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LETTER FROM THE SECRETARY-TREASURER

To All Members of the Association:

The Executive Committee of the Pacific Division of the American Philosophical Association cordially invites you to attend the 80th annual meeting of the American Philosophical Association, Pacific Division. The meeting will be held at the Portland Hilton from Wednesday morning, March 22nd (when the first mini-conference begins), through Sunday afternoon, March 26, 2006 (when the second mini-conference ends).

1. MEETING LOCATION AND SPECIAL ATTRACTIONS

The meeting will be held at the Portland Hilton and Executive Tower, 921 SW Sixth Avenue, Portland, Oregon, 97204, Tel: +1-503-226-1611, Fax: +1-503-220-2565. The hotel features an impressive lobby restored to its early twentieth-century grandeur, with mountain or river views from many of the rooms. There is wireless computer access. The hotel has a full service health club with steam room and sauna, sky-lighted pool, whirlpool, and waveless lap pool.

Many restaurants and cultural venues are within walking distance, and many others are reachable on the downtown streetcar and light rail (which are free of charge in the core city area). The Willamette River runs through the city a few blocks from the Hilton; both its banks are waterside parks with jogging routes. Please see the section of this program on Portland attractions and restaurants (pp. 241-257) for a detailed account of the many pleasures of Portland, as well as for information about planning day trips such as whale watching and wine tasting.

2. RESERVATIONS

A hotel reservation form is printed in this issue of the Proceedings. Reservations can be made by calling the Portland Hilton at 503-499-4244 or faxing 503-220-2286, or calling Hilton Reservations at 1-800-HILTONS. Or you can make your reservation online at http://www.hilton.com/en/hl/groups/private_groups/pdxphhh_apa/index.jhtml. We urge you to make your reservations very early to assure that you obtain the APA convention rate of $119 for a single room and $134 for a double.

3. TRAVEL INFORMATION

Driving:

From the Airport, take I-205 South and exit onto I-84 West. At the end of I-84 West, you will reach the junction of I-5 North & South. Head South toward Salem (to your left) on I-5. Follow the signs onto I-405. Take the 6th Ave exit off of I-405. Follow 6th Ave for 13 blocks and the main building will be on your left. The Hilton Portland (main building) is located on SW 6th Ave.
between SW Salmon & SW Taylor. Executive Tower’s main entrance is located on 545 SW Taylor between 6th Ave and 5th Ave.

From the North (Southbound) on I-5, take Exit 302A. The exit will say Rose Quarter/City Center. Follow the City Center signs, which will take you across the Broadway Bridge and place you directly on Broadway Ave. Take Broadway 19 blocks and turn left onto SW Salmon Street. Take Salmon 1 block and turn left onto SW 6th Ave. The Hilton’s front doors (main building) will be on your left-hand side. Front doors for the Executive Tower are located on 545 SW Taylor.

**Parking:** Both the Main Hilton Building and the Executive Towers (across the street from the Main Building) have self-parking ($18 a day) and valet parking ($23 a day).

There are seven public parking garages in the area, and Portland boasts new solar powered parking meters.

**By Air:** Portland International Airport (PDX) is about half an hour from downtown (less if there is no traffic).

MAX light rail is a most convenient transportation method. It’s $1.70 and stops at Pioneer Square, two blocks from the Hilton. MAX is wheelchair accessible.

Blue Star Shuttle will take you to the hotel for $13. 800-247-2272. [www.bluestarbus.com](http://www.bluestarbus.com). There are other shuttles as well—go to the ground transportation kiosk. None of the shuttles is wheelchair accessible.

Taxis cost about $30 to downtown and can be found at the cab stand by the transportation kiosk. Several companies have one or two wheelchair accessible taxis, but these are hard to come by. To avoid a long wait for a wheelchair taxi, it’s advisable to call ahead for a reservation, and then to call again when your plane lands. Try Radio Cab: 503-227-1212, Broadway Cab: 503-227-1234, and Portland Taxi: 503-256-5400.

**By Rail:** Historic Union Station, 800 NW 6th Ave is served by three scheduled intercity passenger trains. Cascades serves Seattle and Vancouver. Coast Starlight runs from Los Angeles though San Francisco to Portland and Seattle. Empire Builder starts in Portland and traces the path taken by explorers Lewis and Clark through Glacier National Park. It terminates in Chicago.

### 4. Convention Registration

The registration fee is $10.00 for student members, $50.00 for regular members, and $60.00 for non-members of the APA who wish to attend more than a single session. Special tickets for $10.00 will be available to non-members who wish to attend a single session or one of the receptions. Only those who are registered or have purchased the limited number of extra tickets may attend the scheduled sessions or receptions. Please be sure to wear your convention badge at all times to identify yourself as a registered participant.

A Pre-Registration form is included on p. 231 of this issue of the *Proceedings*. People who are not now members of the APA may take advantage of the
lower registration fee by becoming members. Membership forms are available from the National Office, online at www.apaonline.org, and also will be available at the Convention Registration desk.

Mini-conferences on “Secrecy” and on “Scientific Images,” each organized independently under the Pacific Division’s mini-conference program, are included in the regular registration fee.

5. Placement Service
Only APA members are eligible to use the Placement services. Appointing officers and applicants are urged to make preparations for their part in the placement operation in advance of the meeting.

6. Presidential Address
The Presidential Address will be delivered by Jeffrie Murphy at 6:00 p.m. on Friday, March 24, in the Pavilion Room. Vice President Calvin Normore will introduce President Murphy.

7. Receptions and Special Events

Wednesday
Early arrivers can enjoy a continental breakfast before the start of the mini-conference on “Secrecy,” Wednesday morning, March 22, 8:30-9:30 a.m., in Galleria. There will be a reception for all convention attendees at the close of the “Secrecy” mini-conference, Wednesday afternoon, March 22, 6:00-7:30 p.m., in Galleria.

Thursday
There will be a live broadcast of “Philosophy Talk,” the public radio show with John Perry and Ken Taylor, on Thursday, March 23. Audience participation is invited, and refreshments will be served. 5:00-7:00 p.m. in Galleria.

The Annual Reception will take place 9:00 p.m.-Midnight in Pavilion.

Friday
Parmenides Publishing invites all attendees to a reception on Friday, March 24, 4:00-6:00 p.m. in Galleria, following the session on J. Angelo Corlett’s book, Interpreting Plato’s Dialogues.

The Presidential Reception will take place following the Presidential Address, at 7:00 p.m. in the foyer on the Plaza Level.

Saturday
The APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers invites all attendees to a reception on Saturday, March 25, at Noon in Pavilion, following the session honoring Hubert Dreyfus, winner of the 2005 Barwise Prize. Please also see announcement about the Barwise Prize on page 117.

8. Graduate Student Prizes
The APA Pacific Division Program Committee awards the prizes for outstanding papers by graduate students. The following list gives the
authors, paper titles, and program session designations of the winners of the prizes for outstanding papers by graduate students:

John Bengson (University of Texas)
“How to Perceive the Past with Your Eyes Shut”
III-H. Colloquium: Perception, Thursday, 9:00 a.m.

Mark Phelan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“The Red Herring of Compositionality and Beyond”
I-E. Colloquium: Mind and Representation, Wednesday, 1:00 p.m.

Matthew Rellihan (Georgetown University)
“The Medium Is Not the Message”
III-H. Colloquium: Perception, Thursday, 10:00 a.m.

Colin Marshall (New York University)
“Two Arguments against the Particular Content Reading of Malebranche’s General Volitions”
VI-H. Colloquium: History of Modern Philosophy, Friday, 9:00 a.m.

Christopher Green (Notre Dame University)
“Testimony and Memory as Generative Epistemic Sources”
III-G. Colloquium: Epistemology, Thursday, 10:00 a.m.

Matthew Slater (Columbia University)
“Epistemicism Can't Save the Individuality of Species”
I-G. Colloquium: Philosophy of Biology, Wednesday, 3:00 p.m.

Nicole Hassoun (University of Arizona/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“World Poverty and Individual Freedom”
I-I. Colloquium: Political Philosophy, Wednesday, 1:00 p.m.

Teemu Toppinen (University of Helsinki/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“The Passions that Rule”
I-F. Colloquium: Moral Psychology, Wednesday, 2:00 p.m.

Neal Tognazzini (University of California–Riverside)
“On Being a Morally Responsible Stage”
V-F. Colloquium: Personal Identity, Thursday, 4:00 p.m.

Benjamin Sachs (University of Wisconsin)
“Can There Be Reasons that Don’t Require?”
I-D. Colloquium: Ethics, Wednesday, 2:00 p.m.

Jason Brennan (University of Arizona)
“On Behalf of Moral Principles”
VI-G. Colloquium: Ethics, Friday, 11:00 a.m.

9. MINI-CONFERENCES

The mini-conference on Global Justice at the 2004 Annual Meeting marked the successful debut of the mini-conference program, and the 2005
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Annual Meeting included both a mini-conference on the Philosophy of the Emotions and a mini-conference on Richard Rufus of Cornwall. The 2006 Meeting also includes two mini-conferences, one on “Secrecy” and one on “Scientific Images.” There will be two mini-conferences at the 2007 meeting, one on “Philosophy and Wine” and one on “Models of God.” Instructions for proposing a mini-conference can be found on p. 205 of this issue of the Proceedings.

10. BUSINESS MEETING AND VOTING MEMBERSHIP

The annual Business Meeting will be held from Noon to 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, March 23. There will be reports from the Divisional and National Officers. According to the Association’s ByLaws, regular membership is given by an act of the Executive Director on behalf of the Board of Officers. Each year the list of regular members that appears in the November Proceedings is used as the list of eligible voters for the three subsequent Divisional business meetings.

11. REPORT OF THE PACIFIC DIVISION NOMINATING COMMITTEE

Keith Lehrer (Chair), Rebecca Copenhaver, and Cynthia Stark served as the 2005/06 Nominating Committee. They have nominated the following APA Pacific Division members for terms beginning July 1, 2006:

For Vice-President: Nicholas Smith
For Executive Committee (three-year term): Joan MacGregor
For Executive Committee (one-year term): Sharon Lloyd
For Secretary-Treasurer (two-year term): Anita Silvers

They have nominated the following APA Pacific Division member for a term beginning July 1, 2008:

For Secretary-Treasurer (one-year term): Dominic McIver Lopes

12. 2006 PROGRAM COMMITTEE AND PROGRAM

The Program Committee which prepared this year’s program consists of Sara Goering (Chair), Andrew Askland, Heather Battaly, Kelly Becker, Christina Bellon, Tim Black, Christopher Bobonich, Joseph Campbell, Thomas Christiano, Jonathan Cohen, Branden Fitelson, Patricia Hanna, Pamela Hood, Bruce Hunter, David Kim, Jeffrey King, Dominic McIver Lopes, Shaun Nichols, Philip Nickel, Thomas Nickles, Donald Rutherford, Fred Schueler, Eric Schwitzgebel, Peter Thielke, Mark Timmons, Julie Van Camp, Andrea Woody, and Mark Wrathall.

Principal papers in Colloquia and Symposia were selected after blind review of all submitted papers. Participants in Invited Paper, Invited Symposia, Author Meets Critics, and Workshop meetings were invited by the Program Committee. Participants in meetings arranged by APA Committees were selected by those Committees.

13. 2007 PROGRAM

The Program Committee for the 81st Annual Meeting of the American
Philosophical Association, Pacific Division, invites contributions for the 2007 meeting. The deadline for submission is September 1, 2006. Each author may make only one submission. Please indicate that the paper is submitted for the 2007 Pacific Division Meeting. Because the Program Committee will blind-review all submissions, the names of the author and her/his institution should not appear in the paper in any way that defeats the operation of blind-reviewing.

The 2006 Program Committee also welcomes suggestions for topics and/or speakers of invited sessions. Recommendations (including self-nominations) for commentators and session chairs also are encouraged. These all should be sent to the 2007 Program Chair, Fred Schueler, at the Department of Philosophy, University of New Mexico, MSC 03 2140, 1 University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001, or faxed to him at (505) 277-6362, or emailed to schueler@unm.edu. When volunteering someone other than yourself, please be sure your nominee is willing to participate in the program. Nominations should reach the program committee by September 1, 2006, at the latest and should include a short account of the individual’s areas of specialization and record of scholarship.

14. Nominations for the 2007 Nominating and Program Committees

Pacific Division members may make nominations or volunteer for membership on the 2007 Nominating and Program Committees by emailing to the Secretary-Treasurer, Anita Silvers, at asilvers@sfsu.edu by March 14, 2006.

15. Accommodating Members with Multiple Chemical Sensitivities

To provide a welcoming environment for members with multiple chemical sensitivities, all members are requested to refrain from wearing scented products.

On behalf of the Executive and Program Committees of the APA Pacific Division, I extend to every member of the American Philosophical Association a warm invitation to take part in our eightieth Annual Meeting.

Cordially yours,
Anita Silvers, Secretary-Treasurer

for the Executive Committee
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MINI-CONFERENCE PROGRAMS

Mini-Conference on Secrecy
This program explores the ethics of secrecy as used by governments, businesses, scientists, and individuals. The mini-conference begins on Wednesday, March 22, at 9:30 a.m. (after a continental breakfast) with the session designated as MI-A. It continues with an afternoon session at 1:30 p.m. (I-M) and an early evening session at 4:00 p.m. (II-H), with a reception to follow. Mini-conference events take place in the Galleria rooms, and all convention attendees are invited.

The organizing committee for the Mini-Conference on Secrecy consists of Don Fallis (Chair), Tony Doyle, Kay Mathiesen, and Catherine Womack.

Mini-Conference on Scientific Images
This program launches a systematic study of images in science by bringing together research on non-linguistic models in philosophy of science, on pictorial representation in philosophy of art, and on perception and perceptual knowledge in philosophy of mind and epistemology. The mini-conference begins on Saturday, March 25, at 1:00 p.m. with the session designated as X-N. It continues on Saturday with an early evening session at 4:00 p.m. (XI-M). The Sunday, March 26, sessions begin at 9:00 a.m. (XII-L) and end with a roundtable discussion at 1:00 p.m. (MII-A).
conference events take place in the *Galleria* rooms, and all convention attendees are invited.

The organizing committee for the Mini-Conference on Scientific Images consists of Dom Lopes (Chair), Steven Downes, Aaron Meskin, and Laura Perini.

**WEDNESDAY, MARCH 22, 2006**

**REGISTRATION**

*Noon-8:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)*

**PLACEMENT INFORMATION**

*Noon-8:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)*

**CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST**

*8:30-9:30 a.m., Galleria South (Blrm)*

**RECEPTION**

*6:00-7:30 p.m., Galleria (Blrm)*

**WEDNESDAY MORNING, MARCH 22**

**MI-A. Mini-Conference on Secrecy: Morning Session**

*9:30-9:45 a.m., Galleria North (Blrm)*

**Welcome:** Kay Mathiesen (University of Arizona)

*9:45-10:45 a.m.*

Chair: David Woolwine (Hofstra University)

Speaker: Adam Moore (University of Washington)

“Privacy, Secrecy, and Government Surveillance”

Commentator: Thomas Grassey (U.S. Naval War College)

*11:00 a.m.-Noon*

Chair: Martin Frické (University of Arizona)

Speaker: Philip Doty (University of Texas)

“The Ethics of Managing Risk through Secrecy”

Commentator: Kenneth Himma (Seattle Pacific University)
MAIN PROGRAM

WEDNESDAY AFTERNOON, MARCH 22

SESSION I — 1:00-4:00 p.m. (I-M, the afternoon program of the Mini-Conference on Secrecy, begins at 1:30 p.m.)

I-A. Colloquium: Aesthetics

1:00-4:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)

1:00-2:00 p.m.

Chair: Herminia Reyes (San Diego State University)
Speaker: Robert A. Stecker (Central Michigan University)
“Do All Valuable Artworks Possess Aesthetic Value?”
Commentator: Flo Leibowitz (Oregon State University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.

Chair: Jacquelyn Ann K. Kegley (California State University–Bakersfield)
Speaker: James Harold (Mount Holyoke College)
“On Judging the Moral Value of Narrative Artworks”
Commentator: Tanya Rodriguez Eckman (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)

3:00-4:00 p.m.

Chair: Brian Laetz (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Susan E. Spaid (Independent Scholar)
Commentator: Mary Wiseman (City University of New York–Brooklyn College)

I-B. Colloquium: Ancient Philosophy

1:00-4:00 p.m., Council (3rd)

1:00-2:00 p.m.

Chair: Amy Coplan (California State University–Fullerton)
Speaker: Emanuela Bianchi (University of California–Berkeley)
“The Errant Feminine in Plato’s Timaeus”
Commentator: Maria Paleologou (California State University–Bakersfield)
2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Joel A. Martinez (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Matthew Carter Cashen (Washington University in St. Louis)
“Happiness, Eudaemonia, and Descriptive Adequacy”
Commentator: Blake Hestir (Texas Christian University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Matthew Strohl (Princeton University)
Speaker: Tiberiu M. Popa (Butler University)
“Glancing at the Invisible (On Inference in Aristotle’s Science)"
Commentator: Mark Faller (Alaska Pacific University)

I-C. Colloquium: Epistemology
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Jeffrey Roland (Louisiana State University)
Speaker: Dennis Whitcomb (Rutgers University)
“Factivity without Safety”
Commentator: Mark Heller (Syracuse University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Brian Glenney (University of Southern California)
Speaker: Christopher Tillman (University of Rochester)
“If It Were the Case that Counterfactuals Behaved Differently in Attitude Reports, It Might Be the Case that They are Context-Sensitive”
Commentator: Peter Alward (University of Lethbridge)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Robert Shanklin (University of Southern California)
Speaker: Douglas N. Kutach (Brown University)
“Similarity is a Bad Guide to Counterfactual Truth”
Commentator: Christopher Gauker (University of Cincinnati)

I-D. Colloquium: Ethics
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Stephanie Patridge (Otterbein College)
Speaker: Sonia Sikka (University of Ottawa)
“On the Value of Happiness: Herder contra Kant”
Commentator: Rachel Zuckert (Rice University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Pekka Vayrynen (University of California–Davis)
Speaker: Benjamin A. Sachs (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Can There Be Reasons that Don’t Require?”

**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Jill Graper Hernandez (University of Memphis)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Daniel Campana (University of La Verne)
Speaker: Luke Robinson (University of California–San Diego)
“Conflicts of Obligation: A Dispositionalist Account”
Commentator: Manuel Arriaga (California State University–San Marcos)

I-E. Colloquium: Mind and Representation
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: William Wilkerson (University of Alabama–Huntsville)
Speaker: Mark Timothy Phelan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“The Red Herring of Compositionality and Beyond”

**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Thomas Bontly (University of Connecticut)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Bonnie Paller (California State University–Northridge)
Speaker: Justin C. Fisher (University of Arizona)
“Representational Content and the Keys to Success”
Commentator: Simon Evnine (University of Miami)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Mark Addis (University of Central England)
Speaker: Paul Saka (University of Houston)
“Ambiguity and the Representation Problem”
Commentator: Clifton McIntosh (University of Utah)

I-F. Colloquium: Moral Psychology
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Gary Watson (University of California–Riverside)
Speaker: David Shoemaker (Bowling Green State University)
“Identification, Responsibility, and The Whim Problem”
Commentator: Matt Talbert (University of California–San Diego)
2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Robert Paul (Reed College)
Speaker: Teemu Toppinen (University of Helsinki/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“The Passions that Rule”

**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: David K. Chan (University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Robert Epperson (Western Washington University)
Speaker: Shieva J. Kleinschmidt (Rutgers University)
“Conditional Desires”
Commentator: Marc Baer (University of California–Irvine)

I-G. Colloquium: Philosophy of Biology
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Yoichi Ishida (University of Nevada–Reno)
Speaker: Bence Nanay (University of California–Berkeley)
“The Individuation of Trait Types and the Aetiological Theory of Function”
Commentator: Robert Richardson (University of Cincinnati)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Tom Nickles (University of Nevada–Reno)
Speaker: Kenneth A. Presting (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Stability and Biology: The Case of Like-Begets-Like”
Commentator: Christopher Horvath (Illinois State University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Eric Desjardins (University of British Columbia)
Speaker: Matthew H. Slater (Columbia University)
“Epistemicism Can’t Save the Individuality of Species”

**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Christopher Stephens (University of British Columbia)

I-H. Colloquium: Philosophy of Language
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Joseph Ulatowski (University of Utah)
Speaker: Bradley Rives (Union College)
“An Empirical Defense of Recognitional Concepts”
Commentator: Imogen Dickie (University of Toronto)
2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Michael P. Wolf (California State University–Fresno)
Speaker: Michael A. Rescorla (University of California–Santa Barbara)
   “Predication and Cartographic Representation”
Commentator: William Taschek (Ohio State University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Andrew P. Mills (Otterbein College)
Speaker: Elisabeth Camp (Harvard University)
   “Why Isn't Sarcasm Semantic, Anyway?”
Commentator: David Shier (Washington State University)

I-I. Colloquium: Political Philosophy
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor C (B1rm)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Ellen Cox (Transylvania University)
Speaker: Nicole Hassoun (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
   “World Poverty and Individual Freedom”
Commentator: Sally J. Scholz (Villanova University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Chris Brown (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Ben Bradley (Syracuse University)
   “A Paradox for Theories of Welfare”
Commentator: Simon Keller (Boston University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Henry West (Macalester College)
Speaker: Andrew F. Smith (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
   “Liberal Pluralism and the Case for Freedom as Non-Domination”
Commentator: Christopher Griffin (Northern Arizona University)

I-J. Colloquium: Virtue Ethics
1:00-4:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Larry Fike (Yakima Valley Community College)
Speaker: Daniel Haybron (Saint Louis University)
   “Well Being and Aristotelian Perfection”
Commentator: Corinne Gartner (Princeton University)
Wednesday Afternoon / Early Evening, I-J to II-C

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Stephen Brown (Briar Cliff College)
Speaker: Anne Margaret Baxley (Washington University in St. Louis)
“Is Virtue Priceless?”
Commentator: Sean McAleer (University of Wisconsin–Eau Claire)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Sharyn Clough (Oregon State University)
Speaker: Jason Baehr (Loyola Marymount University)
“Virtue and Reliability”
Commentator: Derek Turner (Connecticut College)

I-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Hispanics
1:00-4:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Topic: Politics, Immigration, and Identity in the Americas
Chair: Scott Pratt (University of Oregon)
Speakers: José-Antonio Orosco (Oregon State University)
“Cesar Chavez on Latino Immigration and Nonviolent Culture”
John Kaag (University of Oregon)
“Huntington and Immigration”
Grant Silva (University of Oregon)
“Mestizaje, the Melting-pot, and Identity”
Commentator: Scott Pratt (University of Oregon)

I-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers
1:00-4:00 p.m., Galleria South (Blrm)
Topic: Blogging as a Tool for Philosophical Discourse: The State of the Art
Speakers: Kenny Easwaran (University of California–Berkeley)
Jonathan Kvanvig (University of Missouri–Columbia)
Gillian Russell (Washington University in St. Louis)
Brian Weatherson (Cornell University)

I-M. Mini-Conference on Secrecy: Afternoon Session
1:30-4:00 p.m., Galleria North (Blrm)
1:30-2:30 p.m.
Chair: Don Fallis (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Mark Alfino (Gonzaga University)
Title: “Ethical Issues in Trade Secrets for Professional Services”
Commentator: Tony Doyle (City University of New York)
Main Program

2:45-3:45 p.m.
Chair: Peter Lewis (University of Miami)
Speaker: David Resnik (National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences)
“Secrecy in Scientific Research”
Commentator: Catherine Womack (Bridgewater State College)

Wednesday Early Evening, March 22

Session II — 4:00-6:00 P.M.

II-A. Invited Paper: Ethics and Aesthetics
4:00-6:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Chair: William Peck (Reed College)
Speaker: Derek Matravers (Open University)
“Art or Morality: Which Is More Important?”
Commentators: Julia L. Driver (Dartmouth College)
Eileen John (Warwick University)

II-B. Colloquium: Applied Ethics
4:00-6:00 p.m., Council (3rd)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Judith Wagner DeCew (Clark University)
Speaker: Lorraine Besser-Jones (University of Waterloo)
“The Implications of Social Psychology for Corporate Responsibility”
Commentator: Lisa Rivera (University of Massachusetts–Boston)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Rebekah L. H. Rice (Whitworth College/Brown University)
Speaker: Scott A. Anderson (University of British Columbia)
“Can You Coerce Someone with a Death Wish?”
Commentator: Mary Clayton Coleman (Bard College)

II-C. Colloquium: Pragmatism
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: David Boersema (Pacific University)
Speaker: Juan Ferret (University of Texas–El Paso)
“The Metaphysics of Pragmatism and Radical Empiricism”
Commentator: Robert Talisse (Vanderbilt University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: David Vessey (University of Chicago)
II-D. Colloquium: Probability
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Matt Haber (University of California–Davis)
Speaker: Kent W. Staley (Saint Louis University)
“Probability in Fine-tuning Design Arguments”
Commentator: Jonathan Weisberg (Rutgers University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Branden Fitelson (University of California–Berkeley)
Speaker: Greg Novack (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Unification and Partition-Variance”
Commentator: Fabrizio Cariani (University of California–Berkeley)

II-E. Symposium: Ancient Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)

Chair: Sean Kelsey (University of California–Los Angeles)
Speaker: Philip Corkum (University of Alberta)
“Aristotle on Consciousness”
Commentators: Margaret Scharle (Reed College)
Paul Studtmann (Davidson College)

II-F. Symposium: Mental Causation, Reduction, and Supervenience
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)

Chair: Benj Hellie (University of Toronto)
Speaker: Eric Hiddleston (Wayne State University)
“The Reductivist’s Troubles with Mental Causation”
Commentators: Karen Bennett (Princeton University)
Janice Dowell (Bowling Green State University)

II-G. Symposium: Terrorism
4:00-6:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)

Chair: Anne Baril (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Mohammed Abed (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“The Meaning and Meaningfulness of Terrorism”
Commentators: Steven Scalet (State University of New York–Binghamton)
Andrew Valls (Oregon State University)
II-H.  Mini-Conference on Secrecy: Keynote Address

4:00-6:00 p.m., Galleria North (Blrm)

Chair: Kay Mathiesen (University of Arizona)
Keynote Speaker: Alasdair Roberts (Syracuse University)
“Blacked Out: Government Secrecy in the Information Age”
Commentator: Alan Mattlage (University of Maryland)

RECEPTION

The Pacific Division Executive Committee invites all attendees to a reception following this last session of the Mini-Conference on Secrecy, 6:00-7:30 p.m. in Galleria (Blrm).

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-8:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
North American Society for Social Philosophy
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Session I

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-9:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Society for Student Philosophers, Session I
Society for the Contemporary Assessment of Platonism, Session I

THURSDAY, MARCH 23, 2006

REGISTRATION
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)

BOOK DISPLAYS
11:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom (Blrm)

PLACEMENT INFORMATION
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)

PLACEMENT INTERVIEWING
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)

ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING
Noon-1:00 p.m., Pavilion (Pl)

RECEPTION FOR THE “PHILOSOPHY TALK” PUBLIC RADIO PROGRAM
5:00-7:00 p.m. Galleria (Blrm)

ANNUAL RECEPTION
9:00 p.m.-Midnight, Pavilion (Pl)
THURSDAY MORNING, MARCH 23

SESSION III — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

III-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Joshua Gert, Brute Rationality: Normativity and Human Action
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Directors (3rd)
Chair: Fred Schueler (University of New Mexico)
Critics: Paul Hurley (Pomona College)
Christian Miller (Wake Forest University)
Sergio Tenenbaum (University of Toronto)
Author: Joshua Gert (Florida State University)

III-B. Invited Symposium: Autonomy/Freedom of Will
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria III (Brmn)
Chair: Bonnie Kent (University of California–Irvine)
Speakers: Daniel Guevara (University of California–Santa Cruz)
“Freedom of the Will, Autonomy and Normativity”
Nomy Arpaly (Brown University)
“Freedom vs. Reason”
Commentators: Sarah Buss (University of Iowa)
Oliver Sensen (Tulane University of New Orleans)

III-C. Invited Symposium: Moral Phenomenology
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria II (Brmn)
Chair: Mark Timmons (University of Arizona)
Speakers: John J. Drummond (Fordham University)
“Moral Phenomenology and Moral Intentionality”
Julia Annas (University of Arizona)
“The Phenomenology of Virtue”
Stephen Darwall (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
“The Second-Personal Phenomenology and Psychology of Reactive Attitudes”

III-D. Invited Symposium: Spinoza’s Theological-Political Treatise
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Forum (3rd)
Chair: Donald Rutherford (University of California–San Diego)
Speakers: Yitzhak Melamed (University of Chicago)
“The Metaphysics of the ‘TTP’”
Edwin Curley (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
“The Development of Spinoza’s Political Philosophy from the ‘TTP’ to the ‘TP’”
Commentator: Michael Rosenthal (University of Washington)
III-E. Invited Symposium: The Ethics of Outsourcing

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor B (Bldr)

Chair: Norah Martin (University of Portland)
Speakers:
  John McCall (St. Joseph’s University)
  “Justifying a Liveable Wage”
  Denis Arnold (University of Tennessee–Knoxville)
  “The Ethics of Global Outsourcing”
Commentator: Richard DeGeorge (University of Kansas)

III-F. Invited Symposium: Time and Necessity

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway I (Pl)

Chair: Joseph Keim Campbell (Washington State University)
Speakers:
  L. Nathan Oaklander (University of Michigan–Flint)
  “Is the Future Open?”
  Mark Hinchliff (Reed College)
  “The Future’s Got a Hole in It”
  Tornis Kapitan (Northern Illinois University)
  “Time, Necessity, and Ability”

III-G. Colloquium: Epistemology

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway II (Pl)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Scott Hendricks (Clark University)
Speaker: Matthew Bedke (University of Arizona)
  “Developmental Process Reliabilism and a Theory of Evidence”
Commentator: Patrick Rysiew (University of British Columbia)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Asta Sveinsdottir (San Francisco State University)
Speaker: Christopher R. Green (University of Notre Dame)
  “Testimony and Memory as Generative Epistemic Sources”
**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Matthew Davidson (California State University–San Bernadino)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Tuomas Manninen (University of Iowa)
Speaker: Eric J. Loomis (University of South Alabama)
  “Criteria and Defeasibility: When Good Evidence Is Not Good Enough”
Commentator: Michael Hodges (Vanderbilt University)
III-H. Colloquium: Perception

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway III (Pl)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Michael Watkins (Auburn University)
Speaker: John T. Bengson (University of Texas–Austin)
“How to Perceive the Past with Your Eyes Shut”
**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Aaron Meskin (University of Leeds)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Mariam Thalos (University of Utah)
Speaker: Matthew Rellihan (Georgetown University)
“The Medium Is Not the Message”
**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Muhammad Ali Khalidi (American University–Beirut)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Alyssa Ney (University of Rochester)
Speaker: Kenneth Aizawa (Centenary College of Louisiana)
“Understanding the Embodiment of Perception”
Commentator: Anne Jaap Jacobson (University of Houston)

III-I. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway IV (Pl)

Topic: Philosophy of Language
Chair: Robert Stainton (University of Western Ontario)
Speakers: Eleonora Orlando (Universidad de Buenos Aires)
“Meaning and Attitude: Different Kinds of Contextual Dependence”
Maite Ezcurdia (Universidad Autónoma de Méjico)
“Moderate Contextualism and What Is Said”
Oswaldo Chateaubriand (Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro)
“Sense, Connotation, and Reference”
Commentator: Mark Sainsbury (University of Texas–Austin)

III-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Council (3rd)

Topic: Ethics and Foreign Intervention
Chair: Morton Winston (College of New Jersey)
Speakers: Michael Blake (University of Washington)
“Excuses for Intervention”
Larry May (Washington University in St. Louis)
“Humanitarian Intervention, Bombing, and Collective Liability”

George R. Lucas, Jr. (United States Naval Acaderny)
“Intervention or Prevention?”

Virginia Held (City University of New York–Graduate School)
“Principled Differences”

Commentators:  Deen K. Chatterjee (University of Utah)
                Don E. Scheid (Winona State University/United States Naval Academy)

III-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of American Indians in Philosophy

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria I (Blrm)

Topic:  Aspects, Elements, and Foundations of Indigenous Sovereignty

Chair:  Thomas Norton-Smith (Kent State University)

Speakers:  Gordon Christie (University of British Columbia)
           “Emergent Sovereignty in the Canadian Context”
           Steve Russell (Indiana University–Bloomington)
           “Indigenous Individual Rights: Theory, Praxis, and ‘Special Privileges’”
           Lee Hester (University of Science and Arts of Oklahoma)
           “The Political, Philosophical, and Ethical Foundations of Indian Sovereignty”
           Rebecca Tsosie (Arizona State University)
           “What Does It Mean to 'Build a Nation'? Re-imagining Indigenous Political Identity in an Era of Self-Determination”
           Margaret Mutu (University of Auckland)
           “Indigenous Sovereignty in New Zealand: The Maori Concept and Practice of Tino Rangatiratanga”

(This session may continue past Noon.)

III-L. Special Session: Workshop on Reaching the Public through Print and Broadcast Media

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor C (Blrm)

Chair:  John Lachs (Vanderbilt University)

Speakers:  Susan Anderson (University of Connecticut)
           Gregory Pence (University of Alabama–Birmingham)
           Carlin Romano (Philadelphia Inquirer/University of Pennsylvania)
ANNUAL BUSINESS MEETING

Noon-1:00 p.m. Pavilion (Pl)

THURSDAY AFTERNOON, MARCH 23

SESSION IV (IV-A THROUGH IV-K — 1:00-4:00 p.m.; IV-L — 1:00-3:00 p.m.)

IV-A. Author-Meets-Critics: David Christensen, *Putting Logic in Its Place: Formal Constraints on Rational Belief*

1:00-4:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)

Chair: Joseph Barnes (University of California–Berkeley)
Critics: James Hawthorne (University of Oklahoma)
         Mark Kaplan (Indiana University–Bloomington)
         Brian Weatherson (Cornell University)
Author: David Christensen (University of Vermont)


1:00-4:00 p.m., Council (3rd)

Chair: Terence Cuneo (Calvin College)
Critics: David Copp (University of Florida)
         Sarah Stroud (McGill University)
Author: Russ Shafer-Landau (University of Wisconsin–Madison)

IV-C. Author-Meets-Critics: Sherri Roush, *Tracking Truth: Knowledge, Evidence, and Science*

1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)

Chair: Brad Armendt (Arizona State University)
Critics: Alvin Goldman (Rutgers University)
         Eric Barnes (Southern Methodist University)
         P. Kyle Stanford (University of California–Irvine)
Author: Sherri Roush (Rice University)

IV-D. Author-Meets-Critics: Michael J. White, *Political Philosophy: An Historical Introduction*

1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)

Chair: Simon Cushing (University of Michigan–Flint)
Critics: Marcia Horniak (Occidental College)
         Rachana Kamtekar (University of Arizona)
         David O’Connor (University of Notre Dame)
Author: Michael J. White (Arizona State University)
IV-E. Invited Symposium: Feminism’s Impact on Men
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)
Chair: Julie C. Van Camp (California State University–Long Beach)
Speakers: Kenneth Clatterbaugh (University of Washington)
James L. Nelson (Michigan State University)
James P. Sterba (University of Notre Dame)
Commentator: Anita Superson (University of Kentucky)

IV-F. Invited Symposium: Intellectual Virtue
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)
Chair: Abrol Fairweather (University of San Francisco)
Speakers: Michael Stocker (Syracuse University)
“Intellectual Emotions (Some Remarks)”
Duncan Pritchard (University of Stirling)
“Virtue and Luck”
Robert Roberts (Baylor University) and Jay Wood (Wheaton College)
“Virtues and Intellectual Practices”
Commentator: James Montmarquet (Tennessee State University)

IV-G. Invited Symposium: The Intrinsic Qualities of Experience
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)
Chair: Jonathan Cohen (University of California–San Diego)
Speakers: Ned Block (New York University)
“Consciousness and Awareness”
Michael Tye (University of Texas–Austin)
“New Troubles for the Qualia Freak”
Commentator: Eric Lormand (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)

IV-H. Colloquium: Contemporary Political Philosophy
1:00-4:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Gautam Satapathy (University of Delhi)
Speaker: Shelley Wilcox (Temple University)
“Immigrant Admissions and Globalized Relations of Harm”
Commentator: Victoria Costa (Florida State University)
2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: John Farnum (Portland Community College)
Speaker: Stephen Farrelly (Emory University)
“Explicitating Habermas: Expressive Rationality as Corrective to Communicative Action”
Commentator: Jerald Wallulis (University of South Carolina)
3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Jason Matteson (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Edward A. Langerak (St. Olaf College)
“Convergences in the Public Square”
Commentator: Lori Watson (Eastern Michigan University)

IV-I. Colloquium: Epistemology and Science
1:00-4:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Michael Caie (University of California–Berkeley)
Speaker: Otávio Bueno (University of South Carolina)
“How Structuralism Can Solve the ‘Access’ Problem”
Commentator: Kenny Easwaran (University of California–Berkeley)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Ali Hasan (University of Washington)
Speaker: Gerald D. Doppelt (University of California–San Diego)
“How to Be a Scientific Realist”
Commentator: Ori Belkind (University of Richmond)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Anthony Rudd (St. Olaf College)
Speaker: Jill E. North (New York University)
“Two Views on Time Reversal”
Commentator: Stephen Leeds (University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee)

IV-J. Colloquium: Ethics
1:00-4:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Peggy DesAutels (University of Dayton)
Speaker: Rebecca Lynn Stangl (University of Virginia)
“Particularism and Thick Ethical Properties”
Commentator: Nathan Nobis (University of Alabama–Birmingham)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Bertha Alvarez Manninen (Purdue University)
Speaker: Michael Beaty (Baylor University)
“Thomson, First- and Second-order Ways of Being Good, and the Mysterious Relation Puzzle”
Commentator: Caroline Simon (Hope College)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Monica Aufrecht (University of Washington)
Speaker: Jason Kawall (Colgate University)
“On Complacency”
Commentator: Lijun Yuan (Texas State University–San Marcos)
IV-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Teaching Philosophy
1:00-4:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Topic: Neutrality, Objectivity, and Viewpoint Diversity in the Teaching of Political Philosophy
Chair: Randall Curren (University of Rochester)
Speakers:
Avner De-Shalit (Hebrew University)
“Teaching Political Philosophy and Academic Neutrality”
Debra Nails (Michigan State University)
“Plato’s Political Philosophy in Today’s Academy”
Daniel Bell (Tsinghua University)
“Liberal Education versus Confucian Education: A (Fictitious) Debate on Teaching Political Philosophy in East Asia”
William J. Talbott (University of Washington)
“What’s Wrong with Wishy-Washy Teaching in Political Philosophy Courses?”

IV-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of American Indians in Philosophy
1:00-3:00 p.m., Galleria I (Blrm)
Topic: Native American Traditions, Philosophy, and Cultural Diversity
Chair: Brian Yazzie Burkhart (Pitzer College)
Speakers:
Andrea Sullivan-Clarke (University of Washington)
“Cultural Diversity: It’s in Everyone’s Best Interest”
Adam Arola (University of Oregon)
“Taking on the Tradition”

GROUP MEETINGS, 1:00-4:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
North American Kant Society, Session I

THURSDAY EARLY EVENING, MARCH 23

SESSION V — 4:00-6:00 P.M.
V-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Iain Thomson, Heidegger on Ontotheology: Technology and the Politics of Education
4:00-6:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Chair: Mark Wrathall (Brigham Young University)
Critics:
William Blattner (Georgetown University)
Hans Sluga (University of California–Berkeley)
Author: Iain Thomson (University of New Mexico)
V-B. Invited Paper: Language and Literature
4:00-6:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Chair: Ronald Moore (University of Washington)
Speaker: Bernard Harrison (University of Utah)
“Language, Literature, and Reality”
Commentators: John Gibson (Temple University)
Michael Krausz (Bryn Mawr College)

V-C. Invited Paper: Perception and Empirical Realism
4:00-6:00 p.m., Council (3rd)
Chair: Charles Wallis (California State University–Long Beach)
Speaker: Bill Brewer (Warwick University)
“Perception and Its Objects”
Commentators: Anil Gupta (University of Pittsburgh)
Nicolas Bullot (University of British Columbia)

V-D. Invited Paper: The ‘Rediscovery’ of Aristotle’s *Protrepticus*
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Chair: Steven Patterson (Marygrove College)
Speakers: Doug Hutchinson (University of Toronto)
Monte Johnson (Saint Louis University)
“Aristotle’s *Protrepticus* and the Fourth Century
Debate about Philosophy, Education, and Politics”
Commentators: David Gallop (Trent University)
Christopher Rowe (University of Durham)

V-E. Colloquium: Contemporary Political Philosophy
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Susanne Sreedhar (Tulane University of New Orleans)
Speaker: Brian Thomas (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Getting Clear on Group Autonomy”
Commentator: Devonya Havis (Boston College)
5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Kay Mathiesen (University of Arizona)
Speaker: H. Benjamin Shaeffer (Humboldt State University)
“Are Civil Rights Protests Self-Respecting?”
Commentator: Lori Gruen (Wesleyan University)

V-F. Colloquium: Personal Identity
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)
4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Theodore Guleserian (Arizona State University)
Speaker: Neal A. Tognazzini (University of California–Riverside)
“On Being a Morally Responsible Stage”
**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Jason Turner (Rutgers University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Peter Kung (Pomona College)
Speaker: Donald L. M. Baxter (University of Connecticut)
“Representing Personal Identity”
Commentator: William Edward Morris (Augustana College)

V-G. Colloquium: Philosophy of Law
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Fritz Allhoff (Western Michigan University)
Speaker: Richard Nunan (College of Charleston)
“Richard Posner on Democracy and Judicial Intervention”
Commentator: John Harris (University of Colorado–Boulder)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Adam Moore (University of Washington)
Speaker: David Lefkowitz (University of North Carolina–Greensboro)
“(Dis)solving the Chronological Paradox in Customary International Law”
Commentator: Augustine Frimpong-Mansoh (California State University–Bakersfield)

V-H. Colloquium: Philosophy of Science
4:00-6:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Andrew Melnyk (University of Missouri–Columbia)
Speaker: Robert Howell (Southern Methodist University)
“Emergentism and Supervenience Physicalism”
Commentator: Jessica Wilson (University of Toronto)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: David Kaspar (University of Nevada–Reno)
Speaker: William Russell Payne (Bellevue Community College)
“What a Law of Nature Is”
Commentator: Kenneth Lucey (University of Nevada–Reno)
V-I. Symposium: Source Incompatibilism
4:00-6:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)
Chair: Jeffrey Green (University of Notre Dame)
Speaker: Kevin L. Timpe (University of California–San Diego)
“Source Incompatibilism and Its Alternatives”
Commentators: Michael McKenna (Ithaca College)
Seth Shabo (University of Vermont)

V-J. Symposium: Metaethics
4:00-6:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Chair: Kirk Wolf (Delta College)
Speaker: Sharon Street (New York University)
“Evolution and the Schizophrenia of Quasi-realism about Normativity”
Commentators: Max Kölbel (University of Birmingham/LOGOS Barcelona)
Mark van Roojen (University of Nebraska–Lincoln)

V-K. Symposium: Metaphysics
4:00-6:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Chair: Brian McLaughlin (Rutgers University)
Speaker: Jonathan M. Schaffer (University of Massachusetts–Amherst)
“From Nihilism to Monism”
Commentators: Ned Markosian (Western Washington University)
Ted Sider (Rutgers University)

V-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession
4:00-6:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
Topic: Interdisciplinary Scholarship
Chair: Anne Jaap Jacobson (University of Houston)
Commentators: José Luis Bermúdez (Washington University in St. Louis)
Alison Wylie (University of Washington)
Cheyney Ryan (University of Oregon)

Reception for the “Philosophy Talk” Public Radio Program
5:00-7:00 p.m., Galleria

Annual Reception
9:00 p.m.-Midnight, Pavilion (Pl)
GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-8:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Josiah Royce Society
Society for Business Ethics
Society for Phenomenology and Analytic Philosophy
North American Kant Society, Session II
Joint Meeting of Society of Christian Philosophers and Society for the Study of Process Philosophy.

