Body Image and Mirror Use in the Ballet Class

Sally A. Radell, M.F.A., M.A., Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

Mirrors have long been used as teaching tools in ballet instruction and have proven to be a potent element in affecting perceptual attitudes toward body image. Body image is the perception, thoughts, and feelings we have about our bodies. A dancer’s personal vision of her body image is an important part of her psychological health and well-being and can help or hinder her dance performance in the studio.

Several dance studies have shown that heightened self-consciousness can contribute to the development of negative body image. Mirrors have the ability to entice individuals to see themselves externally as objects, and imagine how others view them in comparison to other dancers. This heightened self-consciousness may have a positive or negative psychological influence on the dancer, depending upon other individual and classroom factors such as technical difficulty of the dance phrase, experience level of the dancer, and degree of stress experienced when learning a phrase. Overall, researchers have concluded that mirror reflections of self, teacher, and other students affected students’ body image and level of distraction.

In a series of studies, Radell and colleagues set out to answer the following questions about mirror use: 1) How does the use of the mirror in dance class affect a student’s body image? 2) Does the technical level of a dancer affect how a dancer perceives his or her body image when using a mirror? 3) What are dance students’ preferences and opinions regarding the use of mirrors in the studio?

In a 2002 study, Radell and associates compared changes in body image of women college ballet dancers who trained in front of mirrors with ballet dancers who trained without mirrors. The study used the Cash Multidimensional Body Self-Relations Questionnaire (MBSRQ) to measure changes in a dancer’s body image. For dancers taught without mirrors, there was a significant increase in satisfaction with the appearance of different parts of their bodies. The dancers taught with mirrors, on the other hand, experienced significant dissatisfaction with the appearance of their body parts. In summary, these results show that the use of mirrors in the ballet studio may negatively affect dancers’ body image.

In a subsequent 2004 study, Radell and colleagues looked at the relationship between level of performance of dancers and their use or non-use of a mirror in the classroom. Technical performance skills were assessed using the Radell Evaluation Scale for Dance Technique (RESDT) which involved a videotaped evaluation process of dancers performing two phrases at the beginning and end of the semester. Two ballet teachers independently viewed the videotapes to evaluate the dancers’ rhythmic accuracy, ease and flow of movement, and mastery of steps and alignment, and rated the students’ skill level on a scale of 1-5. For analysis purposes, students whose scores averaged three or higher were categorized as “high performers,” and those who averaged less than three were “low performers.” These teachers had no knowledge during the evaluation process of which dancers were from the mirrored or non-mirrored class. They reported that higher skilled students performing without mirrors experienced a significant increase in body image scores, while similar higher performing students taught with mirrors had decreased body image scores.

Overall, it appears that the effect of mirrors on dancers’ body image may be dependent on varying factors such as performance skill level, comparison of self to others, and level of material taught. The effect is fluid in nature, with various factors overlapping and potentially influencing each other.

Current Study

The purpose of the current study was to further explore the dynamics of the interaction between body image and the mirror by using a research design that included the body image questionnaire (MBSRQ) and the Radell Qualitative...
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Others in the studio. Several other students stated explicitly they used the mirror to compare themselves physically with others in the studio. Several other students stated explicitly that the mirror made them feel badly about their bodies.

Low performing students in the mirror class decreased in how much they worried about their weight and significantly increased in how satisfied they felt with their appearance. Low performers in the non-mirror class, on the other hand, reported significant increases in how much they worried about their weight.

These results are inconsistent with our previous studies, and may perhaps be understood within the context of the learning process investigated by Kimmerle and Côté-Laurence, who found that learning among dancers is based on dance experience. Beginning dancers, for example, have a less developed ability to perceive, retain, and self-correct dance information than students with more experience in the dance studio. Because low performers had yet to sharpen their skills enough to perceive the full spectrum of their dance capabilities, they may have been less self-critical than higher level performers, and thus more satisfied with their overall appearance. The more experienced dancers have developed their abilities to observe, evaluate, and constructively use the “kinesthetic feedback” provided by their bodies, thus fine-tuning their performance over time. When they gaze into the mirror, higher performing dancers may become more critical of their performance than the lower performing students, who have a less developed ability to evaluate themselves technically.

Indeed, the less cultivated ability of the low performers to detect their technical mistakes, coupled with perceived expectations about the mirror, may have influenced them to find the study of ballet incomplete without mirrors. The mirror may have induced them to perceive themselves as “living” the full ballet experience, and thus reinforced their illusion of the “perfect” ballet class, enabling them to feel more at ease with their bodies. Conversely, the absence of the mirror may have induced the feeling that the ballet experience was incomplete, resulting in negative body image. This perception was reinforced by comments from students in the mirror class, including: “It was fun seeing myself look like a ballerina,” and “...It’s [use of mirror] essential for ballet to see if one is improving and doing everything properly.” Comments from students in the non-mirror class indicated that the quintessential ballet experience would have enhanced their skills of “grace and flow,” furthermore, “If I was serious about learning ballet, I would want a mirror.” This last comment clearly reflects the belief that the truly serious and legitimate ballet experience necessitates the use of mirrors.

Summary and Conclusions
To summarize, there were differences between students at higher performing levels and those at lower levels. As high

Performing students in the mirror setting were likely more able to detect errors in technique, they perhaps tended to become more self-critical because they could compare themselves to the other dancers in the studio. In this regard, we note that the mirror tends to serve as a negative influence, especially for higher performers, because comparison of the self to others may promote heightened self-consciousness and thus negative self-evaluation, which can be psychologically unfavorable for dancers.

Lower performing students, who perhaps had not yet developed a technical or critical eye, reported a different experience with and without mirrors than did higher performers. The low performers with mirrors tended to decrease in how much they worried about their weight and showed significant increases in satisfaction with overall appearance, while the low performers without the mirror reported increases in how much they worried about their weight. Perhaps the use of the mirror positively reinforces the low performers’ previous understanding of what constitutes the consummate ballet studio experience, and thereby helps them feel more comfortable with their weight.

Students accustomed to being taught with mirrors reported the mirror was useful for checking alignment and aided self-correction. However, several students acknowledged that mirrors can promote self-criticism and distraction. Perhaps this is because the use of the mirror can potentially distract them from fully trusting their kinesthetic feedback. The students who did not use a mirror did not overwhelmingly miss it; roughly half of them preferred not having a mirror.

The mirror can be an effective tool in the ballet classroom. It provides several benefits, including allowing a student to evaluate his or her technical growth and the ability to see the activities in the classroom from more perspectives, which can aid in the learning process. However, it is also a potent tool which can play a pivotal role in affecting how each dancer feels about his or her body image. Many overlapping factors come into play which influence the effect a mirror has on a dancer’s body image, including skill level, years of training, level of material taught, comparison of self to others, degree of stress when learning a phrase, or previous expectations of or experience in the ballet art form. It seems clear that mirrors are useful for some aspects of dance training but detrimental to others.

Dance educators should be judicious in recognizing and utilizing the benefits and understanding the disadvantages mirrors pose in the classroom and learn to use them knowledgeably and selectively. This awareness can result in selective ways to improve the teaching environment so that dance students may improve their body image and overall well-being. Following this paper is a handout that provides some guidelines for teachers to consider when instructing students in the dance technique classroom.
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