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10 Security Risk Analysis Myths

1. The security risk analysis is optional for small providers. **FALSE**

2. Simply installing a certified EHR fulfills the security risk analysis MU requirement. **FALSE**

3. My EHR vendor took care of everything I need to do about privacy and security. **FALSE**

4. I have to outsource the security risk analysis. **FALSE**

5. A checklist will suffice for the risk analysis requirement. **FALSE**
10 Security Risk Analysis Myths

6. There is a specific risk analysis method that I must follow. **FALSE**

7. My security risk analysis only needs to look at my EHR. **FALSE**

8. I only need to do a risk analysis once. **FALSE**

9. Before I attest for an EHR incentive program, I must fully mitigate all risks. **FALSE**

10. Each year, I’ll have to completely redo my security risk analysis. **FALSE**
What is a Risk Analysis?

OCR’s guidance is not prescriptive…

The following questions adapted from NIST Special Publication (SP) 800-66 are examples organizations could consider as part of a risk analysis. These sample questions are not prescriptive and merely identify issues an organization may wish to consider in implementing the Security Rule:

- Have you identified the e-PHI within your organization? This includes e-PHI that you create, receive, maintain or transmit.
- What are the external sources of e-PHI? For example, do vendors or consultants create, receive, maintain or transmit e-PHI?
- What are the human, natural, and environmental threats to information systems that contain e-PHI?

What is Risk Assessment?

The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) Security Rule requires that covered entities conduct a risk assessment of their healthcare organization. A risk assessment helps your organization ensure it is compliant with HIPAA’s administrative, physical, and technical safeguards. A risk assessment also helps reveal areas where your organization’s protected health information (PHI) could be at risk. Watch the Security Risk Analysis video to learn more about the assessment process and how it benefits your organization or visit the Office for Civil Rights’ official guidance.

Read the HHS Press Release.

https://www.healthit.gov/providers-professionals/security-risk-assessment
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Risk Management vs. Risk Analysis

- **Risk Management:** The ongoing, continuous process of identifying risk, assessing risk, and taking steps to reduce risk to an acceptable level.

- **Risk Analysis:** The process of identifying the risks to system security and determining the probability of occurrence, the resulting impact, and additional safeguards that would mitigate this impact.

- **Per NIST SP 800-30:**
  - Risk analysis is a necessary risk management activity.
  - “Risk Analysis” is synonymous with “Risk Assessment.”
HIPAA Requirements

§ 164.308 Administrative safeguards.

(a) A covered entity or business associate must, in accordance with § 164.306:

(1) (ii) Implementation specifications:

(A) Risk analysis (Required).
Conduct an accurate and thorough assessment of the potential risks and vulnerabilities to the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of electronic protected health information held by the covered entity or business associate.

(B) Risk management (Required).
Implement security measures sufficient to reduce risks and vulnerabilities to a reasonable and appropriate level to comply with § 164.306(a).

“Addressable” versus “Required”
Conducting a risk analysis is the first step in identifying and implementing safeguards that comply with and carry out the standards and implementation specifications in the Security Rule. Therefore, a risk analysis is foundational, and must be understood in detail before OCR can issue meaningful guidance that specifically addresses safeguards and technologies that will best protect electronic health information.

The guidance is not intended to provide a one-size-fits-all blueprint for compliance with the risk analysis requirement. Rather, it clarifies the expectations of the Department for organizations working to meet these requirements. An organization should determine the most appropriate way to achieve compliance, taking into account the characteristics of the organization and its environment.

We understand that the Security Rule does not prescribe a specific risk analysis methodology, recognizing that methods will vary dependent on the size, complexity, and capabilities of the organization. Instead, the Rule identifies risk analysis as the foundational element in the process of achieving compliance, and it establishes several objectives that any methodology adopted must achieve.

See full statement at:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HIPAA Violation</th>
<th>Minimum Penalty</th>
<th>Maximum Penalty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unknowing</td>
<td>$100 per violation, with an annual maximum of $25,000 for repeat violations</td>
<td>$50,000 per violation, with an annual maximum of $1.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reasonable Cause</td>
<td>$1,000 per violation, with an annual maximum of $100,000 for repeat violations</td>
<td>$50,000 per violation, with an annual maximum of $1.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willful neglect but violation is</td>
<td>$10,000 per violation, with an annual maximum of $250,000 for repeat violations</td>
<td>$50,000 per violation, with an annual maximum of $1.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>corrected within the required time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willful neglect and is not corrected</td>
<td>$50,000 per violation, with an annual maximum of $1.5 million</td>
<td>$50,000 per violation, with an annual maximum of $1.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>within required time period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Penalty Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Massachusetts Amherst (UMass)</td>
<td>November, 2016</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Joseph Health</td>
<td>October, 2016</td>
<td>$2,140,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care New England Health System</td>
<td>September, 2016</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate Health Care Network</td>
<td>August, 2016</td>
<td>$5,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Mississippi Medical Center</td>
<td>July, 2016</td>
<td>$2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon Health &amp; Science University</td>
<td>July, 2016</td>
<td>$2,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Health Care Services of the Archdiocese of Philadelphia</td>
<td>June, 2016</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York Presbyterian Hospital</td>
<td>April, 2016</td>
<td>$2,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raleigh Orthopaedic Clinic, P.A. of North Carolina</td>
<td>April, 2016</td>
<td>$750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feinstein Institute for Medical Research</td>
<td>March, 2016</td>
<td>$3,900,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Memorial Health Care of Minnesota</td>
<td>March, 2016</td>
<td>$1,550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete P.T., Pool &amp; Land Physical Therapy, Inc.</td>
<td>February, 2016</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lincare, Inc.</td>
<td>February, 2016</td>
<td>$239,800</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
HIPAA Violations & Penalties