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-9:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Society for the Study of Ethics & Animals
Joint Session of Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy and the APA Committee on the Status of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgendered People in the Profession
Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Session II
American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Session I
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session I
North American Wittgenstein Society
Society for Student Philosophers, Session II
Association for Philosophy of Education

GROUP MEETINGS, 8:00-10:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
William James Society
Philosophy of Religion Group, Session I
Society for Skeptical Studies, Session I
Karl Jaspers Society, Session I
Hume Society

GROUP MEETINGS, 9:00-11:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session I

FRIDAY, MARCH 24, 2006

REGISTRATION
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)

BOOK DISPLAYS
8:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom (Blrm)
PLACEMENT INFORMATION
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)

PLACEMENT INTERVIEWING
8:30 a.m.-5:00 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)

RECEPTION GIVEN BY PARMENIDES PUBLISHING
4:00-6:00 p.m., Galleria (Blrm)

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS
6:00-7:00 p.m., Pavilion (Pl)

PRESIDENTIAL RECEPTION
7:00-9:00 p.m., Plaza Level Foyer

FRIDAY MORNING, MARCH 24

BREAKFAST MEETING OF THE APA COMMITTEE ON INCLUSIVENESS
8:00 a.m., Cabinet (3rd)

APA BOARD AND COMMITTEE CHAIRS LUNCH
11:45 a.m., Senate (3rd)

SESSION VI — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

VI-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Timothy Schroeder, The Three Faces of Desire
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Forum (3rd)
Chair: Darren Abramson (Dalhousie University)
Critics: John Doris (Washington University in St. Louis)
        Steven Downes (University of Utah)
        Christopher Hill (Brown University)
Author: Timothy Schroeder (University of Manitoba)

VI-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Robert A. Wilson, Boundaries of the Mind: The Individual in the Fragile Sciences and Genes and The Agents of Life: The Individual in the Fragile Sciences
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Council (3rd)
Chair: Bruce Hunter (University of Alberta)
Critics: Jonathan Kaplan (Oregon State University)
        Saul Fisher (American Council of Learned Societies)
        Mark Rowlands (University of Hertfordshire)
        Alan C. Love (University of California–Santa Cruz)
Author: Robert A. Wilson (University of Alberta)
(This session may continue past Noon.)
VI-C. **Author-Meets-Critics: Larry May, *Crimes Against Humanity***

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway I (Pl)

**Chair:** Thomas Christiano (University of Arizona)

**Critics:** Andrew Altman (Georgia State University)
Elizabeth Kiss (Duke University)
David Luban (Georgetown University)

**Author:** Larry May (Washington University in St. Louis)

VI-D. **Invited Symposium: Internalism and Externalism in Semantics and Epistemology**

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway II (Pl)

**Chair:** Matt Weiner (Texas Tech University)

**Speakers:** Sanford Goldberg (University of Kentucky)
“The Epistemology of Understanding”
Earl Conee (University of Rochester)
“External Content, Internal Justification”
Peter Graham (University of California–Riverside)
“Epistemic Norms, Semantic Externalism, and Two Kinds of Epistemic Internalism”

**Commentator:** Ram Neta (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

VI-E. **Invited Symposium: Kant and Post-Kantian Idealism**

9:00-Noon, Broadway III (Pl)

**Chair:** Ryan Hickerson (Western Oregon University)

**Speakers:** Michael Friedman (Stanford University)
“Kant, Skepticism, and Idealism: Kantian and Post-Kantian Conceptions of Nature”
Sally Sedgwick (University of Illinois–Chicago)
“Thought as a ‘Means’: Hegel’s Critique of Kant in the Introduction to the *Phenomenology*”

**Commentator:** William Bristow (University of California–Irvine)

VI-F. **Invited Symposium: Valuing and the Emotions**

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria II (Blrm)

**Chair:** Timothy Bloser (Cornell University)

**Speakers:** Julie Tannenbaum (University of California–Santa Cruz)
“Emotional Expressions of Moral Values”
Justin D’Arms (Ohio State University) and Daniel Jacobson (Bowling Green State University)
“Rational Regret, Rational Action”
Agnieszka Jaworska (Stanford University)
“Valuing and Caring”

**Commentator:** Jodi Halpern (University of California–Berkeley)
VI-G. Colloquium: Ethics
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria I (Blrm)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Susan Stark (Bates College)
Speaker: Douglas Portmore (Arizona State University)
“Are Moral Reasons Morally Overriding?”
Commentator: Noell Birondo (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Dan Boisvert (California State University–Bakersfield)
Speaker: Elise Springer (Wesleyan University)
“On Avoiding Performative Contradiction in Moral Criticism”
Commentator: Daniel Farnham (University of St. Thomas–St. Paul)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: John Draeger (Buffalo State College)
Speaker: Jason Brennan (University of Arizona)
“On Behalf of Moral Principles”
**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Alastair Norcross (Rice University)

VI-H. Colloquium: History of Modern Philosophy
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria III (Blrm)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Marc Bobro (Santa Barbara City College)
Speaker: Colin R. Marshall (New York University)
“Two Arguments against the Particular Content Reading of Nicolas Malebranche’s ‘General Volitions’”
**Winner of an Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**
Commentator: Andrew Pessin (Connecticut College)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Roger Florka (Ursinus College)
Speaker: Raffaella De Rosa (Rutgers University–Newark)
“A Teleological Account of Cartesian Sensations?”
Commentator: Alison Simmons (Harvard University)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Todd Ganson (Oberlin College)
Speaker: Giovanni B. Grandi (Auburn University)
“Reid’s Direct Realism about Vision”
Commentator: James Van Cleve (University of Southern California)
VI-I. Colloquium: Mind and Language
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor B (Blrm)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Aaron Zimmerman (University of California–Santa Barbara)
Speaker: Par Sundstrom (Umeå University)
“Sensory Qualities and Concept Empiricism”
Commentator: Bernard W. Kobes (Arizona State University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Paul Pistone (University of California–San Diego)
Speaker: Robert W. Lurz (City University of New York–Brooklyn College)
“Wordless Thoughts and Their Supposed Limits”
Commentator: Wayne Wright (California State University–Long Beach)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Ray Rennard (University of the Pacific)
Speaker: Benjamin J. Stenberg (University of Washington)
“On the Ontological Priority of Thought over Language: The Sellars-Chisholm Correspondence”
Commentator: Anastasia Panagopoulos (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)

VI-J. Colloquium: Philosophy of Mind
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor C (Blrm)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: William P. Seeley (Franklin and Marshall College)
Speaker: Sean Hermanson (Florida International University)
“Extended Memories and the Functional Roles Objection”
Commentator: Robert Rupert (University of Colorado–Boulder)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Andrea Sullivan-Clarke (University of Washington)
Speaker: Michelle I. Montague (University of California–Irvine)
“Pro-attitudes, Propositionalism, and Psychological Disharmony”
Commentator: Sarah McGrath (Brandeis University)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Dan Yim (Biola University)
Speaker: Shelley Weinberg (University of Toronto)
“Consciousness and Reflection in Locke’s Essay: Solving the Problem of Incoherence”
Commentator: Mary Domski (University of New Mexico)
VI-K. **Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies**

* 9:00 a.m.-Noon, Directors (3rd)
* Topic: The Trouble with Justice
* Chair: Xunwu Chen (University of Texas–San Antonio)
* Speakers: Chenyang Li (Central Washington University/City University of Hong Kong)
  
  “Justice and Care Ethics: Ethics as Configuration of Values”

  Xunwu Chen (University of Texas–San Antonio)

  “Justice and Humanity”

  Chung-Ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)

  “Justice: Confucius and Kant”

  Commentator: Chung-Ying Cheng (University of Hawaii–Manoa)

VI-L. **Special Session Arranged by the APA Committees on the Status of Women and Inclusiveness**

* 9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway IV (Pl)
* Topic: Women’s Choices: Family Matters in the Profession
* Chair: Rosemarie Tong (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)
* Speakers: Joanne Waugh (University of South Florida)
  
  “‘We Are Now Beginning Our Descent into Miami’—Twenty Years of Philosophy on the Fly”

  Rebecca Kukla (Carleton University)

  “Familiar Thinking: Reflections of a Mother, Philosopher, Philosopher’s Wife, and Philosopher’s Daughter”

  Janet Kourany (University of Notre Dame)

  “Making a Place for the Other”

  Sharyn Clough (Oregon State University)

  “The Two-Body Problem”

  Jean Keller (College of St. Benedict/St. John’s University)

  “Unforeseen Transformations: One Woman’s Reflections on Combining Philosophy and Motherhood”

---

**FRIDAY AFTERNOON, MARCH 24**

**SESSION VII — 1:00-4:00 P.M.**

VII-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Jason Stanley, *Knowledge and Practical Interests*

1:00-4:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)

Chair: Delia Graff (Princeton University)
Critics: Gilbert Harman (Princeton University)
Stephen Schiffer (New York University)
Author: Jason Stanley (Rutgers University)


1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)

Chair: Jami Anderson (University of Michigan–Flint)
Critics: Chris Cuomo (University of Cincinnati)
Timothy Murphy (University of Illinois–Chicago)
Author: Richard Mohr (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

VII-D. Author-Meets-Critics: J. Angelo Corlett, *Interpreting Plato’s Dialogues*

1:00-4:00 p.m., Galleria II (Blrm)

Chair: Nicholas D. Smith (Lewis and Clark College)
Critics: Lloyd P. Gerson (University of Toronto)
Gerald A. Press (City University of New York–Hunter College)
Charles Young (Claremont Graduate University)
Author: J. Angelo Corlett (San Diego State University)

*Areception sponsored by Parmenides Publishing in honor of Professor J. Angelo Corlett will be held directly following this session, 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. in Galleria (Blrm). All conference attendees are invited to attend.*

VII-E. Invited Symposium: Authenticity

1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)

Chair: Raymond Martin (Union College)
Speakers: Benjamin Crowe (University of Utah)
“Attention and the First Person”
Taylor Carman (Barnard College)
“Authenticity and the First Person”
Charles Guignon (University of South Florida)
“Heidegger’s Concept of Authenticity in ‘Being and
Time’”

Commentator: Randall Havas (Willamette University)

VII-F. Invited Symposium: Eastern and Western Virtue Ethics
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway III (PI)
Chair: Christopher G. Framarin (University of Calgary)
Speakers: Nancy Sherman (Georgetown University)
“Equanimity–Stoic Style”
Bryan W. Van Norden (Vassar College)
“Virtue Ethics and Confucianism”

VII-G. Invited Symposium: Introspection and Consciousness
1:00-4:00 p.m., Council (3rd)
Chair: Eric Schwitzgebel (University of California–Riverside)
Speakers: William G. Lycan (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Higher-Order Perception, 2006”
Dorit Bar-On (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“Introspection and Avowable Self-Knowledge”
Terry Horgan (University of Arizona)
“The Hidden in Phenomenal Consciousness”

VII-H. Invited Symposium: Sex, Violence, and the Criminal Law
1:00-4:00 p.m., Galleria I (Blrm)
Chair: Lawrence Solum (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)
Speakers: Joshua Dressler (Ohio State University)
“Battered Women Who Kill Their Sleeping Abusers: Reflections on Criminal Responsibility”
Victoria Nourse (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“The ‘Unwritten’ Law of Homicide: How Gender has Shaped the Law of Homicide”
Mary Sigler (Arizona State University)
“What’s Wrong with Rape”

VII-I. Colloquium: Epistemology
1:00-4:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Steven Reynolds (Arizona State University)
Speaker: Andrew Cullison (West Virginia University)
“A Defense of Phenomenal Conservatism”
Commentator: Michael Huemer (University of Colorado–Boulder)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Bennett Barr (University of Washington)
Speaker: Ian Evans (Lewis and Clark College)
“Knowing that One Knows Revisited”
Commentator: Chris Lepock (University of Alberta)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Ulrich Meyer (Colgate University)
Speaker: Joe R. Salerno (Saint Louis University)
“Who Discovered Fitch’s Paradox, and Why Won’t It Go Away?”
Commentator: Ali Kazmi (University of Calgary)

VII-J. Colloquium: History of Modern Philosophy
1:00-4:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: John Whipple (University of California–Irvine)
Speaker: Fred Ablondi (Hendrix College)
“Francios Lamy, Occasionalism, and the Mind-Body Problem”
Commentator: Patricia Easton (Claremont Graduate University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Shoshana Smith (Colgate University)
Speaker: Monte Cook (University of Oklahoma)
“Malebranche’s Soft Dualism”
Commentator: Larry Nolan (California State University–Long Beach)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Stewart Duncan (University of Florida)
Speaker: Genevieve Migely (Claremont Graduate University)
“The Berkeley Triangle and the Occasionalism that Lurks Beneath”
Commentator: Nicholas Jolley (University of California–Irvine)

VII-K. Colloquium: Philosophy of Language
1:00-4:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)

1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Jeremy Anderson (United States Air Force Academy)
Speaker: Joongol Kim (Western Illinois University)
“Definition by Abstraction”
Commentator: Ishani Maitra (Syracuse University)

2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Douglas Cannon (University of Puget Sound)
Speaker: Heimir Geirsson (Iowa State University)
“Substitutivity, Simple Sentences, and Belief Reports”
Commentator: Avram Hiller (Wake Forest University)

3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Thomas Hofweber (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Speaker: Allan J. Hazlett (Brown University)
“Grice’s Razor”
Commentator: Baron Reed (Northern Illinois University)

VII-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)
Topic: Disability and Disadvantage
Co-Chairs: Kimberley Brownlee (University of Manchester)
Adam Cureton (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Speakers: Christie Hartley (Georgia State University)
“An Inclusive Contractualism: Obligations to the Mentally Disabled”
John Harris (University of Manchester)
“Disability and Enhancement”
Julian Savulescu (Oxford University)
“Procreative Beneficence and the New Eugenics”
Lorella Terzi (Roehampton University of Surrey)
“Vagaries of the Natural Lottery? Human Diversity, Disability, Normality, and the Demands of Justice”
Commentator: Mary B. Mahowald (University of Chicago)

VII-M. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)
Topic: The Role of the APA in the International Philosophical Community
Chair: William McBride (Purdue University/Secretary General, FISP)
Speakers: Moufida Goucha (Director, Section of Philosophy and the Human Sciences, UNESCO)
Jaakko Hintikka (Boston University)
William McBride (Purdue University)
Ernest Sosa (Brown University/Rutgers University)
FRIDAY EARLY EVENING, MARCH 24

SESSION VIII — 4:00-6:00 P.M.

VIII-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Mohan Matthen, Seeing, Doing and Knowing: A Philosophical Theory of Sense Perception
4:00-6:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Chair: Janet Levin (University of Southern California)
Critics: Austen Clark (University of Connecticut)
Frances Egan (Rutgers University)
Author: Mohan Matthen (University of British Columbia)

VIII-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Melvyn Goodale, Sight Unseen: An Exploration of Conscious and Unconscious Vision
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)
Chair: Gabriel Love (Princeton University)
Critics: Sean Kelly (Princeton University)
Alva Noë (University of California–Berkeley)
Author: Melvyn Goodale (University of Western Ontario)

VIII-C. Invited Paper: Kantian Equality
4:00-6:00 p.m., Council (3rd)
Chair: John Uglietta (Grand Valley State University)
Speaker: Laurence Thomas (Syracuse University)
“Kantian Equality and the Moorings of Experience”
Commentators: Paul Guyer (University of Pennsylvania)
Angela Smith (University of Washington)

VIII-D. Invited Symposium: Democracy and Nature
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
Chair: Thomas Osborne (University of St. Thomas–Houston)
Speaker: Josiah Ober (Princeton University)
“Democracy and Natural Capacity”
Commentators: Richard Kraut (Northwestern University)
Philip Pettit (Princeton University)

VIII-E. Invited Symposium: Philosophy and Linguistics
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Chair: Anne Bezuidenhout (University of South Carolina)
Speaker: Barbara Abbott (Michigan State University)
“On Linguistic Solutions to Philosophical Problems”
Commentators: Dean Pettit (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Craige Roberts (Ohio State University)
VIII-F. Colloquium: Contemporary Political Philosophy

4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Brandy Burfield (University of Houston)
Speaker: Derrick R. Calandrella (Independent Scholar)
“Safety and the End of Liberalism”
Commentator: Cindy Holder (University of Victoria)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Peter Hanowell (Florida State University)
Speaker: Thomas W. Peard (Baker University)
“Can National-Defense Be Morally Grounded in Personal Self-Defense?”
Commentator: Stefan Sciaraffa (University of California–Davis)

VIII-G. Colloquium: Forgiveness

4:00-6:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Thompson Faller (University of Portland)
Speaker: Lucy Allais (University of Sussex)
“Aspirational Forgiveness”
Commentator: Lisa Shapiro (Simon Fraser University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Daniel Considine (University of Southern California)
Speaker: Rodney C. Roberts (East Carolina University)
“The Gift of Forgiveness”
Commentator: Charlotte Brown (Illinois Wesleyan University)

VIII-H. Colloquium: Ontology

4:00-6:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)

4:00-5:00 p.m.
Chair: Eric Marcus (Auburn University)
Speaker: Daniel Z. Korman (University of Texas–Austin)
“Incars, Outcars, Klables, and Trables: What the Commonsense Ontologist Should Say about Strange Kinds”
Commentator: Adam Elga (Princeton University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.
Chair: Jay Newhard (University of Oklahoma)
Speaker: Casey Karbowsk (Western Washington University)
“Vagueness Does Not Imply Unrestricted Composition”
Commentator: Michael Fara (Princeton University)
VIII-I. Symposium: Philosophy of Evolutionary Theory
4:00-6:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)
Chair: Chris Pearson (University of Washington)
Speaker: Kevin Brosnan (University of Wisconsin)
“Quasi-Independence, Fitness, and Advantageousness”
Commentators: Andrew Hamilton (University of California–Davis)
Jay Odenbaugh (Lewis and Clark College)

VIII-J. Symposium: Public Reason and Religious Belief
4:00-6:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Chair: Dean Kowalski (University of Wisconsin–Waukesha)
“Respect for Persons and the Doctrine of Religious Restraint”
Speaker: Chris Eberle (Independent Scholar)
Commentators: Alyssa Bernstein (Ohio University)
Paul Weithman (University of Notre Dame)

VIII-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement
4:00-6:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Topic: The New APA Placement Brochure and Advice to Job Candidates
Speakers: Rebecca Copenhaver (Lewis and Clark College)
Andrew Light (University of Washington)

VIII-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness
4:00-6:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
Topic: Roundtable: Philosophers and Students with Disabilities: How Welcoming Is the Profession?
Chair: Mark Chekola (Minnesota State University–Moorhead)

PRESIDENTIAL ADDRESS
6:00-7:00 p.m., Pavilion (Pl)
Introduction: Calvin Normore (University of California–Los Angeles)
Speaker: Jeffrie G. Murphy (College of Law, Arizona State University)
“Legal Moralism and Retribution Revisited”

PRESIDENTIAL RECEPTION
7:00-9:00 p.m., Plaza Level Foyer
GROUP MEETINGS, 7:15-10:15 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Society for Social and Political Philosophy: Historical, Continental, and Feminist Perspectives, Session I
North American Nietzsche Society
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session I
Western Phenomenology Conference
Society for Skeptical Studies, Session II
Society for Empirical Ethics
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session II
American Association of Philosophy Teachers, Co-Sponsored by The APA Committee on Teaching Philosophy
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session II
Society for Analytical Feminism
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy
Association of Informal Logic and Critical Thinking

SATURDAY, MARCH 25, 2006

REGISTRATION
8:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)

BOOK DISPLAYS
8:00 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom (Blrm)

-placement information
8:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)

Placement interviewing
8:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m., Parlor A (Ballroom)

Barwise Prize Reception
Noon-2:00 p.m., Pavilion (Pl)

SATURDAY MORNING, MARCH 26

Breakfast Meeting of the APA Committee on the Status of Women
7:30-9:00 a.m., Cabinet (3rd)

Breakfast Meeting of the 2006 and 2007 Pacific Division Program Committees
7:30-9:00 a.m., Bistro 921
SESSION IX — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

IX-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Linda Martín Alcoff, Visible Identities: Race, Gender, and the Self
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Forum (3rd)
Chair: Angela Curran (Carleton College)
Critics: Ofelia Schutte (University of South Florida)
Ronald Robles Sundstrom (University of San Francisco)
Author: Linda Martín Alcoff (Syracuse University)

IX-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Jeanine Grenberg, Kant and the Ethics of Humility: A Story of Dependence, Corruption, and Virtue
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor B (Blrm)
Chair: Jeffrey Wilson (Loyola Marymount University)
Critics: Patrick R. Frierson (Whitman College)
Robert B. Louden (University of Southern Maine)
Author: Jeanine Grenberg (St. Olaf College)

IX-C. Author-Meets-Critics: William J. Talbott, Which Rights Should Be Universal?
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Council (3rd)
Chair: Stephan Johnson (City College of San Francisco)
Critics: Carol C. Gould (George Mason University)
James Nickel (Arizona State University)
David Reidy (University of Tennessee)
Author: William J. Talbott (University of Washington)

IX-D. Author-Meets-Critics: David Sedley, The Midwife of Platonism
9:00-Noon, Broadway I(Pl)
Chair: Rod Jenks (University of Portland)
Critics: Mary Louise Gill (Brown University)
Charles Griswold (Boston University)
Author: David Sedley (University of Cambridge)

IX-E. Author-Meets-Critics: Scott Soames, Philosophical Analysis in the Twentieth Century
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria III (Blrm)
Chair: Kenneth Taylor (Stanford University)
Critics: Paul Horwich (New York University)
Thomas Hurka (University of Toronto)
Michael Kremer (University of Chicago)
Christopher Pincock (Purdue University)
Author: Scott Soames (University of Southern California)
IX-F. Invited Symposium: Practical and Theoretical Reason
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway III (Pl)
Chair: Dion Scott-Kakures (Scripps College)
Speakers: David Owens (University of Sheffield)
 “Deliberation: Theoretical and Practical”
    David Macarthur (University of Sydney)
 “Skepticism and Reason”
Commentator: Nishi Shah (Amherst College)

IX-G. Invited Symposium: Recent Philosophical Work on
Causation
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway IV (Pl)
Chair: Alan Hájek (Australian National University)
Speakers: Christopher Hitchcock (California Institute of
   Technology)
 “Causal Responsibility”
    John Campbell (University of California–Berkeley)
 “Causation in Psychology”
    Ned Hall (Harvard University)
 “The Foundations of Causal Modeling”

IX-H. Invited Symposium: Relativism
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor C (Blrm)
Chair: Tim Black (California State University–Northridge)
Speakers: Michael Glanzberg (University of California–Davis)
 “Context, Content, and Relativism”
    Andrew Egan (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
 “Relativism without Tears”
    Anthony Gillies (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor)
 “CIA Leaks”

IX-I. Colloquium: Epistemology
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Directors (3rd)
9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Henry Kreuzman (College of Wooster)
Speaker: James R. Beebe (State University of New York–Buffalo)
 “Has BonJour Solved the Problem of Induction?”
Commentator: Roger White (New York University)
10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Sharon Crasnow (Riverside Community College)
Main Program

Speaker: William A. Rottschaefer (Lewis and Clark College)

Commentator: D. Gene Witmer (University of Florida)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: John Marmysz (College of Marin)
Speaker: Aaron Allen Schiller (University of California–San Diego)
“Sense-Impressions, Things-in-Themselves, and the Totality of Facts”

Commentator: Kevin Falvey (University of California–Santa Barbara)

IX-J. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway II (Pl)

Topic: Globalization, Trade, and the Poor
Chair: Don Ross (University of Alabama–Birmingham/University of Cape Town)
Speakers: David Crocker (University of Maryland–College Park)
“Development Ethics, Globalization, and Trade”
Aaron James (University of California–Irvine)
“Fairness in Trade”
Jay Mandle (Colgate University)
“Saving Globalization”

Commentators: Nicole Hassoun (University of Arizona/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Don Ross (University of Alabama–Birmingham/University of Cape Town)

IX-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Pavilion (Pl)

Topic: Award of the 2005 Barwise Prize to Hubert Dreyfus
Chair: Christopher Grau (Florida International University)
Speaker: Hubert Dreyfus (University of California–Berkeley)
“Why Heideggerian AI Failed and How Fixing It Would Require Making It More Heideggerian (with the Help of Merleau-Ponty)”

The APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers invites convention attendees to a reception honoring Hubert Dreyfus, winner of the 2005 Barwise Prize, from Noon to 2:00 p.m. in Pavilion (Pl).
IX-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria I (Blrm)
Topic: Medicalization: Rhetoric and Value
Chair: Mary V. Rorty (Stanford University)
Speakers: Felicia Nimue Ackerman (Brown University)
         John Hardwig (University of Tennessee)
         James L. Nelson (Michigan State University)

SATURDAY AFTERNOON, MARCH 25

SESSION X — 1:00-4:00 P.M.

X-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Virginia Held, The Ethics of Care: Personal, Political, and Global
1:00-4:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Chair: Leslie Francis (University of Utah)
Critics: Eva Feder Kittay (State University of New York–Stony Brook)
         Rosemarie Tong (University of North Carolina–Charlotte)
Author: Virginia Held (City University of New York–Graduate School)

X-B. Author-Meets-Critics: Jonathan Kvanvig, The Value of Knowledge and the Pursuit of Understanding
1:00-4:00 p.m., Council (3rd)
Chair: Heather Battaly (California State University–Fullerton)
Critics: Catherine Elgin (Harvard University)
         John Greco (Fordham University)
         Wayne Riggs (University of Oklahoma)
Author: Jonathan Kvanvig (University of Missouri–Columbia)

X-C. Author-Meets-Critics: Randolph Clarke, Libertarian Accounts of Free Will
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Chair: Daniel Speak (Azusa Pacific University)
Critics: Alfred Mele (Florida State University)
         Timothy O’Connor (Indiana University–Bloomington)
         Derk Pereboom (University of Vermont)
Author: Randolph Clarke (University of Georgia)

X-D. Author-Meets-Critics: Mathias Frisch, Inconsistency, Asymmetry, and Non-Locality: A Philosophical Investigation of Classical Electrodynamics
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
Chair: Paul Teller (University of California–Davis)
Critics: Robert Batterman (University of Western Ontario)
        Sheldon Smith (University of California–Los Angeles)
        Mark Wilson (University of Pittsburgh)
Author: Mathias Frisch (University of Maryland–College Park)

X-E. Invited Symposium: Derrida Memorial Session
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)

Chair: Leonard Lawlor (University of Memphis)
Speakers: Rodolphe Gasche (State University of New York–Buffalo)
          “Derrida, A Thinker of ‘Europe’”
          Marc Crépon (Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique)
          “Europe, Perhaps: A Note on the Geo-politics of Powerlessness”
          Kas Saghafi (Villanova University)
          “The Master and the Rogue”

X-F. Invited Symposium: Experimental Philosophy
1:00-4:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)

Chair: Shaun Nichols (University of Utah)
Speakers: Joshua Knobe (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
          “Folk Psychology and Moral Judgment”
          Ernest Sosa (Brown University/Rutgers University)
          “How Are Experiments Relevant to Intuitions?”
          Jonathan M. Weinberg (Indiana University–Bloomington)
          “What Experimental Philosophy Is (But Mostly Isn’t) Good For”

X-G. Invited Symposium: Hume
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)

Chair: Peter Thielke (Pomona College)
Speakers: David Owen (University of Arizona)
          “Hume’s ‘Scepticism with Regard to Reason’: Treatise 1.4.1”
          Henry Allison (University of California–Davis)
          “Whatever Begins to Exist, Must Have a Cause of Existence”

Commentator: Angela Coventry (Portland State University)
X-H. Invited Symposium: Relative Truth in Semantics
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)
Chair: Kent Bach (San Francisco State University)
Speakers: Robert Stalnaker (Massachusetts Institute of Technology)
“Contextualism and Relativism”
John MacFarlane (University of California–Berkeley)
“Relative Truth and Disagreement”
John Hawthorne (Rutgers University)
“Relativism and Factive Verbs”

X-I. Invited Symposium: Works, Types, Universals
1:00-4:00 p.m., Galleria I (Blrm)
Chair: Lisa Warenski (Union College)
Speakers: Julian Dodd (University of Manchester)
“Platonism, Creativity, and Originality”
Carl Matheson (University of Manitoba)
Ben Caplan (University of Manitoba)
“On Fine Individuation”
Guy Rohrbaugh (Auburn University)
“The Timeless and the Timely”
Commentator: Robert Howell (State University of New York–Albany)

X-J. Colloquium: Epistemology
1:00-4:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
1:00-2:00 p.m.
Chair: Hollibert Phillips (Whitman College)
Speaker: Kenneth E. Hobson (University of Iowa)
“Foundational Belief and the Structure of Justification”
Commentator: Stephan Blatti (Oxford University/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
2:00-3:00 p.m.
Chair: Jennifer Fisher (University of North Florida)
Speaker: Mark E. Wunderlich (Iowa State University)
“The Tracking Theory of Epistemic Justification”
Commentator: Andrei Buckareff (Franklin and Marshall College)
3:00-4:00 p.m.
Chair: Ben Almassi (University of Washington)
Speaker: Tomoji Shogenji (Rhode Island College)
“A Defense of Reductionism about Testimonial Justification of Beliefs”
Commentator: Joseph Shieber (Lafayette College)
X-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on International Cooperation

1:00-4:00 p.m., Galleria III (Blrm)
Topic: What Can Philosophy Say about Public Policy?
Chair: Carol C. Gould (George Mason University)
 Speakers: 
  David Archard (University of Lancaster)  “Consent and the Law of Rape”  
  Cheyney Ryan (University of Oregon)  “The Laws of War and the Future of State Sovereignty”  
  Suzanne Uniacke (University of Hull)  “What Can Philosophy Say about Public Policy?: Commentary on Archard and Ryan”

X-L. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on Philosophy in Two-Year Colleges

1:00-4:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Topic: Dilemmas and Opportunities in Teaching ‘Intro’ in the Two-Year Context: A Roundtable Approach
Chair: Malcolm Munson (Greenville Technical College)
 Speakers: 
  Daniel Palmer (Kent State University)  “Introducing Philosophy at the Regional Campus: Lessons, Opportunities, and Challenges”  
  Malcolm Munson (Greenville Technical College)  “Teaching ‘Intro’: Dilemmas for Standards at ‘Outposts for Progress’”  
  Geoffrey Frasz (Community College of Southern Nevada)  “The Most Important Course in Philosophy: The Value of the Introduction to Philosophy Course at a Community College”

X-M. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies

1:00-4:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Topic: On Traditional Approaches to the ‘Self’: A Cross-Cultural Inquiry
Chair: P. M. John (Westfield State College)
 Speakers: 
  Ifeanyi Menkiti (Wellesley College)  “Approaching a Person’s Self in African Philosophy”  
  P. M. John (Westfield State College)  “Dynamics of ‘Self’ in the Ramayana and the Mahabharata”
Jack Chen (Wellesley College)
“Self, Subject and Person in Early Chinese Thought”

Natasha Heller (Harvard University)
“The Interconnected ‘Self’ in Indian and Chinese Buddhism”

X-N. **Mini-Conference on Scientific Images**

*1:00-4:00 p.m., Galleria II (Blrm)*

**Topic:** The Epistemology of Images

**Chair:** Miriam Solomon (Temple University)

**Speakers:**
- Jordi Cat (Indiana University–Bloomington)
  “From Seeing-as to Seeing-in, from Aesthetics to Philosophy of Science”
- John V. Kulvicki (Dartmouth College)
  “Knowing with Images: Medium and Message”
- Aaron Meskin (University of Leeds)
  “Photography and the Study of Aesthetic Preference”
- Catharine Abell (University of Manchester)
  “Pictures as Epistemic Tools”

**SATURDAY EARLY EVENING, MARCH 25**

**SESSION XI — 4:00-6:00 p.m.**

**XI-A. Invited Symposium: Death**

*4:00-6:00 p.m., Council (3rd)*

**Chair:** Holger Zaborowski (Catholic University of America)

**Speakers:**
- Hubert Dreyfus (University of California–Berkeley)
  “Death as a Way of Life”
- Julian Young (University of Auckland)
  “Nietzsche on Death”

**Commentator:** John Richardson (New York University)

**XI-B. Invited Symposium: Kant**

*4:00-6:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)*

**Chair:** Karl Ameriks (University of Notre Dame)

**Speakers:**
- Eric Watkins (University of California–San Diego)
  “Kant and the Experience of Freedom”
- Tamar Schapiro (Stanford University)
  “What Is a Necessary Evil?”

**Commentators:**
- Robert Hanna (University of Colorado–Boulder)
- Angela M. Smith (University of Notre Dame)
XI-C. Colloquium: Decision Theory  
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)  

4:00-5:00 p.m.  
Chair: Franz Huber (California Institute of Technology)  
Speakers: Hilary Greaves (Rutgers University) and David Wallace (Oxford University)  
“Justifying Conditionalization: Conditionalization Maximizes Expected Epistemic Utility”  
Commentator: Michael Titelbaum (University of California–Berkeley)  

5:00-6:00 p.m.  
Chair: Keith Simmons (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)  
Speaker: Lee Shepski (University of Arizona)  
“Prisoner’s Dilemma: The Hard Problem”  
Commentator: Don Fallis (University of Arizona)  

XI-D. Colloquium: Modality  
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)  

4:00-5:00 p.m.  
Chair: Xianduan Shi (University of Utah)  
Speaker: Amie L. Thomasson (University of Miami)  
“Modal Conceptualism: A Clarification and Defense”  
Commentator: Roberta Ballarin (Southern Methodist University)  

5:00-6:00 p.m.  
Chair: Andrew Hsu (University of California–Los Angeles)  
Speaker: Takashi Yagisawa (California State University–Northridge)  
“Modal Realism and Modal Tense”  
Commentator: Uriah Kriegel (University of Arizona)  

XI-E. Colloquium: Political Philosophy: Marriage  
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)  

4:00-5:00 p.m.  
Chair: Bruce Landesman (University of Utah)  
Speaker: David Gilboa (University of Wisconsin–Oshkosh)  
“Same-Sex Marriage in a Free Society: Between Toleration and Recognition”  
Commentator: Kenneth Himma (Seattle Pacific University)  

5:00-6:00 p.m.  
Chair: Renee Conroy (University of Washington)  
Speaker: Barbara S. Andrew (William Paterson University)  
“Fools for Moderation”  
Commentator: Charles Starkey (Clemson University)
XI-F. **Colloquium: Responsibility and Punishment**

4:00-6:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)

4:00-5:00 p.m.

Chair: Agnes Curry (St. Joseph College)

Speaker: Benjamin S. Yost (University of California–Berkeley)

“Should I Not Kill? Could I Not Kill?: Murder, Shame, and the Death Penalty”

Commentator: Francois Raffoul (Louisiana State University)

5:00-6:00 p.m.

Chair: Sandra Woien (Arizona State University)

Speaker: Erin I. Kelly (Tufts University)

“Compatibilism and Retributivism”

Commentator: Troy Jollimore (California State University–Chico)

XI-G. **Symposium: Imperative Logic**

4:00-6:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)

Chair: Martin Godwyn (University of British Columbia)

Speaker: Peter B. M. Vranas (Iowa State University)

“New Foundations for Imperative Logic I: Logical Connectives”

Commentators: Mitchell Green (University of Virginia)

Risto Hilpinen (University of Miami)

XI-H. **Symposium: Consequentialism**

4:00-6:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)

Chair: Andrew Jordan (University of Washington)

Speaker: Jean-Paul Vessel (New Mexico State University)

“Defending a Possibilist Insight in Consequentialist Thought”

Commentators: Mark Decker (University of Nebraska–Lincoln)

Lou Goble (Willamette University)

XI-I. **Symposium: Descartes’s Moral Epistemology**

4:00-6:00 p.m., Galleria I (Blrm)

Chair: Diana Palmieri (University of Western Ontario)

Speaker: Gary Steiner (Bucknell University)

“The Fundamental Limits of Reason in Descartes’s Moral Thought”

Commentators: John Marshall (University of Virginia)

Amy Schmitter (University of Alberta)

XI-J. **Symposium: Duties of Military Service**

4:00-6:00 p.m., Galleria III (Blrm)

Chair: Marcus Arvan (University of Arizona)
Speaker: Cheyney Ryan (University of Oregon)
“The Chickenhawk Argument”

Commentators: George Klosko (University of Virginia)
Rahul Kumar (Queen’s University)

XI-K. Symposium: Metaphysics and the Mind
4:00-6:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Chair: David DeMoss (Pacific University)
Speaker: Brannon McDaniel (University of Virginia)
“Emergence: A Response to Kim”
Commentators: Alex Rueger (University of Alberta)
Nick Treanor (Brown University)

XI-L. Symposium: The Ethics of Abortion
4:00-6:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Chair: Alice Sowaal (San Francisco State University)
Speaker: Elizabeth Harman (New York University)
“Sacred Mountains and Beloved Fetuses: Can Loving or Worshipping Something Give It Moral Status?”
Commentators: Laurie Shrage (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)
Mary Anne Warren (Independent Scholar)

XI-M. Mini-Conference on Scientific Images
4:00-6:00 p.m., Galleria II (Blrm)
Topic: Case Studies
Chair: Alfred Nordmann (Technische Universität Darmstadt)
Speakers: Dominic McIver Lopes (University of British Columbia)
“Drawing in the Social Sciences: Lithic Illustration”
Marta Spranzi (Université de Versailles)
“From Vegetal to Animal Cells: Analogical Reasoning, Models, and Images”
Ellen C. Landers (Independent Scholar)
“Imaging the Brain, Imagining the Brain”
Jonathan Kaplan (Oregon State University)
“The End of the Adaptive Landscape Metaphor”

GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-8:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session II
Society for Social and Political Philosophy: Historical, Continental, and Feminist Perspectives, Session II
Philosophy of Religion Group, Session II
Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism
GROUP MEETINGS, 6:00-9:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Society for German Idealism
Society for the Study of Philosophy and the Martial Arts
American Society for Aesthetics
American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Session II
Society for Student Philosophers, Session III
Gandhi/King Society
Society for the Contemporary Assessment of Platonism, Session II
Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion
International Hobbes Association
Society for Women in Philosophy

GROUP MEETINGS, 8:00-10:00 P.M.
(See Group Meeting Program for details)
Philosophy of Time Society
Karl Jaspers Society, Session II
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, Graduate Session
Association for Chinese Philosophers in America

SUNDAY, MARCH 26, 2006

SUNDAY MORNING, MARCH 26

SESSION XII — 9:00 A.M.-NOON

XII-A. Author-Meets-Critics: Russell Hardin, *Indeterminacy and Society*

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Forum (3rd)
Chair: Will Braynen (University of Arizona)
Critics: Cristina Bicchieri (University of Pennsylvania)
Gerald Gaus (Tulane University of New Orleans)
Author: Russell Hardin (New York University)

XII-B. Invited Symposium: Belief and Indexicals

9:00 a.m.-Noon, Council (3rd)
Chair: Robin Jeshion (University of California–Riverside)
Speakers: Ruth Garrett Millikan (University of Connecticut)
“What Do Indexicals Have to Do with the Explanation of Behavior?”
David Hunter (Buffalo State College)
“Belief and Subjectivity”
José Luis Bermúdez (Washington University in St. Louis)
“The Sense of ‘I’”
XII-C. Invited Symposium: Classical Theories of Action
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Directors (3rd)
Chair: Pamela Hood (San Francisco State University)
Speakers: John Armstrong (Southern Virginia University)
“Agency in Later Plato”
Kirk Fitzpatrick (Southern Utah University)
“Imagination, Thought, and Perception in Aristotle’s Account of Akrasia”
Eric Brown (Washington University in St. Louis)
“Stoic Psychopathology”
Commentator: Hendrik Lorenz (Princeton University)

XII-D. Invited Symposium: Humean Naturalism
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway I (Pl)
Chair: Michael Gill (University of Arizona)
Speakers: Paul Russell (University of British Columbia)
“Naturalism and Religion in Hume’s Philosophy”
Don Garrett (New York University)
“Naturalism, Skepticism, and ‘Rational’ Justification in Hume’s Epistemological Project”
Peter S. Fosl (Transylvania University)
“The ‘Nature’ of Hume’s Skepticism”

XII-E. Invited Symposium: Locke and Slavery
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway II (Pl)
Chair: Vicki Hseuh (Western Washington University)
Speakers: Robert Bernasconi (University of Memphis) and Anika Mann (Morgan State University)
“Absolute Power and Authority over His Negro Slaves: Locke as an Architect of Chattel Slavery”
James Farr (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)
“Locke, Natural Law, and New World Slavery”
William Uzgalis (Oregon State University)
“The Same Tyrannical Principle: Locke’s Condemnation of Absolute Power as Slavery”

XII-F. Invited Symposium: Philosophical Implications of Climate Change
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway III (Pl)
Chair: Andrew Askland (Arizona State University)
Speakers: Stephen M. Gardiner (University of Washington)
“A Perfect Moral Storm: Climate Change, Intergenerational Ethics, and the Problem of Moral Corruption”
Dale Jamieson (New York University)
“Climate Change and Hurricane Katrina”

Clark Wolf (Iowa State University)
“Justice and the Intergenerational Imposition of Risk”

Commentators:
Kristen Hessler (Iowa State University)
Steven Kramer (Southwest State University)
Darrel Moellendorf (San Diego State University)

XII-G. Colloquium: Epistemology
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor B (Blrm)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Dylan Mayer (University of Washington)
Speaker: Jeremy Fantl (Haverford College)
“Observer-Dependence in Ethics and Epistemology”
Commentator: Matthew Chrisman (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Helmut Wautischer (Sonoma State University)
Speaker: Deborah Sue Mower (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
“Something’s Rotten in Denmark: Inference to the Best Explanation”
Commentator: Fritz McDonald (City University of New York–Graduate School)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Albert Flores (California State University–Fullerton)
Speaker: Michael Cholbi (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)
“Belief Attribution and the Falsification of Motive Internalism”
Commentator: Todd Weber (Monterey Peninsula College)

XII-H. Colloquium: Kantian Ethics
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor A (Blrm)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Melinda Rosenberg (University of South Florida)
Speaker: Steven Sverdlik (Southern Methodist University)
“Motives, Maxims, and Deontic Relevance”
Commentator: Robert Johnson (University of Missouri–Columbia)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Joseph Grcic (Indiana State University)
Speaker: Timothy Rosenkoetter (Dartmouth College)
“Kantian Moral Feeling as a Singular Referring
Main Program

Representation"
Commentator: Richard Galvin (Texas Christian University)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Ken Rogerson (Florida International University)
Speaker: Mary C. MacLeod (Indiana University of Pennsylvania)
“Kant on Morality and Temporality”
Commentator: Corey Dyck (Boston College)

XII-I. Colloquium: Philosophy of Language
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Broadway IV (Pl)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Pepe Chang (University of Utah)
Speaker: Jennifer Lackey (Northern Illinois University)
“Norms of Assertion”
Commentator: Nathaniel Goldberg (Ohio University)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Panayot Butchvarov (University of Iowa)
Speaker: Mylan Engel (Northern Illinois University)
“Contextualism and the Problem of Knowing What One Says”
Commentator: Claire Horisk (University of Missouri–Columbia)

11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Sanford Shieh (Wesleyan University)
Speaker: Ronald W. Loeffler (Grand Valley State University)
“Assertional Practice and the False Belief Task”
Commentator: G. W. Fitch (Arizona State University)

XII-J. Colloquium: Philosophy of Science
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Studio (3rd)

9:00-10:00 a.m.
Chair: Catherine Womack (Bridgewater State College)
Speaker: Alexandre V. Korolev (University of British Columbia)
“The Limits of Predictability: Two Case Studies in (Physical) Demonology”
Commentator: David MacCallum (Carleton College)

10:00-11:00 a.m.
Chair: Ryan Wasserman (Western Washington University)
Speaker: Dana Lynne Goswick (University of California–Davis)
“Is There an Overdetermination Problem for Lewisian-Style Counterfactual Analysis of Causation?”
Commentator: David Sanson (Ohio State University)
11:00 a.m.-Noon
Chair: Susan Vineberg (Wayne State University)
Speaker: K. Brad Wray (State University of New York–Oswego)
“Explaining the Success and Failures of Science”
Commentator: Anjan Chakravartty (University of Toronto)

XII-K. Special Session Arranged by the APA Committee on the Status of Women
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Parlor C (Blrm)
Topic: Publishing as a Feminist
Chair: Sharon Crasnow (Riverside Community College)
Speakers: Sally J. Scholz (Villanova University)
“10 Tips for Publishing Essays and Reviews under 3000 Words”
Laurie Shrage (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)
“Peer Review and Why It Often Works”
Sandra Harding (University of California–Los Angeles)
“Publishing in International Contexts: Challenges and Opportunities”
Christine Overall (Queen’s University)
“Strategies for Publishing Feminist Books”
Hilde Lindemann (Michigan State University)
“Miss Morals Speaks Out about Publishing.”

XII-L. Mini-Conference on Scientific Images
9:00 a.m.-Noon, Galleria II (Blrm)
Topic: Images and Models
Chair: Dominic McIver Lopes (University of British Columbia)
Speakers: Laura Perini (Virginia Tech)
“Image Assessment: Truth, Accuracy, and Precision”
Anouk Barberousse (Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique)
“Images of Theoretical Models”
Steven Downes (University of Utah)
“Models, Pictures, and Unified Accounts of Representation”
Mauricio Suarez (Universidad Complutense de Madrid)
“Representation and Inference in Science and Art”
SUNDAY AFTERNOON, MARCH 26

SESSION M-II — 1:00-2:00 P.M.

MII-A. Mini-Conference on Scientific Images
1:00-2:00 p.m., Galleria II (Brm)
Topic: Roundtable Discussion
Chair: Laura Perini (Virginia Tech)
Commentators:
- Otávio Bueno (University of South Carolina)
- Nicolas Bullot (University of British Columbia)
- Patrick E. Forber (Stanford University)
- David Landy (Indiana University–Bloomington)
- Christoph Luethy (Radboud Universiteit Nijmegen)
- Patrick Rysiew (University of British Columbia)
- Adina Roskies (Dartmouth College)
- Eric Saidel (George Washington University)
- Roger Stanev (University of British Columbia)
- Paul Teller (University of California–Davis)
- Adam Toon (University of Cambridge)
- S. H. Vollmer (University of Alabama–Birmingham)
- Jonathan M. Weinberg (Indiana University–Bloomington)

Sunday Morning/Afternoon, XII-J to MII-A
GROUP PROGRAM

WEDNESDAY EVENING, MARCH 22

GROUP SESSION GI — 6:00-8:00 P.M.

GI-1. North American Society for Social Philosophy
6:00-8:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Topic: Terrorism, Security, and Human Rights
Chair: Jeffrey Gauthier (University of Portland)
Speakers: Johanna Brenner (Portland State University)
“Gender, Security, and Terrorism”
Richard Buck (Mount Saint Mary’s College)
“Terrorism and the Justificatory Limits of Human Rights”
John Rowan (Purdue University–Calumet)
“The Human Rights of Terrorism Stakeholders”

GI-2. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Session I
6:00-8:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Topic: Philosophy and Film
Chair: Barbara LaBossiere (California State University–Fresno)
Speakers: Karen Bardsley (Morehead State University)
“On Seeing Unicorns: Perception and the Content of Fictional Representations”
Michael Wolf (California State University–Fresno)
“Why Andy Kaufmann Wasn’t Funny”
Commentators: Catherine Sherron (Thomas More College)
James Behuniak (Sonoma State University)

GROUP SESSION GII — 6:00-9:00 P.M.

