fanchin R, Righini C, Olivennes F, Taylor S, de Ziegler D, Frydman R. Uterine contractions at the time of embryo transfer alter pregnancy rates after in-vitro fertilization. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:1968–
18. Blake D, Farquhar C, Johnson N, Proctor M. Cleavage stage versus blastocyst stage embryo transfer in assisted conception (review). *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;(4):CD002118.
19. Papanikolaou EG, D'haeseleer E, Verheyen G, Van de Velde H, Camus M, Steirteghem A, et al. Live birth rate is significantly higher after blastocyst transfer than after cleavage-stage embryo transfer when at least four embryos are available on day 3 of embryo culture. *Hum Reprod* 2005;20:3198–203.
20. Papanikolaou EG, Camus M, Kolibianakis EM, Van Landuyt L, Van Steirteghem A, Devroey P. In vitro fertilization with single blastocyst stage versus single cleavage-stage embryos. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:1139–46.
21. Papanikolaou EG, Kolibianakis EM, Tournaye H, Venetis CA, Fatemi H, Tarlatzis B et al. Live birth rates after transfer of equal number of blastocysts and cleavage stage embryos in IVF. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod* 2008;23:91–99.
22. Levitas E, Lunenfeld E, Har-Vardi I, Albotiano S, Sonin Y, Hackmon- Ram R, et al. Blastocyst-stage embryo transfer in patients who failed to conceive in three or more day 2-3 embryo transfer cycles: a prospective, randomized study. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:567–71.
23. Racowsky C, Jackson KV, Cekleniak NA, Fox JH, Hornstein MD, Ginsburg ES. The number of 8-cell embryos is a key determinant for selecting day 3 or day 5 transfer. *Fertil Steril* 2000;73:558–64.
24. Langley MT, Marek DM, Gardner DK, Doody KM, Doody KJ. Extended embryo culture 406 in human assisted reproduction. *Hum Reprod* 2001;16:902–8.

25. Neuber E, Rinaudo P, Trimarchi JR, Sakkas D. Sequential assessment of individually cultured human embryos as an indicator of subsequent good embryo quality blastocyst development. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:1307-12.
26. Shoukir Y, Chardonnens D, Campana A, Bischof P, Sakkas D. The rate of development and time of transfer play different roles in influencing the viability of human blastocyst. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:676–81.
27. Neuber E, Rinaudo P, Trimarchi JR, Sakkas D. Sequential assessment of individually cultured human embryos as an indicator of subsequent good embryo quality blastocyst development. *Hum Reprod* 2003;18:1307-12
28. Gardner DK, Schoolcraft WB, Wagley L, Schlender T, Stevens J, Hesla J. A prospective randomized trial of blastocyst culture and transfer in in vitro fertilization. *Hum Reprod* 1998;13:3434-40.
29. Papanikolaou EG, Kolibianakis EM, Tournaye H, Venetis CA, Fatemi H, Tarlatzix B et al. Live birth rates after transfer of equal numbers of blastocyst and cleavage stage embryos in IVF. A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod* 2008;23:91-9.
30. Thomas MR, Sparks AE, Ryan GL, van Voorhis BJ. Clinical predictors of human blastocyst formation and pregnancy after extended embryo culture and transfer. *Fertil Steril* 2010;94:543-8.
31. Dessolle L, Freour T, Barriere P, Darai E, Ravel C, Jean M et al. A cycle-based model to predict blastocyst transfer cancellation. *Hum Reprod* 2010;25:598-604.
32. Stillman RJ, Richter KS, Banks NK, Graham JR. Elective single embryo transfer: a 6-year progressive implementation of 784 single blastocyst transfers and the influence of payment method on patient choice. *Fertil Steril* 2009;92:1895-906.
33. Mullin CM, Fino ME, Talebian S, Krey LC, Licciardi F, Grifo J. Comparison of pregnancy outcomes in elective single blastocyst transfer versus double blastocyst transfer stratified by age. *Fertil Steril* 2010;93:1837-43.
34. Vitthala S, Gelbaya TA, Brison DR, Fitzgerald CT, Nardo LG. The risk of monozygotic twins after assisted reproductive technology: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2009;15:45-55.
35. Chang HJ, Lee JR, Jee BC, Suh CS, Kim SH. Impact of blastocyst transfer on offspring sex ratio and the monozygotic twinning rate: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Fertil Steril* 2009;91:2381-90.
36. Papanikolaou EG, Fatemi H, Venetis C, Donoso P, Kolibianakis E, Tournaye H, et al. Monozygotic twinning is not increased after single blastocyst transfer compared with single cleavage-stage embryo transfer. *Fertil Steril* 2010;93:592-7.
37. Skiadas CC, Missmer SA, Benson CR, Gee RE, Racowsky C. Risk factors associated with pregnancies containing a monozygotic pair following assisted reproductive technologies. *Hum Reprod* 2008;23:1366-71.
