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Introduction

Clearly understanding industry’s quality assurance needs and expectations is critical in preparing industrial technology students to enter the workforce. A skilled quality team is often considered top priority by manufacturing companies facing tough competition and globalization. Implementing product changes quickly to meet accelerating customer demands and expectations can challenge both the quality system and the associated personnel. Companies strive to establish a strong quality management team and effective quality procedures, yet many employers find newly hired technical graduates unprepared to apply quality control concepts learned in the classroom. Skills and experiences beyond basic coursework are often necessary for meaningful and correct application of quality control concepts (Wise & Fair, 2001). Most technical manufacturing programs require some level of quality control training including basic coverage of quality management concepts and statistical data analysis, but offer little insight into implementation issues and the practical problems faced by industry (Balbontin & Taner, 2000). This approach can be quite effective in establishing a basic understanding of quality control theory, but may leave gaps in a student’s ability to successfully apply that understanding in the manufacturing environment.

According to Schenck (1993), quality control on the plant floor is practiced much differently than it is presented in the classroom with numerous opportunities for inaccurate data collection and unclear conclusions. Experienced quality professionals often find that newly hired graduates have difficulty with issues such as gaging, data interpretation, and conforming to a production and cost oriented environment. While many of these issues are a matter of experience, both industry professionals and the related literature indicate that applied quality concepts should be added to basic quality control curricula at the college level. Every effort should be made to strengthen students’ skills by combining theoretical knowledge with practical situations (Kemenade & Garre, 2000).

Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the needs and perceptions of industry concerning the quality assurance skills of recent graduates from four-year technology programs. Graduates’ skills are evaluated on both a theoretical and practical level from an industry perspective. Suggestions concerning quality assurance curriculum improvement are also included. By analyzing survey results, recent literature, and in-depth conversations with industry professionals, a platform is created for developing and improving quality control curricula. The procedure used to gain this information includes:

- In-depth conversations with industry professionals to identify needed skills and specific gaps in entry-level abilities
- Development and validation of a survey tool to evaluate recent graduates’ quality assurance skills and to determine needed improvements in typical quality assurance curricula
- Administering the survey and drawing conclusions from the results
Practical Requirements in Industry
Successfully applying quality control concepts on the shop floor requires the ability to overcome common problems such as incomplete data, inaccurate measurements, and non-normal distributions. In addition, understanding how the process and product impact the analysis of quality data is critical in making correct judgments according to Dorsey (2003). An understanding of basic data collection procedures and protocol and familiarity with quality standards and reference material is also expected. These requirements may seem overwhelming for those in entry-level positions, but exposure to a few key concepts before graduation can make the transition much easier. Demanding production schedules and cost constraints can be additional complications for the quality professional to overcome. The key to success is an ability to understand and address these problems without abandoning basic quality theory and standards.

Basic Sampling and Data Collection Standards
Complying with appropriate sampling procedures and documentation practices can be critical to the success of quality evaluations and process monitoring. The sampling method should contain enough data to conduct a complete analysis without the collection of unneeded information that distracts when drawing conclusions (Carey, 2002). Students often work “textbook” problems without ever considering how to actually design a study or collect data properly. As a result, questions can arise during initial work assignments such as:
- How many parts should be sampled?
- Should parts be identified and saved or returned to production?
- Should samples be drawn consecutively or taken over a period of time?
- What documentation should be generated as a result of the study?

Answers to these types of questions will vary depending on the particular situation, but an introduction to quality standards and procedures can help better prepare students (Anderson, 2003). A familiarity with available guidelines and how to locate them can be an important first step in addressing these issues. For example, students could be introduced to the sampling procedures for inspection by variables (American Society for Quality, 1993). They could then be assigned a project that requires the determination of appropriate sample size and procedure. Additional assignments might involve appropriate data collection and recording procedures for control charts as described by the American National Standard for Control Charts (American Society for Quality, 1996). Exposure to various standards and procedures outside the textbook provides practical experience that will be valued in industry. Gage Repeatability and Reproducibility When collecting data for analysis, ensuring that accurate gages and gaging methods are used is a critical first step. Hewson (1996) indicates that incorrect use of common measuring devices such as calipers and micrometers can be a particular problem. Measuring equipment and processes must be well controlled and suited for use in order to assure valid data collection (Little, 2001). Students who receive little or no practical experience with measuring devices have difficulty in understanding the seriousness of this issue. Some common pitfalls include:
- Choosing a gage with an inappropriate resolution
- Not understanding that different readings are often obtained when several people use the same instrument to measure the same part
- Not considering that slight differences in measuring techniques such as varying gaging pressure or alignment can result in significant differences in readings
- Using gages that are out of calibration and no longer measure accurately