GII-1. Society for Student Philosophers, Session I
6:00-9:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Topic: Responses and Responsibilities—19th-century German Readings of Modern Philosophy
Chair: Melissa M. Shew (University of Oregon)
Speakers: Melissa M. Shew (University of Oregon)
   “Schelling and Spinoza: The Haunted and the Hunted”
Carolyn Culbertson (University of Oregon)
   “Hegel and Jacobi: On Thinking and Intuiting”
Adam Arola (University of Oregon)
   “Nietzsche and Spinoza: The Joys of Untimely Philosophy”

GII-2. Society for the Contemporary Assessment of Platonism, Session I
6:00-9:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
Topic: Catharsis, Motion, and Death in Plato’s Phaedo
Chair: Pierre Lamarche (Utah Valley State College)
Speaker: Michael Shaw (Utah Valley State College)
   “Forms without Motion in Metaphysics A”
Sara Brill (Fairfield University)
   “A Chorus of Swans: On the Manifold Nature of Death”
Ryan Drake (Georgia Southern University)
   “Currency and Catharsis”

THURSDAY AFTERNOON, MARCH 23

GROUP SESSION G-III — 1:00-4:00 P.M.
GIII-I. North American Kant Society, Session I
1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)
Chair: Michael Friedman (Stanford University)
Critics: Paul Guyer (University of Pennsylvania)
   Allen Wood (Stanford University)
Commentator: Henry Allison (University of California–Davis)

THURSDAY EVENING, MARCH 23

GROUP SESSION G-IV — 6:00-8:00 P.M.
GIV-1. Josiah Royce Society
6:00-8:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Topic: Inaugural Program
Chair: Jacquelyn Ann K. Kegley (California State University–Bakersfield)
Speaker: Mary Mahowald (University of Chicago)  
“Roycean Communities and Prenatal Testing for Disability”

Commentator: Eva Feder Kittay (State University of New York–Stony Brook)

GIV-2. Society for Business Ethics  
6:00-8:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)  
Topic: Business Ethics is a Pluralism  
Chair: Jeffery Smith (University of Redlands)  
Speaker: John Dienhart (Seattle University)  
Commentators: Joseph DesJardins (St. John’s University/College of St. Benedict)  
John McCall (St. Joseph’s University)

GIV-3. Society for Phenomenology and Analytic Philosophy  
6:00-8:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)  
Topic: Einstein Meets Husserl  
Chair: David Woodruff Smith (University of California–Irvine)  
Speakers: Thomas Ryckman (Stanford University)  
Richard Tieszen (San Jose State University)

GIV-4. North American Kant Society, Session II  
6:00-8:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)  
Topic: Kantian Political Philosophy  
Chair: Corey Dyck (Boston College)  
Speaker: Pablo Gilabert (Concordia University)  
“Basic Positive Duties of Justice: A Kantian Perspective”  
Commentator: Helga Varden (University of Toronto)

GIV-5. Joint Meeting of Society of Christian Philosophers and Society for the Study of Process Philosophy  
6:00-8:00 p.m., Council (3rd)  
Chair: Daniel Dombrowski (Seattle University)  
Proponents (from SSPP): Donald Viney (Pittsburg State University)  
“God as the Most and Best Moved Mover: Hartshorne’s Importance for Philosophical Theology”  
Randall Auxier (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)  
“God’s Mortal Soul”  
Commentators (from SCP): David Basinger (Roberts Wesleyan College)  
C. Stephen Evans (Baylor University)
GIV-6. Association for Philosophy of Education

6:00-8:00 p.m., Board Room West (3rd)

Topic: Education, Philosophy, and Psychology

Chair: Deborah Kerdeman (University of Washington)

Speakers: Philip Robbins (Washington University)

“Educating Intuition: Explanatory Gaps and the Balkanized Brain”
Jeffrey Sugarman (Simon Fraser University)

“The Form of the Personal and the Irreducibility of Psychological Reality”

GROUP SESSION GV — 6:00-9:00 P.M.

GV-1. Society for the Study of Ethics & Animals

6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)

Chair: Nathan Nobis (University of Alabama–Birmingham)

Speaker: Jeremy Garrett (Rice University)

“Utility-Based Vegetarianism and Human Health: A Response to the Causal Impotence Objection”

Commentator: Lawry Finsen (University of Redlands)

Chair: Gary Steiner (Bucknell University)

Speaker: Mylan Engel Jr. (Northern Illinois University)

“Moral Individualism and Our Duties to Animals”

Commentator: Susan Finsen (California State University–San Bernardino)

Chair: Ian Muhlhauser (University of Chicago)

Speaker: Rebecca Walker (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)


Commentator: Kathie Jenni (University of Redlands)


6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)

Topic: Film and LGBT Studies

Chair: William Wilkerson (University of Alabama–Huntsville)

Speakers: Richard Nunan (College of Charleston)

“A Tale of Two Lesbians: William Wyler’s Disinterment of The Children’s Hour”
Kayley Vernallis (California State University–Los Angeles)

“Iris Murdoch’s The Sovereignty of the Good and Tom Joslin’s Documentary Silverlake Life”

Commentator: Rita Alfonso (Grinnell College)
GV-3. Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs
6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway IV (PI)
Topic: Trade, Labor, Taxation, and Global Justice
Chair: Carol Gould (George Mason University)
Speakers: Christian Barry (Carnegie Council on Ethics and International Affairs)
“Just Linkage: International Trade and Labor Standards”
Gillian Brock (University of Auckland)
“Taxation and Global Justice”
Commentator: Don Ross (University of Alabama at Birmingham/University of Cape Town)

GV-4. International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy
6:00-9:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)
Topic: The Confucian Virtue of Yi in Comparative Perspectives
Chair: Sun Junheng (Wuhan University of Science and Technology)
Speakers: Wu Genyou (Wuhan University)
“Daoyi and Zhengyi: A Comparative Reflection on Confucius’s and Plato’s Views on Political Legitimacy”
Jinfen Yan (University of Toronto)
“The Formation and Character of Yi in Confucianism and of Justice in J. S. Mill’s Moral Philosophy”
Marshall Willman (University of Iowa)
“Mencius on Yi and Self-Reflection from a Kantian Point of View Commentators”
Commentators: Sun Junheng (Wuhan University of Science and Technology)
Hagop Sarkissian (Duke University)

GV-5. Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Session II
6:00-9:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Author Meets Critics: Andrew Fiala, Practical Pacifism
Chair: Barbara LaBossiere (California State University–Fresno)
Critics: Trudy Conway (Mount Saint Mary’s University)
Lani Roberts (Oregon State University)
Author: Andrew Fiala (California State University–Fresno)
GV-6. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Session I
6:00-9:00 p.m., Cabinet (3rd)

Topic: Approaching Metaphysical Issues in Philosophical Practice
Chair: James A. Tuedio (California State University–Stanislaus)
Speakers: Greg Tropea (California State University–Chico) “Hypothetically Making Sense of Religion”
Peter Raabe (University College of the Fraser Valley) “Esoteric Metaphysics in Philosophical Counseling”
Sara Ellenbogen (Quincy College) “From Philosophical Therapy to Psychotherapy: Wittgenstein and Philosophical Counseling”

GV-7. International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session I
6:00-9:00 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)

Author Meets Critics: Kathleen Dean Moore, The Pine Island Paradox
Chair: Geoffrey Frasz (Community College of Southern Nevada)
Critics: Leslie Francis (University of Utah)
Michael Nelson (University of Idaho)
Daniel McFee (Mercyhurst College)
Deborah Slicer (University of Montana)
Derek Turner (Connecticut College)
Author: Kathleen Dean Moore (Oregon State University)

6:00-9:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)

Topic: Wittgensteinian Methods
Chair: Stephen Simon (California State University–Fullerton)
Commentator: John W. Powell (Humboldt State University)
Speaker: Don Levi (University of Oregon) “The Existence of Language”
Commentator: Jeff Johnson (University of Minnesota)
Speaker: Kelly Jolley (Auburn University) “Wittgenstein’s Three Living Principles”
Commentator: Elizabeth Wolgast (California State University–East Bay)
GV-9. Society for Student Philosophers, Session II
6:00-9:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
Chair: Matthew Lockard (University of California–Los Angeles)
Speakers: Jeremy Henkel (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
“What the Categorical Imperative Cannot Do: Toward a Kantian Account of Choice”
Anne Barnhill (New York University)
“Sexual Objectification, Sexual Subjectification, and a Problem with Porn”
Michael Anthony Long (University of Houston)
“A Case for an Informed Current-Desire Conception of Welfare”
Eric Chelstrom (State University of New York–Buffalo)
“The Philosophical Understanding of Music in the Nineteenth Century: Schopenhauer and Nietzsche”

GROUP SESSION GVI — 8:00-10:00 P.M.
GVI-1. William James Society
8:00-10:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Topic: William James’s Ethics
Chair: Mark Moller (Denison University)
Speakers: Wesley Cooper (University of Alberta)
“Cerebralism and Voluntarism in James’s Will-to-Believe Doctrine”
Henry Jackman (York University)
“James, Objectivity, and Ethical Truth”
Todd Lekan (Muskingum College)
“Strenuous Moral Living”

GVI-2. Philosophy of Religion Group, Session I
8:00-10:00 p.m., Council (3rd)
Topic: Christianity and Metaphysics
Chair: John Y. Kwak (Biola University)
Speakers: Jeffrey Green (University of Notre Dame)
“On the Morality of Perdurantism”
Joshua David Blander (University of California–Los Angeles)
“Scotus’s Formal Distinction, the Trinity, and the Problem of Material Constitution”

GVI-3. Society for Skeptical Studies, Session I
8:00-10:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Chair: Rachel Robison (Weber State University)
Thursday Evening/Friday Evening, GVI-3 to GVII-4

Speakers: Otávio Bueno (University of South Carolina)
“Davidson and Skepticism: How Not to Respond to the Skeptic”
James R. Beebe (State University of New York–Buffalo)
“Bonjour on Skepticism”

GVI-4. Karl Jaspers Society, Session I
8:00-10:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Topic: Philosophy, Religion, and Politics
Chair: Helmut Wautischer (Sonoma State University)
Speaker: James Barry (Indiana University–Southeast)
“The Birth of the Social Realm in Arendt’s Post-Mortem of the Nation-State”
Commentator: Stephen Schulman (Ball State University)

GVI-5. Hume Society
8:00-10:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Chair: Saul Traiger (Occidental College)
Speaker: Jackie Taylor (University of San Francisco)
“Hume on Beauty and Virtue”

GROUP SESSION GVII — 9:00-11:00 P.M.
GVII-1. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session I
9:00-11:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)
Topic: Metaphysics in Laozi and Liezi
Chair: James Behuniak (Sonoma State University)
Speakers: Tongdong Bai (Xavier University)
“Being and Non-Being in Laozi”
June W. Seo (Sungkyunkwan University)
“Metaphysical Claims in the Liezi”
Commentator: James Behuniak (Sonoma State University)

FRIDAY EVENING, MARCH 24

GROUP SESSION GVIII — 7:15-10:15 P.M.
GVIII-1. Society for Social and Political Philosophy: Historical, Continental, and Feminist Perspectives, Session I
7:15-10:15 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Topic: Social and Political Thought/Issues: Trans-Disciplinary and Trans-Cultural Intersections
Chair: Ted Stolze (Cerritos College)
Speakers: Hasana Sharp (McGill University)
“Love Slavery: Notes Towards a Dialogue between Spinoza and Feminism”
Ted Stolze (Cerritos College)
“The History of Buddhist Philosophy Considered as a Struggle of Tendencies”

Alejandro de Acosta (Southwestern University)
“Civilization and Barbarism: Reconsiderations”

7:15-10:15 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Topic: New Work on Nietzsche’s Moral Psychology
Chair: Paul Miklowitz (California Polytechnic University–San Luis Obispo)
Speakers: David McNeill (University of Essex)
“Bad Conscience and Human Temporality”
Robert Guay (Barnard College)
“Transcendental Elitism”
Mathias Risse (Harvard University)
“Nietzsche on Justice and the Duties of the ‘Uebermensch’”
Commentator: John Richardson (New York University)

7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Topic: Painting and Film
Chair: Edward Winters (West Dean College)
Speaker: Mary Wiseman (City University of New York–Brooklyn College)
“Painting Women: Lisa Yuskavage and Kiki Smith”
Commentator: Sally Markowitz (Willamette University)
Speaker: Andrew Kania (Trinity University)
“Working Backwards: Memento and the Ontology of Popular Cinema”
Commentator: John Marmysz (College of Marin)
Speaker: Dennis Rothermel (California State University–Chico)
“How Deleuze Thinks about Cinema”
Commentator: John Fritzman (Lewis and Clark College)

GVIII-4. Western Phenomenology Conference
7:15-10:15 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Topic: Schelling and Phenomenology
Chair: Alejandro A. Vallega (California State University–Stanislaus)
Speakers: Peter Warnek (University of Oregon)
“Merleau-Ponty, Schelling, and the Phenomenology of Freedom”
GVIII-5. Society for Skeptical Studies, Session II
7:15-10:15 p.m., Council (3rd)

Topic: New Waves in Epistemology
Chair: Richard Greene (Weber State University)
Introduction: Duncan Pritchard (Stirling University) and Vincent Hendricks (Roskilde)
Speakers: Tim Black (California State University–Northridge)
“Defending a Sensitive Neo-Moorean Invariantism”
Berit Brogaard (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville) and Joe R. Salerno (Saint Louis University)
“Knowability, Possibility, and Paradox”
Boudewijn de Bruin (Amsterdam University)
“Epistemic Logic and Epistemology”
Troy Catterson (University of Hawaii)
“Hintikkan Epistemology”
Paul Egre (Paris IV)
“Williamsonian Epistemology”
Ram Neta (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
“How to Naturalise Epistemology”
Duncan Pritchard (Stirling University)
“Knowledge, Luck, and Lotteries”
Wayne Riggs (Oklahoma University)
“The Value Turn in Epistemology”
Franz Huber (California Institute of Technology)
“The Plausibility-Informativeness Theory”

GVIII-6. Society for Empirical Ethics
7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)

Topic: Psychology, Neuroscience, and Moral Cognition
Chair: Robert Halliday (Utica College)
Speakers: William Casebeer (Naval Postgraduate School/Harvard University)
“Narratives and Moral Judgment: The Neuroscience of Framing Effects in Moral Cognition”
Jorge Moll (National Institutes of Health/National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke)
“The Event-Feature-Emotion Complex (EFEC): An Integrative Model for the Neural Bases of Moral...
Cognition"
Shaun Nichols (University of Utah)

“Intuitions and Individual Differences: The Knobe Effect Revisited”
William Rottschaeer (Lewis and Clark College)

“Parenting and Moral Learning”
Commentators: Robert Halliday (Utica College)
Jennifer Wright (University of Wyoming)

**GVIII-7. Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session II**

*7:15-10:15 p.m., Senate (3rd)*

**Topic:** Transcending Evil in the Asian Context

**Chair:** Craig Ihara (California State University–Fullerton)

**Speakers:**
- Richard Reilly (St. Bonaventure University)
- Judy Saltzman-Saveker (California Polytechnic State University–San Luis Obispo)
- Adam J. Buben (University of New Mexico)

“Precepts for Avoiding Doing Evil”

“Spiritual Education in the Martial Arts: Transcending Violence and Evil”

“How to Live as One Should: Kierkegaard and the Samurai”

**Commentator:** Li-Hsiang Lisa Rosenlee (Mary Washington University)

**GVIII-8. American Association of Philosophy Teachers, Co-Sponsored by The APA Committee on Teaching Philosophy**

*7:15-10:15 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)*

**Topic:** Engaged Learning

**Chair:** Donna Engelmann (Alverno College)

**Speakers:**
- Karen Hornsby (North Carolina Agricultural and Technical State University)
- Barry DeCoster (University of Louisville)
- John Zavodny (Unity College)
- Betsy Decyk (California State University–Long Beach)

“Building Ethical Reasoning Skills through Active Learning”

“Writing Ourselves into the Moral Stories of Others: Teaching Applied Ethics through Narrative”

“The Play’s the Thing: Teaching Ethics through Theater”

“The Coordination of Collaboration: Insights from Student-Faculty Conversations on Learning”
GVIII-9. International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session II

7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)

Chair: Geoffrey Frasz (Community College of Southern Nevada)
Topic: The Environmental Philosophy of Rachel Carson
Speakers: Baird Callicott (University of North Texas)
Kathleen Dean Moore (Oregon State University)
Commentator: Mark Woods (University of San Diego)
Topic: Sharing with Wolves
Speaker: Michael Nelson (University of Idaho)
Commentator: John A. Vucetich (Michigan Technological University)

GVIII-10. Society for Analytical Feminism

7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)

Topic: Feminist Virtue Ethics
Chair: Robin S. Dillon (Lehigh University)
Speakers: Robin S. Dillon (Lehigh University)
“Feminist Virtue Ethics”
Nancy Nyquist Potter (University of Louisville)
“Defiance and Other Virtues of the Ruled”
Marilyn Friedman (Washington University)
“On Being Bad and Feeling Good”
Anne Barnhill (New York University)
“Feminist Sexual Virtue and Feminist Sexual Vice”

GVIII-11. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy

7:15-10:15 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)

Author Meets Critics: Robert Westbrook, Democratic Hope: Pragmatism and the Politics of Truth
Chair: Mark Van Hollebeke (Seattle University)
Critics: Brendan Hogan (Pacific Lutheran University)
Robert Talisse (Vanderbilt University)
Eric MacGilvray (University of Wisconsin–Madison)
James Johnson (University of Rochester)
Jack Knight (Washington University in St. Louis)
Author: Robert Westbrook (University of Rochester)

GVIII-12. Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy

7:15-10:15 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)

Chair: Nicholas D. Smith (Lewis and Clark College)
Speakers: Rusty Jones (Oklahoma University)
“Self-Love and Friendship: Re-examining the Argument of Nicomachean Ethics IX.4”
Allan Bäck (Kutztown University)
“The Conception of Abstraction”

Fouad Kalouche (Albright College)
“Antisthenes’s Theory of Unique Enunciation:
Similarities, Differences, and Possible Influences”

**GVIII-13. Association of Informal Logic and Critical Thinking**

*7:15-10:15 p.m., Directors (3rd)*

**Chair:** David Hunter (State College of New York–Buffalo)

**Speaker:** John Woods (University of British Columbia/King’s College–London)

“A Resource-Based Approach to Fallacies”

**Commentator:** Dale Turner (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)

**Speaker:** John Hoaglund (Christopher Newport College)

“Classical Logic as Foundational: Some Questions”

**Commentator:** Donald Hatcher (Baker University)

**Speaker:** David Sherry (Northern Arizona University)

“Formal Logic for Informal Logicians”

*David Sherry’s paper was awarded the AILACT Essay Prize for 2005.*

**SATURDAY EVENING, MARCH 25**

**GROUP SESSION GIX — 6:00-8:00 P.M.**

**GIX-1. Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session II**

*6:00-8:00 p.m., Broadway I (PL)*

**Topic:** Film as Argument

**Chair:** Amy Coplan (California State University–Fullerton)

**Speaker:** Thomas Wartenberg (Mount Holyoke College)

“Film as Argument”

**Commentators:** Angela Curran (Carleton College)
Kathleen Rowe Karlyn (University of Oregon)

**GIX-2. Society for Social and Political Philosophy: Historical, Continental, and Feminist Perspectives, Session II**

*6:00-8:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)*

**Topic:** Social and Political Thought/Issues: Praxis, Non-Place, and Simulacrum

**Chair:** Alejandro de Acosta (Southwestern University)

**Speakers:** Manuel Chávez-Jiménez (Binghamton University/Saint Lawrence University)

“The Spatiality of Praxis”
Margret Grebowicz (University of Houston-Downtown)
“Relocating the Non-Place: Reading Negri with/against Haraway”
Stephen Gallagher (Independent Scholar)
“Jessica Lynch: Simulacrum”

GIX-3. Philosophy of Religion Group, Session II
6:00-8:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
Topic: Christianity and Epistemology
Chair: Daniel Speak (Azusa Pacific University)
Speakers: David P. Hunt (Whittier College)
“Divine Providence and Simple Foreknowledge: A Reply to Some Critics”
Tim Mosteller (University of San Diego)
“Why Recent Correspondence Theories Fail Religious Epistemology”

GIX-4. Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism
6:00-8:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Topic: Marxism, Socialism, and Social Justice
Moderator: Rita Manning (San Jose State University)
Speakers: Rodney G. Peffer (University of San Diego)
Olufemi Taiwo (University of Seattle)
“Premature Autopsies: Or Why Marxism May Have a Bright Future”
Commentator: Jeffrey Paris (University of San Francisco)

GROUP SESSION GX — 6:00-9:00 P.M.
GX-1. Society for German Idealism
6:00-9:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Chair: Aaron Bunch (Lewis and Clark College)
Speakers: David Ciavatta (Northern Arizona University)
“On Burying the Dead: The Role of Ritual in Hegel’s Account of Spirit”
Barbara Hannan (University of New Mexico)
“Schopenhauer on Freedom, Responsibility, and Character”
Jeffrey Reid (University of Ottawa)
“Chaos and System in Friedrich Schlegel’s Progressive, Universal Poetry”
GX-2. Society for the Study of Philosophy and the Martial Arts
6:00-9:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
Chair: Joseph Lynch (California Polytechnic State University–San Luis Obispo)
Speakers: Michael J. Monahan (Marquette University)
“Martial Arts as Nietzschean ‘Self-Overcoming’”
Alan Bäck (Kutztown University)
“Gandhi the Martial Artist”
Rick Schubert (Cosumnes River College)
“Soteriological Consequentialism and Violence in the Martial Arts”
Judy Saltzman-Saveker (California Polytechnic State University–San Luis Obispo)
“Himsa and Ahimsa and the Martial Arts”

GX-3. American Society for Aesthetics
6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)
Topic: Art and Pornography
Chair: Flo Leibowitz (Oregon State University)
Speaker: Christy Mag Uidhir (Rutgers University)
“The Impossibility of Pornographic Art”
Commentator: Nicole Hassoun (University of Arizona/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)
Speaker: Tanya Rodriguez Eckman (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities)
“Point of View and Subjugating Images”
Commentator: Catherine McKeen (State University of New York–Brockport)

GX-4. American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Session II
6:00-9:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Title: Judgment and Openness in Philosophical/ Psychological Practice
Chair: James A. Tuedio (California State University–Stanislaus)
Speakers: Donald E. Polkinghorne (University of Southern California)
“A Judgment-Based Practice of Care”
Richard Askay (University of Portland)
“Heidegger’s Zollikon Seminars and Their Possible Relevance to Psychotherapy and Counseling Practices”
Saturday Evening, GX-4 to GX-9

James A. Tuedio (California State University–Stanislaus)
“Calibrating Attunement in Philosophical Practice”

GX-5. Society for Student Philosophers, Session III

6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway IV

Chair: Jeremy Henkel (University of Hawaii–Manoa)
Speakers: Matthew Lockard (University of California–Los Angeles)
“Disjunctivism and the Fallibility of Perceptual Belief”
Brandy Burfield (University of Houston)
“Putnam and Mathematical Objectivism”
Xiaoyang Tang (New School for Social Research)
“To a Piece of White Paper Itself: An Exemplification of the Phenomenological Maxim in Heidegger’s Early Thoughts”
David M. Krueger (State University of New York–Albany)
“The Kierkegaard/Wittgenstein Connection: Private Language and the Role of Faith”

GX-6. Gandhi/King Society

6:00-9:00 p.m., Boardroom East (3rd)

Chair: José-Antonio Orosco (Oregon State University)
Speakers: Lani Roberts (Oregon State University)
“Balanced with What?”
Karen Bardsley (Morehead State University)
“The University Classroom and the Marketplace of Ideas”
Cheyney Ryan (University of Oregon)
“Why Conservatives Can’t Compete in the Marketplace of Ideas”
Catherine Sherron (Thomas More College)
“Fair and Balanced Teaching”

GX-7. Society for the Contemporary Assessment of Platonism, Session II

6:00-9:00 p.m., Council (3rd)

Topic: Toward a Democratic Community in the Philosophy of Plato
Chair: Melissa Shew (University of Oregon)
Speakers: Adriel M. Trott (Villanova University)
“Plato’s Socrates: Failed King or Democrat?”
Jena Jolissant (Oglethorpe University)
“I Take This Mythical Tale to Be True: Love and Self-Narrative in Plato’s *Phaedrus*”

J. Eric Butler (Villanova University)
“The City/Soul Analogy in Plato’s Later Dialogues (Especially the *Philebus* )”

**GX-8. Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion**

*6:00-9:00 p.m., Cabinet (3rd)*

**Topic:** Problems of Subjectivity, Meaning, and Intention in the Arts and Psychoanalysis

**Chair:** Charles Young (Claremont Graduate University)

**Speakers:**
- Eleanor Katz (Orange Coast College)
  “Internationality and the Creative Act”
- Constance DeVereaux (Shenandoah University)
  “The Writer, Language Behavior, and Aesthetic Value”
- Sarah Donovan (Wagner College)
  “Autonomy and Inclusion: Irigaray, Butler, and Benjamin on Subjectivity”

**GX-9. International Hobbes Association**

*6:00-9:00 p.m., Galleria I (Blrm)*

*(This session may extend to 10:00 p.m.)*

**Part I.**

**Chair:** Timo Airaksinen (Cambridge University/University of Helsinki)

**Speaker:** Martin Bertman (International Hobbes Association)
  “Hobbes and Kant Compared”

**Commentator:** Susanne Sreedhar (Tulane University of New Orleans)

**Speaker:** Eleanor Curran (Keele University)
  “Hobbesian Rights as Liberty Rights: The (Mis)application of Hohfeld”

**Commentator:** Mary Helen Berk (San Francisco State University)

**Speaker:** Samantha Frost (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign)

**Commentator:** Jeremy Anderson (United States Air Force Academy)

**Part II.**

**Chair:** Rosamond Rhodes (Mt. Sinai School of Medicine/City University of New York—Graduate Center)

**Speaker:** Juhana Lemetti (University of Helsinki)
  “Hobbes and Infinity: A Neglected Discussion”

**Commentator:** John Whipple (University of California–Irvine)
Speaker: Sammy Basu (Willamette University)
“‘There Never Was a Greater Generation of Scoffers at Religion’: Thomas Hobbes and the Humor of the Leviathan”

Commentator: Michael Green (University of Chicago)

**GX-10. Society for Women in Philosophy**

6:00-9:00 p.m., Galleria III (Blrm)
Chair: Heather Battaly (California State University–Fullerton)
Topic: Theorizing Transgender
Speaker: Talia Mae Bettcher (California State University–Los Angeles)
“Hallucinating in the Borderlands: Reflections on Transphobic Violence and the Politics of Illusion”

Topic: How Should We Prepare? Philosophy, Feminism, and Disasters
Speaker: Naomi Zack (University of Oregon)
“The Philosophy of Disaster and Emergency Response”

**GROUP SESSION GXI — 8:00-10:00 P.M.**

**GXI-1. Philosophy of Time Society**

8:00-10:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Chair: David P. Hunt (Whittier College)
Speaker: Alan R. Rhoda (University of Nevada–Las Vegas)
“In Defense of Prior’s ‘Peircean’ Tense Logic”

Commentator: H. E. Baber (University of San Diego)
Speaker: Ulrich Meyer (Colgate University)
“Eternal Tense Logic”

Commentator: Steven F. Savitt (The University of British Columbia)

**GXI-2. Karl Jaspers Society, Session II**

8:00-10:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
Topic: Philosophy, Religion, and Politics
Chair: Helmut Wautischer (Sonoma State University)
Speakers: Dianna Taylor (James Carroll University)
“The Significance of Arendt’s Analysis of Terror for the Contemporary United States”
Emily Zakin (Miami University)
“Arendt and Kristeva on the Alienation of Judgment”

**GXI-3. Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, Graduate Session**

8:00-10:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Topic: Applications of Classical American Thought to Contemporary Social Issues
Chair: Mathew Foust (University of Oregon)
Speakers: Stephen Arthur (Oregon State University)  
“Royce and the Klamath Basin: A Modern Provincial Approach”
Kara Barnette (University of Oregon)  
“Royce, Feminist Realism, and Performing Gender”
Mark Tschaeppe (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale)  
“Evolutionary Education as Techné: An Analysis of Teaching Evolutionary Theory as a Form of Technology”

GXI-4. Association for Chinese Philosophers in America
8:00-10:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Topic: Confucianism and Democracy  
Chair: Lijun Yuan (Texas State University–San Marcos)
Speakers: Tongdong Bai (Xavier University)  
“A Mencian Version of Limited Democracy”
Weimin Sun (California State University–Northridge)  
“Confucianism and Democracy: Where Do They Conflict?”
Commentator: Donna Reeves (University of Colorado–Boulder)
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Baxley, Anne Margaret (Washington University in St. Louis) .... I-J Wed PM
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Berk, Mary Helen (San Francisco State University) ..................GX-9 Sat PM
Bertman, Martin (International Hobbes Association) ................GX-9 Sat PM
Behuniak, James (Sonoma State University) .............................................GI-2 Wed PM, GVII-1 Thu PM
Belk, Ori (University of Richmond) ......................................................IV-I Thu PM
Bell, Daniel (Tsinghua University) ..................................................IV-K Thu PM
Bengson, John T. (University of Texas–Austin) ...................... III-H Thu AM
Bennett, Karen (Princeton University) ...........................................II-F Wed PM
Benso, Silvia (Siena College) ......................................................GVIII-4 Fri PM
Bermúdez, José Luis (Washington University in St. Louis) .....................................................................V-L Thu PM, XII-B Sun AM
Bernasconi, Robert (University of Memphis) ...................... XII-E Sun AM
Bernstein, Alyssa (Ohio University) ................................................VIII-J Fri PM
Besser-Jones, Lorraine (University of Waterloo) ................... II-B Wed PM
Bettcher, Talia Mae (California State University–Los Angeles) ..................................................................GX-10 Sat PM
Bezuidenhout, Anne (University of South Carolina) ...................VIII-E Fri PM
Bianchi, Emanuela (University of California–Berkeley) .......... I-B Wed PM
Bicchieri, Cristina (University of Pennsylvania) ...................... XII-A Sun AM
Birondo, Noell (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville) .... VI-G Fri AM
Black, Tim (California State University–Northridge) ....................IX-H Sat AM, GVIII-5 Fri PM
Blake, Michael (University of Washington) ..........................III-J Thu AM
Blander, Joshua David (University of California–Los Angeles) ..................................................................GVI-2 Thu PM
Blatti, Stephan (Oxford University/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ...........................................X-J Sat PM
Blattner, William (Georgetown University) .......................... V-A Thu PM
Block, Ned (New York University) ..................................................IV-G Thu PM
Bloser, Timothy (Cornell University) ...........................................VI-F Fri AM
Bobro, Marc (Santa Barbara City College) .................................VI-H Fri AM
Boersema, David (Pacific University) ...........................................II-C Wed PM
Boisvert, Dan (California State University–Bakersfield) .......... VI-G Fri AM
Bontly, Thomas (University of Connecticut) ...................... I-E Wed PM
Bradley, Ben (Syracuse University) ..................................................I-I Wed PM
Braynen, Will (University of Arizona) ..........................................XII-A Sun AM
Brennan, Jason (University of Arizona) ...................................... VI-G Fri AM
Brenner, Johanna (Portland State University) .....................GI-I, Wed PM
Brewer, Bill (Warwick University) ................................................V-C Thu PM
Brill, Sara (Fairfield University) ..................................................GII-2 Wed PM
Bristow, William (University of California–Irvine) .....................VI-E Fri AM
Brock, Gillian (University of Auckland) ......................................GV-3 Thu PM
Brogaard, Berit (Southern Illinois University–Edwardsville) ... GVIII-5 Fri PM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brosnan, Kevin</td>
<td>University of Wisconsin</td>
<td>VIII-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Charlotte</td>
<td>Illinois Wesleyan University</td>
<td>VIII-G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Chris</td>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td>I-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Eric</td>
<td>Washington University in St. Louis</td>
<td>XII-C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown, Stephen</td>
<td>Briar Cliff College</td>
<td>I-J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownlee, Kimberley</td>
<td>University of Manchester</td>
<td>VII-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buben, Adam J.</td>
<td>University of New Mexico</td>
<td>GVIII-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buckareff, Andrei</td>
<td>Franklin and Marshall College</td>
<td>X-J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buck, Richard</td>
<td>Mount Saint Mary’s College</td>
<td>GI-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bueno, Otávio</td>
<td>University of South Carolina</td>
<td>IV-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullot, Nicolas</td>
<td>University of British Columbia</td>
<td>MII-A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brownfield, Brandy</td>
<td>University of Houston</td>
<td>VIII-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkhart, Brian Yazzie</td>
<td>Pitzer College</td>
<td>IV-L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buss, Sarah</td>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
<td>III-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butchvarov, Panayot</td>
<td>University of Iowa</td>
<td>XII-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butler, J. Eric</td>
<td>Villanova University</td>
<td>GX-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caie, Michael</td>
<td>University of California–Berkeley</td>
<td>IV-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calandrella, Derrick R.</td>
<td>Independent Scholar</td>
<td>VIII-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Callicott, Baird</td>
<td>University of North Texas</td>
<td>GVIII-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camp, Elisabeth</td>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>I-H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campana, Daniel</td>
<td>University of La Verne</td>
<td>I-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, John</td>
<td>University of California–Berkeley</td>
<td>IX-G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campbell, Joseph Keim</td>
<td>Washington State University</td>
<td>III-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cannon, Douglas</td>
<td>University of Puget Sound</td>
<td>VII-K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caplan, Ben</td>
<td>University of Manitoba</td>
<td>X-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cariani, Fabrizio</td>
<td>University of California–Berkeley</td>
<td>II-D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carman, Taylor</td>
<td>Barnard College</td>
<td>VII-E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casebeer, William</td>
<td>Naval Postgraduate School/ Harvard University</td>
<td>GVIII-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cashen, Matthew Carter</td>
<td>Washington University in St. Louis</td>
<td>I-B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cat, Jordi</td>
<td>Indiana University–Bloomington</td>
<td>X-N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catterton, Troy</td>
<td>University of Hawaii</td>
<td>GVIII-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chakravartty, Anjan</td>
<td>University of Toronto</td>
<td>XII-J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan, David K.</td>
<td>University of Wisconsin–Stevens Point</td>
<td>I-F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang, Pepe</td>
<td>University of Utah</td>
<td>XII-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chateaubriand, Oswaldo</td>
<td>Pontificia Universidade Católica do Rio de Janeiro</td>
<td>III-I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chávez-Jiménez, Manuel</td>
<td>Binghamton University/Saint Lawrence University</td>
<td>GIX-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
### Main, Group, and Mini-Conference Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Day/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chatterjee, Deen K.</td>
<td>(University of Utah)</td>
<td>III-J Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chekola, Mark</td>
<td>(Minnesota State University–Moorhead)</td>
<td>VIII-ML Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chelstrom, Eric</td>
<td>(State University of New York–Buffalo)</td>
<td>GV-9 Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Jack</td>
<td>(Wellesley College)</td>
<td>X-M Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen, Xunwu</td>
<td>(University of Texas–San Antonio)</td>
<td>VI-K Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng, Chung-Ying</td>
<td>(University of Hawai‘i–Manoa)</td>
<td>VI-K Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholbi, Michael</td>
<td>(California State Polytechnic University–Pomona)</td>
<td>XII-G Sun AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrisman, Matthew</td>
<td>(University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill)</td>
<td>XII-G Sun AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christensen, David</td>
<td>(University of Vermont)</td>
<td>IV-A Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christiano, Thomas</td>
<td>(University of Arizona)</td>
<td>VI-C Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christie, Gordon</td>
<td>(University of British Columbia)</td>
<td>III-K Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciavatta, David</td>
<td>(Northern Arizona University)</td>
<td>GX-1 Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clark, Austen</td>
<td>(University of Connecticut)</td>
<td>VIII-A Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke, Randolph</td>
<td>(University of Georgia)</td>
<td>X-C Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clatterbaugh, Kenneth</td>
<td>(University of Washington)</td>
<td>IV-E Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clough, Sharyn</td>
<td>(Oregon State University)</td>
<td>I-J Wed PM, VI-L Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen, Jonathan</td>
<td>(University of California–San Diego)</td>
<td>IV-G Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman, Mary Clayton</td>
<td>(Bard College)</td>
<td>II-B Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conee, Earl</td>
<td>(University of Rochester)</td>
<td>VI-D Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conroy, Renee</td>
<td>(University of Washington)</td>
<td>XI-E Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Considine, Daniel</td>
<td>(University of Southern California)</td>
<td>VIII-G Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conway, Trudy</td>
<td>(Mount Saint Mary’s University)</td>
<td>GV-5 Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cook, Monte</td>
<td>(University of Oklahoma)</td>
<td>VII-J Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooper, Wesley</td>
<td>(University of Alberta)</td>
<td>GVI-1 Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copenhaver, Rebecca</td>
<td>(Lewis and Clark College)</td>
<td>VIII-K Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coplan, Amy</td>
<td>(California State University–Fullerton)</td>
<td>I-B Wed PM, GIIX-1 Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copp, David</td>
<td>(University of Florida)</td>
<td>IV-B Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corkum, Philip</td>
<td>(University of Alberta)</td>
<td>II-E Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corlett, J. Angelo</td>
<td>(San Diego State University)</td>
<td>VII-D Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa, Victoria</td>
<td>(Florida State University)</td>
<td>IV-H Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coventry, Angela</td>
<td>(Portland State University)</td>
<td>X-G Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cox, Ellen</td>
<td>(Transylvania University)</td>
<td>I-I Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crasnow, Sharon</td>
<td>(Riverside Community College)</td>
<td>IX-I Sat AM, XII-K Sun AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crocker, David</td>
<td>(University of Maryland–College Park)</td>
<td>IX-J Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowe, Benjamin</td>
<td>(University of Utah)</td>
<td>VII-E Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Créon, Marc</td>
<td>(Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique)</td>
<td>X-E Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cullison, Andrew</td>
<td>(West Virginia University)</td>
<td>VII-I Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cuneo, Terence</td>
<td>(Calvin College)</td>
<td>IV-B Thu PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cuomo, Chris (University of Cincinnati) ................................ VII-C Fri PM
Cureton, Adam (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......... VII-L Fri PM
Curley, Edwin (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) .................. III-D Thu AM
Curran, Angela (Carleton College) ....................................... IX-A Sat AM, GIX-1 Sat PM
Curran, Eleanor (Keele College) .......................................... GX-9 Sat PM
Curren, Randall (University of Rochester) .............................. IV-K Thu PM
Curry, Agnes (St. Joseph College) ........................................ XI-F Sat PM
Cushing, Simon (University of Michigan–Flint) ...................... IV-D Thu PM
Culbertson, Carolyn (University of Oregon) ........................... GII-1 Wed PM

D

D'Arms, Justin (Ohio State University) ................................. VI-F Fri AM
Darwall, Stephen (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) .......... III-C Thu AM
Davidson, Matthew (California State University–San Bernadino) .................. III-G Thu AM
De Rosa, Raffaella (Rutgers University–Newark) .................... VI-H Fri AM
De-Shalit, Avner (Hebrew University) ................................. IV-K Thu PM
de Acosta, Alejandro (Southwestern University) ..................... GVIII-1 Fri PM, GIX-2 Sat PM
de Bruin, Boudewijn (Amsterdam University) ....................... GVIII-5 Fri PM
DeCew, Judith Wagner (Clark University) ............................. II-B Wed PM
Decker, Mark (University of Nebraska–Lincoln) ..................... XI-H Sat PM
Decyk, Betsy (California State University–Long Beach) ........... GVIII-8 Fri PM
DeCoster, Barry (University of Louisville) ............................ GVIII-8 Fri PM
DeGeorge, Richard (University of Kansas) .......................... III-E Thu AM
DeMoss, David (Pacific University) ....................................... XI-K Sat PM
DesAutels, Peggy (University of Dayton) ............................. IV-J Thu PM
Desjardins, Eric (University of British Columbia) ............... I-G Wed PM
DesJardins, Joseph (St. John’s University/College of St. Benedict) .... GIV-2 Thu PM
De Vereaux, Constance (Shenandoah University) ............... GX-8 Sat PM
Dickie, Imogen (University of Toronto) ............................... I-H Wed PM
Dienhart, John (Seattle University) ................................. GIV-2 Thu PM
Dillon, Robin S. (Lehigh University) ................................. GVIII-10 Fri PM
Dodd, Julian (University of Manchester) ............................. X-I Sat PM
Dornschi, Mary (University of New Mexico) ........................ VI-J Fri AM
Donovan, Sarah (Wagner College) ....................................... GX-8 Sat PM
Dombrowski, Daniel (Seattle University) ............................... GIV-5 Thu PM
Doppelt, Gerald D. (University of California–San Diego) ....... IV-I Thu PM
Doris, John (Washington University in St. Louis) ................ VI-A Fri AM
Doty, Philip (University of Texas) ................................. MI-A Wed AM
Dowell, Janice (Bowling Green State University) ............... II-F Wed PM
Downes, Steven (University of Utah) ............................... VI-A Fri AM, XII-L Sun AM
Doyle, Tony (City University of New York)............................. I-M Wed PM
Draeger, John (Buffalo State College) ..................................... VI-G Fri AM
Drake, Ryan (Georgia Southern University) ........................... GII-2 Wed PM
Dressler, Joshua (Ohio State University) ............................... VII-H Fri PM
Dreyfus, Hubert (University of California–Berkeley) ................. IX-K Sat AM, XI-A Sat PM
Driver, Julia L. (Dartmouth College)..................................... II-A Wed PM
Drummond, John J. (Fordham University) ............................. III-C Thu AM
Duncan, Stewart (University of Florida) .................................. VII-J Fri PM
Dyck, Corey (Boston College) .............................................. XII-H Sun AM, GIv-4 Thu PM

Easton, Patricia (Claremont Graduate University) ................. VII-J Fri PM
Easwaran, Kenny (University of California–Berkeley) .............. I-L Wed PM, IV-I Thu PM
Eberle, Chris (Independent Scholar) ....................................... VIII-J Fri PM
Egan, Andrew (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) ............... IX-H Sat AM
Egan, Frances (Rutgers University) ....................................... VIII-A Fri PM
Eggle, Paul (Paris IV) .......................................................... GVIII-5 Fri PM
Elga, Adam (Princeton University) ......................................... VIII-H Fri PM
Elgin, Catherine (Harvard University) ................................. X-B Sat PM
Ellenbogen, Sara (Quincy College) ........................................ GV-6 Thu PM
Engel, Mylan (Northern Illinois University) ......................... XII-I Sun AM, GV-1 Thu PM
Engelmann, Donna (Alverno College) ................................... GVIII-8 Fri PM
Epperson, Robert (Western Washington University) .............. I-F Wed PM
Evans, Ian (Lewis and Clark College) ................................. VII-I Fri PM
Evnine, Simon (University of Miami) ................................... I-E Wed PM
Ezcurdia, Maite (Universidad Autónoma de México) .............. III-I Thu AM

Fairweather, Abrol (University of San Francisco) ................. IV-F Thu PM
Faller, Mark (Alaska Pacific University) .............................. I-B Wed PM
Faller, Thompson (University of Portland) ......................... VIII-G Fri PM
Fallis, Don (University of Arizona) ................................. I-M, Wed PM, XI-C Sat PM
Falvey, Kevin (University of California–Santa Barbara) .......... IX-I Sat AM
Fantl, Jeremy (Haverford College) .................................... XII-G Sun AM
Fara, Michael (Princeton University) ..................................... VIII-H Fri PM
Farnham, Daniel (University of St. Thomas–St. Paul) ............ VI-G Fri AM
Farnum, John (Portland Community College) ........................ IV-H Thu PM
Farr, James (University of Minnesota–Twin Cities) ............... XII-E Sun AM
Farrelly, Stephen (Emory University) ............................... IV-H Thu PM
Ferret, Juan (University of Texas–El Paso) ........................... II-C Wed PM
Fiala, Andrew (California State University–Fresno) ............... GV-5 Thu PM
Fike, Larry (Yakima Valley Community College) ......................... I-J Wed PM
Finsen, Lawry (University of Redlands) ..................................... GV-1 Thu PM
Finsen, Susan (California State University—San Bernardino) .... GV-1 Thu PM
Fisher, Jennifer (University of North Florida) .......................... X-J Sat PM
Fisher, Justin C. (University of Arizona) ....................................... I-E Wed PM
Fisher, Saul (American Council of Learned Societies) .......... VI-B Fri AM
Fitch, G. W. (Arizona State University) ................................... XII-I Sun AM
Fitelson, Branden (University of California—Berkeley) ....... II-D Wed PM
Fitzpatrick, Kirk (Southern Utah University) .................. XII-C Sun AM
Flores, Albert (California State University—Fullerton) .... XII-G Sun AM
Florka, Roger (Ursinus College) ........................................ VI-H Fri AM
Forber, Patrick E. (Stanford University) ................................. MII-A Sun PM
Fosil, Peter S. (Transylvania University) ............................... XII-D Sun AM
Foust, Mathew (University of Oregon) ................................. GXI-3 Sat PM
Framarin, Christopher G. (University of Calgary) ........ VII-F Fri PM
Francis, Leslie (University of Utah) ........................ X-A Sat PM, GV-7 Thu PM
Frasz, Geoffrey (Community College of Southern Nevada) .......... X-L Sat PM, GV-7 Thu PM, GVIII-9 Fri PM
Frické, Martin (University of Arizona) ................................. MI-A Wed AM
Friedman, Marilyn (Washington University) ................ GVIII-10 Fri PM
Friedman, Michael (Stanford University) ........................ VI-E Fri AM, GIII-1 Thu PM
Frierson, Patrick R. (Whitman College) .................. IX-B Sat AM
Frimpong-Mansoh, Augustine (California State University–Bakersfield) ................ V-G Thu PM
Frisch, Mathias (University of Maryland—College Park) ........ X-D Sat PM
Fritzman, John (Lewis and Clark College) ........................... II-C Wed PM, GVIII-3 Fri PM
Frost, Samantha (University of Illinois—Urbana-Champaign) ... GX-9 Sat PM