Expect penalties related to risk assessments to increase…

1. Scope of the Analysis
2. Data Collection
3. Identify and Document Potential Threats and Vulnerabilities

- What are the legal requirements involved?
- What systems are used?
- What locations are involved?
- What applications are used?
- What data are involved?
- What devices are involved?
- What safeguards are used?
- What is the scope of the analysis?
- What are the key stakeholders?
- What threats are involved?
4. Assess Current Security Measures
5. Determine the Likelihood of Threat Occurrence
6. Determine the Potential Impact of Threat Occurrence
Risk Assessment Elements (3/3)

7. Determine the Level of Risk
8. Finalize Documentation
9. Periodic Review and Updates to the Risk Assessment
Risk Assessment Models

- Do-It-Yourself (DIY)
- Hybrid using Tools/Solutions
- Third Party

“We understand that the Security Rule does not prescribe a specific risk analysis methodology, recognizing that methods will vary dependent on the size, complexity, and capabilities of the organization. Instead, the Rule identifies risk analysis as the foundational element in the process of achieving compliance, and it establishes several objectives that any methodology adopted must achieve.”

Source: HHS Final Guidance on Risk Analysis
Risk Assessment Frameworks

1. National Institute of Standards & Technology (NIST) Special Publication (SP) 800-30 Risk Management Guide
2. NIST SP 800-66 Implementing HIPAA Guide
3. Operationally Critical Threat, Asset and Vulnerability Evaluation (OCTAVE)
4. Facilitated Risk Analysis Process (FRAP)
5. Forensic Analysis of Risks in Enterprise Systems (FARES)
6. Factor Analysis of Information Risk (FAIR)
7. Department of Defense Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP)
8. Large assortment of others
9. Supported by a variety of security controls libraries
   a. Cloud Security Alliance Cloud Controls Matrix (CCM)
   b. HIPAA and other Generally Prescriptive Regulations
   c. ISO/IEC 27002
   d. NIST SP 800-53, etc.
   e. US Cybersecurity Framework
   f. Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS)
   g. Many others
10. Choose what is most effective and appropriate for your organization
Risk Assessment Tools

- Basic Business Software
- HHS SRA Tool
- Risk Assessment Vendor Tools
- GRC Tools with RA Components

Your Secure Score Summary

Your Secure Score is: 28
Of 344

Take action to see how you can improve your score today

Risk Assessment Mitigation Progress

Date of Most Recent Risk Assessment: 03 May 2017
Summary of Risk Assessment Findings:
- Overall Security Controls Strength Level: 57.54%
- Administrative Risk Section Strength Level: 63.99%
- Technical Risk Section Strength Level: 47.25%
- Physical Risk Section Strength Level: 45.22%

Risk Mitigation Progress as of Today's Data: 06 Jun 2017
- Overall Risk Mitigation Progress: 57.54%
- Administrative Risk Section Mitigation Progress: 63.99%
- Technical Risk Section Mitigation Progress: 47.25%
- Physical Risk Section Mitigation Progress: 45.22%
Risk Assessment Results

- OCR expectations
- Mitigation progress monitoring
- If possible, include privacy ← My recommendation
- Consider Program Capability Maturity ← My recommendation

Levels of Risks
There were:
- 14 High risk findings
- 39 Medium risk findings
- 5 Low risk findings

Overall Risk Findings

Risk Level Determined by Overall Risk Value

Distribution of Risk Category Findings

Source: https://simbus360.com/ra/
Risk Actions

1. **Avoidance**: Eliminate the risk cause or consequence
2. **Mitigation**: Establish controls to lower the risks
3. **Transfer of Risk**: Pass the accountability and liability to someone else (e.g., purchase cyber security insurance that covers the risk)
4. **Acceptance**: Accept the risks and the associated potential consequences

**What to do with risk?**

1. Avoidance  
   Easiest but could be bad for business
2. Mitigation  
   Practical but requires resources
3. Transfer risk  
   Expensive and may not remove all risk
4. Acceptance  
   Huge gamble and scary consequences
Risk Impact & Prioritization

- Corrective Action Plans
- Mitigation Strategy
- Cost/Benefit Analysis

Source: https://mainweb-v.musc.edu/security/guidelines/images/optimal-security.png
Common Risk Findings

- Lack of Documented or Updated Policies
- Lack of Documented or Current Procedures & Processes
- Inadequate Security/Privacy Training and No Awareness Reminders
- No or Inadequate Vendor Management
- Insufficient or Lack of Technology Safeguards
Common Risk Findings

- Training & Awareness Reminders
- Lack of Assessments
- Continuous Security Management Activities
- Missed Data Locations
Risk Assessment Success Factors

1. Documented Roles and Responsibilities
   a. Required
   b. Recommended
2. Executive Sponsorship & Visible Support
3. Adequate Budget & Related Resources
4. Documented Policies, Procedures
5. Consistently Performed Processes
6. Risk Management Activities
7. Security Safeguards
   a. Administrative
   b. Physical
   c. Technical
8. Training and awareness reminders
9. On-going security & privacy management program maintenance & improvement
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