Many quality control textbooks include a small section addressing basic Gage Repeatability and Reproducibility (Gage R&R) issues along with sample data and problems. Although this can be helpful in introducing the topic, text coverage is usually limited and may receive little emphasis. Given that inaccurate gages and gaging methods are common problems in industry, Anderson (2003) strongly promotes strengthening gage control coverage at the college level. Hands on projects involving Gage R&R studies and gage control allow students to integrate knowledge with practical situations, strengthening needed skills in the process (Kemenade & Garre, 2000).

The basic Gage R&R study involves a few operators measuring a small number of parts several times each. The study is designed to show how repeatable the measurements are when the gage is used by a number of operators. This type of analysis provides an excellent opportunity for students to practice and reinforce their knowledge.

Understanding the Process and Product
Before any quality control study begins, a clear understanding should exist of the process and product from which the data is to be drawn. Most quality control training in the classroom includes statistical analysis, control charting, and other basic techniques. Characteristics of particular processes and products and how they can influence test results are usually not emphasized. Without making this important link, opportunities for error and misinterpretation are endless. Errors involving incorrect subgrouping of data are particularly common in industry (Wise & Fair, 2001). Students may be quite competent in statistical analysis and quality control procedures yet still make serious errors by not fully understanding the process before analyzing data. Gaining the needed level of insight can be challenging in a basic quality control course. However, considering that every process has its own unique set of circumstances that
can impact proper data analysis, the opportunities to demonstrate this link are numerous. The following example highlights this connection.

Consider a drilling process that has a specified diameter of 0.500 inches with an allowable range of 0.498 – 0.503 inches. Most drilling processes have typical wear characteristics that first cause hole sizes to become smaller over time as the drill wears. As wear continues hole sizes actually become larger as the tool becomes less stable (Machinery’s Handbook, 200). Figure 1 shows a typical distribution of hole sizes for such a process.

Understanding this process and the associated tool wear pattern can be critical in determining sample size, sample frequency, and the appropriate distribution model to use in analysis. If a capability study for the immediate performance of the process is desired the samples might be taken consecutively or within a short time period. The dashed line in Figure 1 indicates the likely distribution for this scenario. If the process capability for the life of the tool is desired the data must be taken over time as the solid line illustrates. The distribution over the life of the tool is typically skewed and may require additional analysis to properly match it with the appropriate model (Spedding and Rawlings, 1994).

Examples such as this provide excellent opportunities to bring practical experience into the classroom. When students work with specific processes they are better able to understand the link between process knowledge and data analysis. A possible assignment might be to have students measure hole sizes using a new drill and a worn drill and compare results. Another possible exercise could involve students taking data from a drilling operation over the life of the tool and conducting a capability study. Some insights students can gain by studying a simple drilling process include:

- Many processes are not stable and naturally change over the life of the tool.
- The method of sample selection such as choosing samples consecutively or over time can have a drastic effect on the distribution and thus the capability study.
- Data from manufacturing processes is often non-normal and should be analyzed using the appropriate distribution before proceeding.

**Methodology**

In order to gain further insight into the skills needed by entry-level quality control personnel, a survey was developed and pre-tested with colleagues and industry professionals who have significant roles in quality control. Recommendations from the pre-test were considered in the final version of the survey (Suskie, 1996). The survey consisted of a five-point Likert scale and a rank order type question. The five-point Likert scale design consisted of: (1) strongly disagree, (2) disagree, (3) undecided, (4) agree, (5) strongly agree. Six knowledge areas in quality control were tested: basic statistics, statistical process control, measuring equipment, non-normal distributions, gage control and documentation standards. Each knowledge area was presented in a paired format consisting of a statement relating to theory and a second statement relating to applied skill.

The rank-order portion of the survey listed twelve items for the participants to consider. A blank was provided that allowed an additional item to be added if it was not already listed. Participants were asked to rank the top three quality control items (1,2,3; 1 = highest priority) that they felt most needed additional attention in four-year technical programs. This portion of the survey was evaluated by applying a number score to the ranked responses. Highest priority items were assigned a score of 3 followed by 2 and 1 for lower priority rankings.