G

Gallagher, Stephen (Independent Scholar) ...................... GIX-2 Sat PM
Gallop, David (Trent University) ........................................ V-D Thu PM
Galvin, Richard (Texas Christian University) ................ XII-H Sun AM
Ganson, Todd (Oberlin College) ........................................ VI-H Fri AM
Gardiner, Stephen M. (University of Washington) ........... XII-F Sun AM
Garrett, Don (New York University) ................................. XII-D Sun AM
Garrett, Jeremy (Rice University) ........................................ GV-1 Thu PM
Gartner, Corinne (Princeton University) ............................... I-J Wed PM
Gasche, Rodolphe (State University of New York—Buffalo) ... X-E Sat PM
Gauker, Christopher (University of Cincinnati) ............. I-C Wed PM
Gaus, Gerald (Tulane University of New Orleans) ............ XII-A Sun AM
Gauthier, Jeffrey (University of Portland) ........................... GI-1 Wed PM
Geirsson, Heimir (Iowa State University) ................. VII-K Fri PM
Geivett, Doug (Biola University) ....................................... VII-A Fri PM
Gerson, Lloyd P. (University of Toronto) ........................................ VII-D Fri PM
Gert, Joshua (Florida State University) ........................................ III-A Thu AM
Gibson, John (Temple University) ................................................. V-B Thu PM
Gilabert, Pablo (Concordia University) ....................................... GIV-4 Thu PM
Gilboa, David (University of Wisconsin–Oshkosh) ...................... XI-E Sat PM
Gill, Mary Louise (Brown University) ........................................... IX-D Sat AM
Gill, Michael (University of Arizona) .......................................... XII-D Sun AM
Gillies, Anthony (University of Michigan–Ann Arbor) ................... IX-H Sat AM
Glanzberg, Michael (University of California–Davis) ................... IX-H Sat AM
Glenney, Brian (University of Southern California) ....................... I-C Wed PM
Goble, Lou (Willamette University) ............................................ XI-H Sat PM
Godwyn, Martin (University of British Columbia) ....................... XI-G Sat PM
Goldberg, Nathaniel (Ohio University) ........................................ XII-I Sun AM
Goldberg, Sanford (University of Kentucky) .............................. VI-D Fri AM
Goldman, Alvin (Rutgers University) ........................................... IV-C Thu PM
Goodale, Melvyn (University of Western Ontario) ..................... VIII-B Sat PM
Goswick, Dana Lynne (University of California–Davis) ............ XII-J Sun AM
Goucha, Moufida (Director, Section of Philosophy and the Human Sciences, UNESCO) ...................................................... VII-M Fri PM
Gould, Carol C. (George Mason University) ............................... IX-C Sat AM, X-K Sat PM, GV-3 Thu PM
Graff, Delia (Princeton University) .......................................... VII-B Fri PM
Graham, Peter (University of California–Riverside) .................... VI-D Fri AM
Grandi, Giovanni B. (Auburn University) .................................. VI-H Fri AM
Graper Hernandez, Jill (University of Memphis) ....................... I-D Wed PM
Grassey, Thomas (U.S. Naval War College) ................................. MI-A Wed AM
Grau, Christopher (Florida International University) .................. IX-K Sat AM
Grbic, Joseph (Indiana State University) ................................... XII-H Sun AM
Greaves, Hilary (Rutgers University) ........................................... XI-C Sat AM
Grebowicz, Margret (University of Houston–Downtown) ........... GIX-2 Sat PM
Greco, John (Fordham University) ............................................ X-B Sat PM
Green, Christopher R. (University of Notre Dame) ................. III-G Thu AM
Green, Jeffrey (University of Notre Dame) ......................... V-I Thu PM, GVI-2 Thu PM
Green, Michael (University of Chicago) .................................... GX-9 Sat PM
Green, Mitchell (University of Virginia) .................................. XI-G Thu PM
Greene, Richard (Weber State University) ............................... GVIII-5 Fri PM
Grenberg, Jeanine (St. Olaf College) ......................................... IX-B Sat AM
Griffin, Christopher (Northern Arizona University) ................... I-I Wed PM
Griswold, Charles (Boston University) ....................................... IX-D Sat AM
Gruen, Lori (Wesleyan University) ............................................ V-E Thu PM
Guevara, Daniel (University of California–Santa Cruz) .......... III-B Thu AM
Guay, Robert (Barnard College) .............................................. GVIII-Fri PM
Guignon, Charles (University of South Florida)..............................VII-E Fri PM
Guleserian, Theodore (Arizona State University).........................V-F Thu PM
Gupta, Anil (University of Pittsburgh)........................................V-C Thu PM
Guer, Paul (University of Pennsylvania)..............................VIII-C Fri PM, GIII-I Thu PM

H
Haber, Matt (University of California–Davis)............................II-D Wed PM
Hájek, Alan (Australian National University)............................IX-G Sat AM
Hall, Ned (Harvard University)..................................................IX-G Sat AM
Halliday, Robert (Utica College)..........................GVIII-6 Fri PM
Halpern, Jodi (University of California–Berkeley)........................VI-F Fri AM
Hamilton, Andrew (University of California–Davis)...................VIII-I Fri PM
Hanna, Robert (University of Colorado–Boulder) .......................XI-B Sat PM
Hannan, Barbara (University of New Mexico)...........................GX-1 Sat PM
Hanowell, Peter (Florida State University)...............................VIII-F Fri PM
Hardin, Russell (New York University)......................................XII-A Sun AM
Harding, Sandra (University of California–Los Angeles)...........XII-K Sun AM
Hardwig, John (University of Tennessee).................................IX-L Sat AM
Harman, Elizabeth (New York University).................................XI-L Sat PM
Harman, Gilbert (Princeton University)....................................VII-B Fri PM
Harold, James (Mount Holyoke College)..................................I-A Wed PM
Harris, John (University of Colorado–Boulder).........................V-G Thu PM
Harris, John (University of Manchester).....................VII-L Fri PM
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Russell, Paul (University of British Columbia) ................... XII-D Sun AM
Russell, Steve (Indiana University–Bloomington) ................ III-K Thu AM
Rutherford, Donald (University of California–San Diego) ...... III-D Thu AM
Ryan, Cheyney (University of Oregon) ............................... V-L Thu PM, X-K Sat PM, XI-J Sat PM, GX-6 Sat PM
Ryckman, Thomas (Stanford University) ........................... GIV-3 Thu PM
Rysiew, Patrick (University of British Columbia) ................... III-G Thu AM, MII-A Sun PM

S
Sachs, Benjamin A. (University of Wisconsin–Madison) ........ I-D Wed PM
Saghafi, Kas (Villanova University) ...................................... X-E Sat PM
Saidel, Eric (George Washington University) ....................... MII-A Sun PM
Sainsbury, Mark (University of Texas–Austin) ...................... III-I Thu AM
Saka, Paul (University of Houston) .................................... I-E Wed PM
Salerno, Joe R. (Saint Louis University) ............................. VII-I Fri PM, GVI-II-5 Fri PM
Saltzman-Saveker, Judy (California Polytechnic State University–San Luis Obispo) ........... GVIII-7 Fri PM, GX-2 Sat PM
Sanders, Mark M. (St. John's University) ............................. II-C Wed PM
Sanson, David (Ohio State University) ................................. XII-J Sun AM
Sarkissian, Hagop (Duke University) ................................. GV-4 Thu PM
Satapathy, Gautam (University of Delhi) ............................ IV-H Thu PM
Savitt, Steven F. (The University of British Columbia) ...... GXI-I Sat PM
Savulescu, Julian (Oxford University) ................................. VII-L Fri PM
Scalet, Steven (State University of New York–Binghamton) .. II-G Wed PM
Schaffer, Jonathan M. (University of Massachusetts–Amherst) ... V-K Thu PM
Schapiro, Tamar (Stanford University) ............................... XI-B Sat PM
Scharle, Margaret (Reed College) ....................................... II-E Wed PM
Scheid, Don E. (Winona State University/United States Naval Academy) ............................. III-J Thu AM
Schiffer, Stephen (New York University) ............................. VII-B Fri PM
Schiller, Aaron Allen (University of California–San Diego) ... IX-I Sat AM
Schmitter, Amy (University of Alberta) ............................... XI-I Sat AM
Scholz, Sally J. (Villanova University) ................................. I-B Wed PM, X-II-K Sun AM
Schroeder, Timothy (University of Manitoba) ...................... VI-A Fri AM
Schubert, Rick (Cosumnes River College) .......................... GX-2 Sat PM
Schuler, Fred (University of New Mexico) .......................... III-A Thu AM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Affiliation</th>
<th>Event/Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schulman, Stephen</td>
<td>Ball State University</td>
<td>GVI-4 Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schutte, Ofelia</td>
<td>University of South Florida</td>
<td>IX-A Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwitzgebel, Eric</td>
<td>University of California–Riverside</td>
<td>VII-G Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sciaraffa, Stefan</td>
<td>University of California–Davis</td>
<td>VIII-F Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott-Kakures, Dion</td>
<td>Scripps College</td>
<td>IX-F Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedgwick, Sally</td>
<td>University of Illinois–Chicago</td>
<td>VI-E Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sedley, David</td>
<td>University of Cambridge</td>
<td>IX-D Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeley, William P.</td>
<td>Franklin and Marshall College</td>
<td>VI-J Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensen, Oliver</td>
<td>Tulane University of New Orleans</td>
<td>III-B Thu AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seo, June W.</td>
<td>Sungkyunkwan University</td>
<td>GVII-1 Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shabo, Seth</td>
<td>University of Vermont</td>
<td>V-I Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaheffer, H. Benjamin</td>
<td>Humboldt State University</td>
<td>V-E Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shafer-Landau, Russ</td>
<td>University of Wisconsin–Madison</td>
<td>IV-B Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah, Nishi</td>
<td>Amherst College</td>
<td>IX-F Sat AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shanklin, Robert</td>
<td>University of Southern California</td>
<td>I-C Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shapiro, Lisa</td>
<td>Simon Fraser University</td>
<td>VIII-G Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharp, Hasana</td>
<td>McGill University</td>
<td>GVIII-1 Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw, Michael</td>
<td>Utah Valley State College</td>
<td>GII-2 Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shepski, Lee</td>
<td>University of Arizona</td>
<td>XI-C Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherman, Nancy</td>
<td>Georgetown University</td>
<td>VII-F Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherron, Catherine</td>
<td>Thomas More College</td>
<td>GI-2 Wed PM, GX-6 Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherry, David</td>
<td>Northern Arizona University</td>
<td>VIII-13 Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shew, Melissa M.</td>
<td>University of Oregon</td>
<td>GII-1 Wed PM, GX-7 Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi, Xianduan</td>
<td>University of Utah</td>
<td>XI-D Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shieber, Joseph</td>
<td>Lafayette College</td>
<td>X-J Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shieh, Sanford</td>
<td>Wesleyan University</td>
<td>XII-I Sun AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shier, David</td>
<td>Washington State University</td>
<td>I-H Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoemaker, David</td>
<td>Bowling Green State University</td>
<td>I-F Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shogenji, Tomoji</td>
<td>Rhode Island College</td>
<td>X-J Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shräge, Laurie</td>
<td>California State Polytechnic University–Pomona</td>
<td>XI-L Sat PM, XII-K Sun AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sider, Ted</td>
<td>Rutgers University</td>
<td>V-K Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigler, Mary</td>
<td>Arizona State University</td>
<td>VII-H Fri PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sikka, Sonia</td>
<td>University of Ottawa</td>
<td>I-D Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silva, Grant</td>
<td>University of Oregon</td>
<td>I-K Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simmons, Alison</td>
<td>Harvard University</td>
<td>VI-H Fri AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simmons, Keith</td>
<td>University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill</td>
<td>XI-C Sat PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simmon, Caroline</td>
<td>Hope College</td>
<td>IV-J Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simon, Stephen</td>
<td>California State University–Fullerton</td>
<td>GV-8 Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slater, Matthew H.</td>
<td>Columbia University</td>
<td>I-G Wed PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slicer, Deborah</td>
<td>University of Montana</td>
<td>GV-7 Thu PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sluga, Hans</td>
<td>University of California–Berkeley</td>
<td>V-A Thu PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Smith, Andrew F. (State University of New York–Stony Brook) ... I-I Wed PM
Smith, Angela (University of Washington) .................. VIII-C Fri PM
Smith, Angela M. (University of Notre Dame) .................. XI-B Sat PM
Smith, David Woodruff (University of California–Irvine) .... GIV-3 Thu PM
Smith, Jeffery (University of Redlands) .................. GIV-2 Thu PM
Smith, Nicholas D. (Lewis and Clark College) .... VII-D Fri PM, GVIII-12 Fri PM
Smith, Sheldon (University of California–Los Angeles) .... X-D Sat PM
Smith, Shoshana (Colgate University) .................. VII-J Fri PM
Soames, Scott (University of Southern California) ........ IX-E Sat AM
Soalson, Miriam (Temple University) .................. X-N Sat PM
Solum, Lawrence (University of Illinois–Urbana-Champaign) .... VII-H Fri PM
Sosa, Ernest (Brown University/Rutgers University) ................ III-G Fri PM, X-F Sat PM

Sowaal, Alice (San Francisco State University) ................ XI-L Sat PM
Spaid, Susan E. (Independent Scholar) .................. I-A Wed PM
Speak, Daniel (Azusa Pacific University) ................ X-C Sat PM, GIX-3 SAT PM
Spranzi, Marta (Université de Versailles) ........... XI-M Sat PM
Springer, Elise (Wesleyan University) ................ VI-G Fri AM

Sreedhar, Susanne (Tulane University of New Orleans) ................ V-E Thu PM, GX-9 Sat PM
Stainton, Robert (University of Western Ontario) .......... III-I Thu AM
Staley, Kent W. (Saint Louis University) ................ II-D Wed PM
Stalnaker, Robert (Massachusetts Institute of Technology) .... X-H Sat PM
Stanford, P. Kyle (University of California–Irvine) ........ IV-C Thu PM
Stangl, Rebecca Lynn (University of Virginia) ............ IV-J Thu PM
Stanley, Jason (Rutgers University) ................ VII-B Fri PM
Stark, Susan (Bates College) .......................... VI-G Fri AM
Starkey, Charles (Clemson University) ................... XI-E Sat PM
Stecker, Robert A. (Central Michigan University) .......... I-A Wed PM
Steiner, Gary (Bucknell University) ................ XI-I Sat PM, GV-1 Thu PM
Stenberg, Benjamin J. (University of Washington) .......... VI-I Fri AM
Stephens, Christopher (University of British Columbia) .... I-G Wed PM
Sterba, James P. (University of Notre Dame) ................ IV-E Thu PM
Stockler, Michael (Syracuse University) .................. IV-F Thu PM
Stolze, Ted (Cerritos College) .......................... GVIII-1 Fri PM
Street, Sharon (New York University) ................... V-J Thu PM

Stroh, Matthew (Princeton University) .................. I-B Wed PM
Stroud, Sarah (McGill University) ................... IV-B Thu PM
Studtmann, Paul (Davidson College) ................... II-E Wed PM
Suarez, Mauricio (Universidad Complutense de Madrid) .... XII-L Sun AM
Sugarman, Jeffrey (Simon Fraser University) ................ GIV-6 Thu PM
Sullivan-Clarke, Andrea (University of Washington) ........................................ VI-J Fri AM, IV-L Sun AM
Sun, Junheng (Wuhan University of Science and Technology) ........................................ GV-4 Thu PM
Sundstrom, Par (Umeå University) ........................................ VI-I Fri AM
Sundstrom, Ronald Robles (University of San Francisco) ................................ IX-A Sat AM
Superson, Anita (University of Kentucky) ................................................ IV-E Thu PM
Sveinsdottir, Asta (San Francisco State University) ................................ III-G Thu AM
Sverdlik, Steven (Southern Methodist University) ................................ XII-H Sun AM
Sweetman, Brendan (Rockhurst University) ................................................ VII-A Fri PM

Taiwo, Olufemi (University of Seattle) .................................................. GIX-4 Sat PM
Talbert, Matt (University of California–San Diego) ................................ I-F Wed PM
Talbott, William J. (University of Washington) ................................ IV-K Thu PM, IX-C Sat AM
Taliaferro, Charles (St. Olaf College) .................................................... VII-A Fri PM
Talisse, Robert (Vanderbilt University) ................................................ II-C Wed PM, GVIII-11 Fri PM
Tang, Xiaoyang (New School for Social Research) ................................... GX-5 Sat PM
Tannenbaum, Julie (University of California–Santa Cruz) .................... VI-F Fri AM
Taschek, William (Ohio State University) .............................................. I-H Wed PM
Taylor, Dianna (James Carroll University) ........................................... GXI-2 Sat PM
Taylor, Jackie (University of San Francisco) ........................................ GVI-5 Thu PM
Taylor, Kenneth (Stanford University) .............................................. III-L Thu AM, IX-E Sat AM
Teller, Paul (University of California–Davis) ......................................... MII-A Sun PM, X-D Sat PM
Tenenbaum, Sergio (University of Toronto) ........................................ III-A Thu AM
Terzi, Lorella (Roehampton University of Surrey) .................................... VII-L Fri PM
Thalos, Mariam (University of Utah) ..................................................... III-H Thu AM
Thielke, Peter (Pomona College) ............................................................. X-G Sat PM
Thomas, Brian (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ................... V-E Thu PM
Thomas, Laurence (Syracuse University) .............................................. VIII-C Fri PM
Thomasson, Amie L. (University of Miami) .......................................... XI-D Sat PM
Thomson, Iain (University of New Mexico) .......................................... V-A Thu PM
Tieszen, Richard (San Jose State University) ........................................ GIV-3 Thu PM
Tillman, Christopher (University of Rochester) ...................................... I-C Wed PM
Timmons, Mark (University of Arizona) ................................................ III-C Thu AM
Timpe, Kevin L. (University of California–San Diego) ........................... V-I Fri PM
Titelbaum, Michael (University of California–Berkeley) ......................... XI-C Sat PM
Tognazzini, Neal A. (University of California–Riverside) ....................... V-F Thu PM
Tong, Rosemarie (University of North Carolina–Charlotte) .................... VI-L Fri AM, X-A Sat PM
Toon, Adam (University of Cambridge) ............................................... MII-A Sun PM
Toppinen, Teemu (University of Helsinki/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) .......................................................... I-F Wed PM
Traiger, Saul (Occidental College) ............................................. GVI-5 Thu PM
Treonor, Nick (Brown University) ........................................... XI-K Sat PM
Tropea, Greg (California State University–Chico) ................. GX-6 Thu PM
Trott, Adriel M. (Villanova University) ................................. GXII-7 Sat PM
Tschaeppe, Mark (Southern Illinois University–Carbondale) .... GVI-3 Sat PM
Tsosie, Rebecca (Arizona State University) ............................ III-K Thu AM
Tuedio, James A. (California State University–Stanislaus) ....... GVI-6 Thu PM, GX-7 Sat PM
Turner, Dale (California State Polytechnic University–Pomona) .................................................. GV-6 Thu PM, GX-4 Sat PM
Turner, Derek (Connecticut College) .................................... I-J Wed PM, GV-7 Thu PM
Turner, Jason (Rutgers University) ........................................ V-F Thu PM
Tye, Michael (University of Texas–Austin) ............................ IV-G Thu PM

U
Uglietta, John (Grand Valley State University) ......................... VIII-C Fri PM
Uidhir, Christy Mag (Rutgers University) ................................. GX-3 Sat PM
Ulatowski, Joseph (University of Utah) .................................. I-H Wed PM
Uniacke, Suzanne (University of Hull) .................................... X-K Sat PM
Uzgalis, William (Oregon State University) .......................... XII-E Sun AM

V
Vallega, Alejandro A. (California State University–Stanislaus) ... GVIII-4 Fri PM
Valls, Andrew (Oregon State University) ................................... II-G Wed PM
Van Camp, Julie C. (California State University–Long Beach) ... IV-E Thu PM
Van Cleve, James (University of Southern California) ............. VI-H Fri AM
Van Hollebeke, Mark (Seattle University) ............................. GVII-11 Fri PM
Van Norden, Bryan W. (Vassar College) ................................. VII-F Fri PM
van Roojen, Mark (University of Nebraska–Lincoln) ............. V-J Thu PM
Varden, Helga (University of Toronto) ................................. GIV-4 Thu PM
Vayrynen, Pekka (University of California–Davis) .................. I-D Wed PM
Vernallis, Kayley (California State University–Los Angeles) .... GV-2 Thu PM
Vessel, Jean-Paul (New Mexico State University) .................. XI-H Sat PM
Vessey, David (University of Chicago) .................................. II-C Wed PM
Vineberg, Susan (Wayne State University) .............................. XII-J Sun AM
Viney, Donald (Pittsburg State University) ............................ GIV-5 Thu PM
Vollmer, S. H. (University of Alabama–Birmingham) ............ III-A Sun PM
Vranas, Peter B. M. (Iowa State University) ......................... XI-G Thu PM
Vucetich, John A. (Michigan Technological University) ....... GVIII-9 Fri PM

W
Wallace, David (Oxford University) ....................................... XI-C Sat PM
Walker, Rebecca (University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill) ...... GV-1 Thu PM
Wallis, Charles (California State University–Long Beach) ............. V-C Thu PM
Wallulis, Jerald (University of South Carolina) ....................... IV-H Thu PM
Warenski, Lisa (Union College) .......................................... X-I Sat PM
Warnek, Peter (University of Oregon) ................................... GVIII-4 Fri PM
Warren, Mary Anne (Independent Scholar) ............................ XI-L Sat PM
Wasserman, Ryan (Western Washington University) ............... XII-J Sun AM
Wartenberg, Thomas (Mount Holyoke College) ....................... GI-X Sat PM
Watkins, Eric (University of California–San Diego) ............... XI-B Sat PM
Watkins, Michael (Auburn University) ................................... III-H Thu AM
Watson, Gary (University of California–Riverside) ................. I-F Wed PM
Watson, Lori (Eastern Michigan University) ......................... IV-H Thu PM
Waugh, Joanne (University of South Florida) ......................... VI-L Fri AM
Wautischer, Helmut (Sonoma State University) .................... XII-G Sun AM, GVI-4 Thu PM, GVI-2 Sat PM
Weatherson, Brian (Cornell University) ................................ I-L Wed PM, IV-A Thu PM
Weber, Todd (Monterey Peninsula College) .......................... XII-G Sun AM
Weinberg, Jonathan M. (Indiana University–Bloomington) ....... MII-A Sun PM, X-F Sat PM
Weinberg, Shelley (University of Toronto) ............................. VI-J Fri AM
Weiner, Matt (Texas Tech University) ..................................... VI-D Fri AM
Weisberg, Jonathan (Rutgers University) .............................. II-D Wed PM
Weithman, Paul (University of Notre Dame) ......................... VIII-J Fri PM
Wettstein, Howard (University of California–Riverside) .......... GV-8 Thu PM
West, Henry (Macalester College) ........................................ I-I Wed PM
Westbrook, Robert (University of Rochester) ......................... GVIII-11 Fri PM
Whipple, John (University of California–Irvine) ................. VII-J Fri PM, GX-9 Sat PM
Whitcomb, Dennis (Rutgers University) .............................. I-C Wed PM
White, Michael J. (Arizona State University) ....................... IV-D Thu PM
White, Roger (New York University) ................................... IX-I Sat AM
Wilcox, Shelley (Temple University) ................................... IV-H Thu PM
Wilkerson, William (University of Alabama–Huntsville) ........ I-E Wed PM, GV-2 Thu PM
Willman, Marshall (University of Iowa) ............................. GV-4 Thu PM
Wilson, Jeffrey (Loyola Marymount University) .................... IX-B Sat AM
Wilson, Jessica (University of Toronto) .............................. V-H Thu PM
Wilson, Mark (University of Pittsburgh) ............................. X-D Sat PM
Wilson, Robert A. (University of Alberta) ............................ VI-B Fri AM
Winston, Morton (College of New Jersey) ............................ III-J Thu AM
Winters, Edward (West Dean College) ............................... GVIII-3 Fri PM
Wirth, Jason (Seattle University) ......................................... GVIII-4 Fri PM
Wiseman, Mary (City University of New York–Brooklyn College) .......... I-A Wed PM, GVIII-3 Fri PM
Witmer, D. Gene (University of Florida)............................................... IX-I Sat AM
Wright, Jennifer (University of Wyoming)........................................... GVIII-6 Fri PM
Wright, Wayne (California State University–Long Beach)....................... VI-I Fri AM
Woien, Sandra (Arizona State University)........................................... XI-F Sat PM
Wolf, Clark (Iowa State University).................................................. XII-F Sun AM
Wolf, Kirk (Delta College)................................................................... V-J Thu PM
Wolf, Michael P. (California State University–Fresno)........................... I-H Wed PM, GI-2 Wed PM
Wolgast, Elizabeth (California State University–East Bay)...................... GV-8 Thu PM
Womack, Catherine (Bridgewater State College).................................... I-M Wed PM, XII-J Sun AM
Wood, Allen (Stanford University).................................................... GIII-I Thu PM
Wood, Jay (Wheaton College)............................................................... IV-F Thu PM
Woods, Mark (University of San Diego).............................................. GVIII-9 Fri PM
Woods, John (University of British Columbia/King’s College-London)........ GVIII-13 Fri PM
Woolwine, David (Hofstra University)................................................ MI-A Wed AM
Wrathall, Mark (Brigham Young University)......................................... V-A Thu PM
Wray, K. Brad (State University of New York–Oswego)........................ XII-J Sun AM
Wright, Wayne (California State University–Long Beach)..................... VI-I Fri AM
Wu, Genyou (Wuhan University)....................................................... GV-4 Thu PM
Wunderlich, Mark E. (Iowa State University)........................................ X-J Sun PM
Wylie, Alison (University of Washington)........................................... V-L Thu PM
Y
Yagisawa, Takashi (California State University–Northridge).................. XI-D Sat PM
Yan, Jinfen (University of Toronto)...................................................... GV-4 Thu PM
Yim, Dan (Biola University)............................................................... VI-J Fri AM
Yost, Benjamin S. (University of California–Berkeley)........................ XI-F Sat PM
Young, Charles (Claremont Graduate University)................................ VII-D Fri PM, GX-8 Sat PM
Young, Julian (University of Auckland)............................................... XI-A Sat PM
Yuan, Lijun (Texas State University–San Marcos)................................ IV-J Thu PM
Z
Zaborowski, Holger (Catholic University of America).......................... XI-A Sat PM
Zack, Naomi (University of Oregon)................................................... GX-10 Sat PM
Zakin, Emily (Miami University)....................................................... GXI-2 Sat PM
Zavodny, John (Unity College).......................................................... GVIII-8 Sat AM
Zimmerman, Aaron (University of California–Santa Barbara)............... VI-I Fri AM
Zuckert, Rachel (Rice University)..................................................... I-D Wed PM
GROUP SESSIONS

A
American Association of Philosophy Teachers, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)
American Society for Aesthetics, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)
American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Session I, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Cabinet (3rd)
American Society for Philosophy, Counseling and Psychotherapy, Session II, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
APA Committee on the Status of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgendered People in the Profession, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)
APA Committee on Teaching Philosophy, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)
Association for Chinese Philosophers in America, Saturday, March 25, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Association of Informal Logic and Critical Thinking, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Association for Philosophy of Education, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Board Room West (3rd)

G
Gandhi/King Society, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Boardroom East (3rd)

H
Hume Society, Thursday, March 23, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)

I
International Hobbes Association, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Galleria I (Blrm)
International Society for Comparative Studies of Chinese and Western Philosophy, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session I, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)
International Society for Environmental Ethics, Session II, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)

J
Josiah Royce Society, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Karl Jaspers Society, Session I, *Thursday, March 23, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)*
Karl Jaspers Society, Session II, *Saturday, March 25, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)*

**N**
North American Kant Society, Session I, *Thursday, March 23, 1:00-4:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)*
North American Kant Society, Session II, *Thursday, March 23, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)*
North American Nietzsche Society, *Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Forum (3rd)*
North American Society for Social Philosophy, *Wednesday, March 22, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)*
North American Wittgenstein Society, *Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)*

**P**
Philosophy of Religion Group, Session I, *Thursday, March 23, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Council (3rd)*
Philosophy of Religion Group, Session II, *Saturday, March 25, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)*
Philosophy of Time Society, *Saturday, March 25, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)*

**S**
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, *Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)*
Society for the Advancement of American Philosophy, Graduate Session, *Saturday, March 25, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)*
Society for Analytical Feminism, *Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)*
Society for Ancient Greek Philosophy, *Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Parlor C (Blrm)*
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session I, *Thursday, March 23, 9:00-11:00 p.m., Parlor B (Blrm)*
Society for Asian and Comparative Philosophy, Session II, *Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Senate (3rd)*
Society for Business Ethics, *Thursday, March 23, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)*
Society of Christian Philosophers, *Thursday, March 23, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Council (3rd)*
Society for the Contemporary Assessment of Platonism, Session I, *Wednesday, March 22, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)*
Society for the Contemporary Assessment of Platonism, Session II, *Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Council (3rd)*
Society for Empirical Ethics, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
Society for German Idealism, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Forum (3rd)
Society for Lesbian and Gay Philosophy, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway III (Pl)
Society for Phenomenology and Analytic Philosophy, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session I, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Society for the Philosophic Study of the Contemporary Visual Arts, Session II, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Broadway I (Pl)
Society for the Philosophical Study of Marxism, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Society for Philosophy and Public Affairs, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway IV (Pl)
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Session I, Wednesday, March 22, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Society for Philosophy in the Contemporary World, Session II, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Society for Realist/Antirealist Discussion, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Cabinet (3rd)
Society for Skeptical Studies, Session I, Thursday, March 23, 8:00-10:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Society for Skeptical Studies, Session II, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Council (3rd)
Society for Social and Political Philosophy: Historical, Continental, and Feminist Perspectives, Session I, Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m., Studio (3rd)
Society for Social and Political Philosophy: Historical, Continental, and Feminist Perspectives, Session II, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Directors (3rd)
Society for Student Philosophers, Session I, Wednesday, March 22, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Executive (3rd)
Society for Student Philosophers, Session II, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
Society for Student Philosophers, Session III, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway IV
Society for the Study of Ethics & Animals, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Broadway II (Pl)
Society for the Study of Philosophy and the Martial Arts, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Senate (3rd)
Society for the Study of Process Philosophy, Thursday, March 23, 6:00-8:00 p.m., Council (3rd)
Society for Women in Philosophy, Saturday, March 25, 6:00-9:00 p.m., Galleria III
W

Western Phenomenology Conference, *Friday, March 24, 7:15-10:15 p.m.*, Executive (3rd)

William James Society, *Thursday, March 23, 8:00-10:00 p.m.*, Forum (3rd)
SPECIAL SESSIONS SPONSORED BY APA COMMITTEES

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 22

Politics, Immigration, and Identity in the Americas (I-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Hispanics
Studio (3rd), 1:00-4:00 p.m.

Blogging as a Tool for Philosophical Discourse: The State of the Art (I-L)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers
Galleria South (Blrm), 1:00-4:00 p.m.

THURSDAY, MARCH 23

Philosophy of Language (III-I)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
Broadway IV (Pl), 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Ethics and Foreign Intervention (III-J)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Law
Council (3rd), 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Aspects, Elements, and Foundations of Indigenous Sovereignty (III-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of American Indians in Philosophy
Galleria I (Blrm), 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Neutrality, Objectivity, and Viewpoint Diversity in the Teaching of Political Philosophy (IV-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Teaching Philosophy
Directors (3rd), 1:00-4:00 p.m.

Native American Traditions, Philosophy, and Cultural Diversity (IV-L)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of American Indians in Philosophy
Galleria I (Blrm), 1:00-3:00 p.m.
Interdisciplinary Scholarship (V-L)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession
Senate (3rd), 4:00-6:00 p.m.

FRIDAY, MARCH 24

The Trouble with Justice (VI-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies
Directors (3rd), 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Women’s Choices: Family Matters in the Profession (VI-L)
Sponsored by the APA Committees on the Status of Women and Inclusiveness
Broadway IV (Pl), 9:00 a.m.-Noon

Disability and Disadvantage (VII-L)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness
Parlor C (Blrm), 1:00-4:00 p.m.

The Role of the APA in the International Philosophical Community (VII-M)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
Broadway IV (Pl), 1:00-4:00 p.m.

The New APA Placement Brochure and Advice to Job Candidates (VIII-K)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement
Executive (3rd), 4:00-6:00 p.m.

Roundtable: Philosophers and Students with Disabilities: How Welcoming Is the Profession? (VIII-L)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Inclusiveness
Senate (3rd), 4:00-6:00 p.m.

SATURDAY, MARCH 25

Globalization, Trade, and the Poor (IX-J)
Sponsored by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
Broadway II (Pl), 9:00 a.m.-Noon
Special Sessions Sponsored by APA Committees

**Award of the 2005 Barwise Prize to Hubert Dreyfus (IX-K)**
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers
*Pavilion (Pl), 9:00 a.m.-Noon*

**Medicalization: Rhetoric and Value (IX-L)**
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy and Medicine
*Galleria I (Blrm), 9:00 a.m.-Noon*

**What Can Philosophy Say about Public Policy? (X-K)**
Sponsored by the APA Committee on International Cooperation
*Galleria III (Blrm), 1:00-4:00 p.m.*

**Dilemmas and Opportunities in Teaching “Intro” in the Two-Year Context: A Roundtable Approach (X-L)**
Sponsored by the APA Committee on Philosophy in Two-Year Colleges
*Executive (3rd), 1:00-4:00 p.m.*

**On Traditional Approaches to the “Self”: A Cross-Cultural Inquiry (X-M)**
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Asian and Asian-American Philosophers and Philosophies
*Studio (3rd), 1:00-4:00 p.m.*

**SUNDAY, MARCH 26**

**Publishing as a Feminist (XII-K)**
Sponsored by the APA Committee on the Status of Women
*Parlor C (Blrm), 9:00 a.m.-Noon*
The APA Committee on Philosophy and Computers is pleased to announce that the recipient of the 2005 Barwise Prize is Hubert Dreyfus. Hubert Dreyfus is Professor of Philosophy in the Graduate School at the University of California–Berkeley. His pioneering work on Heidegger and phenomenology and its role in shaping our understanding of computers is known world-wide. The Barwise Prize, established in honor of Jon Barwise, is awarded for significant and sustained contributions to advancing our understanding of the interrelations between philosophy and computing. Previous recipients of this prize include Patrick Suppes (2002), Daniel Dennett (2003), and Deborah Johnson (2004). The prize will be awarded at the 2006 Pacific Division meeting.
ABSTRACTS OF COLLOQUIUM PAPERS

FRANÇOIS LAMY, OCCASIONALISM, AND THE MIND-BODY PROBLEM (VII-J)
Fred Ablondi, Hendrix College

The standard historical narrative in undergraduate philosophy courses holds that Malebranche and his fellow occasionalists were drawn to occasionalism to solve the problem of explaining interaction between immaterial souls and extended bodies. Such an understanding, however, is inaccurate; Malebranche et al. adopted occasionalism for a variety of reasons, but none did so because of a need to provide an ad hoc solution to a perceived mind-body problem. Yet there is one Cartesian for whom the “traditional” reading is on the mark. François Lamy (1636-1711) argues exactly as the standard story has it: occasionalism is adopted explicitly on the grounds that interaction between the mind and the body can only be accounted for by invoking an omnipotent deity. I discuss and analyze Lamy’s argument, but not as an attempt to revive the “traditional story.” Rather, the case of Lamy reminds us to be wary of making blanket claims about “the occasionalists.”

UNDERSTANDING THE EMBODIMENT OF PERCEPTION (III-H)
Kenneth Aizawa, Centenary College of Louisiana

Where it is commonly thought that

(CAH) Perceptual experience is caused, in part, by sensorimotor skills,

Noë (2004) proposes the more radical hypothesis that

(COH) Perceptual experience is constituted, in part, by sensorimotor skills.

Clearly these two hypotheses offer distinct conceptions of what the embodiment of perception amounts to. This paper will argue that the two principal lines of argumentation in Noë (2004) fail to support (COH) over (CAH).

ASPIRATIONAL FORGIVENESS (VIII-G)
Lucy Allais, University of Sussex

The logical coherence of the notion of forgiveness has been thought to be threatened by a combination of two factors: (1) forgiveness centrally involves the idea of somehow ceasing to hold a wrong against the perpetrator, but (2) forgiveness must be granted with awareness of the wrong and the perpetrator’s culpability for the wrong in full view, and without changing the belief in this. The common view that forgiveness consists of overcoming retributive emotions does not explain the idea of
ceasing to hold a wrong against someone, and the problem is exacerbated by the cognitive account of emotion usually appealed to—if emotions centrally involve beliefs, then we cannot overcome the emotion while maintaining the belief. Using a different account of emotion, I suggest that forgiveness consists of two factors: overcoming negative emotions towards the perpetrator, and ceasing to regard the wrongdoing as centrally attaching to their character.

CAN YOU COERCZE SOMEONE WITH A DEATH WISH? (II-B)

SCOTT A. ANDERSON, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA

Coercion, it is generally thought, works psychologically, by putting pressure on the will, to alter the coercee’s choice of action. Force and other direct interventions work physically, against a body, and do not necessarily affect what their target wills to do. Upon these considerations, much recent philosophy of coercion has sharply distinguished coercion from the use of force. By considering what it takes to check someone with a death wish, I argue that the use of force or other direct intervention is much more closely connected to coercion that goes through the will than is commonly understood. In fact, coercion is best understood as a use of the kinds of power that make direct intervention an effective technique against nihilists and others harboring nonstandard or antisocial desires. The willingness and ability to use force is primary, and coercion by means of threats is simply a special case of it.

FOOLS FOR MODERATION (XI-E)

BARBARA S. ANDREW, WILLIAM PATERSON UNIVERSITY

Literature about the benefits of marriage often takes one of two tacks: either it argues that there is an ideal form of marriage and that helps to create moral virtues in the spouses, or it argues that marriage plays a foundational role in the state by helping to mold citizens and providing a basic unit with which the state interacts. The first kind of argument about moral virtue usually argues for an ideal of “companionate marriage,” the second kind of argument relies on a certain notion of the state. In this essay, I argue that marriage requires virtue and may help us in developing virtue. I contend that this development and expression of virtue is an ethical right and benefit that, if due to some, is due to all citizens. However, I also contend that the connection between virtue and marriage differs from the common view.

VIRTUE AND RELIABILITY (I-J)

JASON BAEHR, LOYOLA MARYMOUNT UNIVERSITY

This paper examines whether reliability is an essential or defining feature of a (moral or intellectual) virtue. I defend three main theses in connection with this issue. I argue (1) that reliability is not a defining feature of a virtue where virtues are conceived (as they often are) as “personal excellences,” but (2) that there is another (also intuitive and familiar) conception of a virtue according to which reliability is a defining feature. I also argue (3) that even on the former conception a certain rational belief pertaining to
reliability is essential. The discussion sheds important light on the nature of moral and intellectual virtues and on certain debates in virtue ethics and virtue epistemology.

IS VIRTUE PRICELESS? (I-J)

**Anne Margaret Baxley, Washington University in St. Louis**

This paper concerns the relationship between virtue and competing options. Does the brave person recognize that bravery has a cost, since bravery at times requires tremendous sacrifice? Or does the brave person feel no pain at the prospect of death when standing firm in the face of danger for a good cause? Favoring the latter account of the virtuous person's moral outlook, John McDowell has argued that the truly virtuous person never experiences any conflict between the demands of virtue and other options because the requirements of virtue “silence” other reasons for action. McDowell maintains that this silencing interpretation can be found in Aristotle’s texts and that it represents a plausible and appealing picture of virtue. In this paper I argue that the silencing interpretation is not compelling. As Aristotle rightly saw, virtue can have a cost, and a mark of the wise person is that she recognize it.

REPRESENTING PERSONAL IDENTITY (V-F)

**Donald L. M. Baxter, University of Connecticut**

Famously Hume finds himself in a labyrinth concerning personal identity. I will give a simple argument that stays very close to Hume’s own words in the Appendix passage, and that adds only an assumption he endorses elsewhere. I focus on Hume’s account of consciousness. No competing interpretation has had this focus despite the fact that the “promising aspect” of Hume’s account is that “personal identity arises from consciousness” and that his “hopes vanish” when he comes “to explain the principles, that unite our successive perceptions in our thought or consciousness” (App.20/635-636). The key assumption for Hume, I argue, is that consciousness’s ideas are unerring. The appendix labyrinth is how to make sense of an identity between the distinct past perceptions, in order to explain how consciousness’s unerring ideas can represent them as identical.

THOMSON, FIRST- AND SECOND-ORDER WAYS OF BEING GOOD, AND THE MYSTERIOUS RELATION PUZZLE (IV-J)

**Michael Beaty, Baylor University**

Judith Jarvis Thomson claims that G. E. Moore’s story about the right and the good is incoherent because there is no such property as intrinsic goodness. She offers a new story about the right and the good, which she finds “very attractive.” Central to her story is a distinction between first-order and second-order ways of being good. Thought she admits that “there are gaps” in her account, she thinks “its structure must be correct.” One such gap is the absence of an account of the relation between first- and second-order ways of being good. I call this the mysterious-relation puzzle. I argue that this puzzle is a symptom of her failure to distinguish
adequately between first- and second-order ways of being good. I offer an account of the distinction that solves the mysterious-relation puzzle while preserving her distinction between first-order and second-order ways of being good.

**DEVELOPMENTAL PROCESS RELIABILISM AND A THEORY OF EVIDENCE (III-G)**

*MATTHEW BEDKE, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA*

Justified beliefs are connected to truth. But justification also concerns one’s evidence. These two platitudes have generated very divergent theories of justification—externalist theories focus on the former, while internalist theories focus on the latter. The aim of this paper is to reconcile the platitudes and form a unified theory that integrates the epistemic concepts involved. To get the appropriate truth connection, we must modify standard process reliabilism and focus on the developmental reliability of processes rather than their actual or suitably restricted counterfactual reliabilities. According to the resulting theory, developmental history, this account of justification can evade some traditional objections to standard reliabilism, which are thought to weigh in favor of internalist theories. But, most importantly, developmental process reliabilism offers an externalist analysis of evidence, achieving some reconciliation between internalism and externalism in the process.

**HAS BONJOUR SOLVED THE PROBLEM OF INDUCTION? (IX-I)**

*JAMES R. BEEBE, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK–BUFFALO*

According to Laurence BonJour’s (1998) recent solution to the problem of induction, our inductive beliefs are justified because we can apprehend a priori the necessary truth that inductive conclusions are generally the best explanations of inductive premises. After explicating the nature of the probability claims at the heart of BonJour’s solution, I argue that, even if we can have the a priori knowledge BonJour thinks we can, this knowledge is not sufficient for possessing a solution to the problem of induction.

**HOW TO PERCEIVE THE PAST WITH YOUR EYES SHUT (III-H)**

*JOHN T. BENSON, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS–AUSTIN*

It is widely assumed that, first, perception is always of the present and, second, sensory organs always operate at the time of perceiving. I contend that both of these assumptions are mistaken. After arguing that ordinary stargazing is perception of the past, I develop Kendall Walton’s thesis that to look at a photograph is to genuinely see whatever it is a photograph of, namely, a past scene. I then provide reasons to think that we may also perceive past scenes in virtue of remembering. My argument appeals to (1) the possibility of the phenomenon of “snapshot perception,” (2) the way we sometimes talk about our remembrances, and (3) the fact that episodic memory is in all ontologically relevant ways on par with “ordinary” perception, including and especially in the respect that instances of the former, like instances of the latter, regularly satisfy (non-question-begging versions of) the causal theory of perception.
THE IMPLICATIONS OF SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGY FOR CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY (II-B)
LORRAINE BESSER-JONES, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

A long-standing debate in the field of business ethics arises over the nature of corporate responsibility. A central issue emerging within this debate concerns whether or not corporations have social responsibilities, or whether their responsibilities lie solely to members of the corporation itself (i.e., its shareholders). While the view that corporations have social responsibilities is a morally attractive one, it is subject to serious objections. My goal here is to rescue this view by providing a new argument, grounded in social psychology, which avoids these objections. My argument, in short, is that leaders of corporations should endorse socially responsible action in order to attain their own goals of profit-maximization. Given the documented situational influences on individuals’ behavior, a corporation that fails to do so is liable to self-destruct.

THE ERRANT FEMININE IN PLATO’S TIMAEUS (I-B)
EMANUELA BIANCHI, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–BERKELEY

This paper gives a critical feminist reading of the receptacle/chora in Plato’s Timaeus, and illuminates how the source of motion in this version of the Platonic cosmos is irreducibly marked as feminine. The “wandering,” errant motion famously associated with the womb at the end of the dialogue in fact infuses the cosmos from its very beginnings. The receptacle/chora is a restless and labile notion, and reading it alongside the Irigarayan figure of the two lips offers a destabilization that allows for an immanent critique of ancient metaphysics against itself, at the same time as remaining answerable to contemporary critical concerns.

A PARADOX FOR THEORIES OF WELFARE (I-I)
BEN BRADLEY, SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY

Sometimes people desire that their lives go badly. Recently it has been pointed out that such desires seem to create a paradox, akin to the liar, for desire satisfactionism. What has not been pointed out is that the paradox also arises for other theories of welfare. Given the importance of welfare theory for ethical theory and political philosophy, the paradox deserves more attention. In what follows I explain the paradox for desire satisfactionism, I show how the paradox arises for some other welfare theories, and I discuss some attempts to defend those theories from the paradox. I claim that no defense fully succeeds.

ON BEHALF OF MORAL PRINCIPLES (VI-G)
JASON BRENNAN, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

Particularism is the thesis that there are no moral principles. Two of the main arguments for particularism are the Argument by Counterexample and the Switching Valence Argument. The Argument by Counterexample purports to show that there is a counterexample to any proffered set of moral principles, thus morality is not captured by principles. In response, I argue that what particularists have really shown is that anomalies to
principles always exist. I explain how such anomalies occur in the other fields and are not, by themselves, a mark against principles. The Switching Valence Argument is meant to show that reasons atomism is false. Reasons atomism is the view that the moral force (positive, negative, or indifferent) of reasons can be characterized prior to context. However, I argue that the Switching Valence Argument, rather than counting against reason atomism, actually counts on behalf of it because it makes moral reasons strongly analogous to actual chemical atoms.