38. Weston G, Osianlis T, Catt J, Vollenhoven B. Blastocyst transfer does not cause a sex ratio imbalance. *Fertil Steril* 2009;92:1302-5.
39. Milki AA, Jun SH, Hinckley MD, Behr B, Giudice LC, Westphal LM. Incidence of monozygotic twinning with blastocyst compared to cleavage- stage transfer. *Fertil Steril* 2003;79:503–6.
40. Luna M, Duke M, Copperman A, Grunfeld, L, Sandler B, Barritt J. Blastocyst embryo transfer is associated with a sex-ratio imbalance in favor of male offspring. *Fertil Steril* 2007;87:519-23.
41. Kausche A, Jones GM, Trounson AO, Figueiredo F, MacLachlan V, Lolatgis N. Sex ratio and birth weights of infants born as a result of blastocyst transfers compared with early cleavage stage embryo transfers. *Fertil Steril* 2001;76:688-93.
42. Wilson M, Hartke K, Kiehl M, Rodgers J, Brabec C, Lyles R. Integration of blastocyst transfer for all patients. *Fertil Steril* 2002;77:693-6.
43. Menezo YJR, Chouteau J, Torello MJ, Girard A, Veiga A. Birth weight and sex ratio after transfer at the blastocyst stage in humans. *Fertil Steril* 1999;72:221-4.
44. Mittwoch U. Blastocysts prepare for the race to male. *Hum Reprod* 1993;8:1550-5.
45. Luke B, Brown MB, Grainger DA, Baker VL, Ginsburg E, Stern JE. The sex ratio of singleton offspring in assisted-conception pregnancies. *Fertil Steril* 2009;92:1579-85.
46. Liebermann J. Vitrification of human blastocysts: an update. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2009;19:4328-
47. Cox GF, Burger J, Lip V, Mau UA, Sperling K, Wu BL, et al. Intracytoplasmic sperm injection may increase the risk of imprinting defects. *Am J Hum Genet* 2002;71:162–4.
48. DeBaun MR, Niemitz L, Feinberg AP. Association of in vitro fertilization with Beckwith-Weidemann syndrome and epigenetic alterations of LIT1 and H19. *Am J Hum Genet* 2003;72:156–60.
49. Gicquel C, Gaston V, Mandelbaum J, Siffroi JP, Flahault A, Le Bouc YL. In vitro fertilization may increase the risk of Beckwith-Weidemann syndrome related to the abnormal imprinting of the KCNQ10T gene. *Am J Hum Genet* 2003;72:1338-41.
50. Maher ER, Brueton LA, Bowdin SC, Luharia A, Cooper W, Cole TR, et al. Beckwith-Weidemann syndrome and assisted reproductive technology (ART). *J Med Genet* 2003;40:62-4.

51. Moll AC, Imhof SM, Cruysberg JR, Schouten-van Meeteren AY, Boers M, van Leeuwen FE. Incidence of retinoblastoma in children born after in vitro fertilization. *Lancet* 2003;36:309-10.
52. Lidegaard O, Pinborg A, Andersen AN. Imprinting diseases and IVF: Danish National IVF cohort study. *Hum Reprod* 2005;20:950-4.
53. Santos F, Hyslop L, Stojkovic P, Leary C, Murdoch A, Reik W, et al. Evaluation of the epigenetic marks in human embryos derived from IVF and ICSI. *Hum Reprod* 2010;00:1-9.
54. Amor DJ, Halliday. A review of known imprinting syndromes and their association with assisted reproductive technologies. *Hum Reprod* 2008;23:2826-34.
55. Watkins AJ, Fleming TP. Blastocyst environment and its influence on offspring cardiovascular health: the heart of the matter. *J Anat* 2009;215:52-59.
56. Niemitz EL, Feinberg AP. Epigenetics and assisted reproductive technology: a call for investigation. *Am J Hum Genet* 2004;74:599-609.
57. Kallen B, Finnstrom O, Lindham A, Nilsson E, Nygren K-G, Olausson OP. Blastocyst versus cleavage stage transfer in in vitro fertilization: differences in neonatal outcome? *Fertil Steril* 2010;94:1680-3.
58. Waldenstrom U, Engstrom A-B, Helberg D, Nilsson S. Low-oxygen compared with high-oxygen atmosphere in blastocyst culture, a prospective randomized study. *Fertil Steril* 2009;91:2461-5.
59. Meintjes M, Chantillis SJ, Douglas JD, Rodriguez AJ, Guerami AR, Bookout DM et al. A controlled randomized trial evaluating the effect of lowered incubator oxygen tension on live births in a predominantly blastocyst transfer program. *Hum Reprod* 2009;24:300-7.