The survey was distributed to managers and supervisors currently working in industry who have a significant role in quality control. A total of 28 questionnaires out of 40 were returned for a return rate of 70 percent.

**Summary of Findings**

The purpose of this paper was to evaluate the skills and abilities of newly hired graduates from the perspective of quality control professionals. Survey participants were also given an opportunity to suggest which areas of study most need further attention at the college level. The first part of the survey consisted of twelve statements that were rated according to the Likert scale described above. The results from this portion of the survey are shown in the bar chart in Figure 2.

Graduates’ theory and background knowledge for various quality areas were rated higher than practical skills in applying their knowledge. The gap between theory and applied skills was much larger for some knowledge areas compared to others. Since the survey consisted of a theory and practical understanding this process and the associated tool wear pattern can be critical in determining sample size, sample frequency, and the appropriate distribution model to use in analysis. If a capability study for the immediate performance of the process is desired the samples might be taken consecutively or within a short time period. The dashed line in Figure 1 indicates the likely distribution for this scenario. If the process capability for the life of the tool is desired the data must be taken over time as the solid line illustrates. The distribution over the life of the tool is typically skewed and may require additional analysis to properly match it with the appropriate model (Spedding and Rawlings, 1994).
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skills statement for each knowledge area, a paired t-test was employed to analyze the data (see Table 1).

The paired t-test was performed to determine the level of significance in the gap between theory and applied skills. The gap was found to be significant for basic statistics, statistical process control, and basic measuring equipment. Background knowledge or theory was rated higher than applied skills for most of the other knowledge areas, but the difference was not large enough to be considered statistically significant.

The second part of the survey required participants to rank the top three quality control items that most need further attention in four-year technical programs. Table 2 shows a complete listing of the responses and the associated scores for the second part of the survey. The results indicate that several specific applications of statistical theory should be given more attention in the classroom.

Figure 3 shows a pareto chart of the results by score for the top ten items suggested for additional emphasis. Capability studies and statistical process control are at the top of the list for further emphasis. Additional items such as design of experiments, economic aspects of quality, and gage control were also prominently mentioned. A basic understanding of statistics was also listed as a concern. Design of experiments and the economic aspects of quality are more specialized areas that may require additional courses that focus on these topics.

It is somewhat surprising that prominent topics such as capability studies, statistical process control, and gage control are listed as concerns. Most texts and quality control courses include these items as major topics. One reason for this perceived deficiency may be the level of exposure students receive in these areas. Based on the more in-depth personal interviews with industry professionals, students often have some understanding of the basic theory but little practical knowledge of the overall process or of problems typical of these studies. This may be explained in part by the typical textbook coverage of these topics. Problems and exercises often involve a given data set that is used to demonstrate various applications such as the calculation of control limits or \( C_p \) values. In many cases students are not exposed to criti-
clical ancillary issues such as determining the timing and size of sampling plans, dealing with inaccurate data collection, using basic measuring equipment, and relating the results of the study to specific characteristics of the process. An understanding of basic statistics is also listed as a concern. This may indicate a problem with prerequisite mathematics courses that provide a foundation for the study of quality control. It may also indicate that quality control curricula should further emphasize statistical theory that supports common quality applications.

Conclusions
Based on both the industry survey and in-depth conversations with industry professionals several areas of concern relating to quality control education were identified. The following recommendations are made considering this input:

- Provide additional coverage of the most common applications in quality such as capability studies, statistical process control, and gage control. These areas should be practiced enough to become second nature so that students are ready to apply them as they begin their careers.
- Supplement problem solving with hands-on exercises that encompass the entire process. Concentrate on areas that are associated with the largest gaps between theory and applied skills including basic statistics, statistical process control, and basic measuring equipment. If a laboratory section is not included in the course, bring sample parts to class along with calipers and micrometers. Require students to experience data collection, analysis, and interpretation all in one process to gain a better overall grasp of the method.
- Include discussions of specific process characteristics and how they influence the interpretation of data. An understanding of specific tool wear patterns, one-sided specifications, multiple part sources such as mold cavities, etc. can help instill the importance of process knowledge in data interpretation.
- Provide additional emphasis on statistical theory that directly relates to key quality control methods. Taking extra time to clearly define concepts such as standard deviation, the normal distribution, and the related probability characteristics can be critical in understanding capability studies, statistical process control, and gage repeatability and reproducibility.
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