HOW STRUCTURALISM CAN SOLVE THE “ACCESS” PROBLEM (IV-I)

Otávio A. Bueno, University of South Carolina

According to mathematical structuralism, the subject matter of mathematics is not the study of mathematical objects, but of mathematical structures. By moving away from objects, the structuralist claims to be in a position to solve the “access” problem: structuralism explains the possibility of mathematical knowledge without requiring any access to mathematical objects. In a recent paper, Fraser MacBride challenged the structuralist response, and argued that the structuralist faces a dilemma in the attempt to solve that problem (MacBride 2004). In the present paper, I argue that MacBride’s dilemma can be resisted, and that, particularly in the version articulated by Michael Resnik (Resnik 1997), structuralism can solve the “access” problem. I show exactly how MacBride’s dilemma fails, and argue that this failure provides an opportunity to highlight a significant feature of structuralism: the way in which it articulates a fundamentally different picture of mathematical epistemology than traditional epistemology would suggest.

SAFETY AND THE END OF LIBERALISM (VIII-F)

Derrick R. Calandrella, Independent Scholar

Liberalism begins in the conviction that the will is an unlimited power to control the body. Because the individual exercise of this power poses a potential threat to other individuals, liberty goes hand in hand with the problem of security. These two concepts (liberty and security) jointly determine the history of liberalism up to the present. Today, however, liberty has been subordinated to security, reversing their relationship through most of the liberal tradition. We see this in the manic concern for safety that characterizes the daily life and foreign policy of our nation. If the future of politics is not to succumb to the nihilism of pointless solutions, we must find another way to think of freedom. There are strands of contemporary philosophy that suggest this alternative. By starting with vulnerability rather than safety, they propose a new way of considering our political experience.

WHY ISN’T SARCASM SEMANTIC, ANYWAY? (I-H)

Elisabeth Camp, Harvard University

Nearly everyone assumes that sarcasm is a pragmatic phenomenon. But we can also construct a prima facie plausible semantic model of sarcasm.
Further, sarcasm behaves semantically according to three classic tests that purport to distinguish the semantic from the pragmatic: cancelability, conjunction reduction, and embedding. Nonetheless, I argue, we should trust our pre-theoretical intuition; sarcasm is indeed pragmatic, and the tests are unreliable.

HAPPINESS, EUCHARMIONA, AND DESCRIPTIVE ADEQUACY (I-B)

MATTHEW CARTER CASHEN, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY IN ST. LOUIS

Notoriously, much of what Aristotle says about eudaimonia sounds dubious if we take him to be talking about happiness as we know it, and so there is an old question as to whether we should take him that way. Recent strategies for theorizing about happiness suggest that we should not. According to L. W. Sumner’s test of descriptive adequacy, theories of happiness should be assessed in terms of how well they describe our ordinary intuitions. Thus, if Aristotle’s claims are as dubious as they sound when taken as claims about happiness, either his theory is badly flawed, or he is not talking about happiness at all, but about something else, like well being or flourishing. My aim is to challenge this view, first by challenging the complaint that Aristotle’s theory is obviously descriptively inadequate, and second, by challenging descriptive adequacy itself as an ideal to strive for in theorizing about happiness.

BELIEF ATTRIBUTION AND THE FALSIFICATION OF MOTIVE INTERNALISM (XII-G)

MICHAEL CHOLBI, CALIFORNIA STATE POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY–POMONA

Motive internalism (MI) holds that moral beliefs are necessarily motivating. Adina Roskies has recently argued against this position on the grounds that patients with injuries to the ventromedial (VM) cortex are counterexamples to MI. These patients appear to have moral beliefs that they regularly fail to act upon while also exhibiting no physiological or affective evidence of being motivated by these beliefs. I argue that Roskies’s attempt to falsify MI is unpersuasive because the evidence used to attribute the relevant moral beliefs to VM patients is insufficient: Contra Roskies, that VM patients are proficient moral reasoners does not establish the presence of these moral beliefs. In addition, the linguistic evidence Roskies cites (a) is vulnerable to methodological worries about its reliability or authenticity, (b) does not override counter-evidence derived from the patients’ nonlinguistic behavior, and (c) is undermined by VM patients’ inability to correctly attribute moral beliefs to others.

MALEBRANCHE’S SOFT DUALISM (VII-J)

MONTE COOK, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA

Usually Malebranche seems to follow Descartes in holding that there are only two kinds of substances. But in a little-discussed section of the Search After Truth, he argues against judging that minds and bodies are the only kinds of (created) substances, to which both Simon Foucher and Robert Desgabets respond that it is crucial that there be only minds and bodies. Foucher says that denying this opens the door to Pyrrhonism,
and Desgabets adds that Malebranche’s talk about beings that are neither minds nor bodies is empty of content. I argue that, despite denying that we should judge that minds and bodies are the only kinds of substances, Malebranche still advocates a kind of dualism that I call “soft dualism.” And I briefly suggest that he has within his system the resources to answer Foucher and Desgabets.

ARISTOTLE ON CONSCIOUSNESS (II-E)

PHILIP CORKUM, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

Aristotle holds that there is a passive intellect, by which the mind can become any intelligible object, and an active intellect, by which the mind can make any intelligible object. What is the activity of the active intellect? In this paper, I’ll argue that the role of the active intellect in thought is analogous to the role of perceiving that we see and hear in perception. I’ll first argue that perceiving that we see and hear isn’t a special case of perception but is rather a necessary condition for any perception whatsoever. In particular, perceiving that we see and hear is a turning of one’s attention to the affection of the sense organs. I’ll then argue that the activity of the active intellect is analogous to perceiving that we see and hear.

A DEFENSE OF PHENOMENAL CONSERVATISM (VII-I)

ANDREW CULLISON, WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY

Phenomenal Conservatism is the view that if it seems to you that some proposition is true, and you have no defeaters, then you’re justified in believing that proposition. I defend phenomenal conservatism from four very recent objections.

A TELEOLOGICAL ACCOUNT OF CARTESIAN SENSATIONS? (VI-H)

RAFFAELLA DE ROSA, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY–NEWARK

Alison Simmons, in Simmons (1999), argues that Descartes held a teleological account of sensory representation. According to Simmons, Descartes’s view is that the biological function of sensations explains both why sensations represent what they do (i.e., their referential content) and why they represent their objects the way they do (i.e., their presentational content). Moreover, Simmons claims that her interpretation has several advantages over other currently available interpretations of Cartesian sensations. In this paper, I argue that Simmons’s teleological account cannot be sustained for both theoretical and textual reasons and that it does not have the advantages it is advertised as having.

HOW TO BE A SCIENTIFIC REALIST (IV-I)

GERALD D. DOPPELT, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SAN DIEGO

This paper seeks to develop a more defensible case for scientific realism. I argue against two standard assumptions of realists: (1) that realism is confirmed if it provides the best explanation of theories’ predictive success and (2) that the realist claim that successful theories are true, by itself,
provides the best explanation of their success. On the positive side, I argue that the confirmation of realism requires that it provide an explanation of theories’ explanatory success, not just predictive success. I then show how realism can explain theories’ explanatory success. I propose a richer realist model for explaining theories’ success, which includes an account of their epistemic virtues (e.g., simplicity and unification) and standards of success, as well as the realist hypotheses that they are true. This realist model is further confirmed because it can explain the success of theories in gaining adherents.

**CONTEXTUALISM AND THE PROBLEM OF KNOWING WHAT ONE SAYS (XII-I)**

*Mylan Engel, Northern Illinois University*

Contextualists maintain that the semantic standards governing knowledge ascriptions of the form “S know that p” are a function of the salience of p-falsifying error possibilities. They contend that when p-falsifying error possibilities become salient in a given conversation, the semantic standards governing “knows that p” rise in response, often to the point where S ceases to satisfy those standards. I show that contextualism has the implausible result that knowledge ascribers frequently fail to know what they are saying when they are saying it. In particular, I demonstrate (1) that Cohen’s version of contextualism entails that knowledge ascribers often don’t know the semantic content of their knowledge ascriptions until after they have uttered them, and (2) that on DeRose’s version of contextualism, knowledge ascribers don’t know whether their knowledge-attributing utterances even have any semantic content until after they have uttered them.

**KNOWING THAT ONE KNOWS REVISITED (VII-I)**

*Ian Evans, Lewis and Clark College*

The following principle is commonly assumed by contemporary epistemologists:

\[ \text{DKK. If S knows that S knows that p, then S knows that p, S knows that S believes that p, and S knows that S is warranted in believing that p (and S knows that S satisfies all the conditions of warrant).} \]

DKK is typically invoked in discussions of the KK-thesis (roughly, that whatever S knows, S knows that S knows it) but also makes appearances in discussions of meta-knowledge quite generally. After examining how DKK is typically used to argue against the KK-thesis, I will present four arguments for holding that DKK is false and runs counter to standard epistemological methodology. I will then present my own analysis of knowing that one knows.

**OBSERVER-DEPENDENCE IN ETHICS AND EPISTEMOLOGY (XII-G)**

*Jeremy Fantl, Haverford College*

According to observer-dependent views (ODVs), all normative statements are true in virtue of mental states of appropriately placed observers. It is generally thought that such views enjoy significantly more \textit{prima facie}
plausibility in ethics than in epistemology. I argue that there is a fundamental kind of critique of ODVs in ethics that is not as compelling in epistemology. Our first-order moral intuitions tell against ODVs in ethics in a way that our first-order epistemic intuitions do not tell against ODVs in epistemology. Therefore, ODVs require “reform of the face value” of our ethics in a way that they do not require reform of the face value of our epistemology. This is at least one reason to find ODVs in ethics less plausible than ODVs in epistemology.

EXPLICITATING HABERMAS: EXPRESSIVE RATIONALITY AS CORRECTIVE TO COMMUNICATIVE ACTION (IV-H)

STEPHEN FARRELLY, EMORY UNIVERSITY

Habermas’s account of post-metaphysical justification rests on the assertion that he has identified the necessary structures of argumentation. This assertion vitiates his project by treating a normative distinction we draw as an assertion about the natural structure of the world. As such, it violates his own definition of a “post-metaphysical” theory. The project can be rescued and improved by replacing the quasi-transcendental turn with an expressivist theory of rationality a la Robert Brandom. This substitution allows us to understand Habermas’s conditions of argumentation as norms implicit in how we argue. As norms, they themselves stand in need of reasons. Likewise, they are open to revision. Treating the norms of argumentation in this manner provides a more fruitful approach to Habermas’s general project, which treats rationalization as good insofar as it opens our own traditions to criticism. Expressive rationality should be understood as a necessary ingredient for a critical theory.

THE METAPHYSICS OF PRAGMATISM AND RADICAL EMPIRICISM (II-C)

JUAN FERRET, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS–EL PASO

In the preface of Pragmatism, William James emphatically declares “that there is no logical connexion between pragmatism, as I understand it, and a doctrine which I have recently set forth as “radical empiricism.” The latter stands on its own two feet. One may entirely reject it and still be a pragmatist.” He adds, “[o]ne of pragmatism’s merits is that it is so purely epistemological. It must assume realities; but it prejudges nothing as to their constitution, and the most diverse metaphysics can use it as their foundation.” In contrast, this paper shows that James’s pragmatism leans towards the pluralistic metaphysics that he eventually develops in radical empiricism. Hence, the pluralism of radical empiricism is more fully developed than the pluralism in pragmatism.

REPRESENTATIONAL CONTENT AND THE KEYS TO SUCCESS (I-E)

JUSTIN C. FISHER, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

I consider the question of whether success-linked theories of content— theories like those of Ramsey (1927), Millikan (1984), and Blackburn (2005), which take there to be a definitional link between representational content and behavioral success—are consistent with the plausible claim that we
can use content-attributions to explain behavioral success. Peter Godfrey-Smith (1996) argues that success-linked theories of content are too closely linked to success to be able to explain it. Against this, I present a plausible account of how content-attributions make available good explanations of behavioral success and argue that if we want our content-attributions to be able to do this explanatory work, then we actually need to embrace a success-linked theory of content.

**Substitutivity, Simple Sentences, and Belief Reports (VII-K)**

*Heimir Geirsson, Iowa State University*

Naïve Russellianism entails that substitution of coreferential names in a sentence preserves the proposition expressed and, hence, truth value. Still, we have strong anti-substitution intuitions. The paper discusses the source of our anti-substitution intuitions and presents an account that explains these anti-substitution intuitions in both simple sentences and belief reports. The explanation relies neither on implicated propositions nor mistaken evaluations.

**Same-Sex Marriage in a Free Society: Between Tolerance and Recognition (XI-E)**

*David Gilboa, University of Wisconsin–Oshkosh*

A free society would protect the freedom of same-sex marriage either as a religious freedom or as a municipal freedom. At the same time, the public may fail to recognize same-sex marriage by withholding from same-sex couples the legal rights of married, opposite-sex couples. If this situation gives rise to unjust discrimination, a free society may go a long way toward restoring justice to same-sex couples by either classifying same-sex couples as civil unions or incrementally, through court decisions addressing particular cases.

**Is There an Overdetermination Problem for Lewisian-Style Counterfactual Analysis of Causation? (XII-J)**

*Dana Lynne Goswick, University of California–Davis*

David Lewis’s counterfactual analysis of causation is often criticized on the grounds that it cannot adequately account for causal overdetermination. I concede this is true if we follow Lewis’s account of events. I argue, however, that, while maintaining Lewis’s general view of events as transworld sets, causal overdetermination can be accounted for by giving up either Lewis’s requirement that events’ members be minimal spacetime regions or his requirement that events have at most one member from each spacetime world. In particular, I advocate replacing the criteria that events’ members must be minimal spacetime regions with the criteria that events’ members must be almost minimal spacetime regions. So doing allows one to claim that disjunctive events exist. I then show how such events allow Lewisian-style counterfactual analyses to explain causal overdetermination.
REID’S DIRECT REALISM ABOUT VISION (VI-H)

GIOVANNI B. GRANDI, AUBURN UNIVERSITY

Thomas Reid presented a two-dimensional geometry of the visual field in his *Inquiry into the Human Mind* (1764). The axioms of this geometry are different from those of Euclidean plane geometry. The “geometry of visibles” is the same as the geometry of the surface of the sphere, described without reference to points and lines outside the surface itself. In a recent article, J. Van Cleve has argued that Reid can secure a non-Euclidean geometry of visibles only at the cost of abandoning his direct realist theory of perception and reintroducing sense-data. The question will be reexamined by considering two aspects of Reid’s theory of vision: the claim that we do not directly perceive distance by sight and Reid’s characterization of visible figure as a partial notion of an external object.

JUSTIFYING CONDITIONALIZATION: CONDITIONALIZATION MAXIMIZES EXPECTED EPISTEMIC UTILITY (XI-C)

HILARY GREAVES, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY
DAVID WALLACE, OXFORD UNIVERSITY

According to Bayesian epistemology, the epistemically rational agent updates her beliefs by conditionalization. This claim that conditionalization is recommended by rationality can be challenged—whence the normative force of the injunction to conditionalize? There are several existing justifications for conditionalization, but none directly addresses the idea that conditionalization will be epistemically rational if and only if it can reasonably be expected to lead to epistemically good outcomes. We apply the approach of cognitive decision theory to provide a justification for conditionalization using precisely that idea. We assign epistemic utility functions to epistemically rational agents: an agent’s epistemic utility is to depend both upon the actual state of the world and on the agent’s credence distribution over possible states. We prove that, under independently motivated conditions, conditionalization is the unique updating rule that maximizes expected epistemic utility.

TESTIMONY AND MEMORY AS GENERATIVE EPISTEMIC SOURCES (III-G)

CHRISTOPHER R. GREEN, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME

This paper is part of a project defending a strong analogy among the epistemologies of testimony, memory, and perception. Probably the most frequently-mentioned purported disanalogy among those epistemic sources is the suggestion that perception is a generative epistemic source, while testimony is merely transmissive, and memory merely preservative. I will argue that both testimony and memory can be generative epistemic sources because they can be generative doxastic sources: sources of new beliefs. True, testimony and memory are merely preservative of positional justification, but perception is also merely preservative of that property because perception likewise depends on environmental information.
ON JUDGING THE MORAL VALUE OF NARRATIVE ARTWORKS (I-A)

JAMES HAROLD, MOUNT HOLYOKE COLLEGE

In this paper, I argue that in at least some interesting cases, the moral value of a narrative work depends on the aesthetic properties of that artwork. It does not follow that a work that is aesthetically bad will be morally bad (or that it will be morally good). The argument comprises four stages. First I describe several different features of imaginative engagement with narrative artworks. Then I show that these features depend on some of the aesthetic properties of those works. Third, I argue that these same features of imaginative engagement are morally salient, by virtue of inviting more or less sophisticated and reflective moral responses. Finally, I show that the overall moral value of an artwork depends in part on whether or not the prescribed response is simple or complex, passive or reflective.

WORLD POVERTY AND INDIVIDUAL FREEDOM (I-I)

NICOLE HASSOUN, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA–CHAPEL HILL

This paper argues that we are obligated to structure the global institutional system such that it is enabling all people to meet their basic needs. After considering the nature of the global institutional system, it suggests that the system must at least meet some minimal requirements for legitimacy. It contends that as many of those subject to the system as possible must, while living under the system, be able to autonomously agree to live under the system. For most individuals to develop and exercise their autonomy, they must be able to preserve the integrity of their bodies and minds, which requires that they are able to meet their basic needs. However, it is not possible to tell which individuals will gain autonomy without the ability to preserve the integrity of their bodies and minds. So, the paper concludes the global institutional system must enable everyone to meet their basic needs.

WELL BEING AND ARISTOTELIAN PERFECTION (I-J)

DANIEL HAYBRON, SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY

Aristotelian accounts of well being or flourishing have gained considerable prominence in the recent literature, and for good reason. They can account for a variety of intuitions about well being that alternative views seem unable to handle. This paper examines their most distinctive feature, welfare perfectionism: the view that well being consists at least partly in perfection, excellence, or virtue. I argue that perfectionism is probably false despite the replies that have been made to previous critiques. The argument has two parts. First I present several examples that perfectionists seem unable to accommodate. I then argue that perfectionist and prudential values play different roles in human life. They answer to distinct concerns, such that we should not expect perfection to be a fundamental constituent of well being.
GRICE’S RAZOR (VII-K)
ALLAN J. HAZLETT, BROWN UNIVERSITY
I defend a particular account of the semantics and pragmatics of “knows” on which claims to know implicate but do not entail that contextually salient possibilities have been ruled out. This line of reasoning will be an instance of the way in which I think a certain principle—Grice’s Razor—may be applied, and I argue against Jonathan Schaffer’s application of this principle and his competing pragmatics for “knows.”

EXTENDED MEMORIES AND THE FUNCTIONAL ROLES OBJECTION (VI-J)
SEAN HERMANSON, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
The Extended Mind thesis says that some mental processes are literally implemented by states that extend beyond the body. Recent criticism of such “transcranial cognition” offered by Rupert exemplifies a version of what I call the “functional roles” objection. His objection contends that since extended realizers fail to exhibit certain ubiquitous effects of vertebrate memory, they do not implement the functional roles characteristic of genuine mental processes. I offer three criticisms of this view. First, the objection seems persuasive since it presumes a version of Functionalism that denies the Extended Mind hypothesis. Second, the specific differences Rupert cites as essential marks of genuine memory are not essential since human subjects who failed to exhibit them would nevertheless continue to have beliefs and memories. Third, there are real-world examples of extended information-storage systems that exhibit the supposed characteristic features of real memory.

FOUNDATIONAL BELIEF AND THE STRUCTURE OF JUSTIFICATION (X-J)
KENNETH E. HOBSON, UNIVERSITY OF IOWA
Richard Feldman argues that traditional foundationalism must hold that justification for beliefs about the external world requires justified beliefs about sensations. Problems this principle encounters make traditional foundationalism untenable. This result supposedly gives dialectical support to a version of nontraditional foundationalism favored by Feldman called noninferentialism: perceptual experience alone gives us justification for external world beliefs. I argue that difficulties with traditional foundationalism do not support noninferentialism. This is because there are two other intermediate inferentialist foundational theories—call them restrictivism and permissivism—that do not require justified sensory beliefs. Permissivism holds further that we can justifiably base external world beliefs on our justification for sensory beliefs. An argument for permissivism is sketched that utilizes a noncausal understanding of epistemic basing.

EMERGENTISM AND SUPERVENIENCE PHYSICALISM (V-H)
ROBERT HOWELL, SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY
It is attractive to define physicalism in terms of a supervenience thesis: physicalism is true iff all properties supervene on physical properties. In a recent article, Jessica Wilson has argued that such a definition is inadequate
because it does not exclude dualistic emergentism. Given necessitarianism about laws, emergent properties metaphysically supervene on their physical bases, thus supervenience cannot properly characterize physicalism. In this paper, I argue that even assuming necessitarianism, emergent properties do not supervene on their bases. The reason is that necessitarianism is only plausible if it requires laws to be explanatory, while it is of the essence of emergence laws that they are “brute.” The result is that a necessitarian should either deny that emergence laws are really laws, maintaining instead that they are contingent generalizations, or emergentism should not really be considered dualistic. Supervenience is still the best way to define physicalism.

VAGUENESS DOES NOT IMPLY UNRESTRICTED COMPOSITION (VIII-H)

CASEY KARBOWSKI, WESTERN WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY

Arguments from David Lewis and Ted Sider are convincing a number of philosophers to accept a principle of unrestricted composition, the view that for any plurality of objects, there is a further object that has those as its parts. They argue that there can neither be a sharp nor a vague cut-off between objects and non-objects, and so every plurality must compose an object. Their argument fails because there can be sharp cut-offs in analogous situations, such as the cut-off between persons and non-persons.

ON COMPLACENCY (IV-J)

JASON KAWALL, COLGATE UNIVERSITY

Like many moral vices, complacency has received very little recent philosophical attention. In this paper I attempt to address this neglect, at least to some extent. I first draw attention to inadequacies in common dictionary definitions of complacency and provide an alternative that avoids these problems. I then contrast complacency with such “nearby” vices as apathy, resignation, akrasia, and hypocrisy. Finally, I briefly argue for the importance of exemplary agents in efforts to end complacency.

COMPATIBILISM AND RETRIBUTIVISM (XI-F)

ERIN I. KELLY, TUFTS UNIVERSITY

This paper distinguishes between a relational notion of blame and a metaphysical notion of blame. The relational notion is compatible with causal determinism while the metaphysical notion is not. I argue that compatibilist accounts of responsibility are unsatisfactory when it comes to retributive justice. A relational notion of blame cannot adequately justify the reactive attitudes upon which some retributivists attempt to build a case for criminal punishment. The stronger, metaphysical notion of blame, however, is open to skeptical doubt. While an agent’s deliberative perspective and some of our moral relationships may be unavoidably bound up with an unstable metaphysical notion of responsibility, a system of criminal justice can avoid it. We could instead view punishment as a regrettable cost of a society’s crime prevention program, only that presupposes only a weaker,
relational notion of blame.

**DEFINITION BY ABSTRACTION (VII-K)**

*Joongol Kim, Western Illinois University*

Neo-Fregeans like Crispin Wright and Bob Hale have defended the status of abstraction principles as definitions. In this paper I argue that taken as a definition an abstraction principle can be satisfied by more than one concept, and this raises the possibility that one of the interpretations it allows of fails to serve its purposes as a definition. I show that in some cases of abstraction principles this possibility is actual. Then I argue that even the mere possibility of a rogue interpretation, from which no abstraction principles can be immune, suffices to show that they can never attain the status of a self-justifying definition.

**CONDITIONAL DESIRES (I-F)**

*Shieva J. Kleinschmidt, Rutgers University*

There’s an intuitive distinction between two types of desires: conditional (desires for things that we want to get only if we still want them when we get them) and unconditional. Derek Parfit has suggested that we interpret conditional desires as involving certain conditionals, and this seems to be a plausible way of accounting for the intuition that these desires are somehow conditional upon their own persistence. Still, in examining several ways of cashing out conditional desires in terms of conditionals, we find problems with each. Setting those problems aside, I then present a trilemma against this way of interpreting conditional desires, based on problems independent of those already mentioned. Finally, I note that the problems I raise apply to a wide variety of accounts, not just those involving conditionals, which leaves us with an interesting puzzle: we have an intuitive, easily graspable distinction, and a difficulty in accounting for it.

**INCARS, OUTCARS, KLABLES, AND TRABLES: WHAT THE COMMONSENSE ONTOLOGIST SHOULD SAY ABOUT STRANGE KINDS (VIII-H)**

*Daniel Z. Korman, University of Texas—Austin*

According to the naive conception of material objects, there are tables, mountains, cats, and their tails, but nothing composed entirely of my nose and the Eiffel Tower. The naive conception has fallen out of favor among metaphysicists for a number of reasons. One such reason—often alluded to but rarely spelled out with any precision—concerns the possibility of having acquired certain strange kind concepts in place of our own. The mere possibility of a community with a conceptual repertoire consisting of such strange kind concepts puts pressure on the proponent of the naive conception to expand his ontology to include all of these strange kinds. I provide an explicit statement of this argument from strange concepts and then, drawing upon the Merrill-Lewis theory of eligible referents and
concepts, offer a novel solution to the problem that does not require one to abandon the naive conception.

**THE LIMITS OF PREDICTABILITY: TWO CASE STUDIES IN (PHYSICAL) DEMONOLOGY (XII-J)**

**ALEXANDRE V. KOROLEV, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA**

While the current view is that quantum algorithms lead to re-describing of the complexity space of computational problems, recently it has been argued that they may even require reconsidering the whole notion of computability itself, by being able to “compute the non-computable.” The possibility of hypercomputation, or supertasks—performing infinite number of computational steps in a finite time—compatible with modern physical theories, raises a number of important philosophical issues, pushing one to look at the age-old philosophical debates anew. The first episode of the paper is concerned with the computational predictive limitations of a classical Laplace’s demon. The second episode is a recent debate in the field of quantum computation with its recently proposed quantum adiabatic algorithms capable of performing supertasks. If realized, these would serve as an Oracle needed for a Laplacian demon to be able to predict the future successfully. I critically address this latter proposal.

**SIMILARITY IS A BAD GUIDE TO COUNTERFACTUAL TRUTH (I-C)**

**DOUGLAS N. KUTACH, BROWN UNIVERSITY**

The most popular theory of how to evaluate counterfactuals is to use the Lewis-Stalnaker logic together with some reasonably tractable refinement of our ordinary notions of similarity. This approach is misguided because for some ordinary counterfactuals, irrelevant possible worlds end up determining the counterfactuals’ truth values. This undermines some of the support for the Lewis-Stalnaker logic (e.g., the failure of antecedent-strengthening).

**NORMS OF ASSERTION (XII-I)**

**JENNIFER LACKEY, NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY**

A view growing in popularity in the recent philosophical literature is that only knowledge warrants assertion. More precisely, the following is frequently taken to be the central norm of assertion (hereafter, the Knowledge Norm of Assertion, or the KNA):

**KNA:** One should assert that $p$ only if one knows that $p$.

In this paper, I argue that the KNA is false. In particular, I show that there are cases in which a speaker asserts that $p$ in the absence of knowing that $p$ without being subject to criticism in any relevant sense, thereby showing that knowledge cannot be what is required for proper assertion. I then develop and defend an alternate norm of assertion that not only avoids the problems afflicting the KNA but also more fully and coherently accommodates our general intuitions about both asserters and their
assertions.

**Convergences in the Public Square (IV-H)**

Edward A. Langerak, St. Olaf College

A general version of the restraint principle is that conscientious citizens ought to restrain themselves from using nonpublic reasons to advocate for coercive legislation unless they also are willing and able to provide public reasons for it. In two recent publications, Paul Weithman and Christopher Eberle object to the restraint principle. They argue on behalf of integrated believers (who feel religiously and morally obliged to shape their politics with their theological commitments) for a much looser restriction on convergence between integrated believers and political liberals on this issue. I analyze these as two routes toward convergence and I suggest a third, one that I develop from themes in these and other recent publications.

**(Dis)Solving the Chronological Paradox in Customary International Law** (V-G)

David Lefkowitz, University of North Carolina–Greensboro

As traditionally conceived, the creation of a new rule of customary international law requires that states believe the law to already require the conduct specified in the rule. Distinguishing the process whereby a customary rule comes to exist from the process whereby that customary rule becomes law dissolves this chronological paradox. Creation of a customary rule requires only that states come to believe there exists a normative standard to which they ought to adhere, not that this standard is law. What makes the customary rule law is adherence by officials in the international legal system to a rule of recognition that treats custom as a source of valid law. Confusion over this distinction arises because in the international legal system, the same agents whose beliefs give rise to a customary rule are the legal officials whose adherence to the rule of recognition leads them to deem that rule legally valid.

**Assertional Practice and the False Belief Task** (XII-I)

Ronald W. Loeffler, Grand Valley State University

A number of prominent positions in the philosophy of language imply that, necessarily, participants in assertional practice have the concept of belief. Employing the results of a well-known developmental psychological experiment, which highlights the difficulties of ordinary three-and-a-half-year-old children to attribute false beliefs that p to others, I argue that this modal statement is false. My argument, and the ensuing discussion of it, if sound, show that, possibly, participants in linguistic communication track each other’s doxastic states and obligations without forming any higher order mental representations involving doxastic concepts. If so, these prominent positions in the philosophy of language are, as they stand, false and in need of modification.
CRITERIA AND DEFEASIBILITY: WHEN GOOD EVIDENCE IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH (III-G)
ERIC J. LOOMIS, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH ALABAMA

With his notion of a criterion, Wittgenstein proposed a form of non-inductive evidence, which would partially constitute the meaning of those statements for which it serves as evidence. Providing a cogent explication of the notion of a criterion has proven a long-standing challenge. I argue that our best hope for making sense of the notion remains the simple one of treating criterial evidence as logically entailing the truth of certain statements in the absence of a defeater. I show how more contemporary developments in fallibilist epistemology can help us to clarify this idea. I further demonstrate that a common alternative account of criteria, one that treats criterial evidence as “necessarily good” but not logically entailing, exhibits a coherence problem.

WORDLESS THOUGHTS AND THEIR SUPPOSED LIMITS (VI-I)
ROBERT W. LURZ, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK–BROOKLYN COLLEGE

Bermudez puts forward a novel theory of nonlinguistic thought that holds that (T1) nonlinguistic creatures cannot think thoughts about propositional attitudes (Pas). Bermudez theory is significant in at least one important respect. There is a fairly established theory-of-mind (ToM) research program in primatology (see Whiten & Byrne 1997) that is premised on the possibility of there being higher-order Pas in chimpanzees and other higher primates. If Bermudez’s theory is correct, this research program is pointless and misconceived and, what is more, can be seen to be so a priori. I argue that Bermudez’s justification for T1 rests upon two empirically implausible assumptions and, therefore, fails to undermine the underlying assumption of the ToM program in primatology.

KANT ON MORALITY AND TEMPORALITY (XII-H)
MARY C. MACLEOD, INDIANA UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA

Kant’s doctrine of autonomy, like his theory of sensibility, enables the justification of synthetic a priori judgments. The pure intuitions needed for mathematics are possible only because intuition has spatio-temporal form, and moral interest in action depends on the autonomy of the will. Moreover, both moral and mathematical principles constrain the empirical application of another kind of principle. Application of the hypothetical imperative is constrained by the requirement that desired ends be pursued only through morally permissible means, and the categorical principles that underwrite physics must be temporally schematized to be legitimately employed. Given the tenets of Kants Critical philosophy, moral principles cannot differ from mathematical principles in transcendental location while also resembling them deeply in functional role. So I argue that either Kant abandoned his Critical scruples on turning to moral philosophy or else the Categorical Imperative is the form of a passive, receptive, practical faculty.
TWO ARGUMENTS AGAINST THE PARTICULAR CONTENT READING OF NICOLAS
MALEBRANCHE’S “GENERAL VOLITIONS” (VI-H)
COLIN R. MARSHALL, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

This paper presents two arguments against a certain interpretation, defended by Steven Nadler and Andrew Pessin, of what Malebranche calls “general volitions.” On that interpretation, a “general volition” for Malebranche is a volition with particular content (i.e., that makes reference to particular objects) that is in accord with some general law. The first argument challenges a motivating assumption of the interpretation: that for every particular volitional action there must be a corresponding volition with particular content. The second argument aims to show that the interpretation needlessly weakens Malebranche’s solution to the problem of evil.

THE BERKELEY TRIANGLE AND THE OCCASIONALISM THAT LURKS BENEATH (VII-J)
GENEVIEVE MIGELY, CLAREMONT GRADUATE UNIVERSITY

Berkeley defines spirit as an active being. However, his philosophy is accused of being incapable of actually supporting this ontological claim. Numerous scholars argue that Berkeley’s immaterialism outright bans any possibility of finite causation in the natural world. His immaterialism is the thesis that God, and only God, creates ideas of sense. If God alone creates ideas of sense, then finite minds are precluded from this creative ability. The upshot of this inability to produce ideas of sense is that humans would be incapable of moving their own bodies. I will attempt to show that there is no real conflict between Berkeley’s philosophical triad: his immaterialism, his commonsense realism, and his spiritual ontology. I will argue that contrary to these critics, there are no occasionalist presuppositions lurking within Berkeley’s overall philosophy.

PRO-ATTITUDES, PROPOSITIONALISM, AND PSYCHOLOGICAL DISHARMONY (VI-J)
MICHELLE I. MONTAGUE, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–IRVINE

Many present-day philosophers and semanticists use the expressions “intentional attitude” and “propositional attitude” interchangeably, thereby implicitly endorsing propositionalism, the view that every intentional attitude is a propositional attitude, and closing out an alternative view, objectualism, according to which at least some intentional attitudes are irreducibly non-propositional attitudes, objectual attitudes, attitudes to objects, like people, that are not propositions at all. My purpose here is not to launch a general attack on propositionalism. I want rather to consider the central case of desire. I argue that propositionalist analyses of desire depend on there being a fundamental pro-attitude that cannot itself be given a propositionalist analysis. If this is right, then there can be no completely general propositionalist account of pro-attitudes, and propositionalism as a whole is also refuted. Finally, I show how this fundamental objectual pro-attitude can be used to characterize several kinds of psychological phenomena.
SOMETHING’S ROTTEN IN DENMARK: INFERENCE TO THE BEST EXPLANATION (XII-G)

DEBORAH SUE MOWER, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

There is presently an impasse on whether moral facts have an explanatory role, and if they do, whether that licenses realism about moral facts. I argue that this impasse is a result of confusion about and inconsistent usage of the concept of inference to the best explanation (IBE). Within the literature, there is 1) disagreement about necessary conditions for the “best” explanation, 2) confusion about comparative and eliminative conceptions of IBE, and 3) misapprehension about the inferences that IBE warrants. I use a probability framework from philosophy of science to analyze these various claims about moral explanations, realism, and IBE. Moving forward requires a commitment to analyzing assumptions and stating clear positions on conditions and conceptions of inference to the best explanation, as well as being aware of the limitations of IBE in supporting claims of moral realism.

THE INDIVIDUATION OF TRAIT TYPES AND THE AETIOLOGICAL THEORY OF FUNCTION (I-G)

BENCE NANAY, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–BERKELEY

According to the aetiological theory, the function of a trait is F if this trait’s performing F has (recently) contributed to the survival of the ancestors of this organism (in other words, if this trait has been selected for doing F). This definition of function relies on an unproblematic notion of trait types. The trait whose function is to be defined and the traits that have been selected for in the past must be of the same type. But how can we individuate trait types? What makes hearts different from non-hearts? I will argue that there is no coherent noncircular way of individuating trait types that is available to the aetiological theory of function.

TWO VIEWS ON TIME REVERSAL (IV-I)

JILL E. NORTH, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

In a recent paper, Malament employs a time-reversal transformation that is different from the standard one. Aside from noting its naturalness and general applicability, however, he doesn’t offer explicit reasons for rejecting the standard view. This is because his focus is to argue against Albert’s (2000) view that electromagnetism is non-time invariant. I think Malament has been too modest. He has proposed a new and important understanding of time reversal that deserves arguing for in its own right. I present arguments that his time-reversal transformation improves on the standard one. The recent literature on time reversal has focused on whether velocities should undergo a time-reversal operation. I address a prior question: What is the proper notion of time reversal? The answer to this question is important, for it will allow us to determine whether our best theories are time-reversal invariant and, consequently, whether spacetime is temporally oriented.
UNIFICATION AND PARTITION-VARIANCE (II-D)

GREG NOVACK, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

Myrvold (2003) develops a Bayesian understanding of the virute of unification without “building the advantage in” to the distribution of prior probabilities. To this end he proposes a probabilistic measure of the degree to which a hypothesis unifies the evidence. I show in this essay that Myrvold’s measure of degree of unification is partition-variant—it has the property that the ordering of hypotheses in terms of their degree of unification can be reversed by changing the description of the evidence. This shows that the proposal in question does not identify a suitably objective advantage that unification provides.

RICHARD POSNER ON DEMOCRACY AND JUDICIAL INTERVENTION (V-G)

RICHARD NUNAN, COLLEGE OF CHARLESTON

Breaking the Deadlock, Posner’s defense of Bush’s judicial appointment as winner of the 2000 presidential election, is based on an argument from democratic theory involving a rejection of the concept of a collective will of the electorate, and of the concept of civic virtue. Elections do nonetheless serve a valuable purpose in Posner’s view: providing a more effective solution to the problem of orderly succession of government than hereditary monarchy.

I argue that Posner is committed to an attenuated conception of the general will at work in democratic electoral process, mistaken in denigrating the concept of civic virtue, and in his alternate hypothesis, that our voting behavior is driven ultimately by our perceptions of our self interest, narrowly construed. Consequently, Posner is ultimately mistaken in advocating a pragmatic approach to judicial adjudication, where only the outcome ultimately matters, not the means by which we arrive there.

WHAT A LAW OF NATURE IS (V-H)

WILLIAM RUSSELL PAYNE, BELLEVUE COMMUNITY COLLEGE

A view of causal laws as analyses of dispositions is developed and defended. The epistemic status of laws as necessarily true property analyses is addressed along with the alleged intuition that laws are contingent and concerns about the explanatory value of dispositions.

CAN NATIONAL-DEFENSE BE MORALLY GROUNDED IN PERSONAL SELF-DEFENSE? (VIII-F)

THOMAS W. PEARD, BAKER UNIVERSITY

In his influential work War and Self-Defense, David Rodin ably challenges the view that the moral right of national-defense can be grounded in the right of self-defense. He considers and rejects the “reductive strategy” on which national-defense is viewed as a “collective form” of self-defense. He also believes that the “analogical strategy” fails, on which the right of national-defense is not reduced to self-defense but rather is claimed to be analogous to that right. I argue that Rodin has not fully refuted the reductive
strategy and that there is a promising analogical strategy, which Rodin overlooks, for grounding national-defense in self-defense.

THE RED HERRING OF COMPOSITIONALITY AND BEYOND (I-E)

Mark Timothy Phelan, University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill

I offer a critique of a general argument put to several uses by Jerry Fodor and Ernest Lepore. I show the argument to rest on an unclear premise: the compositionality premise, which holds that the meaning of complex representations is derived from the simple representations that compose them. The premise admits of a weak and a strong interpretation. I offer evidence that Fodor and Lepore require the strong interpretation. I demonstrate that we only have reason to accept the weak interpretation. Thus the general argument fails. I then examine one particular use of the argument, to refute prototype theories of mental content. Free of the requirement of strong compositionality, we are able to appeal to additional routes to meaning for complex representations in order to explain problem cases for prototype theories. I offer some such routes.

GLANCING AT THE INVISIBLE (ON INFERENCE IN ARISTOTLE’S SCIENCE) (I-B)

Tiberiu M. Popa, Butler University

The central purpose of this paper is to show that a study of Aristotle’s scientific method in *Meteorology IV* can contribute significantly to setting important problems such as whether, in his “applied” scientific treatises, Aristotle is mindful of the prescriptions offered by his own philosophy of science (especially but not exclusively in the *Posterior Analytics*). In this context, I have paid special attention to Aristotle’s quasi-syllogistic formulations, used in his appeal to material dispositions and implicitly in his attempt to gain insight into what is inaccessible to sense perception (e.g., the microstructure of uniform bodies). While this paper focuses on the convergence of Aristotle’s philosophy of science with his theory of matter, it is also relevant to contemporary philosophy of science, especially to studies that deal with the significance of dispositions in relation to causality and scientific explanation.

ARE MORAL REASONS MORALLY OVERRIDING? (VI-G)

Douglas Portmore, Arizona State University

In this paper, I present an argument that poses the following dilemma for moral theorists: either (a) reject at least one of three of our most firmly held moral convictions or (b) reject the view that moral reasons are morally overriding, that is, reject the view that moral reasons always defeat nonmoral reasons in the determination of an act’s deontic status (e.g., morally permissible or impermissible). I then argue that we should opt for the second horn. If I’m right, if nonmoral reasons are relevant to determining what is and isn’t morally permissible, then moral theorists have their work cut out for them. Moral theorists will need to account for how two very different sorts of reasons, moral and nonmoral reasons, “come together” to determine an act’s deontic status. I will not attempt to do this work here, but rather, only to argue that the work needs to be done.
STABILITY AND BIOLOGY: THE CASE OF LIKE-BEGETS-LIKE (I-G)
KENNETH A. PRESTING, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA—CHAPEL HILL
A recent paper by Marc Lange, “Laws and Their Stability” (Synthese, 2005, v.144, pp. 415-32), presents an interesting thesis—that certain sets, such as the logical or physical necessaries, may be identified as stable by testing the logical relations between the sentences in the set, as compared to the sentences excluded from the set, using certain counterfactual conditionals. I will argue that Lange’s definition of stability is circular, despite some strenuous work on his part to avoid that problem. Along the way, I will show that the acceptance and correctness of counterfactuals in several fields has more to do with our human need to discuss possibilities we need not (or cannot) fully describe, than with the laws that necessarily govern our practical lives.

THE MEDIUM IS NOT THE MESSAGE (III-H)
MATTHEW RELLihan, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY
I argue that the idea that perception is theory-laden does not necessarily lend support to the incommensurability thesis. Perception is epistemically significant only to the extent that it is the vehicle by which information is transmitted from the world to the mind, and there are any number of ways of perceptually encoding the same bit of theory-relevant information. Indeed, there are as many percepts carrying the information that \( x \) is \( F \) as there are perceptible events nomically dependent upon \( x \)’s being \( F \). Theory-ladenness entails, at most, that some of these paths to theory confirmation and the establishment of scientific consensus will be closed off, but it gives us no reason to expect that all of them will be. Thus, different observers may experience the world differently without thereby being led to adopt different theories of the world, and science can be objective and unbiased even if perception sometimes is not.

PREDICATION AND CARTOGRAPHIC REPRESENTATION (I-H)
MICHAEL A. RESCORLA, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SANTA BARBARA
I argue that maps do not involve predication. In particular, they do not contain representational components displaying the function-argument character discerned by Frege and clarified by Tarski. Thus, the compositional mechanisms of cartographic representation are fundamentally different than those of propositional attitudes and natural language sentences. I take as my foil the recent account of Roberto Casati and Achille Varzi, which attributes predicational compositional mechanisms to cartographic representation. I argue that the details of Casati and Varzi’s own formal theory militate against attributing predicational structure to maps.

AN EMPIRICAL DEFENSE OF RECOGNITIONAL CONCEPTS (I-H)
BRADLEY RIVES, UNION COLLEGE
Recognitional concepts are typically defended on epistemological grounds. In this paper, I consider whether such concepts can be defended on psychological, explanatory grounds. In particular, I consider whether
a certain strategy for defending the existence of analytic connections among concepts can be co-opted to defend the existence of constitutive connections between concepts and perceptual judgments. I offer for the existence of recognitional concepts, which appeals to certain psychological laws. This latter strategy, I argue, provides a promising way of defending recognitional concepts on empirical grounds.

**THE GIFT OF FORGIVENESS (VIII-G)**

*Rodney C. Roberts, East Carolina University*

International interest in the need to acknowledge and rectify past injustices includes a concern for these and other related matters by philosophers. Claudia Card’s recent book, *The Atrocity Paradigm: A Theory of Evil* (Oxford, 2002), is illustrative of the rigorous philosophical analysis being brought to bear on questions concerning injustice and our response to it. Included in Card’s theory of evil is a conception of forgiveness. Forgiveness is important on her view because (*inter alia*) it fosters goodwill, and it can aid in the resolution of atrocities, what Card thinks of as the paradigms of evil. However, there is a tension in Card’s theory arising from her conception of forgiveness as a *gift*. I shall endeavor to articulate this tension and show that while it can be successfully dealt with, doing so requires an emendation to her theory.

**CONFLICTS OF OBLIGATION: A DISPOSITIONALIST ACCOUNT (I-D)**

*Luke Robinson, University of California–San Diego*

W. D. Ross appears to hold that conflicts of obligation are something entirely else and that genuine conflicts of obligation are impossible. According to him, conflicts of obligation are conflicts between “prima facie obligations” rather than conflicts between “obligations,” and conflicts between the latter are impossible. But appearances notwithstanding, Ross offers us the resources to construct a plausible, dispositionalist account of conflicts between genuine obligations. On this account, prima facie obligations are obligations under a different, overtly dispositional description. Hence, conflicts between prima facie obligations are conflicts between genuine obligations.

**KANTIAN MORAL FEELING AS A SINGULAR REFERRING REPRESENTATION (XII-H)**

*Timothy Rosenkoetter, Dartmouth College*

The problem I address is how Kant could make sense of an agent’s having an a priori moral feeling with cognitive content. My claim is that moral feeling is a conscious representation that refers to a single object and does so immediately. Those are precisely the criteria that distinguish an intuition from other representations. Accordingly, I propose that moral feeling is this moral cognition in the same sense in which an intuition is the cognition of an object. In both cases the sensible representations qualify as cognitions because they refer to objects. Yet in both cases the sensible representations are not actualized as cognitions unless they are brought into relation with concepts. This hypothesis is empty if the object to which moral feeling
refers cannot be precisely specified. I sketch a model of Kantian practical reason, which allows the absolutely good will to play that role.


*William A. Rottschaefer, Lewis and Clark College*

In his recent *Knowledge and its Place in Nature*, Hilary Kornblith argues that animal knowledge, including human knowledge, has a place in nature because it constitutes a natural kind. Knowledge is a natural kind because it forms a homeostatic property cluster that plays an important explanatory/causal role in the behavior of many animals. Kornblith maintains as a consequence that there is no significant difference between human and non-human cognition. On this basis he argues that analytic accounts of knowledge that maintain such difference all fail. In this paper, I argue that knowledge constitutes not merely a single functional biological kind but multiple kinds. Some of these kinds constitute human and non-human epistemic agents as significantly different while at the same time supporting the naturalistic account of knowledge that Kornblith urges. In contrast with Kornblith, I find that knowledge has not just one, but many places in nature.

**Can There Be Reasons That Don’t Require? (I-D)**

*Benjamin A. Sachs, University of Wisconsin–Madison*

In this essay I defend the following platitude against two recent challenges:

The Platitude of Practical Reason: All reasons can ground requirements of rationality.

The first challenge is found in Joshua Gert’s recent book, *Brute Rationality*. In it he argues that reasons play two logically distinct roles—requiring action and justifying action. He argues, further, that some reasons—“purely justificatory” reasons—play only the latter role. Jonathan Dancy offers the second challenge in his *Ethics Without Principles*, where he distinguishes between the “favoring” and “ought-making” roles of reasons. While all reasons play the former role, some do not play the latter and are therefore irrelevant to what one rationally ought to do. My contention is that both Gert and Dancy are going to have trouble accounting for our intuitions in a number of cases.

**Ambiguity and the Representation Problem (I-E)**

*Paul Saka, University of Houston*

I canvas eight possible approaches to representing ambiguity within truth-conditional semantics, and I argue that all are unsatisfactory. For example, it would be a mistake to hold that “x is a bank” is true iff x is a financial institution while “x is a bank” is true iff x is a slope, for then x would be a financial institution iff x is a slope. It would also be a mistake to hold that some tokens of “x is a bank” are true iff x is a financial institution while other tokens are true iff x is a slope, given the existence of punning and
equivocation. My work may be taken either as a call for research on a neglected topic in truth-conditional semantics, or as a call for abandoning truth-conditional semantics.

**WHO DISCOVERED FITCH’S PARADOX, AND WHY WON’T IT GO AWAY? (VII-I)**

*JOE R. SALERNO, SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY*

The knowability paradox draws a semantic equivalence between the credible claim that a proposition is true only if it is knowable and the purile claim that a proposition is true only if it is known. A prominent reaction to the paradox is to restrict the quantifier “all truths.” I argue that the restriction strategy does not make the paradox go away, since Fitch’s result may be formulated without violating the natural restriction on the quantifier. The knowability paradox was first published by Fitch in 1963 but is credited to an anonymous referee from 1945. Along the way I share recently archived material that discloses the identity of the anonymous referee.

**RORTY’S HOPE FOR PHILOSOPHY (II-C)**

*MARK M. SANDERS, ST. JOHN’S UNIVERSITY*

In this paper I examine Richard Rorty’s recent re-evaluation of his notion of the liberal ironist and his public/private distinction. I maintain that Rorty has not undergone a radical change in his thinking, but rather, he has come to see the importance and relevance of hope to his philosophy, which begins to break down the public/private distinction of the liberal ironist. After describing the basic tenets of Rorty’s liberal ironist and some criticisms of it, I turn to some of the recent developments in Rorty’s thinking that he has exhibited in *Philosophy and Social Hope* and *Achieving Our Country*, as well as some recent articles about Rorty that discuss the role of hope in his philosophy. I conclude that hope reconnects Rorty to his pragmatic roots and provides him with a powerful new vocabulary with which to discuss the future of philosophy.

**SENSE-IMPRESSIONS, THINGS-IN-THEMSELVES, AND THE TOTALITY OF FACTS (IX-I)**

*AARON ALLEN SCHILLER, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SAN DIEGO*

In “Having the World in View,” John McDowell criticizes Wilfrid Sellars’s views concerning what the latter called “the sense-impression inference.” The disagreement between them is that while Sellars finds there to be sufficient grounds for admitting the existence, as well as for determining the nature, of sense-impressions, McDowell does not. While many have considered this debate recently, none have made sufficient headway in discussing what I take to be the sticking point between them. I argue that while there can be little doubt that causal connections between mind and world are necessary for empirical content, how we conceive of those connections makes all the difference. Sellars, in finding necessary a distinction between things-in-themselves and appearances, is thereby committed to bridging that gulf with sense-impressions, whereas McDowell, who holds a world of facts view, feels no such compulsion.
ARE CIVIL RIGHTS PROTESTS SELF-RESPECTING? (V-E)

H. BENJAMIN SHAFFER, HUMBOLDT STATE UNIVERSITY

This paper addresses the question whether civil rights protest constitutes self-respecting behavior, and if so, how. I argue against Bernard Boxhill’s account of protest as the demand that one’s rights be respected. For protest must be understood as a form of active self-defense of one’s rights if it is to be self-respecting activity, and merely demanding that one’s rights be respected cannot constitute an active defense. Since a defense of one’s rights will necessarily involve coercing the oppressor to treat one with respect, my view conflicts with Gandhi’s claim that protest would not seek to coerce the oppressor.

PRISONER’S DILEMMA: THE HARD PROBLEM (XI-C)

LEE SHEPSKI, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA

Many treatments of the Prisoner’s Dilemma evade (or attempt to evade) the conclusion that “defecting” is rational by relaxing one or more constraints on the problem. I argue that an ultimately satisfying solution must respect all of the following constraints: (1) the game is single-play; (2) agreements, if any, cannot be enforced; (3) preference orderings are not altered so that it is no longer the case that each player’s dominant strategy is to defect; (4) the prisoners’ choices are causally and probabilistically independent; and (5) the participants possess merely ordinary (rather than ideal) rationality. I argue that when these constraints are kept in place it will be difficult and perhaps impossible to evade the conclusion that defecting is rational. I conclude by arguing that this should not, in the end, be a surprising result.

IDENTIFICATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND THE WHIM PROBLEM (I-F)

DAVID SHOEMAKER, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY

The Real Self View (RSV) of autonomy and moral responsibility maintains that X is morally responsible for some action only if her real self identifies with the will producing it (i.e., only if her action is genuinely self-determined). In a recent paper, however, Kasper Lippert-Rasmussen has presented an important new objection to the RSV, what he calls The Whim Problem. Contrary to RSV, he argues, we often hold people morally responsible for the actions they do on a whim, actions with which they do not, by definition, identify. I attempt to show how RSV may be defended against this objection, in part through an analogy with lax parents.

A DEFENSE OF REDUCTIONISM ABOUT TESTIMONIAL JUSTIFICATION OF BELIEFS (X-J)

TOMOJI SHOGENJI, RHODE ISLAND COLLEGE

This paper defends reductionism about testimonial justification of beliefs against two influential arguments. (1) The empirical argument purports to show that the reductionist justification of testimony is either circular since it relies on some testimonial evidence, or else there is scarce evidence in support of testimony. (2) The semantic argument purports to show that trust in testimony is a prerequisite for the very existence of testimonial evidence since without the presupposition of people’s truthfulness we
cannot interpret their utterances as testimony with propositional contents. I point out that the semantic argument owes its apparent strength to the ambiguity of the term “assumption” between a presupposition and a hypothesis. To interpret utterances, the epistemic subject only needs the hypothesis that the testimony is credible, which is to be confirmed or disconfirmed by nontestimonial evidence. It is shown that this response to the semantic argument also undercuts the empirical argument.

ON THE VALUE OF HAPPINESS: HERDER CONTRA KANT (I-D)
SONIA SIKKA, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA
This paper examines the debate between Kant and his former student, Johann Gottfried Herder, on the subject of happiness. It argues that Herder’s position is more sophisticated than is often assumed, and that the opposition between him and Kant on this issue is, accordingly, also more complex than some scholars have suggested, touching upon a host of fundamental questions in metaphysics, epistemology, and ethics. Kant and Herder hold sharply contrasting views of the relation between mind and body, between reason and perception, and between means and ends within various spheres of teleological understanding. They also differ on the nature and role of the emotions, and on the status of the “natural” as a category applying both to an aspect of human subjectivity and to the objective world. As I demonstrate, these differences are implicated in the dispute between them on the value of happiness.

EPISTEMICISM CAN’T SAVE THE INDIVIDUALITY OF SPECIES (I-G)
MATTHEW H. SLATER, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
Many deny that species are natural kinds. For some, this denial is merely the flip side of a rather counterintuitive assertion: that species are individuals—literally, no kidding. Not paradigmatic individuals, mind you—like mountains, trees, people, tables, and such (if such there be)—but spatially scattered, spatiotemporally connected “hunks of the genealogical nexus.” Such species-individuals will likely have indeterminate parts (particularly in the course of speciations); and as is well known mereological indeterminacy raises a host of worries. Perhaps it is incoherent. What is a definitist supporter of species individuality to do? A natural response: go epistemicist regarding species boundaries and speciations. But species epistemicism, I argue, does not stave off mereological indeterminacy unless one goes epistemicist about future events as well.

LIBERAL PLURALISM AND THE CASE FOR FREEDOM AS NON-DOMINATION (I-I)
ANDREW F. SMITH, STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK–STONY BROOK
William Galston argues in Liberal Pluralism that a specified version of Isaiah Berlin’s idea of negative liberty, which he calls “expressive liberty,” provides the best means to minimize the inevitable loss of personal integrity experienced by some citizens in any duly circumscribed social world. This is so insofar as it strongly supports the development of approaches to governance that can accommodate the widest possible range of
choiceworthy values and an institutional schema that operates according to a basic principle of noninterference in the lives of citizens. I suggest, however, that expressive liberty, backed by the principle of noninterference, does not provide adequate support for the minimization of loss. Drawing on the work of Philip Pettit, I offer an alternative to Galston's version of negative liberty that may prove better suited to achieving his practical aim and helpful in revealing how his argument for liberal pluralism could be strengthened theoretically.


*SUSAN E. SPAID, INDEPENDENT SCHOLAR*

For Arthur Danto, aboutness, for which an embodied meaning "shows" what the work is "about," serves as the rhetorical device that distinguishes art (Warhol's Brillo Box) from non-art (a cardboard Brillo box). However, avant-garde works first endure "isness," the discomfort accompanying one's experiencing unfamiliar, sensorial events. Works of art that sustain isness resist "aboutness," thus artworks that thwart "pat" interpretive schemes survive longer as art in their refusal to enter art history. And works that gain or lose specific meanings prove more influential as they adapt to changing values and beliefs. Irrespective of era, isness dramatizes unfamiliar forms emerging against the grain, while aboutness captures tradition-bound cultures. Works that exhibit isness engender particularized events, startle viewers, and plant memories that facilitate future awareness, thus casting doubt on art's representationality. The creators of works that introduce new standards are rarely conscious of the rules or ideas their works supposedly articulate.

**ON AVOIDING PERFORMATIVE CONTRADICTION IN MORAL CRITICISM (VI-G)**

*ELISE SPRINGER, WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY*

A moral critic risks performative contradiction if she invokes a systematic moral theory to justify critical judgment, yet fails in the activities of criticism to conform to the moral demands she recognizes. The puzzle leads some, such as Henry Sidgwick, to embrace its awkward implications for the consequentialist moral critic, while it provides others, such as Rae Langton, with a *reductio* argument against any consequentialist criterion in moral philosophy. Though such puzzles have apparently been articulated only in consequentialist manifestations, my reflections here indicate that the problem is not specific to consequentialism, nor does it face all consequentialists: deontological theories on which any action can be assigned moral status likewise place actual moral critics in awkward dilemmas, such that accurate representation and moral activity stand in tension. Constructivist approaches to moral judgment avoid the performative contradiction, but at the cost of expecting good critics to diverge in their critical stances.
PROBABILITY IN FINE-TUNING DESIGN ARGUMENTS (II-D)
KENT STALEY, SAINT LOUIS UNIVERSITY

A fine-tuning argument for design (FTA) begins with the observation that certain physical parameters or initial conditions have values that could not differ very much without rendering human life impossible. FTAs infer from this observation that the universe is a product of a designing intelligence whose purpose it was in creating the universe to bring about or allow for human life. Contemporary FTAs often take the form of probabilistic arguments. This paper considers such arguments in light of possible interpretations of the probability statements in their premisses. Whether probabilities are regarded in physical, epistemic, or logical terms, probability statements about fine-tuning of fundamental physical parameters or cosmological initial conditions turn out to be unfounded, incoherent, or irrelevant.

PARTICULARISM AND THICK ETHICAL PROPERTIES (IV-J)
REBECCA LYNN STANGL, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

According to radical moral particularists such as Jonathan Dancy and Christine Swanton, not only can we not codify any natural features of a situation that will always count in favor of an action being the right or wrong thing to do, the so-called thick ethical properties also lack invariant deontic valence. Not only does pleasure not always count in favor of the rightness of a particular action, the fact that an action is just may also fail to count in favor of its rightness. The most compelling argument offered in defense of this thesis, it seems to me, is contained in Christine Swanton’s article entitled, “A Virtue Ethical Criterion of Right Action.” In this paper, I show that this argument is not successful. Following this, I offer one diagnosis of why this kind of argument, while ultimately unsuccessful, nevertheless possesses some initial plausibility.

DO ALL VALUABLE ARTWORKS POSSESS AESTHETIC VALUE? (I-A)
ROBERT A. STECKER, CENTRAL MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY

This paper evaluates the claim that nothing is valuable as an artwork unless it possesses aesthetic value. This idea, that aesthetic value pervades artworks that are valuable at all, was put into doubt by a number of artistic movements that arose in the twentieth century such as Dada and its descendants including conceptual art because works within these movements appear to be counter-examples to the claim. Recently, a number of philosophers have tried to resurrect aesthetic essentialism with regard to artistic value. They try to do this arguing either that the purported counter-examples actually possess aesthetic value or that they possess a value parasitic on the aesthetic value of other works. The purpose of this paper is to argue that this project hasn’t and won’t succeed.
ON THE ONTOLOGICAL PRIORITY OF THOUGHT OVER LANGUAGE: THE SELLARS-CHISHOLM CORRESPONDENCE (VI-I)

BENJAMIN J. STENBERG, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

In one of his letters to Chisholm on intentionality, Sellars seems to contradict himself by saying both that it’s possible for there to exist a being that thinks but has no language to express itself and that one only gains the ability to think subsequent to having learned a language. The former claim seems buttressed by Sellars’s talk in a number of other places of the “priority in being” of thought over language. In this paper I (1) examine closely just what the supposed “ontological priority” of thought comes to and conclude that it does not, in fact, add support to the idea that there could be thinking but language-less being, and (2) argue that the apparent contradiction in Sellars’s letter to Chisholm can be alleviated by a deeper understanding of both the “ontological priority” of thought and the initially troubling claim itself.

SENSORY QUALITIES AND CONCEPT EMPIRICISM (VI-I)

PAR SUNDSTROM, UMEÅ UNIVERSITY

According to Limited Concept Empiricism (LCE), there are certain (“phenomenal”) thoughts about sensory qualities that one can think only if one has experienced the qualities they are about. Unlike the classical, unlimited concept empiricism of philosophers like Locke and Hume, (LCE) enjoys a lot of contemporary support. However, I think we don’t have good reasons to believe in (LCE). In this paper, I discuss two motivations for the view: the motivation from Imagism, and the motivation from The What-Else Question. I argue that neither motivation provides us with reason to believe (LCE) and suggest that mastery of public language terms may enable one to think phenomenal thoughts about sensory qualities.

MOTIVES, MAXIMS, AND DEONTIC RELEVANCE (XII-H)

STEVEN SVERDLIK, SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY

Does the motive from which an action is performed ever affect its deontic status? Any particular action falls into one and only one of three deontic categories: obligatory, prohibited, or “merely permissible.” The question might then be formulated as whether MT is true.

MT: There is an action $X$ such that if $X$ were performed from one motive it would fall into one deontic category, and if $X$ were performed from another motive it would fall into a second deontic category.

There are examples of actions whose motives are deontically relevant. I use them and MT as a way to explore the merits of Kantianism. I consider the procedure of testing “maxims” with the Categorical Imperative. Christine Korsgaard developed the most plausible version of this test. I will show that it fails to establish that MT is true when it operates on a promising example.
GETTING CLEAR ON GROUP AUTONOMY (V-E)

BRIAN THOMAS, UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA—CHAPEL HILL

Laurence Thomas argues that contemporary African-Americans lack group autonomy, or in the least, possess it in lesser degrees than other groups, most notably, Jews. Angelo Corlett disagrees claiming that Thomas’s criteria pre-judge the case and that Thomas insufficiently appreciates the barriers that blacks have faced since coming to the United States. I want to weave a path between both of these two views. I challenge Thomas’s claim that blacks do not have group autonomy by arguing that the criteria he invokes are misguided. But contra Corlett, they do not beg the question. I argue that contra Corlett, Thomas has not in fact underappreciated the barriers blacks have faced, Thomas overstates such features. Both Thomas and Corlett fail to sufficiently appreciate the fact that group autonomy is an interpretative project and recognizing this fact mitigates against the problems we see in specifying criteria to judge the instantiations of group autonomy.

MODAL CONCEPTUALISM: A CLARIFICATION AND DEFENSE (XI-D)

AMIE L. THOMASSON, UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI

The view that modal truths are either analytic truths or based in combining an analytic truth with an empirical truth—a view I will call “modal conceptualism”—is appealing since it promises to mitigate metaphysical and epistemological perplexities about modality. It is widely associated with two other theses: the denial that modality is a real or intrinsic feature of the world, and the claim that modal properties or facts are mind-dependent. Since the latter is widely thought to lead to anti-realism about objects, the conceptualist view is often rejected on those grounds. I will argue, however, that properly understood modal conceptualism does not entail either of the associated theses (though it makes accepting the former more plausible) and so cannot be rejected on grounds of leading to objectual anti-realism. The upshot will be that conceptualist approach to modality remains a viable option worthy of serious consideration, not quick dismissal.

IF IT WERE THE CASE THAT COUNTERFACTUALS BEHAVED DIFFERENTLY IN ATTITUDE REPORTS, IT MIGHT BE THE CASE THAT THEY ARE CONTEXT-SENSITIVE (I-C)

CHRISTOPHER TILLMAN, UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

In this paper I argue that the widely held presumption that counterfactuals are context-sensitive is incorrect. I proceed by making some preliminary remarks about context-sensitivity. I then present and explain two arguments for the conclusion that counterfactuals are context-sensitive. According to the first, our intuitions about the truth of counterfactuals are to some extent variable. This variability is best explained by supposing that counterfactuals are context-sensitive. According to the second, the context-sensitivity of a counterfactual is inherited from the context-sensitivity of (e.g.) “x is more similar to y than z.” I argue that neither of these arguments should be persuasive. Finally, I present and explain two arguments for the conclusion that counterfactuals are not context-sensitive. If the foregoing arguments
are correct, the reasons for accepting that counterfactuals are context-sensitive are undermined and, in addition, we have positive reasons for rejecting the theses. I (tentatively) conclude that counterfactuals are not context-sensitive.

ON BEING A MORALLY RESPONSIBLE STAGE (V-F)

Neal A. Tognazzini, University of California–Riverside

Certain metaphysical theses about the nature of persons may have consequences for our views about moral responsibility, and vice versa. Indeed, some philosophers have thought that persons cannot properly be held morally responsible for their actions unless they endure through time. According to these philosophers, if perdurance is true, then no one is ever morally responsible. In this essay, I examine this claim specifically as it applies to stage theory—a theory about persistence according to which continuants are identified with instantaneous stages. I articulate and critically assess what I take to be three of the strongest arguments for the conclusion that stage theory is incompatible with moral responsibility. I show how the arguments fail, and thus conclude that morally responsible agency can exist even if stage theory is true.

THE PASSIONS THAT RULE (I-F)

Teemu Toppinen, University of Helsinki/University of North Carolina–Chapel Hill

In this paper I examine a phenomenon that I call the “subjective normative authority” of normative judgments, and implications of this phenomenon to the debate between cognitivists, who hold—roughly—that normative judgments are beliefs, and conativists who hold—roughly—that they are desires of sorts. Normative judgments guide action with authority: agents, in being guided by normative judgment, take there to be reasons both for their judgments and for the desires rationalized in the process. I first defend conativism from an argument of Michael Smith’s, in his “Which Passions Rule?” and elsewhere, to the effect that conativism can only account for this phenomenon on pain of collapse to cognitivism. Secondly, I argue that cognitivism is bound to misrepresent this authority of normative judgment. Conativism, but not cognitivism, can explain how it is possible to be guided by authoritative normative judgment, and for the right reasons.

CONSCIOUSNESS AND REFLECTION IN LOCKE’S ESSAY: SOLVING THE PROBLEM OF INCOHERENCE (VI-J)

Shelley Weinberg, University of Toronto

Locke states succinctly in II.i.19 of the Essay, “Consciousness is the Perception of what passes in a Man’s own Mind” and in II.i.4 that reflection is “the Perception of the Operations of our own Minds.” The question is how does consciousness of mental operations differ from reflection? According to the received view, Locke cannot coherently distinguish or identify consciousness and reflection without jettisoning either his commitment to the empiricist principle that all ideas originate in sensation and reflection or his commitment to the reflective abilities of children. I argue that this
dilemma rests on the mistaken assumption that consciousness bears the same productive relation to ideas as sensation and reflection. I show that on a different assumption, that consciousness is not an additional mental act but an aspect internal to perception, the conflict between consciousness and Locke’s other significant commitments is resolved.

**Factivity without Safety (I-C)**

*Dennis Whitcomb, Rutgers University*

I summarize Timothy Williamson’s theory of knowledge, construct some counterexamples to it, and try to diagnose the problem in virtue of which those counterexamples arise. Then I consider possible responses. It turns out that only one of those responses is tenable and that that response renders Williamson’s theory a continuous piece of, rather than a radical paradigmatic break from, recent mainstream work in the theory of knowledge.

**Immigrant Admissions and Globalized Relations of Harm (IV-H)**

*Shelley Wilcox, Temple University*

This paper rejects the open borders position on immigration on the grounds that it fails to provide adequate normative guidance concerning immigration in the world today. Many more immigrants presently seek admission to affluent states than those societies are willing to accept, and a principled means for determining which immigrants have the strongest moral claims to admission is urgently needed. The open borders position cannot provide such a means because it construes the right to immigrate strictly as universal right. In contrast, I defend an admissions-guiding principle that assigns strong moral claims to admission to certain immigrants. According to this principle, a state must admit immigrants if admission is necessary to prevent the state from harming those immigrants or if admission is an appropriate means for compensating immigrants whom the state has already harmed. States must fulfill these obligations before legitimately using immigration policy to meet other national goals.

**Explaining the Success and Failures of Science (XII-J)**

*K. Brad Wray, State University of New York–Oswego*

Like the realist, van Fraassen is impressed by the fact that in mature fields our current theories routinely enable us to make accurate predictions. Unlike the realist, he does not believe that the best explanation for the predictive success of our current theories is that our theories accurately reflect the structure of the world. He attributes the success of our current theories to the fact that unsuccessful theories have been eliminated in a process of selection comparable to the selection process operative in the biological world. I argue that van Fraassen’s explanation is superior to the realists’ explanation because it can explain why it is that we come to reject once widely-accepted theories that were regarded as successful in the past. Unlike the realist’s explanation, van Fraassen’s selectionist explanation provides us with the resources to explain both the success and failures of science.
THE TRACKING THEORY OF EPISTEMIC JUSTIFICATION (X-J)

MARK E. WUNDERLICH, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

I propose a tracking theory of epistemic justification: belief A is at least as justified as belief B if and only if A tracks the truth at least as well as B. Following Nozick’s (1981) development of the tracking theory of knowledge, I discuss tracking in terms of nearby possible worlds; following Sosa (1999, 2004), I focus on error-avoidance rather than truth-acquisition. This theory could accompany a tracking theory of knowledge, but it is also has independent interest. The chief benefit of the tracking approach is that it yields an account of a family of closely related concepts of epistemic justification. It therefore offers new possibilities for interpreting traditional disputes in epistemology, including the internalism/externalism controversy.

MODAL REALISM AND MODAL TENSE (XI-D)

TAKASHI YAGISAWA, CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY—NORTH RIDGE

Modal realism is any theory that postulates possible worlds as real entities in such a way that merely possible individuals existing at merely possible worlds are as real as actual individuals existing at our actual world. I advise modal realists to follow the methodology of fashioning a modal realist theory of possible worlds and possible individuals after a certain temporal metaphysical theory, namely, presentism. This is surprising; presentism is chauvinist, whereas modal realism is egalitarian. I advise modal realists to mimic presentists and postulate the modal equivalent of tense, or modal tense. This should be done while ignoring the chauvinist aspect of presentism and following the egalitarian spirit of four-dimensionalism instead. This will give modal realists a uniform way to respond to various objections to modal realism. It will also open a promising path to a non-Lewisian variety of modal realism.

SHOULD I NOT KILL? COULD I NOT KILL?: MURDER, SHAME, AND THE DEATH PENALTY (XI-F)

BENJAMIN S. YOST, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA—BERKELEY

This presentation has two aims: to unpack Levinas’s provocative claim that the “I [is] not innocent spontaneity but usurper and murderer,” and to turn this claim into an argument against the death penalty. Our murderousness, I will argue, is the fact that we can never fulfill our obligation to prevent the other’s death. To achieve the second aim, I will examine Levinas’s assertion that this incapacity gives rise to the affect of shame. I will then argue that for all the difficulty inherent in extracting concrete prescriptions from Levinas’s philosophy, this account of the shameful relation to the other’s death can support the argument that capital punishment violates human dignity.
ABSTRACTS OF SYMPOSIUM PAPERS

THE MEANING AND MEANINGFULNESS OF TERRORISM (II-G)

MOHAMMED ABED, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN–MADISON

This paper sets out criteria of adequacy for a definition of terrorism. I argue that accounts of the meaning of terrorism that smuggle intrinsic wrongness into the concept itself and definitions that rule out the intentions and motives behind “paradigm acts” of terrorism do not meet these criteria and are therefore conceptually inadequate. The former obstructs further philosophical enquiry into the ethical status of terrorism while the latter fails to distinguish terrorism from other forms of political violence. I then present a definition of terrorism that meets this set of criteria. On my view, the concept of terrorism can be defined in terms of the means employed by terrorists, the “logic” of the act, its meaningfulness, and the ultimate objectives that terrorists have in mind. In particular, I show that as well as subsuming “paradigm” instances, the definition encompasses cases of terrorism not widely recognized as such and therefore undercuts the claim that the concept of terrorism is not amenable to definition.

QUASI-INDEPENDENCE, FITNESS, AND ADVANTAGEOUSNESS (VIII-I)

KEVIN BROSnan, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

I argue that the idea of “quasi-independence” (Lewontin 1978) cannot be understood without attending to the distinction between fitness and advantageousness ones. A positive correlation between an advantageous trait and a disadvantageous one may, or may not, prevent the advantageous trait from evolving. The quasi-independence criterion is aimed at specifying the conditions under which advantageous traits will evolve under natural selection. Contrary to what others have argued (Sterelny (1992) and Sterelny and Griffiths (1999)), these conditions must involve a precise quantitative measure of, a) the extent to which advantageous traits are beneficial, and b) the degree to which they are correlated. Driscoll (2004) recognizes the need for such a measure, but I argue that she does not provide the correct formulation. The account of quasi-independence that I offer clarifies this point, and in addition, illuminates the ways this concepts has been misused; e.g., in arguments seeking to replace sociobiology with evolutionary psychology (Sterelny and Griffiths 1999), and in arguments advanced by many evolutionary psychologists supporting a modular view of the mind (Tooby and Cosmides 1992).
RESPECT FOR PERSONS AND THE DOCTRINE OF RELIGIOUS RESTRAINT (VIII-J)
CHRIS EBERLE, INDEPENDENT SCHOLAR

The Doctrine of Religious Restraint is the claim that a citizen in a liberal democracy should not support a policy for which she has only a religious rationale even if she properly regards that rationale as probative and, indeed, even if that rationale is probative. The most prominent argument for the Doctrine of Religious Restraint appeals to the claim that we ought to treat each person as having equal moral standing: citizens ought to obey the Doctrine of Religious Restraint because doing so is required in order for citizens to respect one another as persons who have equal moral standing. But I argue that that argument is not sound: there is no sense in which a citizen who supports a public policy solely on religious grounds thereby disrespects her compatriots.

SACRED MOUNTAINS AND BELOVED FETUSES: CAN LOVING OR WORSHIPPING SOMETHING GIVE IT MORAL STATUS? (XI-L)
ELIZABETH HARMAN, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

Suppose an indigenous tribe worships a mountain and believes that hiking harms the mountain. Some hikers want to hike on the mountain, but they could have a somewhat less enjoyable hike elsewhere. The tribe’s worship provides some reason against hiking on the mountain, even if the tribe will never find out about the hiking. We might explain the reason by saying that the mountain actually comes to have moral status by being worshipped by the tribe. Indeed, given the reasons we have to be respectful in our treatment of objects that others consider sacred, we might think that worshipping or caring deeply about something gives it moral status. (Mary Anne Warren has such a view.) I argue that this initially attractive claim has unacceptable implications. In particular, it implies that anti-abortion protesters can endow moral status upon the fetus of a pregnant woman who is planning to abort.

THE REDUCTIVIST’S TROUBLES WITH MENTAL CAUSATION (II-F)
ERIC HIDDLESTON, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY

I present a “problem of mental causation,” and argue that the “Reductive Materialism” of Jaegwon Kim and David Lewis has no good solution to it. Kim and Lewis must either deny special science explanations generally, or deny a plausible premise I call “Explanatory Realism” (roughly, that good explanations cite causes). I argue that the “Nonreductive” Materialist has an adequate solution.

EMERGENCE: A RESPONSE TO KIM (XI-K)
BRANNON McDaniel, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA

In his recent book, Physicalism, Or Something Near Enough (Princeton, 2005), Jaegwon Kim sets out a strengthened version of his “supervenience” argument, in which he claims that several varieties of nonreductive physicalism are committed to mental properties “downwardly” causing
physical properties. Causation of this sort is thought to be problematic, since no framework yet supplied has succeeded in explaining how mental properties can maintain their causal efficacy; the work claimed for mental properties can seemingly be assigned to physical properties. I provide an account centered on the familiar notion of emergence, and the position that is developed fits squarely within Kim’s family of nonreductive physicalist views. I specifically argue for the following conclusions: emergence occurs only at a given level of ontological complexity; mentality should be construed as the exemplification of a causally-efficacious universal by a particular entity. An unproblematic account of downward causation is motivated, explained, and shown to be compatible with Kim’s requirements, while avoiding the supposed difficulties inherent in such a position.

THE CHICKENHAWK ARGUMENT (XI-J)
CHEYNEY RYAN, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

The chickenhawk charge is a recurring feature of the political landscape but it is far from clear what its argument is. Some say there is no argument, that the charge is only a form of illogical ad hominem invective. This essay argues that, properly construed, the issues raised by the chickenhawk charge are perfectly valid ones that raise the fundamental concerns about the place of personal responsibility with respect to war in a democracy.

FROM NIHILISM TO MONISM (V-K)
JONATHAN M. SCHAFER, UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS–AMHERST

Mereological nihilism is the view that everything is simple. Extant discussions of nihilism assume that such simples will be many and small—some plurality of point particles or other wee bits of matter. Existence monism is the view that only the world exists. Such monism is a version of nihilism, since it entails that all that exists is one big simple—a partless, seamless One. I will argue that nihilism culminates in monism. The main argument for nihilism is that it provides the simplest sufficient ontology, and monism provides the simplest of sufficient ontologies. What will emerge is a story about how commonsense is divided. On the one hand, commonsense ontology embraces mereological composites. On the other hand, commonsense methodology demands the simplest sufficient ontology. This is the story about what commonsense is divided between—the one hand folk mereology, and on the other, not the Democritean idea of atoms in the void, but rather the Parmenidean vision of a seamless One.

THE FUNDAMENTAL LIMITS OF REASON IN DESCARTES’S MORAL THOUGHT (XI-I)
GARY STEINER, BUCKNELL UNIVERSITY

The importance of morality in Descartes’s thought has been all but overlooked in contemporary English-language scholarship on Descartes. The central importance of morality in Descartes’s thought is reflected by the influence of Christian thinkers such as Saint Augustine and Saint Thomas Aquinas on Descartes’s conception of the human being. The foundations of morality in Descartes’s thought are Christian commitments that Descartes shares
with these thinkers. This foundational dimension of Descartes's thought is often overlooked because Descartes's strong emphasis on technological thinking and his program to use physics to render human beings "the masters and possessors of nature have led many commentators to see him as a purely secular thinker. But even Descartes’s ideal for the mastery of nature is ultimately grounded in Christian thought, although it comes into conflict with traditional Christian ideals. Descartes’s commitment to Christian piety and his commitment to the autonomy of human reason stand in an irreconcilable tension with one another. This tension is between an “angelic” Christian commitment to live in accordance with God’s dictates, and an “earthly” or technological ideal reflected in Descartes’s endeavor to use autonomous human reason to ground scientific practice. Descartes’s “angelic” commitments are reflected in his acknowledgment that articles of faith like the Trinity cannot be demonstrated by rational insight, and in his ideal of generosity, which he describes in unmistakably Christian terms. Descartes’s technological orientation is evident in his repeated attempts to argue for the self-sufficiency of reason and his reduction of nature to an object of manipulation and domination in the service of human material welfare. Descartes never resolves the tension in his thought between angelic and earthly aspirations. Rethinking Descartes’s own ambivalence about morality promises to shed light on the respective roles that reason and faith can play in contemporary moral reflection.

EVOLUTION AND THE SCHIZOPHRENIA OF QUASI-REALISM ABOUT NORMATIVITY (V-J)
SHARON STREET, NEW YORK UNIVERSITY

When quasi-realists put on their hats as metaethical theorists, they say that to make a normative claim is to express a certain state of mind (such as planning). Yet when they put on their hats as participants in normative discourse, they say that normative truths hold independently of these same states of mind. While holding both positions simultaneously might seem to embody an unacceptable schizophrenia, quasi-realists have forcefully argued that there is no inconsistency whatsoever involved in holding both positions. For many of us, however, the impression of an untenable schizophrenia is hard to shake. In this paper, I argue that this lingering impression is right, and that it is Darwinian considerations which enable us to see this. I argue that we cannot prevent our naturalistic understanding of the Darwinian origins of our normative capacities from interacting and ultimately conflicting with the view that there are independent normative truths—even if we understand this latter claim in the exact manner directed by quasi-realists. For expository purposes, I focus mostly on Allan Gibbard’s quasi-realist position as presented in Thinking How to Live, but I believe the conclusions I reach are of broader significance, applying across the board to quasi-realist views on normativity.

SOURCE INCOMPATIBILISM AND ITS ALTERNATIVES (V-I)
KEVIN L. TIMPE, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA–SAN DIEGO

In current debates about moral responsibility, it is commonplace to differentiate two fundamentally different incompatibilist positions: Leeway
Incompatibilism and Source Incompatibilism. In the present paper, I argue that his is a bad dichotomy. Those forms of Leeway Incompatibilism that have no appeal to “origination” or “ultimacy” are problematic, which suggests that incompatibilists should prefer Source Incompatibilism. I then differentiate two sub-classifications of Source Incompatibilism. Narrow Source Incompatibilism holds that alternative possibilities are outside the scope of what is required for moral responsibility. Wide Source Incompatibilism maintains that while ultimacy is most fundamental to moral responsibility, an agent meeting the ultimacy condition will also have alternative possibilities, thereby also satisfying an alternative possibilities condition. I give reasons to think that aversion of Wide Source Incompatibilism is the most promising incompatibilist position.

DEFENDING A POSSIBILIST INSIGHT IN CONSEQUENTIALIST THOUGHT (XI-H)

JÉAN-PAUL VESSEL, NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

There is a heated dispute among consequentialists concerning the following deontic principle:

\[
DC: O(a \& b) \rightarrow O(a) \& O(b)
\]

The principle states that for any acts \(a\) and \(b\), if it is obligatory for a specific agent to do the compound act \(a \& b\), then that agent is obligated to do \(a\) and is also obligated to do \(b\)—the deontic operators distribute over conjunction. Possibilists—those who believe that we should always pursue a “best” possible course of action available to us—accept the principle as true. Actualists—those who believe that certain future facts about the actual world can generate obligations incompatible with the best possible course of action available to us—reject the principle as false. I’m out to defend DC from the actualist attack. In this essay, I briefly present the central actualist argument against DC. I then show that possibilism has all of the resources to explain the phenomena with which actualists are so concerned. Next, I try to diagnose the actualists’ malcontent. Finally, I attempt to shed some light on the nature of consequentialist conditionals by incorporating possibilist insights into semantics for subjunctive conditionals appropriate for consequentialist theorizing.

NEW FOUNDATIONS FOR IMPERATIVE LOGIC I: LOGICAL CONNECTIVES (XI-G)

PETER B. M. VRANAS, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Imperatives cannot be true or false, so they are shunned by logicians. And yet imperatives can be combined by logical connectives. “Kiss me and hug me” is the conjunction of “kiss me” with “hug me.” This example may suggest that declarative and imperative logic are isomorphic: just as the conjunction of two declaratives is true exactly if both conjuncts are true, the conjunction of two imperatives is satisfied exactly if both conjuncts are satisfied—what more is there to say? Much more, I argue. “If you love me, kiss me” a conditional imperative, mixes a declarative antecedent (“you love me”) with an imperative consequent (“kiss me”). It is satisfied if you love and kiss me, violated (if you love but don’t kiss me), and avoided if you don’t love me. So we need a logic of three-valued imperatives which mixes declaratives with imperatives. I develop such a logic.
“Philosophy Talk” Radio Broadcast

“Philosophy Talk”: The Program that Questions Everything—Except Your Intelligence.

The APA Pacific Division, Stanford University, and Oregon Public Radio invite philosophers at the APA meeting in Portland to attend an evening of philosophy and radio (with refreshments). At this reception there will be a live taping of an episode of the public radio program “Philosophy Talk,” co-hosted by John Perry and Ken Taylor. Special guest(s) to be announced.

Instead of the usual call-in segment, this episode of “Philosophy Talk” will feature questions from the studio audience. So come and get in on the fun of talking philosophy on the radio. Come to “Philosophy Talk.”

Thursday, March 23, 5:00 – 7:00 p.m. in Galleria.

Would you like your students to talk more philosophy outside of class? Your neighbors and friends to engage with the philosophical questions that fascination you? Make it possible for them to turn a dial and tune in to “Philosophy Talk.”

Bring “Philosophy Talk” to your airwaves! Does your college or university have a radio station? Is there a public radio station in your town? Urge the station to contact “Philosophy Talk”!

To carry “Philosophy Talk” on your local station, ask station management to contact marketing@philosophytalk.org.

For more information—and for archives of past programs from “Time” to “Terrorism,” from “Hume” to “Humor,” from “Berkeley” to “Baseball,” and from “Descartes” to “Dignity and the End of Life”—go to www.philosophytalk.org.
APA Placement Service

Lindsay Palkovitz will be the Coordinator for the APA Placement Service at the 2005 Pacific Division Meeting. Questions concerning the Service should be directed to Lindsay at the American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, 31 Amstel Avenue, Newark, DE 19716. She can also be reached by telephone at (302) 831-1112, fax: (302) 831-8690, or email: lindspal@udel.edu.

APA Placement Service General Hours of Operation

Wednesday, March 22: Placement Information, Noon – 8:00 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)
Thursday, March 23: Placement Information, 8:30 a.m. – 5:30 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)
Placement Interviewing, 8:30 a.m. – 5:30 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)
Friday, March 24: Placement Information, 8:30 a.m. – 5:30 p.m., Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)
Placement Interviewing, 8:30 a.m. – 5:30 p.m., Parlor A (Blrm)
Saturday, March 25: Placement Information, 8:30 a.m. – Noon, Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)
Placement Interviewing, 8:30 a.m. – Noon, Parlor A (Blrm)

APA Placement Service Locations

The Placement Services will be located in the Grand Ballroom Foyer of The Portland Hilton as outlined below. For your convenience, maps of the hotel appear at the back of this issue.

Job Candidates – Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm)

1. Candidate Numbers will be assigned at the Placement Desk.
2. The location of a Job Interview will be available from the Placement staff, or posted on the bulletin board at the information desk.
3. Additional “Request for Interview” forms will be available at the Placement Desk.
4. APA Membership Applications will be available at the APA Meeting Registration Desk.
5. Information and instructions for using the Service will be available
at the Placement desk (also see following pages), and posted on the information bulletin board.

6. The mailboxes for Job Candidates will be located at the Placement Desk.

7. A complete set of Job Postings will be available at the Placement Desk.

8. A message for the APA Placement Ombudsperson can be left at the Placement Desk.

**INTERVIEWERS – GRAND BALLROOM FOYER (BLRM)**

1. Interviewers check in here—as soon as possible upon arrival.
2. Payments for On-Site Interviewing Departments will be received here.
3. Space will be provided here for interviewers to check their files.
4. “Request for Interview” forms received from job candidates that have been reviewed by interviewers should be returned here.
5. A list of interviewing table assignments will be posted on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.

**INTERVIEWING AREA – PARLOR A (BLRM)**

1. APA Interviewing Tables will be located here.
2. In the event that additional space for interviewing is needed, we will post the additional location on the Placement Information Bulletin Board.

**INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR JOB CANDIDATES**

**REQUIREMENTS FOR USING THE PLACEMENT SERVICE**

1. You must be an APA member in order to use the Service. Placement is a benefit of membership for candidates, and there is no additional charge to use this service. Membership applications are available on the APA web site (www.apaonline.org) or by contacting the APA National Office (302) 831-1112, or at the Membership/Registration desk at the Meeting.

2. You MUST REGISTER for the MEETING in order to use the Service.
   • If you register in advance for the meeting and indicate that you will be a candidate (an advance registration form is located at the back of this issue), a candidate number will be assigned in the National Office and will appear on the back of your badge. You must pick up your badge from the APA Registration staff prior to using the Placement service.
   • If you register on-site for the meeting, present your badge to the Placement Staff, who will be available to assign you a candidate number in the “Placement Service” line.

**WHAT TO BRING WITH YOU**

1. Your copies of recent issues of Jobs For Philosophers.

2. Several copies of your curriculum vitae. If you run out of CVs at the meeting, the hotel has a copy center located in the Business Center.
HOW TO USE THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

After you have picked up your registration materials from the APA Registration staff, you are ready to use the Service. If you do not yet have a candidate number on the back of your badge, please go to the job candidates’ area in the Grand Ballroom Foyer to receive one.

JOB CANDIDATES’ AREA – GRAND BALLROOM FOYER (BLRM)

Here you will find a file folder (mailbox) with your candidate number on it. All communications addressed to you during the meeting will be placed there. Your folder contains:

1. “Request for Interview” forms. Additional forms will be available from the Placement staff. **Be sure that your Placement Number appears at the top of all forms.**

2. A “Locator” form for you to list your name and hotel address. Please do not remove this form from your folder. This is used only in the event that we need to contact you during the meeting.

JOB POSTINGS

New jobs (not having appeared in the Jobs for Philosophers) will be posted on a bulletin board in the Placement Service Area. You should check this board regularly for new postings. Each ad should contain instructions on how to apply.

INSTITUTIONS THAT HAVE CHECKED IN WITH PLACEMENT

The names of institutions that have registered to use the Service will be posted on the bulletin board (updated regularly) in alphabetical order. Each listing will indicate the following:

1. If the listing institution has checked in with Placement.
2. If the institution is accepting interview requests at the meeting.
3. The relevant JFP for the institution’s opening(s).
4. Where the institution plans on interviewing (Table with number assignment).
5. Miscellaneous notes deemed appropriate by the Placement staff or the institution.

SUBMITTING AN INTERVIEW REQUEST FORM

Complete the top portion of the “Request for Interview” form and attach a copy of your CV. **Be sure that your candidate number appears at the top of all forms.** After you have completed the necessary information, place your request form and CV in the box marked Requests for Interviews which will be prominently displayed on the Placement Service Desk. Your “Request” will be forwarded to the institution by a staff member.

INTERVIEWS

After your “Request” has been reviewed by a representative from the institution, the form will be returned to your file folder with the institution’s
response appearing on the bottom of the form. Check your file folder often so that you can be certain to receive your messages promptly.

By Noon on March 26, all “Requests” submitted by you should have been returned to your file folder, and you should remove them at that time. Occasionally, an institution may retain applications to review at a later date. If you applied for a job that had been posted and the institution did not check in with the Service during the meeting, it is suggested that you contact the institution by mail.

INTERVIEWING LOCATIONS

Some departments will be conducting interviews at tables located in Parlor A (Blrm). Other interviewing table locations will be posted if additional space is required. Each institution using a table for interviewing will be assigned a table number. The table numbers assigned to institutions will be posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service Area.

ADVICE FOR JOB APPLICANTS

The APA Committee on Career Opportunities offers the following advice for job applicants: The schedule for those seeking jobs and those Departments offering positions is difficult for all concerned. From the point of view of Departments, any publication date for Jobs for Philosophers is a compromise between the competing demands imposed by the need to get funding for positions, so the later the better, and by the need to have time to process applications, so the sooner the better. From the point of view of job applicants, there are also competing demands: the sooner it is published, the more time to apply, but the later it is published, the more opportunities will be available. There is no easy solution to this problem, but you can ease your difficulties somewhat by being prepared when the JFP is published. You should have your curriculum vitae ready to put in an envelope, a generic draft of a letter of application ready to be fine-tuned for particular job opportunities, and the rest of your file ready for mailing. This means talking to those who are to write letters of recommendation long before the JFP is due to arrive, preparing material about your teaching capacities, and selecting a writing sample for those Departments that request it. Applications should be complete, as well as clearly organized. It is to your advantage to send in your application as soon as possible after an ad appears.

INFORMATION AND INSTRUCTIONS FOR INTERVIEWERS

REQUIREMENTS FOR USING THE PLACEMENT SERVICE

1. It is expected that all individual interviewers will register for the meeting either by using the registration form found in the back of this issue or by stopping at the APA Registration Desk (Grand Ballroom Foyer) to register on-site prior to using the Placement Service.

2. All Departments (not individuals) planning to interview at the meeting should complete the Placement Service advance registration form found on our web site, www.apaonline.org/apa/divisions/pacific or at
Upon receipt of an advance registration form additional information pertaining to the Placement Service will be sent to the attention of the contact person listed on the form. In the event that we send Placement forms either via email or mail, please be sure to complete these and return them to our office at your earliest opportunity. Not doing so may cause your materials to arrive after the Staff has already left the office to travel to the meeting. In this case, we must have you fill out the forms again on site. If time does not allow sending them to us, please bring them with you and turn them in at the Placement Desk.

CHECKING IN WITH THE SERVICE

Before you begin to interview candidates, please check in with the Placement Staff (Grand Ballroom Foyer). We will need to know that you have arrived on site for candidates inquiring about your institution. Additional information will be requested from you (or confirmed if your department pre-registered) at this time as well.

Some institutions accept interview requests at the meeting; some of these job notices may have appeared in Jobs for Philosophers while others are unpublished positions. A new, unpublished position will be assigned an AD# and posted on the bulletin board in the Placement Service area. If you are bringing such a job notice with you to the meeting, please provide the Placement Staff with four copies of the notice for the position you are advertising. Such a position announcement should be typed on one side only in a good size and easy to read font, and be as brief as possible. Only positions that have not appeared in Jobs for Philosophers will be posted. If you would like a position that has been posted at this meeting to appear on our website immediately following the meeting, or in the issue of Jobs for Philosophers, you need to submit this ad immediately, following the close of the meeting via our website, www.apa.udel.edu/apa/publications/jfp/advertise.asp. If you need assistance with this, contact the APA National Office.

Some institutions interview by prearrangement after placing an advertisement in Jobs for Philosophers and then contacting candidates prior to the meeting. When you arrange an interview with candidates, please inform them that they must be APA Members in order to use the Service and they must register for the meeting in order to use the Service.

If you plan to conduct job interviews anywhere other than at the interviewing tables/suites we have provided, please let us know your plans because candidates often come to us to ask questions about the location/time (etc.) of their interviews, and we need to have complete, up-to-date information in order to help them (and you).

Departments using a Suite for interviewing will need to complete an interview schedule form at the time they check in with the Service. Doing so enables the Service to notify the candidates you wish to interview of your interviewing location. Be assured that only those candidates listed on your interview schedule will be told the location of your hotel room, unless you have directed us to give this information to all candidates.
who ask. Departments interviewing at tables DO NOT need to complete this form.

N.B.: If you are conducting interviews in a hotel room, please be aware of the following policy statement adopted by the APA Board of Officers at its November 2004 meeting:

“Departments should not conduct Job Interviews in non-suite hotel rooms. Candidates who are subject to such interviews can appeal to the APA and are guaranteed anonymity.” (Originally published in Proceedings and Addresses of the American Philosophical Association, Vol. 78, No. 5, pp. 23, 119.)

APA INTERVIEWING TABLES

The Placement Service provides numbered tables for interviewing purposes; you will receive your table number assignment when you check in. These table number assignments will also be posted on the Placement Service Bulletin Board. Should additional space for interviewing tables be necessary, this location will be posted as well.

INSTITUTION FILE FOLDER (MAILBOX)

There will be a file folder bearing the name of your institution located in the Grand Ballroom Foyer (Blrm). Requests for interviews from candidates will be placed in this file folder.

REVIEWING INTERVIEW REQUESTS

When a candidate requests an interview with your institution, you should receive her/his curriculum vitae attached to a “Request for Interview” form. The bottom of this form is to be completed by you and returned to Placement staff. If an interview is granted, space is provided on this form to list the time, date, and location of the interview. If you are unable to interview a candidate, space for this response is also provided on the form. Any request you receive in an envelope contains confidential material and should be destroyed rather than returned to the candidate. As a matter of professional courtesy, all requests should receive a response. When a candidate receives a “Request” form back without a response, he or she is likely to assume that the material has not been reviewed and might return this to you via our service or contact your institution by mail.

Each candidate is assigned a numbered file folder to facilitate prompt communication between interviewers and candidates. Materials that you wish to transmit to a registered candidate should be handed in to the Placement Service staff located in the Grand Ballroom Foyer, and will be delivered to the candidate by our staff.

APA STATEMENT ON PLACEMENT PRACTICES

The APA Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession reminds you of its Statement on Placement Practices: The APA discourages the nomination by graduate departments of job seekers for positions in philosophy, and the
submission of their dossiers in response to announcements of positions, without their knowledge or interest. This may seriously mislead those who are conducting searches, and may have unfortunate consequences both for them and for genuinely interested applicants. Graduate departments using a nomination procedure or submitting dossiers on behalf of job seekers should either attest explicitly that the candidate wishes to be considered for the positions in question, or (preferably) ensure that the job seekers themselves submit personal letters of application for these positions. Departments conducting searches are encouraged to recommend or require explicitly (in their position announcements) that each candidate, to be assessed of full consideration, should submit a personal letter of application for and interest in the announced position.

A Placement Ombudsperson will be available at the meeting. Please see the Placement Service Staff if you would like to contact the Ombudsperson.
Placement Service
Registration for Interviewing Departments
APA 2006 Pacific Division Meeting
Portland Hilton, Portland, Oregon
March 22-26, 2006

Only one form is required for each interviewing department.

Institution: ___________________________ Department: __________________
Contact: _____________________________ Phone: ______________________
City, State: _____________________________ Email: _____________________

All persons conducting interviews should be registered** for the Pacific Division Meeting as well as the department being registered with the Placement Service.

**Registered members of the department who will be conducting interviews:

Our department will: (Please Check)
_____ need interviewing table(s) _____ Quantity of Tables
_____ conduct interviews in a hotel suite (you must contact Linda Smallbrook to reserve a suite)

Our department will: (Please Check)
_____ provide suite # and list of prearranged candidates to whom suite information may be given
–or–
_____ bring suite # and allow service to give to anyone who requests it

Our department will: (Please Check)
_____ conduct prearranged interviews only
–or–
_____ accept interview requests on-site

Position advertised where? (Check All Applicable)
JFP: _____Oct., _____Nov., _____ Feb, _____ Web ONLY Ad, _____ NEW JOBS Section
Registration Fees: ______ Pre-Registration, By March 10: $50.00
(Please Check One) ______ *Regular Registration, After March 10: $75.00
Credit Card Type: (Circle One) VISA / MASTERCARD / DISCOVER
Credit Card #: ͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓͓ Exp. Date ͓͓
(can be faxed to (302) 831-8690)
Name on Card: ___________________________ Phone #: __________________
Signature: ______________________________ Email: _____________________
***Check #: _____________ Check Date: ______________ Check Amount
***Payable to: The American Philosophical Association. The APA only accepts checks drawn on U.S. banks
**PAPER SUBMISSION GUIDELINES**

**Online Paper Submissions NEW! Blind Review:** Papers in any area are welcome. All papers are blind reviewed. Author’s name, institution, or references pertaining to the identity of the author should be omitted from the paper, abstract, notes, and bibliography. Submitted papers are not returned to authors. Papers not accepted by one Division may be re-submitted for consideration to another Division. In submitting papers, authors warrant that those papers are entirely their own work or the joint work of the authors identified in the cover letter, and that, where appropriate, acknowledgement of the contributions of others has been made.

**Graduate Student Travel Stipends and Awards:** Papers to be considered for Graduate Student Travel Stipends/Awards must meet the criteria specified by each Division. For more information, visit the following address: [www.apa.udel.edu/apa/divisions/stipends](http://www.apa.udel.edu/apa/divisions/stipends).

**GUIDELINES FOR ALL THREE DIVISIONS**

(*Guidelines 6, 7, 9, and 10 only apply to authors who are submitting papers in hard copy.)

1. Authors must be members in good standing of the APA.
2. 1 copy of the paper.
3. 1 copy of the abstract.
4. Colloquium papers are limited to 3,000 words.
5. Abstracts for colloquium papers are limited to 150 words.
*6. Title or cover page, which must include: a) title; b) word counts for all papers and abstracts; c) author’s contact information; d) the Division the paper is to be submitted for.

*7. Papers must be typed on one side of page, double spaced, 1-inch margins on all sides, all pages numbered, 10-12 pt. font.
8. No more than one submission by the same author for the same Division will be considered.
*9. Indicate name of Division on outside lower left side of the envelope containing the paper.

*10. Include a self-addressed stamped envelope to the National Office if you wish the receipt of your paper to be acknowledged.

11. Any paper which is under consideration for publication elsewhere will be considered, provided that it will not appear in print until after the Divisional meeting is held. If this is the case, indicate when and where the paper is expected to be published.
12. Papers are not accepted by e-mail.
13. Authors are advised to provide accurate email addresses as part of their contact information because they may be contacted by email, sometimes under urgent circumstances. Authors who expect to relocate between the date of submission and the date of the meeting should arrange for email forwarding, or else provide both current and new email addresses with their contact information.

**EASTERN DIVISION:**

Meeting is held December 27-30.

Selections are announced in May or June.

Papers accepted by either the Central Division or the Pacific Division may not be presented at any subsequent meeting of the Eastern Division.

Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered as colloquium papers.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper.

Any paper submitted without an abstract will not be considered. Any paper whose abstract is deemed unacceptable by the Program Committee will not be accepted. No revised abstract submitted after the paper’s acceptance will be published in the Proceedings and Addresses of The American Philosophical Association without the approval of the Program Committee.

**PACIFIC DIVISION:**

Meeting is usually held at the end of March.

Selections are announced in January.

A paper that has been on the Main Program at a past APA meeting (any division), or will be on the Main Program at a future Central or Eastern Division meeting, cannot be presented as a Main Program colloquium/symposium paper at the Pacific Division meeting.

Submissions for consideration as colloquium papers must not exceed 3,000 words.

Submissions for consideration as symposium papers must not exceed a length of 5,000 words. Abstracts for symposium papers must not exceed a length of 300 words. Authors should be aware that only a few papers are selected for presentation as symposium papers. If authors wish to have a shortened version of their paper considered as a colloquium paper, they should submit the appropriately shortened version, along with a shortened
abstract, simultaneously with the submission of the symposium paper. (This will be considered a single submission.)

**Central Division:**

Meeting is usually held at the end of April.
Selections are announced in January, or before when possible.
Papers under consideration by the Pacific Division will not be considered by the Central Division.
Papers exceeding 3,000 words will not be considered.

**Eastern, Central, and Pacific Division Submissions Should Be Sent To:**

Lindsay Palkovitz, ATTN: [Eastern, Pacific, or Central] Division Papers, The American Philosophical Association, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, (302) 831-1112.

**NOTE:** Authors of accepted papers will be asked to submit a copy of their abstract by e-mail to the National Office.
DRAFT MINUTES OF THE 2005 PACIFIC DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 23, 2005
MAX’S RESTAURANT, GEARY ST., SAN FRANCISCO

Present: Brink, Lopes, Normore, Silvers, Smith

CALL TO ORDER: In the absence of Executive Committee Chair Goering, Secretary-Treasurer Silvers called the meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. She reported that Goering and her new baby daughter were very well but not yet ready to travel.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: The Executive Committee decided to shorten the meeting and conduct only the most pressing business so as to enable Normore and Silvers to go to another site to attend a meeting on the hotel labor situation.

The Executive Committee agreed to focus on the announced intention of a Pacific Division affiliate to challenge elections held at the following day’s Business Meeting. To have time to discuss this matter, standard business such as the assessment and selection of mini-conference proposals*, the appointment of a nominating committee**, and the appointment of a new program chair and new program committee members*** would have to be completed over email during the next few weeks.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES: The Minutes of March 24 and August 7, 2004, were approved.

PROCESS FOR ELECTING PACIFIC DIVISION OFFICERS: Silvers reported on her discussion with the APA attorney about the prospective challenge to the elections. The challenge was included in a request to relocate the business meeting to comply with a labor union call not to enter the hotel. In response to this request (and in response to other members, who objected to moving the business meeting to a different hotel), the Executive Committee had decided to consult the APA attorney.

The attorney advised against changing the announced location of the business meeting. The challenge had been raised very recently. But moving the meeting required prior written notice sent by mail to members in sufficient time for them to alter their plans unless entering the hotel to attend the Business Meeting placed members in physical danger.

If the probability of danger could be established, there would be a ground to override the objections of those who did not want to move the meeting. Silvers reported that she called the Mayor’s Office and the police to
determine whether a probability of danger could be documented. But these calls produced only denials of danger. Consistent with the information from these sources, members in fact had been entering the hotel freely and with no danger. The union was not on strike, and union members were at work in the hotel.

The attorney advised that members who did not wish to enter the hotel in support of the union’s call were making personal decisions with no claim on the Association. The attorney advised that, in contrast, members who wished to participate in the business meeting at the time and place announced in the printed program had a sustainable claim on doing so. The attorney noted that members wishing to engage in an economic boycott to support the union could use the meeting rooms (for which the hotel received no revenue), but stay at other hotels and eat and drink elsewhere.

Continuing her report, Silvers explained that the attorney advised the Executive Committee to distinguish between substantive business that had to be conducted at the Business Meeting and substantive business that did not. Only substantive business that could not be postponed should be conducted.

Section 3.4 of the Pacific Division by-laws explicitly requires holding the elections at the annual Business Meeting, so elections had to be conducted.

The Executive Committee then explored the options. On the one hand, the Executive Committee had an obligation to members who desired that the division’s business proceed as usual to comply with the by-laws. On the other hand, the Executive Committee did not want any member to be disenfranchised, even voluntarily. After lengthy discussion, the Executive Committee agreed to comply with the by-laws as required, but then suspend the election results and consult with the division’s voting affiliates by mail to decide the status of the candidates.

As for other substantive business, the Executive Committee decided to follow attorney’s advice and postpone substantive business not required by the by-laws to the 2006 business meeting. Doing so would avoid any members objecting that they had not been able to participate in deliberation on substantive decisions.

BUSINESS MEETING AGENDA: The Executive Committee approved the agenda for the following day’s business meeting. The matter of proposed revisions to the APA constitution and by-laws, defeated by Pacific Division voting affiliates in a 2004 mail ballot, remained on the agenda because the Executive Committee previously had promised that this item would come up. The Executive Committee decided to urge that any motions made be procedural rather than substantive, but of course the body could decide to consider substantive proposals if it wished to do so.

SECRETARY-TREASURER’S REPORT: The Secretary-Treasurer reported on the financial state of the division. Despite the difficulties created by the hotel labor dispute, it seemed as if the 2005 meeting would be a success. The program organized by Dom Lopes and his program committee was very exciting and largely remained in place.
About ten percent of the program committee’s program had been moved to other sites. She and Lopes had worked with the organizers of the alternative sites to facilitate moving those program participants who wished to do so. Sometimes, some participants in a session wanted to move and others in the same session did not, requiring various kinds of rearrangements to accommodate different preferences.

The time expended in this work had prevented some of the usual cost-saving practices from being put in place, however. Consequently, she could not predict whether the division’s 2004-05 operating expenses would push operations into the red. Further, there still were problems in getting full information about the division’s assets and expenditures from the APA National Office, even though some mis-assignments of 2003/04 income and expenditures to the wrong categories had been corrected.

Silvers then pointed out that the labor situation had imposed a great deal of extra work on the 2005 program chair. Ordinarily, the program chair’s work was substantially completed by November, but Lopes had worked steadily through the following months up to the meeting. In view of the extraordinary circumstances, the Executive Committee voted to double the usual $500 honorarium given to the program chair. The Executive Committee also decided that the purchase of a .20 release time per semester for the secretary-treasurer, equivalent to eight hours of APA work per week for ten months of the year, was unrealistic, given the amount of work expended in 2004/05 on the labor situation and on implementing the APA national standardization policy. The Executive Committee therefore decided to provide for compensatory time equivalent to 16 hours per week.

Silvers also reported that she had implemented the Executive Committee’s earlier decision to contribute $500 in memory of former Board Chair Phil Quinn by encumbering this amount pending the establishment of a memorial fund.

**AUTOMATION PROJECT:** The Executive Committee examined a proposal to commission Dom Lopes to automate the program development process and to maintain the automation system. Lopes had designed a system for his work as 2005 program chair, and even in its rudimentary form the system had saved time for the program chair and program committee members. This project would save an enormous amount of time and grief for future program chairs and committees, and would reduce the time required to develop the program. The Executive Committee agreed to provide the resources Lopes projected to be needed for the project.

**SUPPORT FOR SANTA ROSA JUNIOR COLLEGE PHILOSOPHERS:** Silvers circulated a request for help from Joel Rudinow, chair of philosophy at Santa Rosa Junior College. A group of students at SRJC had nailed political accusations to some professors’ doors, and philosophy faculty there were seeking protection against intimidation. The Executive Committee requested Silvers to work with the APA Committee on Defense of Professional Rights to address this matter.

**REPORT OF THE PROGRAM CHAIR:** To save time, Dom Lopes made a written report (Attached as Appendix I).
2007 MEETING SITE: Silvers reported that she had received a proposal from the St. Francis for the 2007 and 2009 meetings, but had reminded the hotel sales manager that the Executive Committee would not enter into any new contract with the St. Francis as long as the labor situation was unsettled. Divisional policy decreed that the 2007 meeting be held in San Francisco, but to do so while avoiding the boycotted hotels most likely would mean using a hotel that provided less meeting space, and therefore curtailing the number of people who could be on the meeting's program. Silvers thought the membership should be asked for preferences about the hard choices needing to be made in regard to the 2007 meeting site.

DEWEY FOUNDATION PROPOSAL: Acting Board Chair Karen Hanson had conveyed a proposal from the Dewey Foundation to the Executive Committee. The Dewey Foundation was interested in supporting a special lecture series at the divisional meetings. Executive Committee members are positive about this proposal and agree in principle, but await more details from the Dewey Foundation.

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 5:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,
Anita Silvers
Secretary-Treasurer

*Subsequently, the Executive Committee approved mini-conferences on “Secrecy” and “Scientific Images” to be held in conjunction with the 2006 Pacific Division meeting and mini-conferences on “Models of God” and “Philosophy and Wine” to be held in conjunction with the 2007 Pacific Division meeting.

**Subsequently, Keith Lehrer (chair), Rebecca Copenhaver, and Cindy Stark were appointed to the Nominating Committee.

***Subsequently, Fred Schueler was appointed program chair for the 2007 meeting and Mark Wrathall as program chair for the 2008 meeting. Sandy Askland, Kelly Becker, Joseph Campbell, Branden Fitleson, Pat Hanna, Philip Nickel, and Fred Schueler were added to the 2006 program committee.

****The 2004/05 audit report identified $19,438 that had been reported in previous years as assets to the divisions but never collected from exhibitors and advertisers.

*****Silvers contacted Debra Nails, Chair of the APA Committee on Defense of Professional Rights, about this case. Nails explained that such incidents appear to be increasing across the country. After investigation, both the APA Committee on Defense of Professional Rights and the Pacific Division Executive Committee wrote to the SRJC administration and trustees. And the APA Committee posted information on the APA website to assist philosophers who may become targets in such incidents.

******In fall 2005 the union lifted the boycott against the St. Francis, but left the boycott against other San Francisco hotels in place.
APPENDIX I: REPORT OF THE 2005 PROGRAM CHAIR

The 2005 Annual Meeting of the Pacific Division is being held in San Francisco from March 23 to 27. The portion of the meeting organized by the Program Committee comprises 122 colloquium papers and 8 symposium papers. These were selected from a total of 429 submitted papers (for an acceptance rate of 30%) through a process of blind refereeing by a committee numbering 27 philosophers, assisted by 6 consultants. Papers were submitted and accepted in diverse sub-fields of philosophy. In addition, the meeting features 27 invited symposia, 4 invited papers, and 23 author-met-critics sessions organized by 22 members of the Program Committee and two members of the Division’s Executive Committee.

Supplementing the portion of the program organized by the Committee are the Carus Lectures delivered by Tyler Burge, the Presidential Address of Hubert Dreyfus, mini-conferences on the emotions and Rufus of Cornwall, the sub-meeting of the Association for Symbolic Logic, and sessions sponsored by APA committees and affiliated groups. In many cases, members of the Program Committee worked with organizers of these sessions to ensure a degree of consilience.

This year three experiments were made to ease the work of the Committee. First, all submitted papers were scanned on a bulk scanner and saved in PDF format, so that they could be emailed to readers and also potential commentators. In addition to achieving a savings in postage, this cut the time needed for refereeing papers and securing commentators by many days, especially in cases where it emerged that a member of the Committee was unable to referee a paper. Second, taking advantage of the availability of the papers in electronic form, preprints of accepted papers were made available for three months prior to the meeting on the meeting’s web site, making it possible for participants to read papers before attending sessions. Finally, an automated web-based system was used to gather data on volunteers for chair and commenting duties and to present them in a searchable, organized format to Committee members. Several volunteers and Committee members commented on how useful they found this system. In all three cases, however, refinements are recommended for the future.

I would like to personally thank many individuals for their help this year and I recommend that the Executive Committee concur in expressing gratitude to the members of the 2005 Program Committee; to Professors Paul Bartha, Leslie Francis, Shaughan Levine, Steven Savitt, Ori Simchen, and Chris Stephens for refereeing papers; to UBC students Mr. Michael Falgoust and Ms Michelle Yau for scanning submitted papers; to the UBC Philosophy Department for the use of its web server; to Professors Christina Bellon, David Kim, Jeffrey Paris, and Ronald Sundstrom for organizing the transfer of some sessions to alternate sites; and to Professor Anita Silvers, for supererogatory efforts on multiple fronts.

Respectfully Submitted,
Dominic McIver Lopes
CALL TO ORDER: President Hubert Dreyfus called the meeting to order at 12:13 p.m. and invited the Secretary-Treasurer to report on the preceding day’s Executive Committee meeting.

REPORT OF THE PACIFIC DIVISION EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE: Secretary-Treasurer Silvers reported the Executive Committee’s decision, on advice of the APA attorney, to hold the business meeting at the announced time and place, and conduct the election of officers. The attorney advised the Executive Committee to distinguish between substantive business that had to be conducted at the Business Meeting and substantive business that did not. Section 3.4 of the Pacific Division by-laws explicitly requires holding the elections at the annual Business Meeting, and so the elections would be conducted, but the Executive Committee then would suspend the election results and consult with the division’s voting affiliates by mail ballot.

As for other substantive business, the Executive Committee believed the attorney to have given accurate and fair advice and therefore had presented an agenda containing only required business. Other business would be postponed till the 2006 business meeting.

APPROVAL OF AGENDA: Silvers explained that, in addition to the elections, the Executive Committee had left the topic of APA bylaw revision on the agenda because of a past promise to the APA Board of Officers to do so. The agenda was approved.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF MARCH 25, 2004: The Minutes were approved.

ANNOUNCEMENTS: President Dreyfus read the names of members of the Association who had passed away since the last Business Meeting. A moment of silence was observed.

REPORT OF THE NOMINATING COMMITTEE: On behalf of the Nominating Committee, Chair Wayne Martin put the names of Calvin Normore (for Vice President), Allen Wood (for representative to the APA Board of Officers), and Janet Levin (for a three-year term on the Executive Committee) in nomination.

ELECTION OF OFFICERS: The nominees were elected.

REPORT FROM THE BOARD OF OFFICERS: Acting Board Chair Karen
Hanson reported for the Board of Officers.

**APA BYLAW REVISION:** David Kaplan was recognized and asked permission of the body to specify the status of the motion he intended to make before he stated it. There were no objections.

Kaplan reminded the body that, at the 2004 business meeting, several substantive provisions had been amended out of the Board of Officer's proposal to revise the APA constitution and by-laws. He now intended to propose a more positive action, under the authority of section 8 of the standing by-laws of the APA, titled “Review of Actions Taken by the Board of Officers.” Kaplan read the relevant part of section 8: “Members of the Association have a right to request the executive committee of their division to ask the Board of Officers to review or rescind actions taken by the Board when such actions have not been explicitly approved by the business meeting...”.

Kaplan then made the following motion, which Calvin Normore seconded:

“The Pacific Division requests its Executive Committee to ask the Board of Officers to review, rescind, and reconsider the proposed omnibus revision of our Constitution and By-Laws, with the aim of putting forth—as separate items—any substantial changes that are deemed necessary.”

Among the points Kaplan advanced were the following:

1. Each substantial item should have been presented as a separate amendment, in order to allow thoughtful discussion and review. Any housekeeping correction of drafting infelicities should have been that alone and not mixed with substantial changes.

2. Graduate students are given the opportunity to become Regular Members with full voting rights but also given the opportunity to be Student Associate members. This is plainly a substantial issue, and should have appeared as a separate item alone and not mixed with other substantial changes about entirely different matters.

3. An innovation in the proposal gives the Executive Director final authority over the agenda of National Board meetings, giving the Board Chair no authority over what business is placed before the Board. This is a substantial change.

4. The proposal removes the ability of a business meeting of a Division to amend a proposal by the Board to revise the bylaws. Such a change would result in a huge reduction of the ability of members to be full participants in deliberation. They would be relegated to being reactive only. This is a substantial change.

5. Moving the “CEO” title from the Chair to the Executive Director is another substantial change in the governance and character of the Association.

After ascertaining that no other member sought recognition on the matter before the body, the Chair put the motion to request the Executive Committee to request the Board of Officers to review, rescind and reconsider to a vote. The motion passed.
ADJOURNMENT: President Dreyfus adjourned the meeting at 12:59 p.m.
Respectfully submitted,
Anita Silvers
Secretary-Treasurer
PROPOSED REVISED BYLAWS OF THE APA

A PROPOSAL ENDORSED BY THE BOARD OF OFFICERS AT ITS NOVEMBER 2005 MEETING AND TRANSMITTED TO THE DIVISIONS FOR CONSIDERATION IN 2006.

The APA Board of Officers recommends to the Divisions the following revised Bylaws for the APA. Members may recall that a set of revised Bylaws was proposed by the Board in the 2003-2004 academic year. A majority of voters in the Eastern and Central Divisions approved the revisions, but Pacific Division voters did not. The revisions proposed by the Board in 2003-2004 therefore did not pass; a majority in all three Divisions is required.

The revised Bylaws now proposed by the Board differ from the previous set in that, with one exception, they include no substantive changes to the current APA Constitution and By-Laws. They are designed purely to achieve a clearer and more easily understandable document than we currently have. The one exception stems from the fact that the current division into two major parts—the Constitution and the By-Laws—is eliminated in the proposed revised Bylaws. There is currently a different procedure for amending each of the two parts; by contrast, the new proposed revised Bylaws have only one procedure for amendment, corresponding to that currently governing the Constitution.

This copy is marked to indicate, so far as possible, the changes from the current ByLaws of the APA. Deletions from the current text are marked as strikeout text, and additions are marked as underlined text. Changes in capitalization are generally not noted.

BYLAWS OF THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION

ARTICLE 1 – NAME

1.1. NAME.

The name of this organization shall be The American Philosophical Association.
ARTICLE 2 – DEFINITIONS

2.1. Definitions.

The following terms used in these Bylaws shall have the meanings set forth below:

A. “the Act” refers to the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988, as amended.

B. “Association” refers to The American Philosophical Association.

C. “Board” refers to the Board of Officers of the Association.

D. “Bylaws” refers to the Bylaws of the Association, which shall be the applicable governing document for all Members, the Board, the Committees, and the Divisions.

E. “Divisions” refers to the three divisions of the Association, the Eastern, Central, and Pacific Divisions.

F. “Divisional Executive Committee” refers to the governing body of a particular Division of the Association, which shall be responsible for the affairs of that Division.

G. “Regular Members” refers to those Members of the Association who qualify in accordance with Article 4.2. “Emeritus Members” refers to those Regular Members who in accordance with Article 4.3 qualify for, and have elected to assume, emeritus status, and who pay no dues. “Student Associates” refers to Members who qualify in accordance with Article 4.5. “International Associates” refers to Members who qualify in accordance with Article 4.6. “Members” refers to Regular Members, Student Associates, and International Associates.

H. “Regular Meetings” refers to the three regularly scheduled meetings of the Association, each of which is sponsored by one of the Divisions, held each year.

I. “Good Standing” refers to the status of those Members whose dues are not in arrears.

ARTICLE 3 – PURPOSES

3.1. Purposes.

3.1.A: Compare Current Bylaws II.1

A. The purposes of the American Philosophical Association shall be to promote the exchange of ideas among philosophers, to encourage creative and scholarly activity in philosophy, and to facilitate the professional work of teachers of philosophy.

3.1.B: Compare Current Bylaws II.2

B. The Association is established exclusively for educational and scientific purposes as set forth in the Articles of Incorporation. In pursuing such purposes, the Association shall not act so as to impair its eligibility for exemption under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
3.1.C: Compare Current Bylaws II.3

C. The purposes of the Association shall be served by:

3.1.C.1: Compare Current Bylaws II.3.a

1. The holding of Regular Meetings at which addresses, symposia, papers, and discussions of philosophical significance are presented;

2. The publication of the *Proceedings* and *Addresses*, the presidential addresses, and the membership list of the Association, as well as such additional items as may be germane to the purpose of the Association;

3. The work of the Standing and Special Committees of the Association;

3.1.C.3: Compare Current Bylaws II.3.c

3. Such other means as may be deemed appropriate by the Regular Members and the Board of Officers.

**ARTICLE 4 – MEMBERSHIP**

4.1. Regular Members.

4.1: NEW SECTION

The Association shall have Regular Members who are entitled to vote, as specified in these Bylaws, and who shall have all other rights of a Member as set forth herein.

4.2. Qualifications for Regular Membership.

4.2.A: Compare Current Bylaws III.1

A. Regular Membership in the American Philosophical Association shall be limited to:

4.2.A.1: Compare Current Bylaws III.1.a

1. Persons whose training in philosophy has been advanced and systematic enough to make them competent to teach the subject at the college or university level;

4.2.A.2: Compare Current Bylaws III.1.b

2. Persons whose interests or achievements in philosophy are regarded by the Board of Officers of the Association as sufficient to warranting their affiliation with the Association.

4.2.B: Compare Current Bylaws III.2

B. Membership in the Association shall be by election by the Board of Officers. The authority to pass on an individual’s qualifications for Regular Membership in the Association rests with the Board, which shall normally delegate it to the Executive Director.

4.3. Membership Dues.

4.3.A: Compare Current Bylaws III.4

A. The annual dues of Regular Members shall be fixed by a two-thirds majority vote of the Board of Officers of the Association.
4.3.B: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.6.a

B. Regular Members who have had five years of Good Standing as members of the Association, and have retired due to age or ill health from full-time work, may elect to assume emeritus status for purposes of dues. This election is entirely at their discretion. Emeritus Members shall pay no dues, but shall otherwise continue to have the full rights of members under the Association's constitution and By-laws afforded Regular Members of the Association.

4.3.C: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.6.b

C. The annual dues of International Associates and Student Associates shall be fixed at the approximate single-member cost of the production and distribution of the publications of the Association.

4.4. Divisional Affiliation.

4.4: Compare Current Bylaws III.3

Each Regular Member shall signify to the Executive Director of the Association the Divisional affiliation desired (as described in Articles IV and V) for purposes of voting on Divisional and Association matters. The privileges of voting at a Divisional Business Meeting and receiving mail ballots of that Division shall be limited to Regular Members certified by the Executive Director as affiliated with that Division.

4.5. Student Associates.

4.5: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.4

Persons who are actively engaged in the study of philosophy at accredited colleges or universities shall be eligible for membership as Student Associates.

A. The authority to pass on an individual’s qualifications for student association the status of Student Associate shall be vested in the Board of Officers of the Association. Executive Director. Student associates shall only have such rights as are provided to them by these By-laws and shall not have the rights of members under the Association’s constitution and By-laws, or under the Act.

B. Student Associates are not affiliated with any Division, and may not vote at Meetings of the Members or of the Divisions. In addition, Student Associates cannot hold any position that would require them to have voting rights within the Divisions or the Association. Student Associates may attend and appear on the program of all Regular Meetings and receive all publications of the Association.


4.6.A: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.3

A. International Associates are Residents of nations other than the United States and Canada who are qualified to be Regular Members of the Association, but who wish only to receive the several publications of the American Philosophical Association and to be eligible to take part in Regular Meetings, provided that:

the authority to pass on an individual’s qualifications for status as
an International Associate is vested with the Board of Officers of the Association Executive Director, and

b. Recommendations for international association be made by the International Cooperation Committee of the Association. International Associates shall only have such rights as are provided to them by these By-laws and shall not have the rights of members under the Association's constitution and By-laws, or under Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988, as amended (the “Act”).

B. International Associates are not affiliated with any Division, and may not vote at Meetings of the Members or of the Divisions. In addition, International Associates cannot hold any position that would require them to have voting rights within the Divisions or the Association. International Associates may attend and appear on the program of all Regular Meetings and receive all publications of the Association.

4.7. Expulsion from Membership.

4.7: Compare Current Bylaws III.5

Any Member may be expelled from membership by a two-thirds majority vote of the Board, provided that written notice of the intention to expel and reasons for expulsion have been provided to the Member at least ten (10) days in advance of the meeting of the Board where the action is taken. No Member shall be expelled without having the opportunity to be heard at such meeting. No formal hearing procedure need be followed by the Board when it considers the expulsion of a Member, except that the Member shall have the right to demand an evidentiary hearing before the Board. At such an evidentiary hearing, the proponents of expulsion shall have the right to present evidence in response. The proponents of expulsion and the member shall each have the right to present and cross-examine witnesses, and to offer argument in support of their positions.


4.8.A: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.a

A. A Regular Member or International Associate is in Good Standing in a specified year (July 1 to June 30): if that person is a life member or does not owe dues to the Association for any year preceding that year and pays dues by January 1 of that year. A Regular Member or International Associate who is not in Good Standing is in arrears.

B. A Regular Member who elects and is eligible for the status of Emeritus Member is considered in Good Standing with the Association.

4.8.C: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.b

C. The name of any person who is in arrears, whether that of a Regular Member or International Associate, shall be removed from the mailing list of the Association until that person regains Good Standing.

4.8.D: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.c

D. A Regular Member or International Associate who does not owe dues to the Association for any year preceding the then current year but who is in arrears shall regain Good Standing by paying that year’s dues.
4.8.E: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.d

E. Anyone a Regular Member or International Associate who is in arrears for two years shall be dropped from the Association’s membership list.

4.8.F: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.e

F. Anyone a Regular Member or International Associate who is in arrears for at least one year or who has been dropped from the Association shall regain Good Standing by paying the dues for the then current year plus a fee equal to one-half of such dues.

4.8.G: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.g

G. Anyone a Regular Member or International Associate who has resigned while in good standing shall be reinstated in the Association upon application to the Executive Director.

4.8.H: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.h

H. At its discretion, the Board of Officers may declare a period during which the fee required to regain membership with Good Standing in the Association will be waived.

4.8.I: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.5.f

I. A Student Associate is in Good Standing if that person has paid dues for the then current year. A Student Associate who has not paid dues by the beginning of the then current year shall be dropped from the Association’s mailing list and membership list.

**ARTICLE 5 – MEETINGS OF THE ASSOCIATION: THE DIVISIONS**

**5.1. Regular Meetings.**

5.1.A: Compare Current Bylaws IV.1

A. The American Philosophical Association shall hold three Regular Meetings each year: one on or near the Atlantic Coast, one in the Midwest, and one on or near the Pacific Coast.

2. For the purpose of planning the programs and making other arrangements for the Regular Meetings of the Association, as specified in section 1, there shall be respectively a Pacific an Eastern Division, a Central Division, and an Eastern a Pacific Division of the Association.

5.1.B: Compare Current Bylaws IV.3

B. Each Regular Meeting shall include an appropriate philosophical program, a presidential address, a Divisional Business Meeting for Members whose voting affiliation is with the Division hosting the Regular Meeting, and such other events as the Divisional Executive Committee may deem fitting and consonant with the purpose of the Association. At each business meeting, reports of the Board of Officers on the affairs of the Association and of the divisional executive committee on the affairs of the division shall be presented.

5.1.C: Compare Current Bylaws IV.6

C. Voting at Regular Meetings shall be in the manner specified in the bylaws of each Division, copies of which are attached to the Bylaws of the Association. Where no method of voting is specified in the Division’s By-
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Laws bylaws, voting at Regular Meetings shall be in person, except that a majority of those present and affiliated with that Division can provide for a vote by mail ballot.

5.1.D: Compare Current Bylaws IV.5

D. Meetings arranged in whole or in part by a Division shall be held at times and places within or without the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as shall be set by the Divisional Executive Committee in consultation with the Executive Director of the Association. Any other meetings shall be held at times and places within or without the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as shall be set by the Chair of the Board of Officers in consultation with the Executive Director of the Association.

5.2. Divisional Business Meetings.

5.2: Compare Current Bylaws IV.3, III.3 and IV.7

At each Divisional Business Meeting, reports of the Board of Officers on the affairs of the Association and of the Divisional Executive Committee on the affairs of the Division shall be presented. The privileges of voting at a divisional business meeting and receiving mail ballots of that division shall be limited to members certified by the Executive Director as affiliated with that division. At each Divisional Business Meeting, Regular Members whose voting affiliation is with the Division hosting the Regular Meeting shall have an opportunity to vote on such matters that require a vote, in accordance with these Bylaws or the bylaws of the Division, Acts taken at a regular or special meeting where a quorum is present shall constitute acts of the members. Divisional Business Meeting shall constitute acts of that Division.

5.3. Special Meetings.

5.3.A: Compare Current Bylaws IV.4

A. Special Meetings of the Association may, given at least one month’s notice to the membership, be called jointly by the Board of Officers and one or more of the Divisional Executive Committees or upon the request of 10% of the Regular Members of the Association. The notice of a Special Meeting shall specify the general nature of the business to be transacted at the meeting.

5.3.B: Compare Current Bylaws IV.6

B. Voting procedures at regular meetings shall also apply to special meetings, except that voting on the business to be transacted at the Special Meeting, as specified in the meeting notice, shall be by mail ballot.

5.4. Quorum for Divisional Business and Special Meetings.

5.4: Compare Current Bylaws IV.7

A quorum for regular meetings Divisional Business Meetings and Special Meetings shall consist of those eligible to vote who attend the meeting. Acts taken at a regular or special meeting where a quorum is present shall constitute acts of the members.
5.5. Resolutions.

5.5.A: *Compare Current Bylaws By-Law 9*

A. Only such resolutions as are adopted by all three Divisional Business Meetings within a given twelve-month period shall be recorded and publicized as resolutions of the Association. They shall otherwise be recorded and publicized only as resolutions of the Board of Officers or of one of the Divisions.

5.5.B: *Compare Current Bylaws By-Law 9.a*

B. A resolution adopted by the Board of Officers of the Association Meeting shall, at the request of the Board of Officers, be placed on the agenda of the regular Divisional Business Meeting of each of the three Divisions in the twelve-month period following announcement to the Members of the action of the Board of Officers.

5.5.C: *Compare Current Bylaws By-Law 9.b*

C. A resolution adopted by a Divisional Business Meeting shall, at the request of that Business Meeting or the Divisional Executive Committee, be placed on the agenda of the next regular Business Meetings of the other two Divisions following announcement to the Members of the first Division’s action.

5.5.D: *Compare Current Bylaws By-Law 9.c*

D. Resolutions which purport to represent the sense of a Division on matters of public policy may be voted on only by mail ballot authorized by the annual Business Meeting of that Division. Such mail ballots will include relevant minutes of the meeting and a summary of the arguments presented.

**Article 6 – Divisions of the Association**

6.1. Divisions.

6.1: *Compare Current Bylaws IV.2*

For the purpose of planning the programs and making other arrangements for the regular meetings, as specified in section 1, there shall be three Divisions of the Association, the Eastern Division, the Central Division, and the Pacific Division, respectively a Pacific Division, a Central Division, and an Eastern Division of the Association. Each Division shall plan or make other appropriate arrangements for one Regular Meeting of the Association each year, as specified in Article 5.1 of these Bylaws.


6.2.A: *Compare Current Bylaws VIII.2.a*

A. Bylaws of a Division shall be applicable only to that Division.

6.2.B: *Compare Current Bylaws VIII.2.b*

B. A Division may adopt such Divisional bylaws as it sees fit, including bylaws for amending and adding bylaws to the Divisional bylaws, the changes to be effective unless, within a twelve-month period, the Board of Officers of the Association determines that they are not consonant
with the purpose of the Association or are not consistent with the constitution and Bylaws of the Association.

6.3. Officers of the Divisions.

6.3.A: Compare Current Bylaws V.1

A. Each Division shall elect Divisional officers. It shall be free to determine offices and manner of nomination for office, provided that:

6.3.A.1: Compare Current Bylaws V.1.a

1. The responsibility for the affairs of the Division be entrusted to an Executive Committee which shall include at least a president and secretary; and

6.3.A.2: Compare Current Bylaws V.1.b

2. The Divisional officers be chosen from among the Regular Members of the Association whose voting affiliation is with that Division.

6.3.B: Compare Current Bylaws V.2

B. Each Division shall be free to determine the duties of its officers, provided that:

6.3.B.1: Compare Current Bylaws V.2.a

1. The president prepare an address to be delivered at the Regular Meeting arranged by the Division and to be published subsequently in the addresses and proceedings Proceedings and Addresses of the Association;

6.3.B.2: Compare Current Bylaws V.2.b

2. The secretary keep records of the Division and serve as an associate editor of the publications of the Association;

6.3.B.3: Compare Current Bylaws V.2.c

3. The Divisional Executive Committee assume responsibility for arranging the Regular Meeting held in its region, including, insofar as is feasible, the raising of such funds as are needed for defraying the expenses of the meeting; and

6.3.B.4: Compare Current Bylaws V.2.d

4. The duties of Divisional Officers specified under Article VI 7 be performed.

ARTICLE 7 – THE BOARD OF OFFICERS OF THE ASSOCIATION

7.1. Board.

7.1: Compare Current Bylaws VI.1

The governing body of the American Philosophical Association shall be the Board of Officers, all of whom, with the possible exception of the Treasurer, shall be members of the Association. The Board shall have all powers and duties for the conduct and management of the business and affairs of the Association except as otherwise required by law, these Bylaws, or a resolution duly adopted by the Board. It shall be composed as follows:

---
7.2. Qualifications of the Board.

7.2: Compare Current Bylaws VI.1

Each officer of the Board shall be a Regular Member of the Association, with the exception of the Treasurer as specified in Article 7.8 of these Bylaws.

7.3. Composition of the Board.

7.3: Compare Current Bylaws VI.1

The officers of the Board shall be:

(a) The Vice-President of each Division, during the term of office in accordance with its bylaws;

(b) The President of each Division, during the term of office in accordance with its bylaws;

(c) The Immediate Past President of each Division, during the term of office in accordance with its bylaws;

(d) The Secretary or Secretary-Treasurer of each Division, during the term of office in accordance with its bylaws;

(e) A representative of each Division, elected by the Division in accordance with its bylaws for a three-year term, the terms to be staggered;

* The chairs of the six standing committees of the Association enumerated in Article VII;

* The Executive Director of the Association, during the term of office;

(f) The Chair of the Board, during the term of office;

(g) The Vice-Chair of the Board, during the term of office;

(h) The Chairs of the Standing Committees of the Association as enumerated in Article 8 herein;

(i) The Executive Director of the Association, during the term of office;

(j) The Treasurer of the Association, during the term of office.

7.3: Compare Current Bylaws VI.6

All Officers of the Board shall have voting rights, with the exception of the Treasurer, as set forth in Article 7.8 of these Bylaws.

7.4. Chair of the Board: Election, Term of Office and Duties.

7.4.A: Compare Current Bylaws VI.3

A. The Chair of the Board of Officers shall be elected by majority vote of the Board from among the present and past presidents of the Divisions for a three-year term, once renewable. The Executive Director shall be appointed by the Board for a five-year term and may be reappointed indefinitely thereafter to mutually agreed-upon terms of no more than five years each. When the terms of office of the Chair and the Executive Director terminate simultaneously, the term of the Chair shall be extended for one year.

7.4.B: Compare Current Bylaws VI.8

B. The Chair of the Board of Officers shall be the chief executive officer of the American Philosophical Association. The Chair of the Board of
Officers shall preside at meetings of the Board of Officers, appoint (with the advice and consent of the Board of Officers) members and chairs of the committees, and represent or appoint others to represent the Association at ceremonial and other official occasions. As a matter of practice the duties of the chief executive officer Chair of the Association Board shall include hearing complaints of Members concerning affairs of the Association and arbitrating when necessary.

**7.5. Vice-Chair of the Board: Nomination, Election, Term of Office, and Duties.**

7.5: *Compare Current Bylaws VI.7*

A. The Vice-Chair shall serve as Chair if the Chair is unable to perform the duties of the office, until such time as the Chair either returns to duty or is replaced. The Vice-Chair may represent the Chair on such occasions as the Chair designates. The Vice-Chair shall be nominated by the Chair of the Board and appointed by vote of the Board. A Chair beginning a term of office may nominate a candidate who, if approved, shall serve an initial term of not more than fifteen months. The appointment is renewable through the same process for a succeeding year and renewable again through the same process until the term of the Board Chair is over. The term of a Vice-Chair shall not continue beyond the expiration of the term of the Board Chair. Nominees for the position of Vice-Chair shall be chosen from among the present or past Board Members.

B. The Vice-Chair shall serve as Chair if the Chair is unable to perform the duties of the office, until such time as the Chair either returns to duty or is replaced. The Vice-Chair may represent the Chair on such occasions as the Chair designates.

**7.6. Chairs of Standing Committees: Election, Term of Office.**

7.6: *Compare Current Bylaws VI.2*

The Chairs of Standing Committees shall be elected by the Board for terms of three years, and under normal circumstances shall be ineligible after the completion of their terms to serve as chair of any Standing Committee. Under no circumstances shall a person serve more than two consecutive, full terms as the Chair of the same Standing Committee. The chairs of the standing committees shall cast no votes on the appointment of committee chairs or members.

**7.7. Executive Director: Appointment, Term of Office, and Duties.**

7.7.A: *Compare Current Bylaws VI.3*

A. The Executive Director shall be appointed by the Board for a five-year term and may be reappointed indefinitely thereafter to mutually agreed-upon terms of no more than five years each. The Executive Director shall not vote as a member of the Board on issues concerning the Executive Director’s terms of employment.

7.7.B: *Compare Current Bylaws VI.5*

B. The Executive Director of the Association shall maintain the national office of the Association, keep membership records, serve as the
secretary of the Association, serve as editor of the publications of the
Association, and carry out such other duties as the Board of Officers
may require.

7.8. Treasurer: Nomination, Election, Term of Office, Duties, and Voting
Rights.

7.8: Compare Current Bylaws VI.6

A. The Treasurer shall be nominated by the Chair of the Board and
appointed by vote of the Board. The term of office shall be three years
and shall be renewable through the same process.

B. The Treasurer shall review the financial records of the national office
and the Divisions, the investments and investment policies of the Board
of Officers, and the annual audit of the Association, and shall report to
the Board on these matters. The Treasurer shall be nominated by the
Chair of the Board and appointed by vote of the Board. The term of
office shall be three years and shall be renewable through the same
process.

C. A Treasurer who is a Regular Member of the American Philosophical
Association shall be entitled to vote at Board meetings. The Treasurer
need not be a Regular Member of the Association. If not a Regular
Member, the Treasurer will not be entitled to vote at Board meetings.

7.9. Meetings of the Board of Officers.

7.9:A: Compare Current Bylaws VI.4

A. The Board of Officers shall meet at least once a year. The Executive
Director shall provide at least ten (10) days written notice of each Board
meeting to the Members of the Board of Officers, stating the time, place,
and purpose of the meeting.

7.9.B: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.7

B. The Executive Director of the Association shall, after consultation with
the Chair and the other Members of the Board of Officers, prepare a
formal agenda for the annual meeting of the Board of Officers. Provided
that they are submitted to the Executive Director at least one month in
advance of the annual meeting, Agenda items proposed by a Divisional
Executive Committee, a Divisional Business Meeting, a Standing
Committee of the Association, or fifty or more Regular Members of the
Association shall be placed on the agenda of the annual meeting of
the Board of Officers provided that they are submitted to the Executive
Director at least one month in advance of the annual meeting of the
Board.

7.10. Quorum for Board Meetings.

7.10: NEW SECTION

A majority of officers shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of
business. The acts of a majority of the officers present at a meeting at
which a quorum is present shall be the acts of the Board.
7.11. Actions of the Board Outside Board Meetings.

7.11: NEW SECTION

If Board action is necessary when the Board is not holding a meeting, the Chair of the Board may call for a vote of the Board by electronic mail, preceded, if necessary, by discussion via the same medium. In such cases, the votes of a majority of the officers of the Board shall constitute acts of the Board. The votes shall be recorded and announced to the Board by the Executive Director or the Chair of the Board.

7.12. Review of Actions Taken by the Board of Officers.

7.12: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.8

Regular Members of the Association a given Division have a right to request the Executive Committee of their Division to ask the Board of Officers to review or rescind actions taken by the Board. When such actions have not been explicitly approved by the Divisional Business Meeting, or through direct petition to the Executive Committee by any ten voting members of a division, a Regular Member of that Division may individually make such a request; when they have been so approved, a petition signed by ten Regular Members of that Division is required. It shall be the prerogative of the Divisional Executive Committee, as the elected organ of the division, to decide whether the request shall be transmitted to the Board, and if the request is transmitted to state what action the Divisional Executive Committee recommends. All such petitions shall be made known to the Executive Committees of the other Divisions for their consideration.

ARTICLE 8 – COMMITTEES OF THE ASSOCIATION


8.1: Compare Current Bylaws VII.1

The list is rearranged in alphabetical order

There shall be six Standing Committees of the Association, as follows:

(1) The Committee on Academic Career Opportunities and Placement;
(2) The Committee on Inclusiveness;
(3) The Committee on International Cooperation;
(4) The Committee on Lectures, Publications, and Research;
(5) The Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession (which shall include as ex officio members the chairs of the other standing committees); and
(6) The Committee on the Teaching of Philosophy.

8.2. Duties of the Standing Committees.

8.2: Compare Current Bylaws VII.2

Detailing the duties and responsibilities of the Standing Committees shall be the duty of the Board of Officers.
8.3. Chairs and Members of the Standing Committees: Appointment, Term of Office.

8.3: Compare Current Bylaws VII.3.

Chairs and Members of the Standing Committees shall be appointed from among the Members of the Association and shall (except for the ex officio members of the Committee on the Status and Future of the Profession) be appointed for three-year terms.

8.4. Additional Committees.

8.4: Compare Current Bylaws VII.4.

The Board may authorize the appointment of committees for special purposes and projects. Such committees shall be referred to as Committees.

ARTICLE 9 – LIABILITY AND INDEMNIFICATION

9.1. Liability.

9.1: Compare Current Bylaws IX.1

General Rule. An officer of the Board or other authorized representative shall not be personally liable for monetary damages as an officer of the Board or other authorized representative for any action taken, or any failure to take any action, unless:

9.1.1: Compare Current Bylaws IX.1.a

1. The officer or other authorized representative has breached or failed to perform the duties of a Director in accordance with the standard of conduct contained in Section 5712 of the Pennsylvania Nonprofit Corporation Law of 1988, as amended, and any amendments and successor acts thereto; and

9.1.2: Compare Current Bylaws IX.1.b

2. The breach or failure to perform constitutes self-dealing, willful misconduct, or recklessness;

Provided, however, the foregoing provision shall not apply to (i) the responsibility or liability of an officer or other authorized representative pursuant to any criminal statute or (ii) the liability of an officer or other authorized representative for the payment of taxes pursuant to local, state, or federal law.

9.2. Indemnification.

9.2: Compare Current Bylaws IX.2

The Association shall indemnify any officer or Board or other authorized representative who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit, or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative (and whether or not by, or in the right of, the Association) by reason of the fact that such person is or was a representative of the Association, against expenses (including attorneys’ fees), judgments, fines, and amounts paid
in settlement actually and reasonably incurred in connection with such action or proceeding if such person acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the Association, and with respect to any criminal proceeding, had no reason to believe such conduct was illegal, provided, however, that no persons shall be entitled to indemnification pursuant to this Article in any instance in which the action or failure to take action giving rise to the claim for indemnification is determined by a court to have constituted willful misconduct or recklessness; and provided, further, however, in instances of a claim by or in the right of the Association, indemnification shall not be made under this section in respect of any claim, issue, or matter as to which the person has been adjudged to be liable to the Association unless and only to the extent that the court of common pleas of the judicial district embracing the county in which the registered office of the Association is located or the court in which the action was brought determines upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability but in view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses that the court of common pleas or other court shall deem proper.

9.3. Indemnification Procedure.

9.3: Compare Current Bylaws IX.3

Unless ordered by a court, any indemnification under Article IX, paragraph 2–Article 9.2, or as otherwise permitted by law, shall be made by the Association only as authorized in the specific case upon a determination that indemnification is proper in the circumstances because he or she the officer or other authorized representative has met the applicable standard of conduct set forth under that section. Such determination shall be made:

9.3.1: Compare Current Bylaws IX.3.a

1. By the Board of Officers by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of officers or other authorized representatives who were not parties to the action or proceeding; or

9.3.2: Compare Current Bylaws IX.3.b

2. If such a quorum is not obtainable or if obtainable and a majority vote of a quorum of disinterested Board Members officers or other authorized representatives so directs, by independent legal counsel in a written opinion.

3. By the members.

9.4. Advancement of Expenses.

9.4: Compare Current Bylaws IX.4

Expenses incurred by a person entitled to indemnification pursuant to this Article or otherwise permitted by law in defending a civil or criminal action, suit, or proceeding shall be paid by the Association in advance of the final disposition of such action, suit, or proceeding upon receipt of an undertaking by or on behalf of such person to repay the amount so advanced if it shall ultimately be determined that such person is not
entitled to be indemnified by the Association.

9.5. Continuing Right to Indemnification.

9.5: Compare Current Bylaws IX.5

The indemnification and advancement of expenses provided pursuant to this Article shall continue as to any person who has ceased to be an officer or other authorized representative of the Association and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, and administrators of such person.

9.6. Other Rights.

9.6: Compare Current Bylaws IX.6

This Article shall not be exclusive of any other right which the Association may have to indemnify any person as a matter of law.

10: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2

ARTICLE 10 – FUNDS OF THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION

10.1. Derivation of Funds.

10.1: NEW SECTION

Funds of the Association shall be derived from membership dues, charitable contributions, and any other sources of funds consistent with the purposes of the Association.

10.2. Maintenance of Funds.

10.2.A: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2.a

A. The Executive Director of the Association shall maintain accurate records of the receipts, deposits, and disbursements of the Funds of the Association. The authority for receipt, deposit, and the disbursement of funds shall be the Board of Officers acting in accordance with the following provisions:

10.2.A.1: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2.a.i

1. Funds designated for special purposes shall be disbursed only for those purposes.

10.2.A.2: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2.a.ii

2. Funds designated for the exclusive use of a Division (hereinafter referred to as “Divisional Funds”) shall be, at the pleasure of that Division, disbursed to the Divisional Secretary for the purpose of defraying the costs of the Regular Meeting arranged by that Division or for other legitimate expenditures of that Division.

10.2.A.3: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2.a.iii

3. Income resulting from the meetings arranged by a Division and gifts and grants designated for use of a Division shall be received as Divisional Funds; and

10.2.A.4: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2.a.iv

4. At the pleasure of the Divisional Executive Committee of a Division, a Divisional Secretary may function as Treasurer of all or part of the
Divisional Funds of that Division.

10.2.B: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2.b

B. Should a deficit or temporary financing need occur in a Division either as a result of expenditures connected with the Regular Meeting held in that Division's region or as a result of other legitimate expenditures, the Board of Officers shall, insofar as is consistent with the interests of all three Divisions, allocate funds to cover the deficit or extend the credit for the temporary financing need.

10.2.C: Compare Current Bylaws By-Law.2.c

C. Records of all receipts, deposits, and disbursements of the funds of the Association, including Divisional Funds, shall be subject to regular annual audit; and the report of the auditor shall be published for the Members of the Association.

11: Compare Current Bylaws X

ARTICLE 11 – AMENDMENTS

11.1. Amendments.

11.1.A: Compare Current Bylaws X.2

A. Amendments to this constitution and additions to the Bylaws may be proposed only by a Divisional Business Meeting, a Divisional Executive Committee, the Board of Officers, or by a petition bearing the signatures of at least fifty Regular Members.

11.1.B: Compare Current Bylaws X.3

B. Any proposed amendment to the constitution proposal for amending or adding to the Bylaws must be announced to the Regular Members of the Association at least one month in advance of the first Divisional Business Meeting at which it is discussed.

11.1.C: Compare Current Bylaws X.1

C. Any proposed amendment to the constitution Bylaws must be presented, for discussion or amendment only, at a regular business meeting of each of the Divisional Business Meetings of the three Divisions. After discussion, the proposed amendment must be submitted to a mail ballot in each Division. Passage of a proposed amendment requires a majority of the votes cast in each of the three Divisional mail ballots.
CALL FOR PROPOSALS FOR MINI-CONFERENCES

CALL FOR PROPOSALS FOR MINI-CONFERENCES HELD IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE AMERICAN PHILOSOPHICAL ASSOCIATION, PACIFIC DIVISION

The Pacific Division Executive Committee invites APA members to organize small conferences in conjunction with the annual meetings in 2008 and 2009. The APA Pacific Division will provide a venue and can also provide some support for such conferences.*

The purpose of this new program is to give members opportunities for collective exploration of important philosophical topics in more depth than is offered by the usual meeting schedule.

Members are invited to submit proposals. Proposals received by March 1, 2006**, will be considered by the Pacific Division Executive Committee at its meeting in March 2006. The proposal should describe the topic of the conference and explain its timeliness and importance, and its potential audience; identify prospective speakers; and specify what support will be needed to hold the conference, and what contribution (in addition to meeting space) the Pacific Division is asked to make. Conference organizing committees should include at least one member of the Pacific Division.

Please contact Secretary-Treasurer Anita Silvers (asilvers@sfsu.edu) if you would like more information. Proposals should be sent by email and should be submitted by March 1, 2006, to be considered by the Executive Committee at its March 2006 meeting.

*Up to $5,000 can be made available to defray direct expenses of the mini-conference.

**Proposals received after this date will be considered by the Executive Committee at the next possible opportunity.
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PORTLAND ATTRACTIONS AND RESTAURANTS

Use this section to plan visits to restaurants, museums, and performances, and excursions to other parts of Oregon. (Check out our recommendations for day trips within reach of Portland.) For more information, visit Portland Oregon Visitors Association: http://www.travelportland.com/

Of note: Oregon has no sales tax. Portland Big Deal (from the Portland Oregon Visitors Association) offers discounts on tax-free shopping, attractions, dining, transportation, and performances. 877-678-5263 or URL above.

TriMet’s 44-mile MAX (Metropolitan Area Express) offers train-to-plane service and door-to-door access to one of the richest collections of visitor attractions. A ten-minute ride from downtown will deliver you to Washington Park station, the deepest underground transit station in North America. The stop, 260-feet below the Earth’s surface, provides nearby access to Washington Park, the Oregon Zoo, the World Forestry Center Discovery Museum, the Vietnam Veterans Living Memorial, Hoyt Arboretum, and the Portland Children’s Museum.

The Portland Streetcar system links Portland’s downtown Cultural District, the Pearl District, the Northwest/Nob Hill neighborhood, and Portland State University. The sleek Euro-designed streetcars follow a six-mile loop route that travels through the PSU campus, downtown Portland, the art gallery-filled Pearl District, and Nob Hill, known for its outstanding restaurants and upscale shopping. Like all Portland buses and MAX light rail trains, there will be no fee to ride the streetcar in Portland’s “Fareless Square” district.

Of note: Established in 1974, Portland Saturday Market is the largest outdoor arts and crafts market in continuous operation in the United States. It also houses lots of international food stands, and vendors of cheese, honey, baked goods, and other local food products. The Portland Saturday Market nestles beneath the Burnside Bridge between SW Front Ave and SW 1st Ave. You can walk there from downtown along SW 1st Street, or take Portland’s “Fareless Square” public transportation.

RESTAURANTS

The Hilton has three restaurants:

Alexander’s, an upscale restaurant on the 23rd floor. Open for dinner, excellent views.

Bistro 921, casual restaurant and bar in the lobby. Open for breakfast, lunch, and dinner.
**Porto Terra Tuscan Grill and Bar**, in the lobby of the Hilton Executive Tower across the street. Open for breakfast, lunch, and dinner. (Try the homemade gravelax for breakfast.)

Portland has many restaurants within walking distance of the hotel, and public transportation in the downtown area is free (within what is called “fareless square”).

$$ = Most entrees at or around $15 or less.

$$ = Most entrees at or around $20.

$$$ = Most entrees $25 or more.

I. Easy Walking Distance from Hotel: Highly Recommended (Advance reservations advised)

**The Heathman.** 1001 SW Broadway. 503-790-7752. Well-executed old-fashioned haute cuisine. $$-$$$  

**Higgins.** 1239 SW Broadway. 503-222-9070. Well known for Northwest cuisine. $$$

**Jake’s Famous Crawfish.** 401 SW 12th Ave. 503-226-1419. Famous old Portland seafood place—there’s a reason this place has been open since 1892. $$$ (Also see Jake’s Grill, 611 SW 10th & Alder, 503-220-1850)

**Morton’s.** 213 SW Clay St. 503-248-2100. Classic steak house. $$$

**Pazzo Ristorante.** 627 SW Washington St. 503-228-1515. Modern Italian elegantly presented. $$-$$$

**P. F. Chang’s.** 1139 NW Couch St. 503-432-4000. Excellent Chinese, upscale glitzy environment.

**Ruth’s Chris Steak House.** 309 SW 3rd Ave. 503-221-4518. Classic steak house. $$$

**Saucebox.** 214 SW Broadway. 503-241-3393. Place for Portland’s cool crowd. Go here as much for the atmosphere as for the interesting pan-Asian food. $$-$$$

**Southpark Seafood Grill & Wine Bar.** 503-326-1300. Northwestern specializing in seafood. Very attractive; reliably good. $$-$$$ 

**Typhoon!** 410 SW Broadway. 503-224-8285. Excellent upscale Thai. Exotic, tasty, and artfully presented. $$-$$$

**Veritable Quandary.** 1220 SW 1st Ave. 503-227-7342. Somewhat eclectic, but mostly Northwest and Italian. Favorite of the local movers and shakers. $$

II. Easy Walking Distance from Hotel: Recommended

**Alexis.** 215 W. Burnside St. 503-224-8577. OK Greek food. $$

**Al-Amir.** 233 SW Stark St. 503-274-0010. Good Lebanese food. Belly dancer on weekend nights. $$

**Carafe.** 200 SW Market St. 503-248-0004. Plain French, not fancy. $$

**Dragonfish Asian Café.** 909 SW Park Ave. 503-243-5991. Good pan-Asian (mostly Chinese). $$
El Gaucho. 319 SW Broadway. 503-227-8794. For serious meat-eaters; dry-aged Angus beef, etc. $$$


Golden Horse Seafood Restaurant. 238 NW 4th Ave. 503-228-1688. Probably the best Chinese restaurant in Portland’s Chinatown.

Harrison. 838 SW Park Ave. 503-299-6161. Classic American-style food very nicely presented. $$-$-$$$

Karam Lebanese Cuisine. 316 SW Stark St. 503-223-0830. Probably better than Al-Amir, and nicer environment. $$

Mama Mia Trattoria. 439 2nd Ave. 503-295-6464. Solid old-fashioned Italian. $$

McCormick & Schmick’s Seafood Restaurant. 235 SW First & Oak. 503-224-7522. The original of the chain. $$$

Montego Bay. 1239 SW Jefferson St. 503-228-1277. Jamaican. $$

Mother’s Bistro and Bar. 409 SW 2nd Ave. 503-464-1122. For those who prefer “comfort foods” that remind them of home, without surprises. $$

Restaurant Bleu. 921 SW Morrison St. 503-294-9770. Tuesday through Friday only (6:00-9:00); associated with the Western Culinary Institute, cooks are students—when they’re good, dinner here can be excellent. $$

Restaurant Murata. 200 SW Market St. 503-227-0080. Sushi. $$

Red Star Tavern and Roast House. 503 SW Alder St. 503-222-0005. Very comfortable atmosphere; traditional American food. $$-$-$$$

Sungari. 35 SW 1st Ave. 503-224-0800 Szechuan in a sophisticated setting. $$

III. Somewhat further from hotel, but still walking distance for good walkers.

Highest Recommendation

The Northwest quadrant of the city is probably the best area for restaurants in Portland. It is walkable from downtown, but it will probably be up to a half-hour’s walk each way. However, the Portland streetcar is free, clean, and very pleasant, and is easily accessible from the hotel (ask the concierge, who can also tell you what stop to get off for any of the following restaurants).

Andina. 1314 NW Glisan St. 503-228-9535. Nouvelle Peruvian beautifully prepared and presented. The Oregonian’s 2005 Restaurant of the Year. $$$

Basilico Ristorante & Enoteca. 500 NW 21st Ave. 503-223-2772. Roman cuisine, large portions. $$-$-$$$

Bluehour. 250 NW 13th Ave. 503-226-3394. Northwestern superbly wrought. A place for the wealthy, young, super-cool in the Pearl District (Portland’s most artsy area). $$-$-$$$

Eleni’s Philoxenia. 112 NW 9th Ave. 503-227-2158. OK, so maybe Cretan food does not deserve to get the “highest recommendation,” but if you like
Greek food, you will find this as good as it gets. $$$

**Hurley’s.** 1987 NW Kearney St. 503-295-6487. Outstanding nouvelle cuisine; award-winning chef. $$

**Lucy’s Table.** 704 NW 21st Ave. 503-226-6126. Northwest cuisine presented stylishly but without ostentation. Very reliable. $$

**Paley’s Place.** 1204 NW 21st Ave. 503-243-2403. Northwest cuisine superbly conceived and presented. Award-winning chef. $$$

**Park Kitchen.** 422 NW 8th Ave. 503-223-7275. Northwest cuisine, Pearl District style. Highly creative; simply outstanding at its best. Can be somewhat erratic, however. $$-$$$ 

**Pho Van Bistro.** 1012 NW Glisan St. 503-248-2172. If you are wondering how a Vietnamese place came to get a “highest recommendation,” try Pho Van. $$

**Ringside Steakhouse.** 2165 W. Burnside. 503-223-1513. The Platonic Form of steakhouses. You’ll forget it’s on Burnside once you are inside. Posh, since 1944. $$$

**Serratto.** 2112 NW Kearney St. 503-221-1195. Beautifully prepared and presented Northwest-Italian. $$

**Sungari Pearl.** 1105 NW Lovejoy St. 971-222-7327. Excellent Chinese food in a setting well-designed to make you forget you’re in a Chinese restaurant. Flashy and chic. $$


**Wildwood.** 1221 NW 21st Ave. 503-248-9663. Super-famous Northwest cuisine; award-winning chef with his own cookbook. $$$

IV. **Excellent restaurants within a half hour’s walk away but not in NW quadrant of the city**

**Three Degrees.** 1510 SW Harbor Way (at the RiverPlace Hotel). 503-295-6166. Northwest cuisine with beautiful river and mountain views. $$-$$$ 

**Clarklewis.** 1001 SE Water Ave. 503-235-2294. Some count this as Portland’s finest Northwest cuisine. A bit hard and industrial in ambiance, but the food is superb. A walk across the river to the other side would be great on a nice night. The Oregonian’s 2004 Restaurant of the Year. $$-$--$$$

V. **Also recommended, if you can get there, but not for walkers**

**Genoa.** 2832 SE Belmont St. 503-238-1464. Perhaps Portland’s most famous restaurant. Contemporary Italian elegance. No menu—you get what the chef has decided to do in seven courses (for $75). Even Genoa can have off-nights, however. $$-$--$$$

**Castagna.** 1752 SE Hawthorne Blvd. 503-231-7373. Ecclectic best. $$

**Fife.** 4440 NE Fremont St. 971-222-3433. If you think American food can’t be wonderful, try Fife. $$-$--$$$

VI. Breakfast through Late Night Restaurants

**Island Joe’s Tropical Café.** 538 SW Alder St. 503-973-5637. Breakfast, lunch, and dinner. Beignets that beat New Orleans for breakfast, inexpensive Caribbean food, Jamaican Blue Mountain Coffee. Joe’s is a recommended free wireless hotspot. $-$$

**The Original Pancake House.** 8601 SW 24th Ave. 503-246-9007. Grab a newspaper and be prepared to wait awhile. This is the “original” Original Pancake House. Their signature items include the Apple Pancake, a single, large pancake smothered with sautéed apples and cinnamon sugar baked to perfection, creating a deliciously rich cinnamon sugar glaze. $

**Mother’s Bistro and Bar.** 409 SW 2nd Ave. 503-464-1122. An homage to home cooking draws in the faithful for brunch at this bright, sunny space. Multi-ethnic comfort food for lunch and dinner. Excellent children’s menu and child friendly. But call ahead for reservations on weekends. $-$$

**Bijou.** 132 SW Third Ave. 503-222-3187. This downtown brunch spot bustles with vigor with its daily rotating menu and lively atmosphere. Bijou offers traditional breakfast and lunch staples but also features a daily changing menu with seasonal dishes and chef’s specials. Finish it all off with the legendary $5 milk shake—a smooth, frothy treat. $-$$

**The Roxy.** 1121 SW Stark St. 503-223-9160. All-night diner. Big, bookish menus are full of treats, including a breakfast menu with pancake offerings named after celebrities like Pamela Anderson and Dolly Parton, depending on how stacked you like them. The burgers are huge—Boxx’s burger is loaded with bacon, mushrooms, and Tillamook cheddar. Sandwiches include the Paul Reubens Reuben, slippery with spicy meat. $-$$

**Fernando’s Hideaway.** 824 SW 1ST Ave. 503-248-4709. This swanky tapas joint manages to be both cosmopolitan and comfortable. Large selection of tapas. Free salsa lessons 9:00-10:00 p.m. Open Thursday-Saturday to 2:30 a.m. $$-$$$-

**Brasserie Montmartre.** 626 SW Park Ave. 503-224-5552. Smother your senses with nightly live jazz, prime people watching, and traditional French brasserie fare at this eclectic, kitschy downtown bistro. If service is slow, beckon the wandering magician to your table to distract you. $$-$$$-

**Brewpubs Near the Portland Hilton**

Downtown Portland is at the intersection of the NW, SW, and SE quarters. None of these locations is very far away from the conference hotel.

**Full Sail Brewing Pilsener Room at Riverplace**
0307 SW Montgomery, Portland, OR 97201, Phone: 503-222-5343. This one is on the waterfront.

**McMenamin’s Crystal Ballroom Brewery/Ringler’s Pub**
1332 West Burnside, Portland, OR 97205, Phone: 503-225-0047. See a band in the ballroom! Have a brew in the pub! **All McMenamin’s pubs offer the house brews. See also:**
The Original McMenamin’s Tavern
1716 NW 23rd

The Blue Moon Tavern and Grill
432 NW 21st

The Market Street Pub
1526 SW 10th

The Ram’s Head
2282 NW Hoyt

Rock Bottom Brewery
210 SW Morrison Street, Portland, OR 97204, Phone: 503-796-2739

Tugboat Brewpub and Cafe
711 SW Ankeny Street, Portland, OR 97205, Phone: 503-226-2508

Bridgeport Brewing

Old Lompoc
1616 NW 23rd Avenue, Portland, OR 97210, Phone: 503-225-1855.

Portland Brewing
2730 NW 31st Avenue, Portland, OR 97210, Phone: 503-226-7623.

Portland Brewing #2
Flanders Street Pub, 1339 NW Flanders Street, Portland, OR 97209, Phone: 503-222-5910

Lucky Labrador Brewing Company
915 SE Hawthorne Boulevard, Portland, OR 97214, Phone: 503-236-3555. This one is just across the Hawthorne Bridge, but worth the trip. Buses run frequently.

Wine Bars Near the Portland Hilton

Oregon Wines On Broadway/Wine Bar, too
515 SW Broadway, Portland, OR, 503-228-4655. Highly recommended and easy walking distance.

Southpark Seafood Grill & Wine Bar
901 SW Salmon St., Portland, OR 97205, 503-326-1300. Highly recommended, easily walkable, and a great restaurant as well.

Blue Tango Bistro and Wine Bar
930 NW 23rd Avenue, Portland, OR, 503-221-1466. Pretty far North in the Northwest. Walkable for those who take the streetcar, however.
Urban Wine Works & Wine Bar
407 NW 16th Avenue, Portland, OR 97209 503-226-9797. NW district. Long walk, or take the streetcar (as per instructions on restaurants).

Vino Paradiso (Formerly Vigne Wine Bar)
417 NW 10th Avenue, Portland, OR, 503-295-9536. One of the best, but a long walk.

PORTLAND NIGHTLIFE

XV (15 SW 2nd Ave): Cosmopolitan, yet unpretentious, bar and lounge. Outdoor seating.

Berbati’s Pan (231 SW Ankeny St): One of Portland’s three hubs for the national alternative-independent live music scene. Contains a separate bar with outdoor seating.

Bluehour (250 NW 13th Ave): The chicest bar in the chicest restaurant in the chicest neighborhood in Portland.

CC Slaughter’s (200 NW 3rd Ave): Portland’s most happening gay dance club.

Dante’s (1 SW 3rd Ave): The second of Portland’s three hubs for the national alternative-independent live music scene. On some evenings, this venue is on the racy side.

Doug Fir (830 E Burnside St): The third of Portland’s three hubs for the national alternative-independent music scene. Part of a complex that contains a bar, a separate club featuring live bands and DJs, a 24-hour restaurant, and one of the hippest motels on the planet. Until word spread to the suburbs, this was the place to be in Portland.

East Chinatown Lounge (322 NW Everett St): Ultra-hip lounge with live DJs of variable quality. Food served until closing. Outdoor seating.

Ember’s Avenue (110 NW Broadway): Portland’s first major gay dance club. Eclectic and hetero-friendly crowd.

Fernando’s Hideaway (824 SW 1ST Ave): Ethnically diverse and cosmopolitan salsa club. Excellent tapas served until late at night.

Gilt (306 NW Broadway): Hip, offbeat, and intimate cocktail lounge.

Higgins (1239 SW Broadway): Traditional, yet unpretentious, bar in one of Portland’s most venerable restaurants. Within close walking distance of the Portland Hilton. Fine wine selection and perhaps over one hundred varieties imported beer available in bottle. Excellent bar menu, though the full restaurant menu is also available.

Huber’s (411 SW 3rd Ave): Buried in the opulent interior lounge of what was once an opulent turn-of-the-century hotel, this has to be one of the most interesting and eclectic bars on the planet. On any given night, there’s a strong possibility that see well-heeled software executives, hipsters dressed to the nines, members of the Portland Trail Blazers, and local politicos. Table seating and candlelight. Legendary for its Spanish coffee.
Le Happy (1011 NW 16th Ave): Intimate, candlelit wine-bar and creperie, with a lovely staff. Outdoor seating.

Low Brow Lounge (1036 NW Hoyt St): A cozy, comfortable, and hip bar in Portland’s Pearl District. Outdoor seating.

Muu-Muu’s (612 NW 21st Ave): One of the hippest bars in Northwest. Good bar food. Outdoor seating.

Portland City Grill (111 SW Fifth Ave Ste 30): Located on the 30th floor of the Unico Bank Tower, the bar for this exclusive restaurant offers the finest panoramic views to be found in downtown Portland.

Rialto Poolroom (529 SW 4th Ave): Portland’s legendary pool hall and bar.

Ringside Steakhouse (2165 W Burnside St): An exceptionally cozy bar and lounge in Portland’s oldest and most venerable steakhouse. Excellent and affordable late-night menu.

Shanghai Tunnel (211 SW Ankeny St): Predominantly, though not exclusively, for the young, hip, and pierced. Outdoor seating.

Virginia Cafe (725 SW Park Ave): A cozy pub with comfortable private booths, located within close walking distance of the Portland Hilton. Outdoor seating.

CULTURAL ATTRACTIONS WITHIN WALKING, STREETCAR, OR MAX LIGHT RAIL DISTANCE FROM HOTEL

Chinese Garden: The Portland Classical Chinese Garden began as a dream in the early 1980s. It became more focused when Portland and Suzhou, China, became sister cities in 1988 and the idea of a building a Suzhou-style garden in Portland became a goal. This garden is based on a garden built in Suzhou during the Ming Dynasty (1368-1644 AD). Some plants are more than 100 years old and were transplanted from gardens and nurseries in Oregon. The Garden is located between NW 2nd and 3rd and NW Everett and Flanders in Old Town/Chinatown. It’s walkable from our hotel, or travel on the streetcar.

End of the Oregon Trail Interpretive Center: Lewis and Clark showed it could be done. Beginning in the 1840s more than 300,000 people began their Oregon Trail journey, living and writing their stories, one day at a time. Share in these stories through living history presentations, an experience in digital cinema, exhibits of artifacts and heirlooms from the trail and pioneer living activities provide a unique and one-of-a-kind experience. 1726 Washington St., Oregon City. 503.657.9336. www.HistoricOregonCity.com

Governor Tom McCall Waterfront Park: Walk east a few blocks to the Willamette River, which runs through Portland. This is a great place to jog.

Northwest Film Center: 829 SW 9th Avenue. 503-221-1156. Call for current film series. www.nwfilm.org/
Portland Attractions and Restaurants

Oldtown/Chinatown: The original Portland. A vibrant neighborhood that includes the nationally recognized Skidmore Historic District as well as the Historic Japantown New Chinatown District. This is the home of the Saturday Market. Walk or take the streetcar.

Oregon Historical Society: 1200 SW Park Avenue. 503-306-5198. The OHS artifacts collection comprises over 85,000 artifacts, including ancient objects from the earliest settlements, and objects that illustrate exploration in the Oregon Country, the growth of business and industry, the development of artwork and crafts, and maritime history, among many other topics. The OHS Research Library contains one of the country’s most extensive collections of state history materials. www.ohs.org/

Oregon Museum of Science and Industry (OMSI): One of the nation’s top ten science museums. Inspect a fast-attack submarine, visit the Northwest’s largest planetarium, and touch a tornado. Five exhibit halls and eight science labs. 1945 S.E. Water Ave., east bank of the Willamette River. 503-797-4000. www.omsi.edu

Oregon Zoo: Founded in 1887, the Oregon Zoo is the oldest zoological park west of the Mississippi. About 200 species of birds, mammals, reptiles, amphibians, and invertebrates are in the collection. Train rides, a thrill ride, and Lorikeet Landing (where the birds perch on your hand looking for a handout). Majority of exhibits are well protected even if it rains. The MAX Blueline and Redline both go to the Zoo. 4001 SW Canyon Rd., Portland. 503-226-1561. www.oregonzoo.org

Pearl District: The Pearl, a northwest Portland gem, used to be an area of old warehouses and lots filled with weeds and litter. Now it’s full of trendy galleries, boutiques, and restaurants, as well as one of Powell’s bookstore sites (1005 W. Burnside). An excellent Whole Foods store offers lots of local products (cheeses, baked goods, fruits and vegetables, smoked fish, and so on) at supermarket prices. Walkable, or take the streetcar.

Portland Art Museum: 1219 SW Park Ave., Portland. 503-226-2811. The oldest art museum in the Pacific Northwest. The museum has just acquired and renovated an adjoining historic building that nearly doubles its exhibition space and houses critic Clement Greenberg’s personal collection of art. Among the permanent exhibits of its 32,000 art works and artifacts is an excellent Center for Native American Art. www.portlandartmuseum.org


Portland Japanese Garden: Perhaps one of the most authentic Japanese gardens outside Japan, the Portland Japanese Garden is situated about 500 feet above sea level in the hills surrounding the city, just above the International Rose Test Garden. There are five separate gardens: strolling pond, tea, natural, sand and stone, and flat. The azaleas should be in bloom during our meeting. The MAX Blueline and Redline both go to the

Portland Rose Garden: Five acres in Washington Park were set aside here as a rose-growing test garden in 1917. During World War I, the Portland Rose Garden became a haven for varieties European growers feared would be destroyed during the bombing, and today it is the oldest official, continuously operated public rose test garden in the United States with more than 8,000 rose plants. Spectacular views of Mt. Hood.

**PERFORMANCE SITES WITHIN WALKING, STREETCAR, OR MAX LIGHT RAIL DISTANCE FROM HOTEL**


**Oregon Symphony**, 1037 SW Broadway at Main, 800-228-7343, www.orsymphony.org

(And further away)

**Portland Baroque Orchestra**, Reed College, 800-494-8497 www.pbo.org


**TAKE TO THE WATER (WILLAMETTE RIVER RIDES)**

**The Sternwheeler Rose**: A working paddle-wheeler that runs exclusively on the Willamette River. Cruises depart from the submarine dock at the Oregon Museum of Science and Industry (OMSI). Sternwheeler Rose offers dinner cruises, brunch cruises and popular one-hour harbor tours. Phone: 503-286-7673

**The Portland Spirit**: offers lunch, brunch and dinner cruises. Saturday moonlight dance cruises are also available. Also, Columbia River Adventures: several cruises on the scenic Columbia river, Victorian Astoria, historic Fort Clatsop. Phone: 503-224-3900, or 800-224-3901

**ATTRACTIONS WITHIN DRIVING DISTANCE OF PORTLAND**

**Mt. St. Helens National Volcanic Monument**: Mt. St. Helens erupted in 1980. There have been 21 subsequent eruptions, and lesser volcanic activity, since then. (Not to worry! Geologists fly into the crater regularly to check it out. There’ll be a lengthy warning period preceding activity of significant magnitude. And you can view the volcano from a visitor’s center.) Hiking,
climbing, and camping in various parts of the park, which range from 1.25 hrs to 3.50 hrs drive from Portland.

**Multnomah Falls:** Plummets 620 feet from its origins on Larch Mountain, Multnomah Falls is the second highest year-round waterfall in the nation. At the base of the falls lies historic Multnomah Falls Lodge. Built in 1925, the lodge provides fine northwest cuisine with a panoramic view from your table. 503-695-2372. Located off Interstate 84 or on the Historic Columbia River Highway (Oregon Highway 30). Eastbound from Portland—Take I-84 eastbound for approximately 30 miles. Take exit 31 off of I-84 ~or~ Take I-84 to exit 28 (Bridal Veil exit) continue east for three more miles on Columbia River Gorge Scenic highway. ~or~ Scenic Loop begins in Troutdale. Follow signs for Scenic Loop drive.

**WHALE WATCHING**

Our meeting takes place during spring whale watching week, March 19-25.

Whale watching for gray whales on migration began in the 1970s. Gray whales can be seen on the northbound migration from November to December or on the southward from February to April. Depoe Bay is the centre for whale watching. Other ports are Newport, Charleston and Garibaldi. Average cost is $20 for a three-hour tour, though in Depoe Bay, it is possible to see whales for $7 on a one-hour tour.

Some whale watching tour companies are:

**Eco Tours of Oregon Day Tours**, 3127 SE 23rd Ave. Portland, OR 97202, Phone 503-245-1428, Fax 503-245-1428, Toll Free 888-TOURS-33

**Tradewinds Charters**, PO. Box 123, Highway 101, Downtown Depoe Bay, Oregon 97341. 800.445.8730, 541.765.2345, charterme@tradewindscharters.com

**Sea Gull Charters, Inc.**, 343 SW Bay Blvd., Newport, Oregon 97365. Phone 541-265-7441, Fax 541-265-3930, toll free 1-800-865-7441, letscharter@seagullcharters.com

**Newport Tradewinds**, 653 SW Bay Blvd. Newport, Oregon 97365, 541.265.2101, Toll Free 1.800.676.7819, Email: info@newporttradewinds.com

**For more tour companies, and places to stay, call** Oregon Coast Visitor Assn. 888-628-2101.

**WINE TASTING**

A list of wine touring services in Oregon

**Adventures in Wine**

PO Box 30806, Portland, OR 97294, 503-256-5673

**Custom Oregon Wine Country Tours**

503-672-9985 or 1-800-491-TOUR (9463), FAX: 503-672-7656
Grape Escape Winery Tours
Portland, Oregon, 503-283-3380, Web Page: http://www.winesnw.com/GrapeEscapeTours.htm, Email: grrrape@GrapeEscapeTours.com

EcoTours of Oregon
888-TOURS-33

Oregon Wine Tours
Serving Oregon's Willamette Valley, (Or other regions by arrangement), Email: ron@orwinetours.com, 503-681-WINE (9463)

Pacific Northwest Sightseeing Tours
503-640-3002

Sea to Summit Tours
Northern Willamette Valley tours, Portland, OR, 503-286-9333

Wine Tours Northwest
Personalized Oregon Wine Tours, 503-439-TOUR (8687), Outside Portland—1-800-359-1034

Off the Beaten Path Tours
Serving Eugene, Portland, and the Oregon Coast, Junction City, OR, 541-998-2450, Email: tours@epud.net

A list of Oregon Winery Websites
http://www.oregonwine.org/
http://www.willamettewines.com/
http://www.oregonwine.com/
http://www.oregonwines.com/
http://www.winesnw.com/orhome.html

A List of Wineries
Adelsheim Vineyard
Amity Vineyards
Andrew Rich Wines
Ankeny Vineyard Winery
Archery Summit
Argyle Winery
Beaux Frères
Belle Pente
Bergström
Bethel Heights Vineyard
Brick House
Brooks Wines
Carabella Vineyard
Chehalem
Coleman Vineyard
Cooper Mountain Vineyards
Cristom Vineyards
Cuneo Cellars
Dobbes Family Estate
Domaine Drouhin Oregon
Domaine Serene Winery
Duck Pond Cellars
Elk Cove Vineyards
Erath Vineyards
Evesham Wood Vineyard
The Eyrie Vineyards
Francis Tannahill
J. Albin Winery
Ken Wright Cellars
Lange Winery
Laurel Ridge
Lemelson Vineyards
Montinore Estate
Panther Creek Cellars
Patricia Green Cellars
Penner-Ash Wine Cellars
Ponzi Vineyards
Rex Hill Vineyards
Sineann
Sokol Blosser Winery
Soter Vineyards
St. Innocent Winery
St. Josef’s Winery
Torii Mor Winery
Tyee Wine Cellars
Willakenzie Estate
Witness Tree Vineyard
Downtown Portland: Neighborhoods, Pointers, and Lexicon

**Biking:** Ubiquitous in Portland, which may be the most bike-friendly town in the U.S.

**Gay Nightlife:** Though Old Town-Chinatown contains landmark nightclubs, The Ember’s Avenue and C. C. Slaughter’s, most of Portland’s gay nightlife can be found in a series of smaller bars, clubs, and restaurants along SW Stark between 13th and 10th Avenue.

**Jogging:** (1) Both banks of the Willamette River contain attractive waterside parks with jogging routes, and most bridges spanning the river are traversable on foot. (2) Washington Park is filled with gorgeous jogging routes of various levels of difficulty; transit to Washington Park is free on MAX’s Blue Line and takes roughly 10 minutes from Pioneer Square.

**MAX:** Portland’s system of light rail tram service. All routes can be caught at Pioneer Square, and transit is free within downtown Portland (the fareless square). Transit time to PDX takes around 30 minutes from downtown Portland and costs less than $3.

**Northwest:** The area surrounding the stretches of NW 21st and 23rd Avenue spanning from West Burnside to NW Thurman. Both 21st and 23rd Avenue are loaded with small boutiques, coffee shops, restaurants, and bars, and street life along these stretches is quite lively.

**Northwest Cuisine:** Culinary fare highlighting use of local fish, game, and seasonal vegetables, generally sourced from small local fishermen, ranchers, and organic farmers.

**Pioneer Square:** Often identified as the central point in downtown Portland, located two blocks north of the Portland Hilton along Broadway. A wireless hotspot.

**Portland Cultural District:** Area abutting the South Park Blocks, just west of the Portland Hilton; contains most of Portland’s major cultural institutions, including the newly expanded and remodeled Portland Art Museum.

**Old Town-Chinatown:** Aside from some beautiful turn-of-the century warehouse architecture, the Chinese Garden, and a small handful of coffee shops, there is little to be found here during the day. The area, however, is arguably the heart of downtown Portland’s nightlife for 20- and 30-somethings. Bordered roughly by NW Broadway, NW Hoyt, SW Ash, and the Willamette River.

**Pearl District:** Portland’s chicest neighborhood bears an uncanny, though surely non-accidental, resemblance to Tribeca in Lower Manhattan. The Pearl is home to Portland’s most beautifully restored warehouses, many of Portland’s finest art galleries, countless boutiques and design stores, a
thriving and nationally-renowned restaurant scene, and a few nightspots. Bordered roughly by NW 15th Avenue, NW Quinby, NW Broadway, and West Burnside.

**Portland Mercury**: One of Portland’s free weekly newspapers. A compendious guide to Portland news, culture, nightlife, and other happenings. Contains listings of virtually everything going on in Portland in a given week. Available at corner stores, coffee shops, restaurants, bars, and on many street corners.

**The Willamette Week**: The second of Portland’s free weekly newspapers. A compendious guide to Portland news, culture, nightlife, and other happenings. Contains listings of virtually everything going on in Portland in a given week. Available at corner stores, coffee shops, restaurants, bars, and on many street corners.

**Excursions from Portland**

Portland is perhaps unique among North American cities in the range of topography, scenery, and outdoor activities available within less than two-hours driving time. Some recommended daytrips are listed below. The Columbia Gorge and Mount Hood can be explored together with a long, though feasible, day-trip.

**The Columbia Gorge**: Formed by enormous glaciers during the Ice Age, the Columbia Gorge serves as a border between Oregon and Washington. Beautiful views, dense forest, and lovely parks can be found on either side the Gorge. On the Oregon side, the Historic Columbia River Parkway is the gateway to several dramatic vistas, spectacular waterfalls, and excellent hiking trails. The town of Hood River is the focal point for some of the best windsurfing in the U.S.

**Mount Hood**: Mount Hood is the site of some of the finest skiing in the Northwest. Equipment can be rented at Timberline Lodge, a gorgeous WPA structure that also contains a fine restaurant, a cozy lodge for lighter fare or drinks, and excellent overnight accommodations.

**The North Oregon Coast**: The North Oregon Coast is perhaps as beautiful, dramatic, and unblemished as any stretch of the Pacific coastline. The somewhat touristed Cannon Beach is the obvious starting point for a tour of the North Oregon Coast, though one should not miss the scenic, spectacular, and occasionally rugged state parks and beaches along the North Oregon Coast. The North Oregon Coast is an excellent location for biking, and the range of state parks offers countless hiking opportunities. Late March and April are the high point of whale-watching season on the Oregon Coast.

**The Willamette Valley**: Home to rolling hills, scenic farmland, and some of the world’s most diverse agriculture, the Willamette Valley is mainly known for its outstanding small vineyards and wineries, producers of Oregon’s legendary Pinot Noirs. Most wineries offer tastings and some provide tours of their vineyards and facilities. Be sure to obtain a map, as there are dozens of fine producers to choose from.
(Thanks to our local arrangements committee members—Aaron Bunch, Rebecca Copenhaver, Edward Cushman, Alejandro Santana, and Nick Smith—for assembling extensive information about things to do and places to go in and beyond Portland.)
CHILD CARE INFORMATION

DROP-IN DAYCARE

Little Person’s Learning Center, 128 SW Clay St., 503-222-5955, will provide drop-in childcare, Monday through Friday only. Please call ahead to find out about the required paperwork.

BABY-SITTING

Auntie Fay Agency, 503-293-6252
Care Givers Placement Agency, 503-244-6370
Child Care Choices, 503-973-5600, www.childcarechoicesinc.com

Information about a new program for APA subsidization of childcare and eldercare is posted on the APA website. For details about obtaining a subsidy for the meeting in Portland, please contact Katina Saunders at katina@udel.edu.